

Articaine (4%) with epinephrine (1:100,000 or 1:200,000) in inferior alveolar nerve block: Effects on the vital signs and onset, and duration of anesthesia

Esshagh Lasemi¹, Mehdi Sezavar¹, Leyla Habibi², Seyfollah Hemmat³, Farzin Sarkarat¹, Zahra Nematollahi⁴

¹Craniomaxillofacial Research Center, Azad University, Dental Branch, Tehran, Iran and Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Islamic Azad University, Dental Branch, Tehran, Iran, ²Private Practice, Tehran, Iran, ³Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Hormozgan University of Medical Science, Bandar Abbas, Iran and Craniomaxillofacial Research Center, Azad University, Dental Branch, Tehran, Iran, ⁴Dental Research Center, Research Institute of Dental Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran and Craniomaxillofacial Research Center, Azad University, Dental Branch, Tehran, Iran

Background: This prospective, randomized, double-blind, clinical study was conducted to compare the effects of 4% articaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine (A100) and 4% articaine with 1:200,000 epinephrine (A200) on the vital signs and onset and duration of anesthesia in an inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB).

Methods: In the first appointment, an IANB was performed by injecting A100 or A200 in 1 side of the mouth (right or left) randomly in patients referred for extraction of both their first mandibular molars. In the second appointment, the protocol was repeated and the other anesthetic solution was injected in the side that had not received the block in the previous session. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures (SBP and DBP) and pulse rate were measured during and 5 min after the injection. The onset and duration of anesthesia were also evaluated. Data were analyzed using t-test and Mann-Whitney U-test, and p-value was set at 0.05.

Results: SBP and pulse rate changes were slightly more with A100; however, DBP changes were more with A200, although the differences were not significant ($P > 0.05$). There were no statistically significant differences in the parameters evaluated in this study. The onset and duration of anesthesia, and the changes in SBP, DBP, and pulse rate during and 5 min after the injection were the same in both the groups.

Conclusions: For an IANB, A200 and A100 were equally efficient and successful in producing the block. Epinephrine concentration did not influence the effects of 4% articaine.

Key Words: Alveolar nerve, inferior; Articaine; Epinephrine; Local anesthetics; Vital signs.

INTRODUCTION

Pain control with local anesthetics is important during dental surgeries [1]. A local anesthetic injection allows painless treatment in the oral cavity; however, it provokes anxiety and fear in the patients, which is one of the main reasons for dental anxiety [2]. Each dentist in Canada injects approximately 1,800 cartridges of local anesthetics yearly [1]. Successfully administered local anesthesia

allows the dentist to build a relationship with the patient, proceed with the appointment, and successfully complete the therapeutic procedure [3]. An inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB) is the most popular technique used to block pain stimulus from the mandibular molars [4].

Articaine (Carticaine) is one of the most recently developed local anesthetic drug available to dentists worldwide [5]. Articaine hydrochloride was developed by Rusching et al. in 1969 [6] and approved for use in the United States in April 2000 [7,8]. Articaine accounted

for about 25% of the total dental anesthetic sales in the United States in 2007 [9]. Considering the pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics, the duration of soft tissue anesthesia in a nerve block with 1.8 ml of 4% articaine was 4.3–5.3 h [10]. The plasma half-life of articaine is short, approximately 20 min. The maximum dose of 4% articaine as a local anesthetic solution in a healthy adult weighing 70 kg is 7 carpules (1.7 ml) [11].

Vasoconstrictors are beneficial in dentistry when used with local anesthetics. There are clear indications for their use, among which improving the depth and duration of anesthesia are most important. Without them, many local anesthetic solutions would have a short duration of intraoral action [12]. Adding a vasopressor also has other benefits such as retarding the absorption of articaine leading to prolonged maintenance of its active tissue concentration, minimizing the systemic absorption of both the active compounds (articaine and epinephrine) [6], reducing systemic toxic effects, and providing hemostasis [12].

The most common vasoconstrictor is epinephrine, which is available in formulations of 1:50,000, 1:100,000, and 1:200,000. However, its cardiovascular effects should be considered before its use [13]. Epinephrine can be used for most dental procedures; however, it may be necessary to minimize the dose for patients receiving specific medications and for those with cardiovascular disease [13,14]. Epinephrine causes vasoconstriction by stimulation of α 1 receptors in the mucous membranes. However, it also stimulates the β 1 receptor in the heart, increasing the heart rate and the oxygen consumption and strength of contraction of the myocardium, and the β 2 receptors, which causes vasodilation in the skeletal muscle [12].

Many studies regarding the hemodynamic effects of dental anesthesia using epinephrine-containing local anesthetic solutions in young healthy patients have been conducted. The aim of this prospective, randomized, double-blind, clinical study was to compare the effects of 4% articaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine (A100) and 4% articaine with 1:200,000 epinephrine (A200) on the vital signs and onset and duration of anesthesia in an IANB.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty adult patients (10 men and 10 women), with a mean age of 38.3 ± 11.3 years (range, 18–50 years), participated in this prospective, randomized, double-blind, clinical study. These patients had been admitted to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Dental Branch, Azad University, Tehran, Iran between 2014 and 2015 for the extraction of both the first mandibular molars. The study was approved by the Committee of the Ethics of Research on Human Beings of the Craniomaxillofacial Research Center, Azad Islamic University, Tehran, Iran. It was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from each patient. The exclusion criteria were as follows: systemic conditions in which injection of articaine with epinephrine is contraindicated [15], pregnancy, use of medications (over-the-counter pain-relieving medications, narcotics, sedatives, antianxiety, or antidepressants) that could affect anesthetic assessment, history of psychiatric illness, allergy to the components of the local anesthetic solutions, and local anesthesia in same region < 2 weeks before the experiment.

The procedures were performed during 2 separate appointments. In the first session, the side of the mouth for administering the IANB (right or left) and the type of anesthetic solution (A100 and A200) (Primacaine, Pierre Rolland, Bordeaux, France) were chosen randomly. This information was recorded. The surgeon and patient were blinded about the type of anesthetic solution administered. The injection was administered using an aspirating syringe (Aspirating Syringes, KAS A; Shanghai Kangqiao Dental Instruments Factory, Shanghai, China) with a long needle (27Gauge: 30 mm; Pierre Rolland, France). The timings of the onset (tingling or numbness of the lower lip indicated anesthesia of the inferior alveolar nerve) [15] and the end of anesthesia were recorded using a stop watch, and the duration of anesthesia was calculated. The SBP and DBP were

measured by means of an automatic digital manometer, Visomat (Zum Ottersberg, Wertheim, Germany), before the injection and 5 min later. In the second session, 7 days later, the protocol was repeated and the other anesthetic solution was injected in the other side, which had not received the injection in the previous session. Data were analyzed using the T-test and Mann-Whitney U-test. P-value was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

In a crossover design, 20 subjects received 2 sets of IANB during 2 separate appointments spaced 1 week apart. The SBP, DBP, pulse rate, and the onset and duration of anesthesia were evaluated for each patient during and 5 min after the injection. Table 1 shows the values of the parameters.

1. SBP

Changes in the SBP during and 5 min after the injection were a slightly more with A100; however, the difference between the 2 groups, A100 and A200, was not statistically significant ($P = 0.4$).

2. DBP

Changes in the DBP were slightly more with A200 than with A100. Changes of DBP during and 5 min after the injection were the same in each group of A100 and A200 ($P = 0.8$).

3. Pulse rate

Pulse rate with A100 was a slightly more than with A200. Changes of this parameter were the same in both

groups and there was no difference between them ($P = 0.6$).

4. Onset of anesthesia

It was in approximately 2 min and 1.4 min for A200 and A100, respectively. The difference was not statistically significant ($P = 0.9$).

5. Duration of anesthesia

It was approximately 4 h with both, A100 and A200, with no statistically significant difference ($P = 0.8$).

DISCUSSION

Administration of a local anesthetic with a vasoconstrictor is a known method in decreasing the systemic toxicity, increasing the duration of anesthesia, and providing hemostasis during surgery [15]. Articaine with epinephrine is one of the most commonly used anesthetic worldwide [5]; however, epinephrine containing anesthetics may cause unwanted effects on the blood pressure, pulse rate, and hemodynamic condition of the patient; these effects were evaluated in this study. This study had a crossover design, which was advantageous because each patient acted as his own control. Thus, the individual characteristics of each patient did not influence the results of the study.

Hersh et al. compared the pharmacokinetic and cardiovascular effects of 11.9 ml A100 with the effects of 11.9 ml A200. They found that the short-term cardiovascular effects (increase in heart rate and SBP) were significant with A100 than with A200 [16]. These results demonstrated the effects of a larger volume of the local

Table 1. The vital parameters and changes in on them with different anesthetic drugs

	SBP (mmHg)		DBP (mmHg)		Pulse rate (beats/min)		Onset of anesthesia (min)	Duration of anesthesia (min)
	during injection	after 5 min	during injection	after 5 min	during injection	after 5 min		
A100	-1.9 (8.21)	-2.75 (9.08)	0.25 (4.75)	-1.2 (5.14)	2.35 (7.76)	1.75 (7.46)	1.4 (0.42)	235.5 (13.32)
A200	-1.2 (6.33)	-0.45 (8.40)	-0.35 (5.63)	-1.35 (5.91)	-0.7 (9.40)	-1.5 (5.59)	2 (0.45)	230 (14.10)
P-value	$P = 0.9$	$P = 0.4$	$P = 0.9$	$P = 0.8$	$P = 0.6$	$P = 0.8$	$P = 0.9$	$P = 0.8$

SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; A100: 4% articaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine; A200: 4% articaine with 1:200,000 epinephrine.

anesthetic.

Troullos et al. also reported a greater increase in the heart rate with larger volumes of epinephrine containing anesthetic formulations (8 cartridges of A100) [17]. Therefore, it can be concluded that increasing the amount of epinephrine in a nerve block injection increases the differences in the effects of A100 and A200. Hence, the likelihood of an increase in the heart rate or blood pressure (hemodynamic changes) with articaine containing epinephrine is dose-dependent. Regarding vasoconstriction with epinephrine, in low dosages, its absorption in the blood is slow but its hydrolysis is fast; therefore, the hemodynamic changes caused by it are not significant in these dosages that are used in routine dental procedures [15].

Few studies have reported that the blood pressure is usually stable after administration of anesthetic solutions containing epinephrine during nerve blocks or infiltrations [18-20]. Our current study supports the results of previous human studies. Knoll-Köhler reported no differences in the effects of A100 and A200 (containing 4 ml [160 mg] of 4% articaine hydrochloride) on the heart rate or blood pressure of patients undergoing extraction of an impacted lower third molar [21]. Tofoli et al. [22] and Santos et al. [23] found that the efficacy of A100 was equivalent to that of A200 in inferior alveolar nerve blocks. Moore et al. found no differences in the cardiovascular effects of A100 and A200 on using 1 cartridge volume for IANB [24]. It can be concluded that there were no significant hemodynamic changes on administration of 1 cartridge of the local anesthetic for IANB with negative aspiration in healthy patients.

In our study, the time taken for the onset of anesthesia was 1.4 and 2 min with A100 and A200, respectively. In previous studies, the time taken for onset was approximately 2–2.5 min with A100 and 2.5–3 min with A200 [15]. The duration of soft tissue anesthesia in a nerve block with 1.8 ml of 4% articaine was reported to be 4.3–5.3 h [10]. These results of the onset and duration of anesthesia are similar to the results of our study.

In conclusion, there was no significant difference in the onset and duration of anesthesia between 1 cartridge

volume of A100 and A200. Therefore, in operations requiring a larger amount of anesthetic solutions, A200 should be preferred in healthy patients; however, it may be necessary to minimize the dose for patients receiving specific medications and for those with cardiovascular disease. Thus, A200 might be preferable in patients with cardiovascular disease and in those taking drugs that enhance the systemic effects of epinephrine.

Declaration of interests: The authors deny any conflicts of interest related to this study.

REFERENCES

1. Haas D, Lennon D. Local anesthetic use by dentists in Ontario. *Journal (Canadian Dental Association)*. 1995; 61: 297-304.
2. Palm A, Kirkegaard U, Poulsen S. The wand versus traditional injection for mandibular nerve block in children and adolescents: perceived pain and time of onset. *Pediatric dentistry* 2004; 26: 481-4.
3. Doan D. Comparison of Injection Discomfort and Anesthetic Duration of Plain Polocaine versus Epinephrine containing Articaine and Lidocaine. 2013.
4. Pereira LAP, Groppo FC, de Cássia Bergamaschi C, Meechan JG, Ramacciato JC, Motta RHL, et al. Articaine (4%) with epinephrine (1: 100,000 or 1: 200,000) in intraosseous injections in symptomatic irreversible pulpitis of mandibular molars: anesthetic efficacy and cardiovascular effects. *Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology and oral radiology* 2013; 116: e85-e91.
5. Yapp K, Hopcraft M, Parashos P. Articaine: a review of the literature. *British dental journal* 2011; 210: 323-9.
6. Malamed SF, Gagnon S, Leblanc D. A comparison between articaine HCl and lidocaine HCl in pediatric dental patients. *Pediatric dentistry* 2000; 22: 307-11.
7. Malamed SF, Gagnon S, Leblanc D. Articaine hydrochloride: a study of the safety of a new amide local anesthetic. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*. 2001;

- 132: 177-85.
8. Nusstein J, Berlin J, Reader A, Beck M, Weaver JM. Comparison of injection pain, heart rate increase, and postinjection pain of articaine and lidocaine in a primary intraligamentary injection administered with a computer-controlled local anesthetic delivery system. *Anesthesia progress* 2004; 51: 126.
 9. Pogrel MA. Permanent nerve damage from inferior alveolar nerve blocks—an update to include articaine. *CDA* 2007; 35: 271.
 10. Cowan A. Clinical assessment of a new local anesthetic agent—articaine. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology* 1977; 43: 174-80.
 11. Brandt RG, Anderson PF, McDonald NJ, Sohn W, Peters MC. The pulpal anesthetic efficacy of articaine versus lidocaine in dentistry: a meta-analysis. *The Journal of the American Dental Association* 2011; 142: 493-504.
 12. Haas DA. An update on local anesthetics in dentistry. *Journal-Canadian Dental Association* 2002; 68: 546-52.
 13. Niwa H, Sugimura M, Satoh Y, Tanimoto A. Cardiovascular response to epinephrine-containing local anesthesia in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology* 2001; 92: 610-6.
 14. Niwa H, Satoh Y, Matsuura H. Cardiovascular responses to epinephrine-containing local anesthetics for dental use: a comparison of hemodynamic responses to infiltration anesthesia and ergometer-stress testing. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology* 2000; 90: 171-81.
 15. Malamed SF. *Handbook of local anesthesia*: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2014.
 16. Hersh EV, Giannakopoulos H, Levin LM, Secreto S, Moore PA, Peterson C, et al. The pharmacokinetics and cardiovascular effects of high-dose articaine with 1: 100,000 and 1: 200,000 epinephrine. *The Journal of the American Dental Association* 2006; 137: 1562-71.
 17. Troullos ES, Goldstein DS, Hargreaves KM, Dionne RA. Plasma epinephrine levels and cardiovascular response to high administered doses of epinephrine in local anesthesia. *Anesthesia progress* 1987; 34: 10.
 18. Aellig W, Laurence D, O'neil R, Verrill P. Cardiac effects of adrenaline and felypressin as vasoconstrictors in local anaesthesia for oral surgery under diazepam sedation. *British journal of anaesthesia* 1970; 42: 174-6.
 19. Tolas AG, Pflug AE, Halter JB. Arterial plasma epinephrine concentrations and hemodynamic responses after dental injection of local anesthetic with epinephrine. *The Journal of the American Dental Association* 1982; 104: 41-3.
 20. Salonen M, Forssell H, Scheinin M. Local dental anaesthesia with lidocaine and adrenaline. Effects on plasma catecholamines, heart rate and blood pressure. *International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery*. 1988; 17: 392-4.
 21. Knöll-Köhler E, Knöller M, Brandt K, Becker J. Cardio-hemodynamic and serum catecholamine response to surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molars under local anesthesia: a randomized double-blind parallel group and crossover study. *Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery* 1991; 49(9): 957-62.
 22. Tófoli GR, Ramacciato JC, de Oliveira PC, Volpato MC, Groppo FC, Ranali J. Comparison of effectiveness of 4% articaine associated with 1: 100,000 or 1: 200,000 epinephrine in inferior alveolar nerve block. *Anesthesia progress* 2003; 50: 164.
 23. Santos CF, Modena KC, Giglio FP, Sakai VT, Calvo AM, Colombini BL, et al. Epinephrine concentration (1: 100,000 or 1: 200,000) does not affect the clinical efficacy of 4% articaine for lower third molar removal: a double-blind, randomized, crossover study. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 2007; 65: 2445-52.
 24. Moore PA, Boynes SG, Hersh EV, DeRossi SS, Sollecito TP, Goodson JM, et al. The anesthetic efficacy of 4 percent articaine 1: 200,000 epinephrine: two controlled clinical trials. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*. 2006; 137: 1572-81.