

APPROXIMATE QUARTIC LIE *-DERIVATIONS

HEEJEONG KOH

ABSTRACT. We will show the general solution of the functional equation $f(x + ay) + f(x - ay) + 2(a^2 - 1)f(x) = a^2f(x + y) + a^2f(x - y) + 2a^2(a^2 - 1)f(y)$ and investigate the stability of quartic Lie *-derivations associated with the given functional equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [17] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Hyers [7] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam. Afterwards, the result of Hyers was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mapping and by Rassias [14] for linear mappings by considering a unbounded Cauchy difference. Later, the result of Rassias has provided a lot of influence in the development of what we call Hyers-Ulam stability or Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations. For further information about the topic, we also refer the reader to [10], [8], [2] and [3].

Recall that a Banach *-algebra is a Banach algebra (complete normed algebra) which has an isometric involution. Jang and Park [9] investigated the stability of *-derivations and of quadratic *-derivations with Cauchy functional equation and the Jensen functional equation on Banach *-algebra. The stability of *-derivations on Banach *-algebra by using fixed point alternative was proved by Park and Bodaghi and also Yang et al.; see [12] and [19], respectively. Also, the stability of cubic Lie derivations was introduced by Fošner and Fošner; see [6].

Rassias [13] investigated stability properties of the following quartic functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(x + 2y) + f(x - 2y) + 6f(x) = 4f(x + y) + 4f(x - y) + 24f(y).$$

Received by the editors October 15, 2015. Accepted October 23, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 39B55, 39B72, 47B47, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, quartic mapping, Lie *-derivation, Banach *-algebra, fixed point alternative.

It is easy to see that $f(x) = x^4$ is a solution of (1.1) by virtue of the identity

$$(1.2) \quad (x + 2y)^4 + (x - 2y)^4 + x^4 = 4(x + y)^4 + 4(x - y)^4 + 24y^4.$$

For this reason, (1.1) is called a quartic functional equation. Also Chung and Sahoo [4] determined the general solution of (1.1) without assuming any regularity conditions on the unknown function. In fact, they proved that the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of (1.1) if and only if $f(x) = A(x, x, x, x)$, where the function $A : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric and additive in each variable.

In this paper, we deal with the following functional equation:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x + ay) + f(x - ay) + 2(a^2 - 1)f(x) \\ = a^2 f(x + y) + a^2 f(x - y) + 2a^2(a^2 - 1)f(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and an integer $a (a \neq 0, \pm 1)$. We will show the general solution of the functional equation (1.3), define a quartic Lie $*$ -derivation related to equation (1.3) and investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quartic Lie $*$ -derivations associated with the given functional equation.

2. A QUARTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

In this section let X and Y be real vector spaces and we investigate the general solution of the functional equation (1.3). Before we proceed, we would like to introduce some basic definitions concerning n -additive symmetric mappings and key concepts which are found in [16] and [18]. A function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *additive* if $A(x + y) = A(x) + A(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let n be a positive integer. A function $A_n : X^n \rightarrow Y$ is called *n -additive* if it is additive in each of its variables. A function A_n is said to be *symmetric* if $A_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A_n(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$ for every permutation $\{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n)\}$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $A_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is an n -additive symmetric map, then $A^n(x)$ will denote the diagonal $A_n(x, x, \dots, x)$ and $A^n(rx) = r^n A^n(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. such a function $A^n(x)$ will be called a *monomial function* of degree n (assuming $A^n \neq 0$). Furthermore the resulting function after substitution $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_s = x$ and $x_{s+1} = x_{s+2} = \dots = x_n = y$ in $A_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ will be denoted by $A^{s, n-s}(x, y)$.

Theorem 2.1. *A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a solution of the functional equation (1.3) if and only if f is of the form $f(x) = A^4(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $A^4(x)$ is the diagonal of the 4-additive symmetric mapping $A_4 : X^4 \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. Assume that f satisfies the functional equation (1.3). Letting $x = y = 0$ in the equation (1.3), we have

$$f(0) = 2a^2(a^2 - 1)f(0),$$

that is, $f(0) = 0$. Putting $x = 0$ in the equation (1.3), we get

$$(2.1) \quad f(ax) + f(-ay) = a^2f(y) + a^2f(-y) + 2a^2(a^2 - 1)f(y)$$

for all $y \in X$. Replacing y by $-y$ in the equation (2.1), we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad f(ax) + f(-ay) = a^2f(y) + a^2f(-y) + 2a^2(a^2 - 1)f(-y)$$

for all $y \in X$. Combining two equations (2.1) and (2.2), we have $f(y) = f(-y)$, for all $y \in X$. That is, f is even. We can rewrite the functional equation (1.3) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x) + \frac{1}{2(a^2 - 1)}f(x + ay) + \frac{1}{2(a^2 - 1)}f(x - ay) \\ & - \frac{a^2}{2(a^2 - 1)}f(x + y) - \frac{a^2}{2(a^2 - 1)}f(x - y) - a^2f(y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and an integer $a(a \neq 0, \pm 1)$. By Theorem 3.5 and 3.6 in [18], f is a generalized polynomial function of degree at most 4, that is, f is of the form

$$(2.3) \quad f(x) = A^4(x) + A^3(x) + A^2(x) + A^1(x) + A^0(x)$$

for all $x \in X$, where $A^0(x) = A^0$ is an arbitrary element of Y , and $A^i(x)$ is the diagonal of the i -additive symmetric mapping $A_i : X^i \rightarrow Y$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. By $f(0) = 0$ and $f(-x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, we get $A^0(x) = A^0 = 0$. Substituting (2.3) into the equation (1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A^4(x + ay) + A^3(x + ay) + A^2(x + ay) + A^1(x + ay) \\ & + A^4(x - ay) + A^3(x - ay) + A^2(x - ay) + A^1(x - ay) \\ & + 2(a^2 - 1)[A^4(x) + A^3(x) + A^2(x) + A^1(x)] \\ = & a^2[A^4(x + y) + A^3(x + y) + A^2(x + y) + A^1(x + y) \\ & + A^4(x - y) + A^3(x - y) + A^2(x - y) + A^1(x - y)] \\ & + 2a^2(a^2 - 1)[A^4(y) + A^3(y) + A^2(y) + A^1(y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} A^4(x + ry) + A^4(x - ry) &= 2A^4(x) + 12r^2A^{2,2}(x, y) + 2r^4A^4(y), \\ A^3(x + ry) + A^3(x - ry) &= 2A^3(x) + 6r^2A^{1,2}(x, y), \\ A^2(x + ry) + A^2(x - ry) &= 2A^2(x) + 2r^2A^2(y), \\ A^1(x + ry) + A^1(x - ry) &= 2A^1(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $a \neq 0, \pm 1$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad A^3(y) + A^2(y) + A^1(y) = 0$$

for all $y \in X$. Thus

$$f(x) = A^4(x) + A^3(x) + A^2(x) + A^1(x) + A^0(x) = A^4(x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Conversely, assume that $f(x) = A^4(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $A^4(x)$ is the diagonal of a 4-additive symmetric mapping $A_4 : X^4 \rightarrow Y$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &A^4(qx + ry) \\ &= q^4A^4(x) + 4q^3rA^{3,1}(x, y) + 6q^2r^2A^{2,2}(x, y) + 4qr^3A^{1,3}(x, y) + r^4A^4(y) \\ &c^sA^{s,t}(x, y) = A^{s,t}(cx, y), \quad c^tA^{s,t}(x, y) = A^{s,t}(x, cy) \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq s, t \leq 3$ and $c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Thus we may conclude that f satisfies the equation (1.3). \square

3. QUARTIC LIE *-DERIVATIONS

Throughout this section, we assume that A is a complex normed $*$ -algebra and M is a Banach A -bimodule. We will use the same symbol $\|\cdot\|$ as norms on a normed algebra A and a normed A -bimodule M . A mapping $f : A \rightarrow M$ is a *quartic homogeneous mapping* if $f(\mu a) = \mu^4 f(a)$, for all $a \in A$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. A quartic homogeneous mapping $f : A \rightarrow M$ is called a *quartic derivation* if

$$f(xy) = f(x)y^4 + x^4f(y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in A$. For all $x, y \in A$, the symbol $[x, y]$ will denote the commutator $xy - yx$. We say that a quartic homogeneous mapping $f : A \rightarrow M$ is a quartic Lie derivation if

$$f([x, y]) = [f(x), y^4] + [x^4, f(y)]$$

for all $x, y \in A$. In addition, if f satisfies in condition $f(x^*) = f(x)^*$ for all $x \in A$, then it is called the *quartic Lie *-derivation*.

Example 3.1. Let $A = \mathbb{C}$ be a complex field endowed with the map $z \mapsto z^* = \bar{z}$ (where \bar{z} is the complex conjugate of z). We define $f : A \rightarrow A$ by $f(a) = a^4$ for all $a \in A$. Then f is quartic and

$$f([a, b]) = [f(a), b^4] + [a^4, f(b)] = 0$$

for all $a \in A$. Also,

$$f(a^*) = f(\bar{a}) = \bar{a}^4 = \overline{f(a)} = f(a)^*$$

for all $a \in A$. Thus f is a quartic Lie *-derivation.

In the following, \mathbb{T}^1 will stand for the set of all complex units, that is,

$$\mathbb{T}^1 = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\mu| = 1\}.$$

For the given mapping $f : A \rightarrow M$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad \Delta_\mu f(x, y) &:= f(\mu x + s\mu y) + f(\mu x - s\mu y) - s^2\mu^4 f(x + y) - s^2\mu^4 f(x - y) \\ &\quad + 2\mu^4(s^2 - 1)f(x) - 2\mu^4s^2(s^2 - 1)f(y), \\ \Delta f(x, y) &:= f([x, y]) - [f(x), y^4] - [x^4, f(y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in A$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}(s \neq 0, \pm 1)$.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow M$ is an even mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a function $\phi : A^5 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{\phi}(a, b, x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|s|^{4j}} \phi(s^j a, s^j b, s^j x, s^j y, s^j z) < \infty$$

$$(3.3) \quad \|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| \leq \phi(a, b, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$(3.4) \quad \|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \phi(0, 0, x, y, z)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1_{\frac{1}{n_0}} = \{e^{i\theta} \mid 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{2\pi}{n_0}\}$ and all $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ in which $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, if for each fixed $b \in A$ the mapping $r \mapsto f(rb)$ from \mathbb{R} to M is continuous, then there exists a unique quartic Lie *-derivation $L : A \rightarrow M$ satisfying

$$(3.5) \quad \|f(b) - L(b)\| \leq \frac{1}{2|s|^4} \tilde{\phi}(0, b, 0, 0, 0).$$

Proof. Let $a = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in the inequality (3.3), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \|f(b) - \frac{1}{s^4}f(sb)\| \leq \frac{1}{2|s|^4}\phi(0, b, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all $b \in A$. Using the induction, it is easy to show that

$$(3.7) \quad \|\frac{1}{s^{4t}}f(s^t b) - \frac{1}{s^{4k}}f(s^k b)\| \leq \frac{1}{2|s|^4} \sum_{j=k}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(0, s^j b, 0, 0, 0)}{|s|^{4j}}$$

for $t > k \geq 0$ and $b \in A$. The inequalities (3.2) and (3.7) imply that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{s^{4n}}f(s^n b)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since M is complete, the sequence is convergent. Hence we can define a mapping $L : A \rightarrow M$ as

$$(3.8) \quad L(b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s^{4n}}f(s^n b)$$

for $b \in A$. By letting $t = n$ and $k = 0$ in the inequality (3.7), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \|\frac{1}{s^{4n}}f(s^n b) - f(b)\| \leq \frac{1}{2|s|^4} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\phi(0, s^j b, 0, 0, 0)}{|s|^{4j}}$$

for $n > 0$ and $b \in A$. By taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequality (3.9), the inequalities (3.2) implies that the inequality (3.5) holds.

Now, we will show that the mapping L is a unique quartic Lie $*$ -derivation such that the inequality (3.5) holds for all $b \in A$. We note that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{\mu}L(a, b)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|s|^{4n}} \|\Delta_{\mu}f(s^n a, s^n b)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(s^n a, s^n b, 0, 0, 0)}{|s|^{4n}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$. By taking $\mu = 1$ in the inequality (3.10), it follows that the mapping L is a quartic mapping. Also, the inequality (3.10) implies that $\Delta_{\mu}L(0, b) = 0$. Hence

$$L(\mu b) = \mu^4 L(b)$$

for all $b \in A$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\}$. Then $\mu = e^{i\theta}$, where $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. Let $\mu_1 = \mu^{\frac{1}{n_0}} = e^{\frac{i\theta}{n_0}}$. Hence we have $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$. Then

$$L(\mu b) = L(\mu_1^{n_0} b) = \mu_1^{4n_0} L(b) = \mu^4 L(b)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and $a \in A$. Suppose that ρ is any continuous linear functional on A and b is a fixed element in A . Then we can define a function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(r) = \rho(L(rb))$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to check that g is cubic. Let

$$g_k(r) = \rho\left(\frac{f(s^k rb)}{s^{4k}}\right)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that g as the pointwise limit of the sequence of measurable functions g_k is measurable. Hence g as a measurable quartic function is continuous (see [5]) and

$$g(r) = r^4 g(1)$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$\rho(L(rb)) = g(r) = r^4 g(1) = r^4 \rho(L(b)) = \rho(r^4 L(b))$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Since ρ was an arbitrary continuous linear functional on A we may conclude that

$$L(rb) = r^4 L(b)$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{C} (\mu \neq 0)$. Then $\frac{\mu}{|\mu|} \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Hence

$$L(\mu a) = L\left(\frac{\mu}{|\mu|} |\mu| b\right) = \left(\frac{\mu}{|\mu|}\right)^4 L(|\mu| b) = \left(\frac{\mu}{|\mu|}\right)^4 |\mu|^4 L(b) = \mu^4 L(b)$$

for all $b \in A$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C} (\mu \neq 0)$. Since b was an arbitrary element in A , we may conclude that L is quartic homogeneous.

Next, replacing x, y by $s^k x, s^k y$, respectively, and $z = 0$ in the inequality (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta L(x, y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{\Delta f(s^n x, s^n y)}{s^{4n}} \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|s|^{4n}} \phi(0, 0, s^n x, s^n y, 0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Hence we have $\Delta L(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A$. That is, L is a quartic Lie derivation. Letting $x = y = 0$ and replacing z by $s^k z$ in the inequality (3.4), we get

$$(3.11) \quad \left\| \frac{f(s^n z^*)}{s^{4n}} - \frac{f(s^n z)^*}{s^{4n}} \right\| \leq \frac{\phi(0, 0, 0, 0, s^n z)}{|s|^{4n}}$$

for all $z \in A$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequality (3.11), we have

$$L(z^*) = L(z)^*$$

for all $z \in A$. This means that L is a quartic Lie $*$ -derivation. Now, assume $L' : A \rightarrow A$ is another quartic $*$ -derivation satisfying the inequality (3.5). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(b) - L'(b)\| &= \frac{1}{|s|^{4n}} \|L(s^n b) - L'(s^n b)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|s|^{4n}} \left(\|L(s^n b) - f(s^n b)\| + \|f(s^n b) - L'(s^n b)\| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|s|^{4n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|s|^{4j}} \phi(0, s^{j+n} b, 0, 0, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{|s|^4} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|s|^{4j}} \phi(0, s^j b, 0, 0, 0), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for all $b \in A$. Thus $L(b) = L'(b)$ for all $b \in A$. This proves the uniqueness of L . \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let θ, r be positive real numbers with $r < 4$ and let $f : A \rightarrow M$ be an even mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\|\Delta_{\mu} f(a, b)\| \leq \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r)$$

$$\|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$ and $a, b, x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique quartic Lie $*$ -derivation $L : A \rightarrow M$ satisfying

$$\|f(b) - L(b)\| \leq \frac{\theta\|b\|^r}{2(|s|^4 - |s|^r)}$$

for all $b \in A$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.2 by taking $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$ for all $a, b, x, y, z \in A$. \square

In the following corollaries, we show the hyperstability for the quartic Lie $*$ -derivations.

Corollary 3.4. *Let r be positive real numbers with $r < 4$ and let $f : A \rightarrow M$ be an even mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\|\Delta_{\mu} f(a, b)\| \leq \|a\|^r \|b\|^r$$

$$\|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \|x\|^r \|y\|^r \|z\|^r$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$ and $a, b, x, y, z \in A$. Then f is a quartic Lie $*$ -derivation on A .

Proof. By taking $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = (||a||^r + ||x||^r)(||b||^r + ||y||^r ||z||^r)$ in Theorem 3.2 for all $a, b, x, y, z \in A$, we have $\tilde{\phi}(0, b, 0, 0, 0) = 0$. Hence the inequality (3.5) implies that $f = L$, that is, f is a quartic Lie *-derivation on A . □

Corollary 3.5. *Let r be positive real numbers with $r < 4$ and let $f : A \rightarrow M$ be an even mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that*

$$||\Delta_\mu f(a, b)|| \leq ||a||^r ||b||^r$$

$$||\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*|| \leq ||x||^r (||y||^r + ||z||^r)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{n_0}^1$ and $a, b, x, y, z \in A$. Then f is a quartic Lie *-derivation on A .

Proof. By taking $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = (||a||^r + ||x||^r)(||b||^r + ||y||^r + ||z||^r)$ in Theorem 3.2 for all $a, b, x, y, z \in A$, we have $\tilde{\phi}(0, b, 0, 0, 0) = 0$. Hence the inequality (3.5) implies that $f = L$, that is, f is a quartic Lie *-derivation on A . □

Now, we will investigate the stability of the given functional equation (3.1) using the alternative fixed point method. Before proceeding the proof, we will state the theorem, the alternative of fixed point; see [11] and [15].

Definition 3.6. Let X be a set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *generalized metric* on X if d satisfies

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 3.7 (The alternative of fixed point [11], [15]). *Suppose that we are given a complete generalized metric space (Ω, d) and a strictly contractive mapping $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ with Lipschitz constant l . Then for each given $x \in \Omega$, either*

$$d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = \infty \text{ for all } n \geq 0,$$

or there exists a natural number n_0 such that

- (1) $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$;
- (2) The sequence $(T^n x)$ is convergent to a fixed point y^* of T ;
- (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of T in the set

$$\Delta = \{y \in \Omega | d(T^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\};$$

- (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-l} d(y, Ty)$ for all $y \in \Delta$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $f : A \rightarrow M$ be a continuous even mapping with $f(0) = 0$ and let $\phi : A^5 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous mapping such that

$$(3.12) \quad \|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| \leq \phi(a, b, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$(3.13) \quad \|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \phi(0, 0, x, y, z)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{n_0}^1$ and $a, b, x, y, z \in A$. If there exists a constant $l \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(3.14) \quad \phi(sa, sb, sx, sy, sz) \leq |s|^4 l \phi(a, b, x, y, z)$$

for all $a, b, x, y, z \in A$, then there exists a quartic Lie $*$ -derivation $L : A \rightarrow M$ satisfying

$$(3.15) \quad \|f(b) - L(b)\| \leq \frac{1}{2|s|^4(1-l)} \phi(0, b, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all $b \in A$.

Proof. Consider the set

$$\Omega = \{g \mid g : A \rightarrow A, g(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on Ω ,

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{c \in (0, \infty) \mid \|g(b) - h(b)\| \leq c\phi(0, b, 0, 0, 0), \text{ for all } b \in A\}.$$

It is easy to show that (Ω, d) is complete. Now we define a function $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ by

$$(3.16) \quad T(g)(b) = \frac{1}{s^4} g(sb)$$

for all $b \in A$. Note that for all $g, h \in \Omega$, let $c \in (0, \infty)$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(g, h) \leq c$. Then

$$(3.17) \quad \|g(b) - h(b)\| \leq c\phi(0, b, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all $b \in A$. Letting $b = sb$ in the inequality (3.17) and using (3.14) and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(g)(b) - T(h)(b)\| &= \frac{1}{|s|^4} \|g(sb) - h(sb)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|s|^4} c \phi(0, sb, 0, 0, 0) \leq cl \phi(0, b, 0, 0, 0), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$d(Tg, Th) \leq cl.$$

Hence we have that

$$d(Tg, Th) \leq l d(g, h),$$

for all $g, h \in \Omega$, that is, T is a strictly self-mapping of Ω with the Lipschitz constant l . Letting $\mu = 1, a = 0$ in the inequality (3.12), we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{s^4} f(sb) - f(b) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2|s|^4} \phi(0, b, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all $b \in A$. This means that

$$d(Tf, f) \leq \frac{1}{2|s|^4}.$$

We can apply the alternative of fixed point and since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n f, L) = 0$, there exists a fixed point L of T in Ω such that

$$(3.18) \quad L(b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s^n b)}{s^{4n}},$$

for all $b \in A$. Hence

$$d(f, L) \leq \frac{1}{1-l} d(Tf, f) \leq \frac{1}{2|s|^4} \frac{1}{1-l}.$$

This implies that the inequality (3.15) holds for all $b \in A$. Since $l \in (0, 1)$, the inequality (3.14) shows that

$$(3.19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(s^n a, s^n b, s^n x, s^n y, s^n z)}{|s|^{4n}} = 0.$$

Replacing a, b by $s^n a, s^n b$, respectively, in the inequality (3.12), we have

$$\frac{1}{|s|^{4n}} \|\Delta_\mu f(s^n a, s^n b)\| \leq \frac{\phi(s^n a, s^n b, 0, 0, 0)}{|s|^{4n}}.$$

Taking the limit as k tend to infinity, we have $\Delta_\mu f(a, b) = 0$ for all $a, b \in A$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{n_0}^1$. The remains are similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let θ, r be positive real numbers with $r < 4$ and let $f : A \rightarrow M$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| \leq \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r)$$

$$\|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{n_0}^1$ and $a, b, x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique quartic Lie *-derivation $L : A \rightarrow M$ satisfying

$$\|f(b) - L(b)\| \leq \frac{\theta\|b\|^r}{2|s|^4(1-l)}$$

for all $b \in A$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.8 by taking $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$ for all $a, b, x, y, z \in A$. \square

In the following corollaries, we show the hyperstability for the quartic Lie $*$ -derivations.

Corollary 3.10. *Let r be positive real numbers with $r < 4$ and let $f : A \rightarrow M$ be an even mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| \leq \|a\|^r \|b\|^r$$

$$\|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \|x\|^r \|y\|^r \|z\|^r$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{n_0}^1$ and $a, b, x, y, z \in A$. Then f is a quartic Lie $*$ -derivation on A .

Proof. By taking $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = (\|a\|^r + \|x\|^r)(\|b\|^r + \|y\|^r \|z\|^r)$ in Theorem 3.8 for all $a, b, x, y, z \in A$, we have $\tilde{\phi}(0, b, 0, 0, 0) = 0$. Hence the inequality (3.15) implies that $f = L$, that is, f is a quartic Lie $*$ -derivation on A . \square

Corollary 3.11. *Let r be positive real numbers with $r < 4$ and let $f : A \rightarrow M$ be an even mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| \leq \|a\|^r \|b\|^r$$

$$\|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \|x\|^r (\|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{n_0}^1$ and $a, b, x, y, z \in A$. Then f is a quartic Lie $*$ -derivation on A .

Proof. By taking $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = (\|a\|^r + \|x\|^r)(\|b\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$ in Theorem 3.8 for all $a, b, x, y, z \in A$, we have $\tilde{\phi}(0, b, 0, 0, 0) = 0$. Hence the inequality (3.15) implies that $f = L$, that is, f is a quartic Lie $*$ -derivation on A . \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The present research was conducted by the research fund of Dankook University in 2014.

REFERENCES

1. T. Aoki: On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **2** (1950), 64-66.
2. N. Brillouët-Belluot, J. Brzdęk & K. Ciepliński: Fixed point theory and the Ulam stability. *Abstract and Applied Analysis* **2014**, Article ID 829419, 16 pages (2014).
3. J. Brzdęk, L. Cădariu & K. Ciepliński: On some recent developments in Ulam's type stability. *Abstract and Applied Analysis* **2012**, Article ID 716936, 41 pages (2012).

4. J.K. Chung & P.K. Sahoo: On the general solution of a quartic functional equation. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **40** (2003), no. 4, 565-576.
5. St. Czerwik: On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **62** (1992), 59-64.
6. A. Fošner & M. Fošner: Approximate cubic Lie derivations. *Abstract and Applied Analysis* **2013**, Article ID 425784, 5 pages (2013).
7. D.H. Hyers: On the stability of the linear equation. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **27** (1941), 222-224.
8. D.H. Hyers, G. Isac & Th.M. Rassias: *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*. Birkhäuser, Boston, USA, 1998.
9. S. Jang & C. Park: Approximate *-derivations and approximate quadratic *-derivations on C^* -algebra. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2011**, Article ID 55 (2011).
10. S.-M. Jung; *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*. Vol. 48 of Springer Optimization and Its Applications, Springer, New York, USA, 2011.
11. B. Margolis & J.B. Diaz: A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1968), no. 74, 305-309.
12. C. Park & A. Bodaghi: On the stability of *-derivations on Banach *-algebras. *Adv. Diff. Equat.* **2012**, 2012:138 (2012).
13. J.M. Rassias: Solution of the Ulam stability problem for quartic mappings. *Glasnik Matematički Series III* **34** (1999), no. 2, 243-252.
14. Th. M. Rassias: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 297-300.
15. I.A. Rus: *Principles and Applications of Fixed Point Theory*. Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1979 (in Romanian).
16. P.K. Sahoo: A generalized cubic functional equation. *Acta Math. Sinica* **21** (2005), no. 5, 1159-1166.
17. S.M. Ulam: *Problems in Modern Mathematics*. Wiley, New York, USA, 1960.
18. T.Z. Xu, J.M. Rassias & W.X. Xu: A generalized mixed quadratic-quartic functional equation. *Bull. Malaysian Math. Scien. Soc.* **35** (2012), no. 3, 633-649.
19. S.Y. Yang, A. Bodaghi & K.A.M. Atan: Approximate cubic *-derivations on Banach *-algebra. *Abstract and Applied Analysis* **2012**, Article ID 684179, 12 pages (2012).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL EDUCATION, DANKOOK UNIVERSITY, 152, JUKJEON, SUJI, YONGIN, GYEONGGI, KOREA 448-701

Email address: khjmath@dankook.ac.kr (H. Koh)