Evaluating the Effects of *Salicornia* Extract on Performance, Egg Quality and Blood Profile of Laying Hens

Mohsen Mohammadi, Hanlin Li and In Ho Kim^{*}

Department of Animal Resource and Science, Dankook University, Cheonan 330-714, South Korea

ABSTRACT The current experiment was conducted to evaluate the effects of adding *Salicornia* extract to the drinking water on the performance, egg quality, and blood profile of laying hens. A total of 216 Hy-Line Brown laying hens at 40 weeks of age were used in a 10-week experiment. The birds were allotted into three experimental treatments with three replications per treatment and 24 birds per replication. The treatments were CON (basal diet), T1 (1 cc of *Salicornia* extract per liter of drinking water), and T2 (5 cc of *Salicornia* extract per liter of drinking water). The collected data were analyzed using the SAS package program. The results indicated that addition of *Salicornia* extract to the drinking water of laying hens did not cause any negative effects on the performance, egg quality, or blood profile. Compared to the control treatment, the treatments with *Salicornia* extract remarkably increased egg production (P<0.05) in the last week of the study, improved egg shell thickness and significantly reduced the egg breaking rate (P<0.05). The results of this study showed that the addition of *Salicornia* extract improved egg shell quality; thus, *Salicornia* extract can decrease the egg breaking rate and increase production on commercial farms.

(Key words: blood profile, egg breaking rate, egg production, laying hens, Salicornia)

INTRODUCTION

Minerals are one of the important part of animals nutrient requirements. They play a vital role in animal performance. Among the farm animals, laying hens require a high level of minerals for egg production and egg shell formation. Average egg shell contains approximately 2.3 g (range, 2.0 to 2.5 g) of Ca. This amount is equivalent to approximately 10% of total calcium content in the skeleton of laying hens (Lukić et al., 2009). There is a trend for finding natural materials that can be used as mineral supplements. Some studies have indicated that organic mineral sources have higher bioavailability than traditionally used inorganic forms (Henry et al., 1989; Wedekind et al., 1992; Smith et al., 1995; Li et al., 2005; Ao et al., 2006; Yan and Waldroup, 2006). There is an increasing interest in the use of organic minerals as a source of microelements for poultry. One of the possible choices is the extract of Salicornia. Salicornia bigelovii Torr is an oil-seed plant tolerant to seawater irrigation and perishable with a shelf life of only about 6 days at ambient temperature (25°C). Majority of researchers have pointed out that an adequate amount of these nutrients is essential, but they also pointed out that the increase in the level of these nutrients above the recommended intake level does not improve the quality of bones. Whitehead and Fleming (2000) concluded that proper nutrition can help to minimize osteoporosis, but it cannot completely prevent it. Roland and Bryant (2000) reported that for achieving maximum egg shell quality, it is necessary to provide the required dietary level of Ca during the laying period at least 7 days before egg production. As mentioned above, almost all experiments performed the addition of minerals to the diet of layers. The aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of adding *Salicornia* extract to the drinking water on the performance of laying hens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Animals, Diets, and Facility

The research procedures used in this study were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Dankook University, South Korea. A total of 216 Hy-Line Brown laying hens at 40 weeks of age were used in a 10-week experiment. The birds were allotted into 3 experimental treatments with 3 replications per treatment and 24 birds per replication. The house was provided with programmable lighting and ventila-

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed : inhokim@dankook.ac.kr

tion. Feed and water were provided *ad libitum* and diets were prepared in mash form. The composition of the experimental diets is shown in Table 1. Experimental treatments were: 1) CON (basal diet), 2) T1 (1 cc of *Salicornia* extract per liter drinking water), and 3) T2 (5 cc of *Salicornia* extract per liter drinking water). Diets were formulated to meet or exceed the nutrient requirements of laying hens (NRC, 1994).

2. Sampling and Measurements

Egg production was recorded on a daily basis. In addition, a total of 30 eggs were randomly collected at 17:00 h from each treatment (n=30, three per replicate) on days 0, 21, and 35 and were used to determine the egg quality. Eggshell breaking strength was evaluated using a model II egg shell force gauge (Robotmation Co., Ltd., Japan). Egg shell thickness was measured on the large end, equatorial region, and small end using a dial pipe gauge (Ozaki MFG. Co., Ltd., Japan). Finally, the egg weight, egg yolk color, and Haugh units were evaluated using an egg multi-tester (Touhoku Rhythm Co. Ltd., Japan).

3. Blood Sampling and Assay

Blood samples were collected from the wing vein into a sterile syringe and stored at -4° C. Samples for serum analysis were then centrifuged at 3,000×g for 15 min, after which the serum was separated. Blood cell counts [white blood cells (WBC), red blood cells (RBC), and lymphocytes] in the whole blood were analyzed using an automatic blood analyzer (ADVIA 120, Bayer, NY).

4. Statistical Analysis

Data were statistically analyzed by ANOVA using the GLM procedure of the SAS (SAS, 1996) for a randomized complete block design. Differences among all treatments were analyzed by Duncan's multiple range tests. Mean values and standard error of means (SE) are reported. Probability values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 shows the influence of *Salicornia* extract on egg production, feed intake, and broken egg rate. Adding *Salicor-*

Ingredients 70 Rice bran-high fat 4.5 Gluten feed-local 1 Soybean meal-local 9.79 Salt 0.333 Molasses-mixer 0.5 Animal fat-mixer 1 Limestone 10.12 Corn-large 50.63 Corn gluten-local 4.43 Sodium bicarbonate 0.099 Corn germ 3 Palm kernel meal 1 MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal 3 Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX ¹ 0.2 VIT PX ² 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition % Protein 17<	Ingredients	%
Gluten feed-local1Soybean meal-local 9.79 Salt 0.333 Molasses-mixer 0.5 Animal fat-mixer1Limestone 10.12 Corn-large 50.63 Corn gluten-local 4.43 Sodium bicarbonate 0.099 Corn germ 3 Palm kernel meal1MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal 3 Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6	6	
Soybean meal-local 9.79 Salt 0.333 Molasses-mixer 0.5 Animal fat-mixer 1 Limestone 10.12 Corn-large 50.63 Corn gluten-local 4.43 Sodium bicarbonate 0.099 Corn germ 3 Palm kernel meal 1 MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal 3 Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
Salt 0.333 Molasses-mixer 0.5 Animal fat-mixer1Limestone 10.12 Corn-large 50.63 Corn gluten-local 4.43 Sodium bicarbonate 0.099 Corn germ 3 Palm kernel meal 1 MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal 3 Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		-
Molasses-mixer 0.5 Animal fat-mixer1Limestone 10.12 Corn-large 50.63 Corn gluten-local 4.43 Sodium bicarbonate 0.099 Corn germ 3 Palm kernel meal1MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal 3 Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.066 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.066 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
Animal fat-mixer1Limestone 10.12 Corn-large 50.63 Corn gluten-local 4.43 Sodium bicarbonate 0.099 Corn germ 3 Palm kernel meal 1 MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal 3 Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX ¹ 0.2 VIT PX ² 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
Limestone10.12Corn-large 50.63 Corn gluten-local 4.43 Sodium bicarbonate 0.099 Corn germ 3 Palm kernel meal 1 MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal 3 Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
Corn-large 50.63 Corn gluten-local 4.43 Sodium bicarbonate 0.099 Corn germ 3 Palm kernel meal 1 MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal 3 Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		-
Corn gluten-local4.43Sodium bicarbonate 0.099 Corn germ3Palm kernel meal1MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal3Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat2Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
Sodium bicarbonate 0.099 Corn germ3Palm kernel meal1MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal3Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
Corn germ3Palm kernel meal1MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal3Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
Palm kernel meal1MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal3Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
MDCP 0.543 Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal 3 Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX ¹ 0.2 VIT PX ² 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		-
Enzyme 0.05 Rapeseed meal3Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		-
Rapeseed meal3Soybean meal-USA 6.53 DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6	-	
Soybean meal-USA6.53DDGS Corn-USA0.84AA-MHA 84%-Dry0.064L-Lysine0.123Phytase0.012Wheat2Choline chloride liquid (75%)0.0736Yeast0.06MIN PX10.2VIT PX20.06Bacteriophage0.05Calculated nutrient composition%Protein17Fat4.6Ash13.57Moisture12.6		
DDGS Corn-USA 0.84 AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX ¹ 0.2 VIT PX ² 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		-
AA-MHA 84%-Dry 0.064 L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX ¹ 0.2 VIT PX ² 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition % Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
L-Lysine 0.123 Phytase 0.012 Wheat 2 Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX ¹ 0.2 VIT PX ² 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition $\%$ Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
Phytase0.012Wheat2Choline chloride liquid (75%)0.0736Yeast0.06MIN PX10.2VIT PX20.06Bacteriophage0.05Calculated nutrient composition%Protein17Fat4.6Ash13.57Moisture12.6		
Wheat2Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition%Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
Choline chloride liquid (75%) 0.0736 Yeast 0.06 MIN PX ¹ 0.2 VIT PX ² 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition % Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
Yeast 0.06 MIN PX1 0.2 VIT PX2 0.06 Bacteriophage 0.05 Calculated nutrient composition%Protein 17 Fat 4.6 Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6		
MIN PX10.2VIT PX20.06Bacteriophage0.05Calculated nutrient composition%Protein17Fat4.6Ash13.57Moisture12.6		
VIT PX20.06Bacteriophage0.05Calculated nutrient composition%Protein17Fat4.6Ash13.57Moisture12.6		
Bacteriophage0.05Calculated nutrient composition%Protein17Fat4.6Ash13.57Moisture12.6		0.2
Calculated nutrient composition%Protein17Fat4.6Ash13.57Moisture12.6	VIT PX ²	0.06
Protein17Fat4.6Ash13.57Moisture12.6	Bacteriophage	0.05
Fat4.6Ash13.57Moisture12.6	Calculated nutrient composition	%
Ash 13.57 Moisture 12.6	Protein	17
Moisture 12.6	Fat	4.6
	Ash	13.57
Fiber 2.66	Moisture	12.6
	Fiber	2.66

¹ Provided per kilogram of diet: all-trans-retinyl acetate, 2~0 mg; cholecalciferol, 0~25 mg; all-rac-tocopherol acetate, 1~75 mg; menadione (menadione sodium bisulphate), 1~9 mg; riboflavin, 7 mg; thiamine (thiamine mono-nitrate), 2~1 mg; pyridoxine, 3~2 mg; niacin, 55 mg; capantothenate, 15 mg; cobalamin, 0~4 mg; folic acid, 0~85 mg; D-biotin, 0~1 mg.
² Provided per kg of diet: 8 mg Mn; 60 mg Zn; 5 mg Cu; 40 mg Fe; 0~3 mg Co; 1~5 mg I, and 0~15 mg Se.

 Table 1. Experimental diet ingredients and calculated nutrient composition

Item (w	/k)	CON	T1	T2	SE ²
1		86.3 ^b	89.0 ^a	91.0 ^a	0.01
	2	91.7	94.0	94.0	0.01
	3	91.0	94.3	91.3	0.03
	4	90.3	90.7	90.3	0.02
Egg	5	91.3	91.0	92.0	0.02
production	6	91.7 ^a	93.0 ^a	89.0 ^b	0.01
(%)	7	89.3	91.7	90.3	0.01
	8	91.0	92.0	92.0	0.01
	9	88.7	90.0	89.3	0.02
	10	86.0 ^b	91.3 ^a	88.0 ^{ab}	0.01
	1	110.6 ^b	111.7 ^{ab}	111.2 ^a	0.32
	2	113.3	112.8	113.1	1.29
	3	113.6	114.0	114.6	0.77
	4	113.1	113.6	113.2	0.90
Feed	5	113.7	114.2	113.1	1.06
intake	6	114.8	114.2	114.6	1.62
(g)	7	114.5	114.7	113.7	0.76
	8	113.4	113.1	115.1	0.69
	9	113.6	113.3	114.6	0.71
	10	114.7	115.3	115.9	0.39
	1	11.3ª	7.9 ^{ab}	7.6 ^b	0.91
	2	10.6	5.8	7.4	1.24
	3	10.8	5.7	7.6	1.36
	4	12.1 ^a	9.0 ^b	8.3 ^b	0.77
Broken	5	10.7	6.1	7.6	1.34
eggs rate (%)	6	10.6 ^a	5.9 ^b	7.8 ^{ab}	1.42
(70)	7	10.4	8.6	7.9	1.10
	8	11.1 ^a	8.7 ^b	9.2 ^b	1.84
	9	10.9	10.1	9.0	1.26
	10	13.6 ^a	8.3 ^b	8.6 ^b	0.47

Table 2. The effects of *Salicornia* extract on production performance and feed intake in laying hens¹

¹ Abbreviation: CON, basal diet; T1, *Salicornia* extract 1 cc; T2, *Salicornia* extract 5 cc.

² Standard error.

^{a,b} Means in the same row with different superscripts differ (*P*< 0.05).</p>

nia extract to the drinking water of experimental laying hens did not have any significant effects on egg production from the 1st week up to the 9th week, but at the 10th week, a remarkable difference (P<0.05) was observed between experimental treatments and CON treatment (Table 2). It seems that with aging, the mineral resources of the body are utilized for laving and birds need to have access to higher levels of dietary minerals. Therefore, egg production in T1 and T2 was significantly higher than that in CON. Previously, other researchers have reported that feeding broiler chickens and laying hens with the diets supplemented with herbal extracts improved the performance without affecting their feed intake (Botsoglou et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2003; Hernández et al., 2004; Shanmugavelu et al., 2004; Jang et al., 2007, Franz et al., 2010). Some evidences show that feeding the birds with supplemental herbal extracts led to an improvement in FCR. In agreement with the results of previously performed experiments, this experiment also showed that the feed intake was not affected by Salicornia extract, and during the whole experimental period, significant difference was not observed between experimental treatments (Table 2). This study showed that adding Salicornia extract to the drinking water did not have any negative effect on the feed intake, but it can help to improve the FCR by increasing egg production.

One of the main concerns is a decrease in eggshell quality as the hen ages, due to an increase in egg weight without an increase in the amount of calcium carbonate deposited in the shells. For this reason, the incidence of cracked eggs could even exceed 20% at the end of the laying period (Nys, 2001). The results of this study indicate that *Salicornia* extract had a remarkable effect (P<0.05) on egg shell quality (Table 1). Nutritional analysis showed that *S. bigelovii* contained high vitamins and minerals, which made it an ideal nutritional and diet supplement. It seems that excessive access to minerals can be beneficial in reducing the rate of broken eggs. The results of this study showed a significant decrease in the broken egg rate.

Table 3 shows the effects of *Salicornia* extract on eggshell quality in laying hens. The supplementation of *Salicornia* extract to the diet of laying hens had no significant effects on eggshell color, eggshell strength, and eggshell thickness. The data presented in Table 4 show no significant impact on yolk

Item (wk)		CON	T1	Т2	SE^2
	1	11.60	11.20	11.70	0.60
	2	11.20	11.60	11.40	0.49
	3	11.80	12.00	11.90	0.65
	4	12.00	12.10	12.30	0.62
Eggshell	5	12.20	12.30	12.50	0.70
color	6	11.90	12.40	11.70	0.56
	7	11.80	12.00	11.90	0.54
	8	11.60	12.00	11.90	0.50
	9	11.60	11.90	12.00	0.58
	10	11.70	12.00	12.00	0.63
	1	4.54	4.43	4.54	0.10
	2	4.41	4.46	4.55	0.08
	3	4.43	4.42	4.43	0.08
	4	4.46	4.46	4.46	0.08
Eggshell	5	4.42	4.40	4.42	0.07
strength (kg/cm ²)	6	4.42	4.47	4.43	0.08
	7	4.43	4.48	4.43	0.09
	8	4.42	4.49	4.47	0.10
	9	4.40	4.46	4.44	0.09
	10	4.40	4.46	4.41	0.77
	1	40.50	40.50	40.50	0.14
	2	40.50	40.40	40.40	0.10
	3	40.30	40.30	40.50	0.13
	4	40.30	40.50	40.40	0.11
Eggshell	5	40.40	40.50	40.70	0.09
thickness (mm ⁻²)	6	40.20	40.50	40.50	0.16
	7	40.10	40.40	40.50	0.21
	8	40.30	40.60	40.60	0.16
	9	40.30	40.50	40.60	0.24
	10	40.20	40.40	40.50	0.23

Table 3. The effects of *Salicornia* extract on eggshell quality in laying hens¹

¹ Abbreviation: CON, basal diet; T1, *Salicornia* extract 1 cc; T2, *Salicornia* extract 5 cc.

² Standard error.

^{a,b} Means in the same row with different superscripts differ (P< 0.05). color and yolk height. By supplementing the diets with *Salicornia* extract, Haugh unit was remarkably (P<0.05) increased at weeks 1, 4, 6, 9, and 10 in the birds (Table 4). The results also showed that addition of *Salicornia* extract had a positive effect (P<0.05) on egg weight at weeks 1, 7, and 8 (P<0.05). Lu et al. (2010) reported that fresh *Salicornia* bigelovii contains 0.62 g/kg of Ca. The level of Ca in *Salicornia* extract was 300 mg/L which is a proper source of calcium for the birds and improved the egg shell quality and led to a decrease in the egg breaking rate.

The effects of *Salicornia* extract supplementation on blood profile are presented in Table 4. Red blood cells, white blood cells, and lymphocytes were not affected by the dietary treatments. These results indicated that supplementation of *Salicornia* extract to the diet did not affect the health status of the birds.

SUMMARY

The results of the current research demonstrated that addition of *Salicornia* extract to the drinking water of laying hens improved egg production, without any negative effect on egg

 Table 4. The effects of Salicornia extract on egg weight in laying hens¹

Item (wk)	CON	T1	T2	SE ²
1	62.2 ^b	64.5 ^a	64.4 ^a	0.99
2	63.5	63.7	63.1	0.68
3	64.1	63.9	63.8	0.71
4	63.1	63.2	63.4	0.88
5	64.1	63.8	64.0	0.76
6	63.4	64.1	64.0	0.56
7	63.4 ^b	65.2 ^a	65.6 ^a	0.53
8	63.9 ^b	65.3 ^a	65.4 ^a	0.27
9	63.3	64.8	64.7	0.42
10	63.6	64.1	64.3	0.65

¹ Abbreviation: CON, basal diet; T1, *Salicornia* extract 1 cc; T2, *Salicornia* extract 5 cc.

² Standard error.

^{a,b} Means in the same row with different superscripts differ (P< 0.05).

Item (w	/k)	0.0			
		CON	T1	T2	SE^2
	1	9.30	9.49	9.50	0.12
	2	9.41	9.49	9.42	0.10
	3	9.33	9.29	9.32	0.11
	4	9.47	9.31	9.37	0.21
Yolk	5	9.71	9.51	9.56	0.13
height (mm)	6	9.51	9.58	9.54	0.12
	7	9.39	9.43	9.49	0.16
	8	9.41	9.63	9.46	0.16
	9	9.35	9.56	9.59	0.11
	10	9.35	9.60	9.50	0.09
	1	9.10	9.00	9.00	0.13
	2	9.10	9.00	9.00	0.16
	3	9.00	9.20	9.00	0.14
	4	9.00	9.10	9.00	0.13
Yolk	5	9.00	9.00	9.20	0.12
color	6	9.00	9.10	9.10	0.15
	7	8.80	9.00	8.80	0.14
	8	8.80	9.10	9.00	0.11
	9	8.80	9.10	9.10	0.18
	10	8.90	9.00	9.20	0.16
	1	93.30 ^b	94.20 ^a	95.10 ^a	1.20
	2	94.30	94.00	93.80	0.97
	3	93.40	93.20	93.50	0.76
	4	92.80 ^b	95.50 ^a	95.20 ^a	0.85
Haugh	5	94.50	94.80	95.20	1.02
unit	6	91.20 ^b	95.40 ^a	94.50 ^{ab}	0.87
	7	94.20	94.50	95.30	1.26
	8	93.80	95.10	95.20	1.11
	9	93.10 ^b	95.50 ^a	96.10 ^a	0.67
	10	93.80 ^b	95.30 ^a	95.40 ^a	0.77

 Table 5. The effects of Salicornia extract on yolk height, yolk

 color and Haugh unit in laying hens¹

¹ Abbreviation: CON, basal diet; T1, *Salicornia* extract 1 cc; T2, *Salicornia* extract 5 cc.

² Standard error.

^{a,b} Means in the same row with different superscripts differ (P< 0.05).

 Table 6. The effects of Salicornia extract on blood profile in laying hens¹

Item	CON	T1	T2	SE ²
WBC (10 ⁶ /µL)	281.30	266.10	282.10	16.49
RBC (10 ⁶ /µL)	1.88	1.86	1.89	0.13
Lymphocyte (%)	83.00	84.00	84.30	1.70

¹ Abbreviation: CON, basal diet; T1, *Salicornia* extract 1 cc; T2, *Salicornia* extract 5 cc.

² Standard error.

quality and health of the birds. Moreover, there are very few published papers that show the effect of *Salicornia* extract on laying hens; hence, it was very difficult to find the available references for comparison with these results. It is highly recommended that other researchers conduct similar studies to obtain more information on this extract.

적 요

함초추출물을 산란계에 급여하여 난생산성, 난품질 및 혈 액의 성상에 미치는 영향을 구명하고자 총 216수의 40주령 하이라인 브라운 산란계를 10주간 공시하였다. 함초추출물 의 급여수준은 0(대조구), 1cc/L(T1) 및 5cc/L(T2)로 음수로 급여하였으며, 공시계는 처리구당 3반복, 반복당 24수씩 배 치하였다. 사양시험의 결과, 함초추출물은 산란계의 난생산 성, 난품질 및 혈액의 특성에 부정적인 영향을 미치지 않았 으며, 시험 마지막 주의 산란율과 난각 두께를 개선시켰고, 파란율은 유의하게 감소시켰다.

(색인어 : 혈액의 성상, 파란율, 산란율, 산란계, 함초)

REFERENCES

Ao T, Pierce JL, Power R, Dawson KA, Pescatore AJ, Cantor AH, Ford M J 2006 Evaluation of Bioplex Zn as an organic zinc sources for chicks. Int J Poultry Sci. 5:808-811.

Botsoglou NA, Florou-Paneri P, Christaki E, Fletouris DJ, Spais AB 2002 Effect of dietary oregano essential oil on performance of chickens and on iron-induced lipid oxidation of breast, thigh and abdominal fat tissues. Br Poultry Sci. 43:223-230.

Franz C, Baser KHC, Windisch W 2010 Essential oils and

aromatic plants in animal feeding - a European perspective. A review. Flavour and Fragrance Journal. 25:327-340.

- Henry PR, Ammerman CB, Miles RD 1989 Relative bioavailability of manganese in manganese-methionine complex for broiler chicks. Poultry Sci. 68:107-112.
- Hernandez F, Madrid J, Garcia V, Orengo J, Megias MD 2004 Influence of two plant extracts on broilers performance, digestibility, and digestive organ size. Poultry Sci. 83:169-174.
- Jang IS, Ko YH, Kang SY, Lee CY 2004 Effect of a commercial essential oil on growth performance, digestive enzyme activity and intestinal microflora population in broiler chickens. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 134:304-315.
- Lee KW, Everts H, Kappert HJ, Frehner M, Losa R, Beynen AC 2003 Effects of dietary essential oil components on growth performance, digestive enzymes and lipid metabolism in female broiler chickens. Br Poultry Sci. 44:450-457.
- Li SF, Luo XG, Lu L, Crenshaw TD, Bu YQ, Liu B, Kuang X, Shao GZ, Yu SX 2005 Bioavailability of organic manganese sources in broilers fed high dietary calcium. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 124:703-715.
- Lu D, Zhang M, Wang SJ, Cai JL, Zhou X, Zhu CP 2010 Nutritional characterization and changes in quality of *Salicornia bigelovii* Torr. during storage. Food Sci Technol. 43:519-524.
- Lukić M, Pavlovski Z, Škrbić Z 2010 Mineral nutrition of modern poultry genotypes. J Med Plants Res. 4:415-420
- NRC 1994 Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th rev. ed. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, DC.

- Nys Y 2001 Recent developments in layer nutrition for optimizing shell quality. In: Proceedings of 13th European Symposium of Poultry Nutrition, Blanken-berge, Belgium, 45-52.
- Roland DA, Bryant M 2000 Nutrition and feeding for optimum egg shell quality. Proceedings and CD of the 21st World's Poultry Congress, Montreal, Canada.
- SAS 1996 SAS User's Guide: Statistics. Version 7.0. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Shanmugavelu S, Acamovic T, Cowieson AJ 2004 Effect of thyme oil and garlic powder on the nutritive value of soybean meal. Br Poultry Sci. 45:54-55.
- Smith MO, Sherman IL, Miller LC, Robbins KR, Halley JT 1995 Relative biological availability of manganese from manganese proteinate, manganese sulfate, and manganese monoxide in broilers reared at elevated temperatures. Poultry Sci. 74:702-707.
- Wedekind KJ, Hortin AE, Baker DH 1992 Methodology for assessing zinc bioavailability efficacy estimates for zincmethionine, zinc sulfate and zinc oxide. J Anim Sci. 70: 178-187.
- Whitehead CC, Fleming RH 2000 Osteoporosis in cage layers. Poultry Sci. 79:1033-1041.
- Yan F, Waldroup PW 2006 Evaluation of Mintrex[®] manganese as a source of manganese for young broilers. International Journal of Poultry Sci. 5:708-713.

Received Jun. 11, 2015, Revised Jun. 30, 2015, Accepted Jul. 10, 2015