Review article

Child Kidney Dis 2015;19:8-13 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3339/chikd.2015.19.1.8 ISSN 2384-0242 (print) ISSN 2384-0250 (online)

Evaluation and Management of Antenatal Hydronephrosis

Young Kwon Hong, M.D.* Jun Ho Lee, M.D.

Department of Urology* and Department of Pediatrics, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University, Seongnam, South Korea

Corresponding author:

Young Kwon Hong, M.D. Department of Urology, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University, 59 Yatapro Bundang-gu, Seongnam-si, Gyeonggido 463-712, Korea Tel: +82-31-780-5350 Fax: +82-31-780-5323 E-mail: ykhongmd@gmail.com

Received: 28 February 2015 Revised: 16 April 2015 Accepted: 25 April 2015

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2015 The Korean Society of Pediatric Nephrology

Antenatal hydronephrosis (ANH) is one of the most common abnormal findings detected on prenatal ultrasound (US), and it has been reported in 1-5% of all pregnancies. The likelihood of significant postnatal pathologic abnormality in the urinary tract correlates with the degree of anterior-posterior diameter (APD) according to the gestational age. Detection of urologic anomalies prenatally permits fetal interventions that avoid complications in rare cases of bladder outlet obstruction with oligohydramnios even though their final benefits still remain controversial. There is no clear consensus on the extent and mode of postnatal imaging after a diagnosis of ANH. US is the mainstay of the postnatal evaluation and helps guide further testing with voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) and diuretic renography. Although most algorithms continue to recommend generous VCUG for identification of lower urinary tract anomalies, VCUG may be safely reserved for high grade ANH cases or any grade of ANH with dilated distal ureter without increasing the risk of urinary tract infection (UTI). There are conflicting studies about efficacy of postnatal prophylactic antibiotics. It still seems reasonable to consider use of a prophylactic antibiotic to prevent infant UTIs in high-risk populations, such as females and uncircumcised males with high grades of hydronephrosis, hydroureteronephrosis, or vesicouretral reflux.

Key words: Hydronephrosis, Prenatal diagnosis, Child, Diagnostic imaging

Introduction

Increasing use of ultrasound (US) has allowed an appreciation of the true incidence of urological abnormalities, the most common of which is hydronephrosis (HN). Antenatal hydronephrosis (ANH) is the most common urological abnormality detected on prenatal US, and it has been reported in 1-5% of all pregnancies. There are various urological conditions from transient dilation of the renal collecting system to severe obstructive uropathy requiring surgical interventions. The purpose of evaluating children with ANH is to distinguish clinically significant urological conditions from transient HN with little clinical significance. However, the diagnostic criteria for identification of children at risk for renal damage remain a subject of debate and the clinical guideline of ANH has yet to be clearly established. This article reviews the current literature regarding the perinatal evaluation and management of ANH.

Definition of ANH

1. APD (anterior-posterior diameter)

APD of the renal pelvis is the most commonly studied indicator for assessing ANH and a sentinel of potential diseases, however, it does not identify specific underlying pathology¹⁻³⁾. Potential factors affecting APD are gestational age, hydration status of the mother, and the degree of bladder distention⁴⁻⁹⁾. Since the dimensions of the renal pelvis may normally increase with gestational age, most investigators have adjusted threshold APD values according to the gestational age (Table 1)¹⁰⁾. Limitations of APD as a tool of ANH are as follows, (1) it is single measurement of collecting system, (2) there would be the inter- and intraobserver reliability, and (3) APD does not consider calyceal dilation or renal parenchymal thinning that may indicate more severe obstruction.

2. SFU grading (Table 2¹¹⁾)

At Grade 0 there is no HN, so the central renal echo complex is closely apposed. At Grade 1 the renal pelvis only is visualized with slight separation of the central renal echo complex, At Grade 2 the renal pelvis is further dilated and a single or a few but not all calices are identified in addition

Table 1. Degree of Antenatal Hydronephrosis (ANH) according to Renal Pelvic Anterior-posterior Diameter (APD) Adjusted for Gestational Age

Degree of ANH	APD at 2 nd trimester	APD at 3 rd trimester
Mild	4-7 mm	7-9 mm
Moderate	7-10 mm	9-15 mm
Severe	>10 mm	>15 mm

Table 2. SFU Grading of Infant Hydronephrosis

	U/S pattern	Pattern of renal sinus splitting
SFU Grade 0	- Ale	No splitting
SFU Grade I		Slight splitting
SFU Grade II		Urine fills renal pelvis with or without major calyceal dilation
SFU Grade III		Grade II plus uniform dilation of minor calyces with preserved renal parenchyme
SFU Grade IV		Grade III plus parenchymal thinning

to the renal pelvis. Grade 3 HN requires that the renal pelvis is dilated and there are fluid filled calices throughout the kidney, but the renal parenchyma is of normal thickness. Grade 4 HN may have a similar appearance of the calices as Grade 3 but, when compared with the normal side, the involved kidney has parenchymal thinning over the calices.

3. Significant US findings associated with ANH

Loss of cortico-medullary differentiation, increased parenchymal echogenicity and the presence of renal cysts are associated with the loss of functional renal parenchyma¹²⁻ ¹⁴⁾. Perinephric urinoma can be seen in severe urinary obstruction. ANH is more likely to be associated with postnatal pathology when it is accompanied with parenchymal thinning, calyceal dilatation, ureteral dilatation, chromosomal anomalies, and multiple system malformations. Oligohydramnios (OHA) appears to be one of the most important predictive factors for postnatal pathology. Multivariate analyses have identified OHA and megacystis to be predictive of urethral obstruction, and OHA to be predictive of chronic renal failure or death^{15, 16)}. Also, another multivariate analysis in children with posterior urethral valve (PUV) has identified OHA to be predictive of chronic renal failure¹⁷⁾.

Underlying pathology of ANH

The most common cause of ANH is transient HN which resolves as time goes. Ureteropelvic junction (UPJ) obstruction is the most common underlying pathology of ANH and its incidence ranges from 10 to 30%¹⁰. Level of ureteral obstruction can be as low as ureterovesical junction (UVJ). UVJ obstruction usually, not always, causes dilation of the entire ureter, which is called as hydroureter. Incidence of vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) as a cause of ANH has been reported from 10 to 20%. Multicystic dysplastic kidney as well as ureterocele-ectopic ureter-duplex system are other causes of ANH. Relatively rare causes of ANH are PUV, prune belly syndrome, mid-ureteric stricture, and megalourethra.

Antenatal radiological evaluation and intervention for ANH

It is generally recommended that the prenatal identification of HN (APD >4 mm in the 2^{nd} , or >7 mm in the 3^{rd} trimester) requires further follow up. The presence of findings suspicious of PUV, such as OHA, dilated bladder, bilateral hydroureteronephrosis (HUN), warrants monitoring throughout pregnancy, and any co-morbid fetal abnormality should also be investigated. Depending on the severity of OHA, fetal imaging may be needed every 4 weeks. However, in the presence of increasing OHA, fetal intervention such as vesicoamniotic (VA) shunting may be offered. The ideal time period to offer prenatal intervention for suspected bladder outlet obstruction appears to be the mid-second trimester. This will allow for the return of amniotic fluid, in an effort to promote fetal lung development. A gross predictor of renal function may be obtained by performing a fetal bladder tap and analysis of fetal urine biochemistries and electrolytes¹⁸⁾. Due to the first pass of urine into the bladder, it is recommended to make all decisions based on a repeat fetal bladder tap within 48 hours of the initial bladder decompression. If favorable urine electrolytes are obtained, fetal intervention may be offered as an option. According to a recent randomized trial done by Morris et al.¹⁹⁾, survival seemed to be higher in the fetuses receiving VA shunting. On the other hand, there also is another recent study supporting that prenatal interventions do not improve prognosis of babies with OHA associated renal and urinary tract anomalies²⁰⁾.

Postnatal radiological evaluation

The initial postnatal evaluation of ANH depends in part on the degree of HN seen during fetal evaluation. Currently, no study is considered a gold standard for the evaluation of renal obstructive disorders and complete assessment typically involves a series of studies including US and diuretic renal scintigraphy (DRS). Even though there is no consensus on the optimal APD threshold for determining the need for postnatal follow up, according to a recent study, isolated HN with APD more than 16mm on US performed at 7-30 days after birth warrants further investigation in-

www.chikd.org

cluding VCUG²¹⁾. VCUG is frequently performed in conjunction with renal studies to rule out VUR as the cause of HN. It should be kept in mind that VUR may coexist with UPJ obstruction in as many as 10% of children²²⁾. Currently, there is no clear evidence to support or to avoid postnatal imaging for VUR. Neither the grade of the HN nor gender is a predictive factor for VUR in children with ANH. The overall incidence of VUR is up to 30% in children with ANH, including those with resolved HN^{3, 23)}. It remains unproven whether the identification and treatment of children with VUR confers any clinical benefit because most patients with VUR and low grade HN can be followed without surgical intervention. According to SFU 2010 recommendations for VCUG timing after renal US, neonates with moderate to severe bilateral ANH need to get VCUG within a week after birth. Any episode of moderate or severe HN on two US tests (pre- and postnatal US) warrants VCUG within 4 weeks¹⁰. However, newer SFU recommendations published in 2013 proposed APD 9 mm or greater and SFU grade 3 or greater at third trimester as an independent predictors of postnatal intervention while supporting that most patients with VUR and low grade HN can be followed without surgical intervention. Patients with a SFU grade of 4 progressed to surgical intervention at a faster rate than those with a grade of 3^{24} .

Biomarkers and HN

Advances in the field of biomarkers have opened the possibility to predict the risk of obstruction and renal functional impairment in infants with ANH. These potential biomarkers include transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF- β 1), urinary monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (UMCP-1), urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), and beta-2- microglobulin (β 2-M). TGF- β 1 is known to be associated with renal dysplasia, acquired renal damage, and obstruction^{25, 26)}. However, this marker has not been shown to be associated with HN grade²⁷⁾. UMCP-1 has also been evaluated as a potential marker of UPJ obstruction. A recent case-control study showed that UMCP-1 is elevated in cases of urinary obstruction and positively correlates with T1/2 radiotracer clearance and impaired split renal function on DRS. However, UMCP-1 may be a nonspecific measure of renal injury rather than unique to obstructive nephropathy, as with TGF- $\beta 1^{28, 29}$. Both urinary NGAL and $\beta 2$ -M have been studied as markers of preoperative obstruction and postoperative success. Madsen et al. found that both NGAL and $\beta 2$ -M increased in patients with evidence of obstruction at the time of pyeloplasty and that these levels decreased to levels similar to healthy controls after surgical correction³⁰. Cost et al. also found that NGAL levels were significantly higher in children with UPJ obstruction and returned to normal after surgical repair. Interestingly they found that NGAL levels were inversely correlated with split renal function, suggesting that NGAL may serve as a marker for both obstruction and renal impairment³¹.

Urinary tract infection (UTI) and ANH

The rationale for antibiotic prophylaxis in children with a history of ANH includes prevention of UTIs, as infants with HN are at increased risk³²⁾. The risk of UTI increases with increasing grade of HN³³⁾. Rates appear to be as high as 40% in children with SFU grade 4 HN³⁴⁾, with another study estimating the cumulative incidence of UTI as 39%, 18% and 11% at 36 months of age for severe, moderate and mild renal pelvic dilation, respectively³³⁾. Several studies report a higher rate in girls compared to boys^{32, 33)}. Children with HN and obstructive drainage patterns on DRS are at increased risk compared to those without obstructive patterns^{34, 35)}. An increased risk is also associated with hydroureteronephrosis (HUN) even without VUR or without an obstructive pattern on DRS^{35,36)}. These observations suggest that increased stasis and easier access to a urinary reservoir (such as in the case of hydroureter) increase the chance of developing a UTI.

The efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis

High rates of UTI have been noted despite prophylactic antibiotics in children with HN³³⁾. Similarly there was a report that there was no statistical difference in the incidence of UTI in children with ANH on or off prophylactic antibiotics³⁷⁾. In contrast, Estrada et al.³⁸⁾ observed that in

children with a history of ANH with persistent grade II HN secondary to VUR, the use of prophylactic antibiotics significantly reduced the risk of febrile UTIs. According to a recent systemic review³⁹⁾, offering continuous antibiotic prophylaxis (CAP) to 7 infants who have high-grade HN would prevent 1 UTI, suggesting value in this subgroup of patients. While the literature has both supportive and contradictory evidence, the growing trend not to place children with ANH on CAP has created varied clinical practice based on anecdotal individual case characteristics. However, consensus on what constitutes a risk factor for UTI warranting CAP in this population should be determined. SFU 2010 recommendations advocated the use of CAP to prevent infant UTIs in high-risk populations, such as with higher grades of HN, HUN, VUR, or obstructive drainage patterns¹⁰⁾. Herz et al. retrospectively studied predisposing risk factors to febrile UTI by comparing 405 children with and without CAP. The presence of ureteral dilation, high grade VUR, and UVJ obstruction were independent risk factors for development of UTI in children with ANH⁴⁰. Braga et al. prospectively investigated the impact of risk factors for febrile UTI in 334 infants with postnatally confirmed ANH, and they identified female gender, uncircumcised males, HUN, VUR and lack of CAP as risk factors for febrile UTI. Subgroup analysis excluding VUR showed that high grade ANH was also a significant risk factor⁴¹⁾.

Conclusions

ANH, one of the most common abnormal finding on antenatal US, continues to increase as the standard of care includes 2nd trimester US. While rare cases may be associated with pathology such as PUVs that require perinatal interventions, it is both safe and reasonable, in most cases, to wait for spontaneous improvement with the intensity of follow-up depending on the grade of ANH. US is the mainstay of the postnatal evaluation and VCUG may be safely reserved for high grade ANH or dilated distal ureter. New urinary biomarkers may offer promising potential for more accurate risk stratification in the near future. While there have been conflicting studies about efficacy of postnatal CAP, it seems reasonable to consider use of CAP in children with high risk of UTI.

Conflict of interest

None of the contributing authors have any conflict of interest, including specific financial interests or relationships and affiliations relevant to the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript.

References

- 1. Kitchens DM, Herndon CD. Antenatal hydronephrosis. Curr Urol Rep 2009;10:126-33.
- 2. Pates JA, Dashe JS. Prenatal diagnosis and management of hydronephrosis. Early Hum Dev 2006;82:3-8.
- Herndon CD. Antenatal hydronephrosis: differential diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment options. Scientific World Journal 2006; 6:2345-65.
- Anderson N, Clautice-Engle T, Allan R, Abbott G, Wells JE. Detection of obstructive uropathy in the fetus: predictive value of sonographic measurements of renal pelvic diameter at various gestational ages. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1995;164:719-23.
- Bobrowski RA, Levin RB, Lauria MR, Treadwell MC, Gonik B, Bottoms SF. In utero progression of isolated renal pelvis dilation. Am J Perinatol 1997;14:423-6.
- Odibo AO, Raab E, Elovitz M, Merrill JD, Macones GA. Prenatal mild pyelectasis: evaluating the thresholds of renal pelvic diameter associated with normal postnatal renal function. J Ultrasound Med 2004;23:513-7.
- Babcook CJ, Silvera M, Drake C, Levine D. Effect of maternal hydration on mild fetal pyelectasis. J Ultrasound Med 1998;17:539-44.
- Robinson JN, Tice K, Kolm P, Abuhamad AZ. Effect of maternal hydration on fetal renal pyelectasis. Obstet Gynecol 1998;92:137-41.
- 9. Leung VY, Chu WC, Metreweli C. Hydronephrosis index: a better physiological reference in antenatal ultrasound for assessment of fetal hydronephrosis. J Pediatr 2009;154:116-20.
- Nguyen HT, Herndon CD, Cooper C, Gatti J, Kirsch A, Kokorowski P, et al. Society for Fetal Urology consensus statement on the evaluation and management of antenatal hydronephrosis. J Pediatr Urol 2010;6:212-31.
- Fernbach SK, Maizels M, Conway JJ. Ultrasound grading of hydronephrosis: introduction to the system used by the Society for Fetal Urology. Pediatr Radiol 1993;23:478-80.
- 12. Chavhan G, Daneman A, Moineddin R, Lim R, Langlois V, Traubici J. Renal pyramid echogenicity in ureteropelvic junction obstruc-

tion: correlation between altered echogenicity and differential renal function. Pediatr Radiol 2008;38:1068-73.

- 13. Chi T, Feldstein VA, Nguyen HT. Increased echogenicity as a predictor of poor renal function in children with grade 3 to 4 hydronephrosis. J Urol 2006;175:1898-901.
- 14. Daikha-Dahmane F, Dommergues M, Muller F, Narcy F, Lacoste M, Beziau A, et al. Development of human fetal kidney in obstructive uropathy: correlations with ultrasonography and urine biochemistry. Kidney Int 1997;52:21-32.
- Oliveira EA, Diniz JS, Cabral AC, Leite HV, Colosimo EA, Oliveira RB, et al. Prognostic factors in fetal hydronephrosis: a multivariate analysis. Pediatr Nephrol 1999;13:859–64.
- 16. Oliveira EA, Diniz JS, Cabral AC, Pereira AK, Leite HV, Colosimo EA, et al. Predictive factors of fetal urethral obstruction: a multi-variate analysis. Fetal Diagn Ther 2000;15:180-6.
- 17. Oliveira EA, Rabelo EAS, Pereira AK, Diniz JS, Cabral ACV, Leite HV, et al. Prognostic factors in prenatally-detected posterior urethral valves: a multivariate analysis. Pediatr Surg Int 2002;18:662-7.
- Clark TJ, Martin WL, Divakaran TG, Whittle MJ, Kilby MD, Khan KS. Prenatal bladder drainage in the management of fetal lower urinary tract obstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol 2003;102:367-82.
- Morris RK, Malin GL, Quinlan-Jones E, Middleton LJ, Hemming K, Burke D, et al. Percutaneous vesicoamniotic shunting versus conservative management for fetal lower urinary tract obstruction (PLUTO): a randomised trial. Lancet 2013;382:1496-506.
- Spiro JE, Konrad M, Rieger-Fackeldey E, Masjosthusmann K, Amler S, Klockenbusch W, Schmitz R. Renal oligo- and anhydramnios: cause, course and outcome-a single-center study. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2015 Feb 13. [Epub ahead of print]
- Bassanese G, Travan L, D'Ottavio G, Monasta L, Ventura A, Pennesi M. Prenatal anteroposterior pelvic diameter cutoffs for postnatal referral for isolated pyelectasis and hydronephrosis: more is not always better. J Urol. 2013;190:1858-63.
- 22. Bomalaski MD, Hirschl RB, Bloom DA. Vesicoureteral reflux and ureteropelvic junction obstruction: association, treatment options and outcome. J Urol 1997;157:969-74.
- 23. Lee RS, Cendron M, Kinnamon DD, Nguyen HT. Antenatal hydronephrosis as a predictor of postnatal outcome: a meta-analysis. Pediatrics 2006;118:586-93.
- 24. St Aubin M, Willihnganz-Lawson K, Varda BK, Fine M, Adejoro O, Prosen T, et al. Society for fetal urology recommendations for postnatal evaluation of prenatal hydronephrosis-- will fewer voidingcystourethrograms lead to more urinary tract infections? J Urol 2013;190(4 Suppl):1456-61.
- Vasconcelos MA, Bouzada MC, Silveira KD, Moura LR, Santos FF, Oliveira JM, et al. Urinary levels of TGF β-1 and of cytokines in patients with prenatally detected nephrouropathies. Pediatr Nephrol 2011;26(5):739-47.
- 26. Madsen MG, Norregaard R, Frokiaer J, Jorgensen TM. Urinary biomarkers in prenatally diagnosed unilateral hydronephrosis. J Pediatr Urol 2011;7:105-12.

www.chikd.org

- 27. Furness III PD, Maizels M, Han SW, Cohn RA, Cheng EY. Elevated bladder urine concentration of transforming growth factorbeta1 correlates with upper urinary tract obstruction in children. J Urol 1999;162(3 pt 2):1033-6.
- Madsen MG, Norregaard R, Palmfeldt J, Olsen LH, Frokiaer J, Jorgensen TM. Epidermal growth factor and monocyte chemotactic peptide-1: potential biomarkers of urinary tract obstruction in children with hydronephrosis. J Pediatr Urol 2013;9(6 pt A):838-45.
- 29. Taranta-Janusz K, Wasilewska A, Debek W, Waszkeiwicz-Stojda M. Urinary cytokine profiles in unilateral congenital hydronephrosis. Pediatr Nephrol 2012;27:2107-13.
- Madsen MG, Norregaard R, Palmfeldt J, Olsen LH, Frokiaer J, Jorgensen TM. Urinary NGAL, cystatin C, β2-microglobulin, and osteopontin significance in hydronephrotic children. Pediatr Nephrol 2012;27:2099-106.
- 31. Cost NG, Noh PH, Devarajan P, Ivancic V, Reddy PP, Minevich E, et al. Urinary NGAL levels correlate with differential renal function in patients with ureteropelvic junction obstruction undergoing pyeloplasty. J Urol 2013;190(4 Suppl):1462-7.
- 32. Walsh TJ, Hsieh S, Grady R, Mueller BA. Antenatal hydronephrosis and the risk of pyelonephritis hospitalization during the first year of life. Urology 2007;69:970-4.
- 33. Coelho GM, Bouzada MC, Pereira AK, Figueiredo BF, Leite MR, Oliveira DS, et al. Outcome of isolated antenatal hydronephrosis: a prospective cohort study. Pediatr Nephrol 2007;22:1727-34.
- 34. Lee JH, Choi HS, Kim JK, Won H-S, Kim KS, Moon DH, et al. Nonrefluxing neonatal hydronephrosis and the risk of urinary tract

infection. J Urol 2008;179:1524-8.

- Yavascan O, Aksu N, Anil M, Kara OD, Aydin Y, Kangin M, et al. Postnatal assessment of growth, nutrition, and urinary tract infections of infants with antenatally detected hydronephrosis. Int Urol Nephrol 2010;42:781-8.
- Song SH, Lee SB, Park YS, Kim KS. Is antibiotic prophylaxis necessary in infants with obstructive hydronephrosis? J Urol 2007;177: 1098-101.
- 37. Alconcher L, Tombesi M. Mild antenatal hydronephrosis: management controversies. Pediatr Nephrol 2004;19:819-20.
- 38. Estrada CR, Peters CA, Retik AB, Nguyen HT. Vesicoureteral reflux and urinary tract infection in children with a history of prenatal hydronephrosis--should voiding cystourethrography be performed in cases of postnatally persistent grade II hydronephrosis? J Urol 2008;181:801-6.
- 39. Braga LH, Mijovic H, Farrokhyar F, Pemberton J, DeMaria J, Lorenzo AJ. Antibiotic prophylaxis for urinary tract infections in antenatal hydronephrosis. Pediatrics 2013;131:e251-61.
- 40. Herz D, Merguerian P, McQuiston L. Continuous antibiotic prophylaxis reduces the risk of febrile UTI in children with asymptomatic antenatal hydronephrosis with either ureteral dilation, high-grade vesicoureteral reflux, or ureterovesical junction obstruction. J Pediatr Urol 2014;10:650-4.
- 41. Braga LH, Farrokhyar F, D'Cruz J, Pemberton J, Lorenzo AJ. Risk factors for febrile urinary tract infection in children with prenatal hydronephrosis: a prospective study. J Urol 2015 Mar 23. pii: S0022-5347(14)04772-7. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2014.10.091. [Epub ahead of print].