

# Occurrence and distribution of weed species on horticulture fields in Chungnam province of Korea

Ki Seon Hwang<sup>1</sup>, Min Yong Eom<sup>1</sup>, Su Hyuk Park<sup>1</sup>, Ok Jae Won<sup>1</sup>, In Yong Lee<sup>2</sup>, Kee Woong Park<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Crop Science, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Agro-Food Safety & Crop Protection, National Academy of Agricultural Science, RDA, Jeollabuk-do 565-851, Korea.

### Abstract

A survey of weed occurrence was conducted to identify problematic weed species in a horticultural crop field to get basic information for effective weed control. Surveys of weed species occurring in horticultural crop fields (garlic, onion, red pepper and Chinese cabbage) were conducted in Chungnam province of Korea from April to October in 2014. A total of 516 sites of the 17 regions were identified as having 114 weed species belonging to 32 families. The most dominant weed species in the horticultural crop fields were *Chenopodium album* var. *centrorubrum* (8.83%), followed by *Digitaria ciliaris* (5.71%), *Conyza canadensis* (5.46%) and *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (4.67%). Specifically, as a result of this study, the occurrence of 35 species of exotic weeds, such as *Chenopodium album* and *Taraxacum officinale*, were confirmed. Almost 68% of the investigation sites was determined under dominance value 1 (range of cover < 10; numerous individuals) by Braun-Branquet cover-abundance scale, indicating a proper weed control in horticultural crop field. As a result of scientific and technological advances, an improved cultivation method is changing the weed occurrence in agricultural land. Additional research needs to be undertaken for the development of weed control methods through such periodic monitoring of occurrence of weeds.

Key words: Chungnam province, exotic weed, horticultural crop field, weed control, weed occurrence

# INTRODUCTION

Due to an increase in income from rapid growth of the economy, there have been enormous changes in the consumption pattern of agricultural products. Consumption of food has been steadily changing from starchy food, especially grains, to foods that are high in protein and vitamin contents (Jeong 2000). With the increasing awareness in the quality of life, there has been an upsurge in the demand for safe food, leading to changes in agricultural practices towards more environment friendly farming, which is safer for humans and animals. Particularly, there has been much focus on the safety and environmental

http://dx.doi.org/10.5141/ecoenv.2015.036

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licens (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. hazards of herbicides used to manage weeds that grow during the cultivation of crops.

In agriculture, weeds may play some roles, providing diversity, ecosystem functions and supporting many other species such as insects and birds (Marshall et al. 2003). It is ecologically meaningful data to understand the weed species diversity of agricultural land because the same weeds can occur in different growing conditions such as upland, orchard and pasture.

The occurrence of weeds in a crop field means an increase in the density of plants in a limited area. Conse-

Received 14 May 2015, Accepted 28 June 2015

\***Corresponding Author** E-mail: parkkw@cnu.ac.kr Tel: +82-42-821-5726

quently, the presence of weeds in a field, with a planting density designed to yield the maximum amount of crops, would inevitably decrease the average crop yield (Won et al. 2011). The decrease in crop yield could range from 30 to 100 percent, depending on weed management. Along with a decrease in their quantity, the weeds also bring a decline in the crop quality. Depending on the type of crop, a variety of preventative measures, including the use of herbicides, are applied to manage weed occurrence in agricultural land. Along with herbicide use, vinyl mulching, rice and barley straw, and hand weeding are also used to manage weed occurrence (Lee et al. 2001). Before weed growth begins, pesticides are applied for soil treatment, while mulching, nonselective herbicides in furrows, and hand weeding are usually employed during the cultivation period.

In the Chungnam province, there is an increase in garlic and onion fields, but a decrease in number of red pepper and Chinese cabbage fields. Red pepper is the number one crop in Korea in terms of cultivation area and yield production. However, in 2013, in the Chungnam region, the cultivated area decreased by 29.9%, from 6,572 ha in 2005 to 1,968 ha (KOSTAT 2013). The reason for this decrease could be the requirement of a substantial amount of labor, and the difficulty to mechanize transplanting and harvesting processes. Therefore, it is necessary to use herbicides due to the fact that agricultural population is declining and rapidly aging.

Weed development is influenced by the region's landform, soil property, and types of industry. Weed vegetation in agricultural land has changed depending on cropping patterns and weed control methods. Improved crop management techniques, including herbicides, have resulted in good control of weeds and have facilitated different cropping patterns and steadily increasing crop yields (Marshall et al. 2003). The change in herbicide is the main cause of changes in the diversity, amount of weed development, and dominant weed species within an agricultural ecosystem (Kim and Shin 2007). A successive application of the same herbicide has the most direct influence on the change in the community of weeds that develop in crop fields (Kim et al. 2012).

To effectively manage weeds that grow in crop fields and to prepare measures against the changes in weed community, it is important to understand the weed species and their distribution. Botanically, weeds are divided into pteridophytes and spermatophytes. Spermatophytes are further divided into dicotyledones and monocotyledons, with further divisions into different families. Weeds are also classified as grassy weeds, broadleaf weeds, and sedge weeds. Based on their life cycles, they could be either annual weeds or perennial weeds. This practical classification is meaningful in the selection of herbicides, since each group has a similar response to herbicides (Rural Development Administration 2000).

In Korea, since dry field crops are usually cultivated in small areas, there have been fewer studies on controlling weeds growing in dry fields, as compared to studies for weeds in rice fields. Systematic studies on weeds growing in dry fields only started after 1980 (Im et al. 2008). In a five year period starting from 2000, Lee et al. (2007) studied the distribution of weeds growing on cultivated land, and reported that there are 302 species of weeds in dry fields, including 30 major weed species in Korea.

The ultimate goal of managing weeds is effective weed control, and therefore it is essential to understand the occurrence and the distribution of weeds that grow in crop fields. Studying the distribution of weeds would enable a systematic construction of weed management system by continuously providing the current state of weed growth. If problematic weeds and foreign weeds are identified, suitable preventative measures can be applied, and we could hope for an improvement in both quality and quantity of crops, as well as saving of labor.

This study, conducted every ten years by the rural development administration (RDA) as a part of the nationwide-detailed distribution analysis of weed development in cultivated lands, was undertaken to retain basic data that would enable the development of effective laborsaving weed control technology by understanding the distribution patterns of weeds and the extent of damage they have on major horticultural crops grown in the Chungnam province.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted from April to October of 2014 (garlic and onion: April-May, red pepper: July-August, and Chinese cabbage: October), to confirm the development patterns and the changes in distribution of weeds that grow on crop fields of major horticultural crops in 17 cities and guns (districts) of Chungnam region, including Daejeon and Sejong.

### Selecting survey sites

The target crop fields were horticultural crop fields with little to no preventive measures against weeds; they were randomly selected from eup or myeon belonging to each city and gun, that were divided according to administrative districts. The frequency and distribution of weed growth were investigated in each survey site. To understand the changes in the weed community in same crop fields, we used Hi Drive ver. 2.0 (a smartphone application) to record GPS (ICE CPS 100c) information and address. The area of each crop field was roughly measured by counting how many steps it takes a researcher to get from one end to the other. The weed development and the area surrounding the fields were photographed (Canon 100D). Weed species were identified with visual assessment, and the covering ratio was studied based on the 7-level system (5, 4, 3, 2, 1, + and r) of Braun-Blanquet (1964). The coverabundance index used by Braun-Blanquet is as follows: 5, covering more than 75% of the whole target fields; 4, any number of individuals covering 50-75% of the area; 3, any number of individuals covering 25-50% of the area; 2, very numerous or covering at least 5% of the area; 1, plentiful but of small cover value; +, sparsely present, cover small; r, very sparsely present, cover very small (Poore 1955).

## Analysis of results

To figure out the dominant species based on the results, we analyzed the importance value (Curtis and McIntosh 1950). Frequency, defined as the percentage of samples where certain species appear among all the crop fields under observation, was calculated by dividing the number of crop fields with a certain species, by the number of all the crop fields. Relative frequency (RF) was calculated by dividing frequency by the sum of frequencies of all the species multiplied by 100. Relative cover (RC) was calculated by dividing the cover degree (a value found by dividing the area that a certain species occupies by the area of the enumeration district) by sum of the cover degree of all the species multiplied by 100. Importance value (IV) was calculated by adding the relative frequency and the relative cover degree and dividing the results in half.

The results from the weed survey were compiled into lists, in accordance with the Synonymic List of Vascular Plant of Korea (Korea National Arboretum 2007). Exotic weeds were recorded using "Colored Illustrations of Naturalized Plants of Korea (Park 2009) as a reference. For the confirmed species of weeds, we classified them into annual, biennial and perennial weeds, based on life cycles presented by Raunkiaer (1934), and then calculated the distribution proportion of each family of weeds.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The species and the distribution patterns of weeds in the 516 crop fields (82 for red pepper, 285 for garlic, 86 for onion, and 63 for Chinese cabbage) of Chungnam province were analyzed (Table 1), there were a total of 32 weed families, comprised of 114 species. There were 69 species of annual weeds, 25 species of biennial weeds, and 22 species of perennial weeds, which comprised 61%, 22%, and 18% of the total, respectively (Table 2). The most dominant weed in the Chungnam region was Chenopodium album var. centrorubrum (8.83%), with Digitaria ciliaris (5.71%), Conyza canadensis (5.46%), Capsella bursa-pastoris (4.67%), and Plantago asiatica (3.27%) being the next dominant species. The top ten weed species comprised 39.28% of the total, indicating that these are the problematic species of the horticultural lands in the Chungnam region. Also, we found 35 species of exotic weeds growing in this region, including Acalypha australis, Chenopodium album, and Taraxacum officinale. In a five year period starting from 2000, Lee et al. (2007) studied the distribution of weeds growing on upland land in Korea, reported that 112 weed species of 33 families were identified and Compositae was most dominant family comprised 18.0%. Choi et al. (2009) had previously reported that there are 28 species belonging to 15 families of exotic weeds in dry fields in Chungnam province. In this survey, some species such as Ambrosia artemisiifolia, Ammannia coccinea and Lactuca scariola were identified, that were unconfirmed in the last survey conducted

 $\label{eq:Table 1.} The number of survey sites at each location of Chungnam province in Korea$ 

| Location   | Garlic | Onion | Red pepper | Chinese cabbage |
|------------|--------|-------|------------|-----------------|
| Gyeryong   | 4      | 1     | 0          | 2               |
| Gongju     | 18     | 2     | 8          | 0               |
| Geumsan    | 16     | 0     | 0          | 7               |
| Nonsan     | 18     | 0     | 6          | 9               |
| Dangjin    | 20     | 17    | 5          | 9               |
| Daejeon    | 1      | 14    | 1          | 1               |
| Boryeong   | 12     | 3     | 1          | 3               |
| Buyeo      | 18     | 14    | 6          | 12              |
| Seosan     | 36     | 6     | 0          | 4               |
| Seocheon   | 11     | 4     | 0          | 10              |
| Sejong     | 12     | 1     | 1          | 0               |
| Asan       | 13     | 5     | 7          | 0               |
| Yesan      | 18     | 6     | 7          | 5               |
| Cheonan    | 16     | 1     | 0          | 0               |
| Cheongyang | 23     | 2     | 9          | 5               |
| Taean      | 29     | 5     | 0          | 4               |
| Hongseong  | 20     | 5     | 12         | 11              |
| Total      | 285    | 86    | 63         | 82              |

| Rank | Scientific name                     | Family           | Life cycle | $I.V.^{\dagger}$ |
|------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| 1    | Chenopodium album var. centrorubrum | Chenopodiaceae   | Annual     | 8.83             |
| 2    | Digitaria ciliaris                  | Poaceae          | Annual     | 5.71             |
| 3    | Conyza canadensis                   | Compositae       | Biennial   | 5.46             |
| 4    | Capsella bursa-pastoris             | Cruciferae       | Biennial   | 4.67             |
| 5    | Plantago asiatica                   | Plantaginaceae   | Perennial  | 3.27             |
| 6    | Rorippa palustris                   | Cruciferae       | Biennial   | 3.00             |
| 7    | Cyperus amuricus                    | Cyperaceae       | Annual     | 3.00             |
| 8    | Portulaca oleracea                  | Portulacaceae    | Annual     | 2.87             |
| 9    | Poa annua                           | Poaceae          | Biennial   | 2.48             |
| 10   | Equisetum arvense                   | Equisetaceae     | Perennial  | 2.05             |
| 11   | Stellaria media                     | Caryophyllaceae  | Biennial   | 1.99             |
| 12   | Lamium amplexicaule                 | Labiatae         | Annual     | 1.55             |
| 13   | Cerastium glomeratum                | Caryophyllaceae  | Annual     | 1.51             |
| 14   | Acalypha australis                  | Euphorbiaceae    | Annual     | 1.49             |
| 15   | Arenaria serpyllifolia              | Caryophyllaceae  | Annual     | 1.35             |
| 16   | Humulus japonicus                   | Cannabaceae      | Annual     | 1.35             |
| 17   | Centipeda minima                    | Compositae       | Annual     | 1.33             |
| 18   | Stellaria alsine var. undulate      | Caryophyllaceae  | Annual     | 1.16             |
| 19   | Draba nemorosa                      | Cruciferae       | Annual     | 0.99             |
| 20   | Mazus pumilus                       | Scrophulariaceae | Annual     | 0.97             |
| 21   | Vicia hirsute                       | Leguminosae      | Annual     | 0.97             |
| 22   | Artemisia princeps                  | Compositae       | Perennial  | 0.95             |
| 23   | Echinochloa crus-galli              | Poaceae          | Annual     | 0.91             |
| 24   | Solanum nigrum                      | Solanaceae       | Annual     | 0.81             |
| 25   | Taraxacum platycarpum               | Compositae       | Perennial  | 0.76             |
| 26   | Alopecurus aequalis                 | Poaceae          | Annual     | 0.73             |
| 27   | Lindernia procumbens                | Scrophulariaceae | Annual     | 0.73             |
| 28   | Chenopodium album                   | Chenopodiaceae   | Annual     | 0.72             |
| 29   | Eclipta prostrate                   | Compositae       | Annual     | 0.70             |
| 30   | Galium spurium var. echinospermon   | Rubiaceae        | Annual     | 0.67             |
| 31   | Taraxacum officinale                | Compositae       | Perennial  | 0.55             |
| 32   | Veronica didyma var. lilacina       | Scrophulariaceae | Annual     | 0.54             |
| 33   | Amaranthus lividus                  | Amaranthaceae    | Annual     | 0.50             |
| 34   | Oxalis corniculata                  | Oxalidaceae      | Perennial  | 0.49             |
| 35   | Hemistepta lyrata                   | Compositae       | Biennial   | 0.48             |
| 36   | Trifolium repens                    | Leguminosae      | Perennial  | 0.46             |
| 37   | Lactuca indica                      | Compositae       | Biennial   | 0.40             |
| 38   | Eclipta alba                        | Compositae       | Annual     | 0.40             |
| 39   | Erigeron annuus                     | Compositae       | Biennial   | 0.37             |
| 40   | Rorippa indica                      | Cruciferae       | Perennial  | 0.37             |
| 41   | Bothriospermum tenellum             | Boraginaceae     | Annual     | 0.35             |
| 42   | Euphorbia supine                    | Euphorbiaceae    | Annual     | 0.32             |
| 43   | Mollugo pentaphylla                 | Molluginaceae    | Annual     | 0.31             |
| 44   | Eragrostis multicaulis              | Poaceae          | Annual     | 0.31             |
| 45   | Commelina ommunis                   | Commelinaceae    | Annual     | 0.30             |
| 46   | Persicaria hydropiper               | Polygonaceae     | Annual     | 0.30             |
| 47   | Senecio vulgaris                    | Compositae       | Biennial   | 0.30             |
| 48   | Cyperus iria                        | Cyperaceae       | Annual     | 0.28             |
| 49   | Sigesbeckia pubescens               | Compositae       | Annual     | 0.25             |
| 50   | Eleusine indica                     | Poaceae          | Annual     | 0.22             |
| 51   | Youngia japonica                    | Compositae       | Biennial   | 0.20             |
| 52   | Lindernia dubia                     | Scrophulariaceae | Annual     | 0.19             |
| 53   | Rumex crispus                       | Polygonaceae     | Perennial  | 0.18             |
| 54   | Crepidiastrum sonchifolium          | Compositae       | Biennial   | 0.17             |
| 55   | Ixeridium dentatum                  | Compositae       | Perennial  | 0.16             |
| 56   | Cyperus nipponicus                  | Cyperaceae       | Annual     | 0.16             |
| 57   | Cyperus microiria                   | Cyperaceae       | Annual     | 0.14             |

# Table 2. continued

| Rank | Scientific name                   | Family          | Life cycle | I.V. <sup>†</sup> |
|------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|
| 58   | Trigonotis peduncularis           | Boraginaceae    | Biennial   | 0.14              |
| 59   | Leonurus japonicus                | Labiatae        | Biennial   | 0.14              |
| 60   | Viola mandshurica                 | Violaceae       | Perennial  | 0.13              |
| 61   | Chenopodium ficifolium            | Chenopodiaceae  | Annual     | 0.13              |
| 62   | Sonchus asper                     | Compositae      | Biennial   | 0.11              |
| 63   | Ludwigia prostrata                | Onagraceae      | Annual     | 0.11              |
| 64   | Bidens tripartite                 | Compositae      | Annual     | 0.10              |
| 65   | Cardamine fallax                  | Cruciferae      | Biennial   | 0.10              |
| 66   | Amaranthus retroflexus            | Amaranthaceae   | Annual     | 0.10              |
| 67   | Calystegia sepium                 | Convolvulaceae  | Perennial  | 0.10              |
| 68   | Amaranthus patulus                | Amaranthaceae   | Annual     | 0.09              |
| 69   | Pinellia ternata                  | Araceae         | Perennial  | 0.09              |
| 70   | Persicaria vulgaris               | Polygonaceae    | Annual     | 0.09              |
| 71   | Calystegia sepium var. japonicum  | Convolvulaceae  | Perennial  | 0.08              |
| 72   | Eragrostis japonica               | Poaceae         | Annual     | 0.08              |
| 73   | Echinochloa utilis                | Poaceae         | Annual     | 0.08              |
| 74   | Galinsoga ciliate                 | Compositae      | Annual     | 0.08              |
| 75   | Brassica juncea                   | Cruciferae      | Biennial   | 0.07              |
| 76   | Persicaria thunbergii             | Polygonaceae    | Annual     | 0.06              |
| 77   | Physalis angulate                 | Solanaceae      | Annual     | 0.06              |
| 78   | Salvia plebeia                    | Labiatae        | Biennial   | 0.06              |
| 79   | Astragalus sinicus                | Leguminosae     | Biennial   | 0.06              |
| 80   | Aeschynomene indica               | Leguminosae     | Annual     | 0.06              |
| 81   | Bidens frondosa                   | Compositae      | Annual     | 0.06              |
| 82   | Fimbristylis miliacea             | Cyperaceae      | Annual     | 0.06              |
| 83   | Sonchus oleraceus                 | Compositae      | Biennial   | 0.06              |
| 84   | Persicaria nepalensis             | Polygonaceae    | Annual     | 0.06              |
| 85   | Cyperus difformis                 | Cyperaceae      | Annual     | 0.06              |
| 86   | Lactuca scariola                  | Compositae      | Biennial   | 0.05              |
| 87   | Bidens bipinnata                  | Compositae      | Annual     | 0.05              |
| 88   | Amaranthus mangostanus            | Amaranthaceae   | Annual     | 0.05              |
| 89   | Sigesbeckia glabrescens           | Compositae      | Annual     | 0.05              |
| 90   | Cardamine flexuosa                | Cruciferae      | Biennial   | 0.05              |
| 91   | Persicaria longiseta              | Polygonaceae    | Annual     | 0.04              |
| 92   | Malva neglecta                    | Malvaceae       | Biennial   | 0.04              |
| 93   | Rotala indica var. uliginosa      | Lythraceae      | Annual     | 0.04              |
| 94   | Polygonum aviculare               | Polygonaceae    | Annual     | 0.04              |
| 95   | Ammannia coccinea                 | Lythraceae      | Annual     | 0.04              |
| 96   | Stellaria aquatica                | Caryophyllaceae | Perennial  | 0.04              |
| 97   | Ixeris strigosa                   | Compositae      | Perennial  | 0.03              |
| 98   | Setaria viridis                   | Poaceae         | Annual     | 0.03              |
| 99   | Ambrosia artemisiifolia           | Compositae      | Annual     | 0.03              |
| 100  | Oenothera biennis                 | Onagraceae      | Biennial   | 0.03              |
| 101  | Euphorbia humifusa                | Euphorbiaceae   | Annual     | 0.03              |
| 102  | Metaplexis japonica               | Asclepiadaceae  | Perennial  | 0.03              |
| 103  | Chelidonium majus var. asiaticum  | Papaveraceae    | Biennial   | 0.03              |
| 104  | Achyranthes fauriei               | Amaranthaceae   | Perennial  | 0.03              |
| 105  | Kyllinga brevifolius              | Cyperaceae      | Perennial  | 0.03              |
| 106  | Lepidium apetalum                 | Cruciferae      | Biennial   | 0.02              |
| 107  | Pilea mongolica                   | Urticaceae      | Annual     | 0.02              |
| 108  | Abutilon theophrasti              | Malvaceae       | Annual     | 0.02              |
| 109  | Phyllanthus ussuriensis           | Euphorbiaceae   | Annual     | 0.02              |
| 110  | Boehmeria pannosa                 | Urticaceae      | Perennial  | 0.02              |
| 111  | Justicia procumbens               | Acanthaceae     | Annual     | 0.02              |
| 112  | Amaranthus viridis                | Amaranthaceae   | Annual     | 0.02              |
| 113  | Aster subulatus var. sandwicensis | Compositae      | Annual     | 0.02              |
| 114  | Mollugo verticillata              | Molluginaceae   | Annual     | 0.02              |

<sup>†</sup>I.V., importance values.

by Choi et al (2009). With the domestic economic growth and the increase in the import of livestock feed, the possibilities of exotic weeds inflow into Korea has increased (Oh et al. 2004). The accidental or intentional introduction of non-native weeds continues to threaten natural and agricultural ecosystems worldwide (Mack et al. 2000, Pimentel 2002). These exotic weeds could alter the ecosystems of the distribution areas and areas surrounding them. Therefore, there is a need to constantly monitor and develop preventive technologies, to prevent both the spread of exotic weeds that are already growing, and the inflow of additional exotic weeds.

The analysis of importance values in each cultivated

crop showed differences in number of weed species and their dominant weed species. However, similar weed species and number of weeds were identified on the crop fields around the same survey time. In the winter crop fields, *Chenopodium album* var. *centrorubrum*, belonging to Chenopodiaceae, was the most dominant species. In onion fields, after *Chenopodium album* var. *centrorubrum*, the other prevalent species in the order of occurrence were *Capsella bursa-pastoris*, *Digitaria ciliaris*, and *Conyza Canadensis*. In garlic fields, the order of prevalence was *Conyza Canadensis*, *Digitaria ciliaris*, and *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (Table 3). In red pepper fields, *Portulaca oleracea* showed the most occurrence, and *Cyperus* 

| Tab | le | 3. | Importan | ce values | of top | 10 weed | d species o | on garlic | , onion, red | pepper a | nd Chinese | cabbage | fields |
|-----|----|----|----------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|-----------|--------------|----------|------------|---------|--------|
|     |    |    |          |           |        |         |             |           | , ,          |          |            |         |        |

| Rank | Garlic                  |       | Onion                   |       | Red pepper             |      | Chinese Cabbage        |      |
|------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|------------------------|------|------------------------|------|
|      | Scientific name         | I.V.† | Scientific name         | I.V.  | Scientific name        | I.V. | Scientific name        | I.V. |
| 1    | Chenopodium album       | 17.72 | Chenopodium album       | 16.69 | Portulaca oleracea     | 8.87 | Portulaca oleracea     | 8.07 |
| 2    | Conyza canadensis       | 12.29 | Capsella bursa-pastoris | 10.44 | Cyperus amuricus       | 7.24 | Digitaria ciliaris     | 7.54 |
| 3    | Digitaria ciliaris      | 9.12  | Digitaria ciliaris      | 8.23  | Acalypha australis     | 5.71 | Rorippa palustris      | 6.44 |
| 4    | Capsella bursa-pastoris | 8.06  | Conyza canadensis       | 8.08  | Digitaria ciliaris     | 5.48 | Chenopodium album      | 5.73 |
| 5    | Plantago asiatica       | 6.61  | Plantago asiatica       | 6.26  | Centipeda minima       | 5.31 | Echinochloa crus-galli | 5.02 |
| 6    | Rorippa palustris       | 4.38  | Stellaria media         | 4.65  | Rorippa palustris      | 3.88 | Cyperus amuricus       | 3.95 |
| 7    | Equisetum arvense       | 3.76  | Arenaria serpyllifolia  | 3.97  | Echinochloa crus-galli | 3.57 | Stellaria aquatica     | 3.82 |
| 8    | Poa annua               | 3.37  | Rorippa palustris       | 3.74  | Poa annua              | 3.01 | Poa annua              | 3.66 |
| 9    | Lamium amplexicaule     | 3.17  | Cerastium glomeratum    | 3.58  | Chenopodium album      | 2.90 | Cerastium glomeratum   | 3.45 |
| 10   | Stellaria media         | 2.98  | Poa annua               | 3.54  | Mazus pumilus          | 2.82 | Chenopodium album      | 3.20 |

<sup>†</sup>I. V., importance values

Table 4. Dominant index of weed species occurred in garlic, onion, red pepper and Chinese cabbage fields classified by families

| Garlic           |                    | Onion            |       | Red pepper       |       | Chinese Cabba    | Chinese Cabbage |  |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Family           | D. I. $^{\dagger}$ | Family           | D. I. | Family           | D. I. | Family           | D. I.           |  |
| Compositae       | 13.89              | Compositae       | 13.33 | Compositae       | 24.75 | Compositae       | 28.17           |  |
| Poaceae          | 13.89              | Caryophyllaceae  | 13.33 | Polygonaceae     | 6.93  | Poaceae          | 11.27           |  |
| Caryophyllaceae  | 11.11              | Cruciferae       | 10.00 | Cyperaceae       | 6.93  | Cruciferae       | 8.45            |  |
| Cruciferae       | 11.11              | Scrophulariaceae | 10.00 | Cruciferae       | 6.93  | Polygonaceae     | 7.04            |  |
| Convolvulaceae   | 5.56               | Poaceae          | 10.00 | Poaceae          | 6.93  | Chenopodiaceae   | 4.23            |  |
| Leguminosae      | 5.56               | Leguminosae      | 6.67  | Amaranthaceae    | 5.94  | Cyperaceae       | 4.23            |  |
| Scrophulariaceae | 5.56               | Solanaceae       | 3.33  | Euphorbiaceae    | 3.96  | Caryophyllaceae  | 4.23            |  |
| Solanaceae       | 2.78               | Rubiaceae        | 3.33  | Labiatae         | 2.97  | Scrophulariaceae | 4.23            |  |
| Rubiaceae        | 2.78               | Labiatae         | 3.33  | Leguminosae      | 2.97  | Leguminosae      | 4.23            |  |
| Labiatae         | 2.78               | Polygonaceae     | 3.33  | Chenopodiaceae   | 2.97  | Solanaceae       | 2.82            |  |
| Euphorbiaceae    | 2.78               | Chenopodiaceae   | 3.33  | Scrophulariaceae | 2.97  | Oxalidaceae      | 1.41            |  |
| Polygonaceae     | 2.78               | Cyperaceae       | 3.33  | Solanaceae       | 1.98  | Labiatae         | 1.41            |  |
| Chenopodiaceae   | 2.78               | Cannabaceae      | 3.33  | Onagraceae       | 1.98  | Commelinaceae    | 1.41            |  |
| Cyperaceae       | 2.78               | Equisetaceae     | 3.33  | Lythraceae       | 1.98  | Euphorbiaceae    | 1.41            |  |
| Cannabaceae      | 2.78               | Portulacaceae    | 3.33  | Molluginaceae    | 1.98  | Convolvulaceae   | 1.41            |  |
| Others           | 11.11              | Others           | 6.70  | Others           | 17.82 | Others           | 14.08           |  |
| Total (%)        | 100                |                  | 100   |                  | 100   |                  | 100             |  |

<sup>†</sup>D. I., dominant index.



**Fig. 1.** Percent of covering ratio (%) by Braun-Blanquet's cover-abundance scale (from r to 5) at total survey sites of each cultivation fields (82 for red pepper, 285 for garlic, 86 for onion, and 63 for Chinese cabbage).

amuricus, Acalypha australis, and Digitaria ciliaris were the other prominent weeds. In Chinese cabbage fields, Portulaca oleracea was the most dominant, followed by Digitaria ciliaris, Rorippa palustris, and Chenopodium album. When the weed species for each cultivated crop were divided by family, Compositae were the most prevalent (Table 4). This was similar to the survey results in 2003 (Choi et al. 2009) that showed that the 25 species in Compositae family comprised 20% of all the weed species occurring in the Chungnam region. Weed species diversity in upland is more limited than other agricultural fields by continuous plowing. That is the reason this study was similar to previous research. However, as per the results of the present study, Bidens frondosa, Eclipta prostrata, Commelina ommunis, Cyperus amuricus, Cyperus iria, Aeschynomene indica, Ludwigia prostrata, Digitaria ciliaris, Persicaria hydropiper, Persicaria longiseta, Persicaria vulgaris and Lindernia procumbens were identified on horticulture fields in arable lands of the Chungnam province. The control of those weed species need to be aggressively managed on account of the possibility of them becoming problematic.

In terms of types of crop, 71 species belonging to 25 families were found in Chinese cabbage fields, 36 species belonging to 20 families were found in garlic fields, 30 species belonging to 17 families were found in onion fields, and 101 species belonging to 30 families were found in red pepper fields. Generally, weed occurrence surveys show an increasing trend of weed species as the number of fields analyzed are increased. However, in this study, there were more species of weeds identified in red pepper fields and Chinese cabbage fields, but which were lower

in numbers than in garlic fields and onion fields. Also, according to the dominance value analysis by Braun-Blanquet, about 43% of garlic fields and 39% of onion fields had dominance value + (weed occurrence is below 5% of the fields surveyed), showing low weed occurrence frequency. About 53% of red pepper fields and about 34% of Chinese cabbage fields had dominance value 2 (weed occurrence is between 5 and 25%), showing a relatively high weed occurrence frequency. This is probably due to the differences in survey periods, which leads to differences in weed types, along with the fact that since garlic and onion are local indigenous products of the Chungnam region, a systematic and continuous weed control is put into place during the growing period of the crops (Fig. 1). Generally, during the cultivation period, weed management is done with nonselective herbicides being treated in the furrows along with hand weeding; any lack of weed management results in the weeds to flourish. Therefore, the fact that we performed this study in summertime when weed growth is vigorous, seems to be the reason that the dominance values of red pepper fields and Chinese cabbage fields are shown to be high.

In addition, Acalypha australis, which showed the highest occurrence in red pepper fields, belongs to the Euphorbiaceae family. The effectiveness of herbicides for this type of weed is low and it occurs widely during the growing period of crops; thus, more caution should be exercised in executing preventive measures. Although the importance values were found to be lower, Lindernia dubia and Amaranthus patulus are found to occur in horticultural crop fields of the Chungnam region. Since these weed species could become problematic due to increase in occurrence, there should be further research to develop a suitable technology for their control. Abnormal temperatures due to global climatic changes are another cause for modifications of the weed communities. Changes in atmosphere, temperature, precipitation and solar radiation causing climatic change, will lead to changes in plant growth and distribution. Additional research is required for the development of weed control methods through periodic monitoring of occurrence of weeds.

#### ACKOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was carried out with the support of "Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science & Technology Development (PJ009319072015)" Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Braun-Blanquet J. 1964. Pflanzensoziologie, Grundzüge der Vegetationskunde, 3rd ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- Choi BS, Song DY, Roh JH, Ku YC, Lee CW. 2009. Distributional occurrence of weed species on different upland fields in Chungcheong region. Korean J Weed Sci 29: 139-149.
- Curtis JT, McIntosh RP. 1950. The interrelations of certain analytic and synthetic phytosociological characters. Ecology 31: 434-455.
- Im IB, Kim S, Ahn SH. 2008. Weed emergence in upland field of summer crop cultivation in Honam area. Korean J Weed Sci 28: 32-41.
- Jeong YH. 2000. Future directions of development of environmental-friendly crop protection in horticultural industry. Korean J Hortic Sci Technol 18: 560-563.
- Kim CS, Lee J, Won TJ, Seo YH, Kim EJ, Lee SG, Cho SH, Kwon OD, Kim SK, Chung WG, Park TS, Moon BC, Park JE, Lee IY. 2012. Fact-finding survey on occurrence of paddy field weeds and the use of paddy field herbicides at farmer's level in Korea. Weed Turf Sci 1: 6-12.
- Kim KU, Shin DH. 2007. The principles of weed science. Kyungpook National University Press, Daegu, pp 80-81.
- Korea National Arboretum (KNA). 2007. A synonymic list of vascular plants in Korea. Korea National Arboretum, Pochen.
- KOSTAT (Statistics Korea). 2013. An analysis of changes the agricultural and cultivated area of Chungcheong region the last ten years. Statistics Korea, Daejeon.
- Lee IY, Park JE, Kim CS, Oh SM, Kang CK, Park TS, Cho JR, Moon BC, Kwon OS, Kim KH, Lim ST, Park JH, Song DY, Seong KY, Im IB, Kang JG, Kim S, Ku YC, Hwang JB, Song

SB, Park NI, Ji SH, Kang DS, Chung KI. 2007. Characteristics of weed flora in arable land of Korea. Korean J Weed Sci 27: 1-21. (in Korean with English abstract)

- Lee IY, Park JE, Park TS, Lim ST, Moon BC. 2001. Fact-finding survey on paddy, upland and orchard herbicides use at farmer's level. Korean J Weed Sci 21: 58-64.
- Mack RN, Simberloff D, Mark Lonsdale W, Evans H, Clout M, Bazzaz FA. 2000. Biotic invasions: Causes, epidemiology, global consequences, and control. Ecol Appl 10: 689-710.
- Marshall EJP, Brown VK, Boatman ND, Lutman PJW, Squire GR, Ward LK. 2003. The role of weeds in supporting biological diversity within crop fields. Weed Res 43: 77-89.
- Oh SM, Moon BC, Kim CS, Lee IY. 2004. Distribution of exotic weeds in agricultural fields of the Gyeoggi, Gangwon and Jeju areas in Korea. Korean J Weed Sci 24: 138-148.
- Park SH. 2009. New illustrations and photographs of naturalized plants of Korea. Ilchokak Inc., Seoul.
- Pimentel D. 2002. Biological Invasions: economic and environmental costs of alien plant, animal, and microbe species. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Poore MED. 1955. The use of phytosociological methods in ecological investigations: I. The Braun-Blanquet System. J Ecol 43: 226-244.
- Raunkiaer C. 1934. Plant life forms. The Clarendon press, Oxford.
- Rural Development Administration (RDA). 2000. Weed control skill (Standard agriculture textbook-41). Rural Development Administration, Suwon.
- Won JG, Jang KS, Hwang JE, Kwon OH, Jeon SG, Park SG. 2011. Competitiveness and yield loss of red pepper by densities of *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv. and *Chenopodium album L*. Korean J Weed Sci 31: 71-77.