Honam Mathematical J.  ${\bf 37}$  (2015), No. 4, pp. 549–557 http://dx.doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2015.37.4.549

# STRONG VERSIONS OF κ-FRÉCHET AND κ-NET SPACES

Myung Hyun Cho<sup>†</sup>, Junhui Kim<sup>\*</sup> and Mi Ae Moon

Abstract. We introduce strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet and strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential spaces which are stronger than  $\kappa$ -Fréchet and  $\kappa$ -net spaces respectively. For convenience, we use the terminology " $\kappa$ -sequential" instead of " $\kappa$ -net space", introduced by R.E. Hodel in [5]. And we study some properties and topological operations on such spaces. We also define strictly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet and strictly  $\kappa$ -sequential spaces which are more stronger than strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet and strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential spaces respectively.

## 1. Introduction

The notion of  $\kappa$ -nets ( $\kappa$  an infinite cardinal) was introduced by R.E. Hodel in [5] and is based on the notion of nets. A  $\kappa$ -net in a space Xis a function  $\xi : \kappa^{<\omega} \to X$ , where  $\kappa^{<\omega} = \{F : F \text{ is a finite subset of } \kappa\}$ and is directed by the set inclusion  $\subseteq$ . The  $\kappa$ -net  $\xi$  is usually denoted by  $\langle x_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega} \rangle$ , or just  $\langle x_F \rangle$ , where  $x_F = \xi(F)$  for all  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ . However, the first idea of  $\kappa$ -net appeared in [6] under the name a phalanx as defined by Tukey. By definition, a *phalanx* is a function  $f : A^{<\omega} \to X$ , thus, a  $\kappa$ -net is a phalanx with  $A = \kappa$ . Hodel in [5] used  $\kappa$ -nets in the study of convergence and cluster points and then used  $\kappa$ -nets to extend Fréchet and sequential spaces to higher cardinality to obtain the  $\kappa$ -Fréchet and  $\kappa$ -net spaces. This has already done by Meyer in [7] with nets whose directed set have cardinality at most  $\kappa$ . However Hodel in [5] showed that the two approaches give the same classes of spaces.

Received October 16, 2015. Accepted December 7, 2015.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A20, 54C05, 54D55.

Key words and phrases. strongly Fréchet,  $\kappa$ -net space, strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet, strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential, strictly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet, strictly  $\kappa$ -sequential.

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger {\rm The}$  first author's research was supported by Wonkwang University Research Grant, 2014.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

Myung Hyun Cho, Junhui Kim and Mi Ae Moon

A  $\kappa$ -net on a space certainly has the intuitive appeal of nets to approach to a general theory of convergence in topology and modern analysis. The main purpose of this paper is to introduce some concepts with respect to  $\kappa$ -net convergence, and discuss some properties related to strong versions of  $\kappa$ -Fréchet and  $\kappa$ -net spaces. And we also study some properties and topological operations on such spaces. More precisely, we will define strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet (resp., strictly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet) and strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential (resp., strictly  $\kappa$ -sequential) spaces. We consider the relationship between them.

All spaces in this paper are assumed to be Hausdorff. Our topological terminologies and notions are as in [4].

#### 2. Definitions and basic properties

In what follows, *Card* denotes a set of cardinals and let  $\kappa, \lambda, \tau, \omega \in Card$ , and |X| be the cardinality of any set X. Let  $\langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle$  be a space and  $x \in X$ . The *character* of x in X, denoted by  $\chi(x, \langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle)$  or  $\chi(x, X)$ , is defined as  $\chi(x, X) = \min\{|B(x)| : B(x) \text{ is a local base at } x\}$ . The *character* of X, denoted by  $\chi(X)$ , is defined as  $\chi(X) = \sup\{\chi(x, X) : x \in X\}$ . In particular, a space X is first countable if and only if  $\chi(X) \leq \omega$ .

We write  $t(x, X) = \min\{\tau \in Card : \text{ if } A \subseteq X \text{ and } x \in \overline{A}, \text{ then} \text{ there exists } B \subseteq A \text{ such that } |B| \leq \tau \text{ and } x \in \overline{B}\}$ . This is called the *tightness of X at x*. The *tightness of X* is, denoted by t(X), is defined as  $t(X) = \sup\{t(x, X) : x \in X\}$ . In particular, a space X has a countable tightness if and only if  $t(X) \leq \omega$ .

A space X is *Fréchet* if for every subset A of X and for every point  $p \in \overline{A}$  there is a sequence in A which converges to p. A space X is *sequential* if for every non-closed subset A of X there is a sequence in A which converges to some  $p \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ .

A space X is said to be strongly Fréchet ([8]) if for every decreasing family  $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$  of subsets of X and for every  $p \in \bigcap \{\overline{A_n} : n \in \omega\}$ , there exists a point  $x_n \in A_n$  for each  $n \in \omega$  such that the sequence  $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$  converges to p.

Let X be a space and let p be a point of X. Then we say that a  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  converges to p, written by  $x_F \to p$ , if for any open neighborhood V of p in X, there exists  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that

$$x_G \in V$$
 for all  $G \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  with  $F \subseteq G$ .

A space X is  $\kappa$ -Fréchet ([5]) if for every  $p \in \overline{A}$ , there exists a  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  in A which converges to p.

A space X is a  $\kappa$ -net space ([5]) if every  $\kappa$ -net-closed subset of X is closed in X (A subset A of X is said to be  $\kappa$ -net-closed provided that if  $\langle x_F \rangle$  is a  $\kappa$ -net in A and  $x_F \to p$ , then  $p \in A$ ). For convenience, we use the terminology " $\kappa$ -sequential" instead of " $\kappa$ -net space".

**Proposition 2.1** ([5]).

- (1) If X is  $\lambda$ -Fréchet (resp., a  $\lambda$ -net space) and  $\lambda \leq \kappa$ , then X is  $\kappa$ -Fréchet (resp., a  $\kappa$ -net space);
- (2) For all  $\kappa$ ,  $\chi(X) \leq \kappa \Rightarrow X$  is  $\kappa$ -Fréchet  $\Rightarrow X$  is a  $\kappa$ -net space  $\Rightarrow t(X) \leq \kappa$ , and the each converses fail;
- (3) X is Fréchet  $\Leftrightarrow$  X is  $\omega$ -Fréchet;
- (4) X is a sequential space  $\Leftrightarrow X$  is an  $\omega$ -net space.

A space X is said to be *radial* (resp., *pseudoradial*) if for every nonclosed subset A of X and every (resp., some) point  $p \in \overline{A} \setminus A$  there is a transfinite sequence  $S \subseteq A$  which converges to p. Some generalized properties of strongly Fréchet spaces were studied in [2].

A space X is called  $\kappa$ -radial ([3]) if for every non-closed subset A of X and for every point  $p \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ , there exists a transfinite sequence  $\{x_{\alpha} \in A : \alpha < \kappa\}$  which converges to p.

### 3. Main results

A family  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  of subsets of a set X is called a *directed* decreasing family if  $A_G \subseteq A_F$  whenever  $F \subseteq G$  for any  $F, G \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A space X is called *strongly*  $\kappa$ -*Fréchet* if for every directed decreasing family  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  of non-closed subsets of X and for every  $p \in \cap \{\overline{A_F} : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\} \setminus \cup \{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$ , there exists a  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega} \rangle$  which converges to p.

**Definition 3.2.** A space X is called *strongly*  $\kappa$ -sequential if for every directed decreasing family  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  of non-closed subsets of X, there exist a point  $p \in \cap\{\overline{A_F} : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\} \setminus \cup\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  and a point  $x_F \in A_F$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that the  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega} \rangle$  converges to p.

The following are true by definitions:

## Myung Hyun Cho, Junhui Kim and Mi Ae Moon

## Theorem 3.3.

- (1) Every strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet space is  $\kappa$ -Fréchet;
- (2) Every strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential space is  $\kappa$ -sequential;
- (3) Every strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet space is strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential.

Under what conditions are the converses true in the above theorem? We will consider some conditions at the end of this section.

We now consider operations on strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet and strongly  $\kappa$ sequential spaces, i.e., subspaces and mapping theorems of strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet and strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential spaces.

#### Theorem 3.4.

- (1) Every subspace of a strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet space is strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet;
- (2) Every closed subspace of a strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential space is strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential;
- (3) Every open subspace of a strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential space is strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential.

Proof. (1) Assume that Y is a subspace of a strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet space X. Let  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  be a directed decreasing family of non-closed subsets of Y and let  $p \in \cap \{\overline{A_F}^Y : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\} \setminus \cup \{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$ . Then  $p \in \overline{A_F}^X \cap Y$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ . Since X is strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet, there exists a point  $x_F \in A_F$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that the  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  converges to p in X. Let V be an open neighborhood of p in Y. Take an open neighborhood U of p in X such that  $V = U \cap Y$ . Then there exists  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that  $x_G \in U$  for all  $G \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  with  $F \subseteq G$ . It follows from  $x_G \in Y$  that  $x_G \in V$ . Therefore Y is strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet.

(2) Assume that Y is a closed subspace of a strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential space X. Let  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  be a directed decreasing family of nonclosed subsets of Y. Then each  $A_F$  is a non-closed subset of X because Y is closed in X. Since X is strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential, there exist a point  $p \in \cap\{\overline{A_F}^X : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\} \setminus \cup \{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  and a point  $x_F \in A_F$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that the  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  converges to p. Since  $\overline{A_F}^Y = \overline{A_F}^X$ for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ , Y is strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential.

(3) Let Y be an open subspace of a strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential space X. Suppose that Y is not strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential. Let  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  be a directed decreasing family of non-closed subsets of Y. Since each  $A_F$ is a non-closed subset of X and since X is strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential, there exist a point  $p \in \bigcap\{\overline{A_F}^X : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\} \setminus \bigcup\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  and a point  $x_F \in A_F$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that the  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  converges to p in X.

Notice that  $\langle x_F \rangle$  is a  $\kappa$ -net in Y. By our assumption,  $p \notin \overline{A_F}^Y$  for some  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ . Since  $Y \setminus \overline{A_F}^Y$  is open in Y (and hence in X), it is impossible that  $p \in Y \setminus \overline{A_F}^Y$  (for, if  $p \in Y \setminus \overline{A_F}^Y$ , then there exists  $F_0 \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that  $x_G \in Y \setminus \overline{A_F}^Y$  for all  $G \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  with  $F_0 \subseteq G$ . This is a contraction to  $x_G \in A_G \subseteq A_F$  for all  $G \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  with  $F_0 \cup F \subseteq G$ .).

Hence  $p \in X \setminus Y$ . But  $p \in \overline{A_F}^X \cap (X \setminus Y) = \overline{A_F \cap (X \setminus Y)}^X = \emptyset$ for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore Y is strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential.

#### Theorem 3.5.

- (1) Every closed continuous image of a strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet space is strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet;
- (2) Every closed continuous image of a strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential space is  $\kappa$ -sequential.

Proof. (1) Assume that X is a strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet space and  $f: X \to Y$  is a closed continuous onto map. Let  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  be a directed decreasing family of non-closed subsets of Y and let  $p \in \cap\{\overline{A_F} : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\} \setminus \bigcup\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$ . Denote  $B_F = f^{-1}(A_F)$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ . Notice that  $f(\overline{B_F}) = \overline{f(B_F)} = \overline{A_F}$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  (because f is a closed continuous onto map). Hence  $\overline{B_F} = f^{-1}(\overline{A_F})$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ . So we obtain that

$$f^{-1}(p) \subset f^{-1}(\cap \{\overline{A_F} : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}) = \cap \{\overline{B_F} : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$$

and

$$f^{-1}(p) \cap (\cup \{B_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}) = \emptyset.$$

Pick a point  $x \in f^{-1}(p)$ . Since X is strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet, there exists a point  $x_F \in B_F$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that the  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  converges to x in X. Let  $y_F = f(x_F)$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ . Then  $y_F \in A_F$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  and the  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle y_F \rangle$  converges to p in Y. Therefore Y is strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet.

It follows from the same argument with (1) that (2) can be proved.  $\hfill \Box$ 

A continuous map  $f: X \to Y$  is called *pseudo-open* if for each  $y \in Y$  and every neighborhood U of  $f^{-1}(y)$  we have  $y \in f(U)^{\circ}$  where  $A^{\circ}$  is the interior of A in Y.

The following lemma is a characterization of a pseudo-open map.

**Lemma 3.6** ([1]). Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a continuous onto map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) For each  $Y' \subset Y$  the restriction f' of f to  $X' = f^{-1}(Y')$ , the inverse image of Y', is a quotient map of X' onto Y';
- (2) For each  $y \in Y$  and every open set U in X containing  $f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $y \in f(U)^{\circ}$ ;
- (3) Whenever  $B \subset Y$  and  $y \in Y$  satisfies  $y \in \overline{B}$ , we have  $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{f^{-1}(B)} \neq \emptyset$ .

It is well known that every continuous closed (or open) map is pseudoopen.

### Theorem 3.7.

- (1) Any pseudo-open injective image of a strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet space is strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet;
- (2) Any pseudo-open injective image of a strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential space is  $\kappa$ -sequential.

Proof. (1) Assume that X is a strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet space and  $f: X \to Y$  is a pseudo-open bijection. Let  $\{A_F: F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  be a directed decreasing family of non-closed subsets of Y and let  $p \in \cap\{\overline{A_F}: F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\} \setminus \bigcup\{A_F: F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$ . Denote  $B_F = f^{-1}(A_F)$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ . Then, by Lemma 3.6,  $f^{-1}(p) \cap \overline{B_F} \neq \emptyset$ . Since f is injective,  $f^{-1}(p)$  is a singleton (denote  $f^{-1}(p) = \{x\}$ ). Hence  $x \in \overline{B_F}$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ . Namely,  $x \in \cap\{\overline{B_F}: F\kappa^{<\omega}\} \setminus \bigcup\{B_F: F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  where  $\{B_F: F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  is a directed decreasing family of non-closed subsets of X. Since X is strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet, there exists a point  $x_F \in B_F$  such that the  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  converges to x in X. Let  $y_F = f(x_F)$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ . Then  $y_F \in A_F$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  and the  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle y_F \rangle$  converges to p in Y. Therefore Y is strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet.

(2) The proof follows the same argument with (1).  $\Box$ 

A family  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  of subsets of a set X is called a *strictly* directed decreasing family if  $A_F \subseteq A_G$  for all  $F, G \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  with  $|G| \leq |F|$ .

**Definition 3.8.** A space X is called *strictly*  $\kappa$ -*Fréchet* if for every strictly directed decreasing family  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  of subsets of X and for every  $p \in \cap\{\overline{A_F} : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\} \setminus \cup \{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$ , there exists a point  $x_F \in A_F$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that the  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  converges to p.

**Definition 3.9.** A space X is called *strictly*  $\kappa$ -sequential if for every strictly directed decreasing family  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  of non-closed subsets of X, there exist a point  $p \in \cap\{\overline{A_F} : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\} \setminus \cup\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  and a point  $x_F \in A_F$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that the  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  converges to p.

The following are true by definitions:

### Theorem 3.10.

- (1) Every strictly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet space is strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet;
- (2) Every strictly  $\kappa$ -sequential space is strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential;
- (3) Every strictly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet space is strictly  $\kappa$ -sequential.

In the rest of this paper, we compare some properties in Proposition 2.1 with the properties on strong versions of  $\kappa$ -Fréchet and  $\kappa$ -net spaces. As (1) in Proposition 2.1 we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.11.** If X is strictly  $\lambda$ -Fréchet and  $\lambda \leq \kappa$ , then X is strictly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet.

*Proof.* Suppose that X is strictly  $\lambda$ -Fréchet and  $\lambda \leq \kappa$ . Let  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  be a strictly directed decreasing family of non-closed subsets of X and let  $p \in \cap \{\overline{A_F} : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\} \setminus \cup \{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$ .

Let  $\{B_F : F \in \lambda^{<\omega}\}$  be a subfamily of  $\{A_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega}\}$  such that  $B_F = A_F$  for each  $F \in \lambda^{<\omega}$  (it is possible because  $\lambda \leq \kappa$ ). Then  $\{B_F : F \in \lambda^{<\omega}\}$  is a strictly directed decreasing family of non-closed subsets of X and  $p \in \cap\{\overline{B_F} : F \in \lambda^{<\omega}\} \setminus \cup\{B_F : F \in \lambda^{<\omega}\}$ . Since X is strictly  $\lambda$ -Fréchet, there exists a point  $x_F \in B_F$  for each  $F \in \lambda^{<\omega}$  such that the  $\lambda$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  converges to p.

Now, we shall construct a  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle y_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega} \rangle$  converging to p. Let  $N = \{x_F : F \in \lambda^{<\omega}\}$  and let  $I_n = \{F \in \lambda^{<\omega} : x_F \in N, |F| = n\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We firstly choose a number  $n_0 = \min\{n : I_n \neq \emptyset\}$  and fix a point  $x_{F_0} \in \{x_F : F \in I_{n_0}\}$ . Then we define  $y_F = x_{F_0}$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that  $|F| \leq |F_0|$ . We choose again a number  $n_1 = \min\{n : I_n \neq \emptyset, n > n_0\}$  and fix a point  $x_{F_1} \in \{x_F : F \in I_{n_1}\}$ . Then we define  $y_F = x_{F_1}$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  such that  $|F| \leq |F_0|$ .

Inductively, we can choose two sets  $\{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \{x_{F_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and a  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle y_F : F \in \kappa^{<\omega} \rangle$  satisfying

- $n_k = \min\{n : I_n \neq \emptyset, n > n_{k-1}\};$
- $x_{F_k} \in \{x_F : F \in I_{n_k}\};$
- $y_F = x_{F_k}$  for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$  with  $|F_{k-1}| < |F| \le |F_k|$ .

Then for each  $F \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ , there exists a number  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $y_F = x_{F_k}$  and  $|F| \leq |F_k|$ . Since  $x_{F_k} \in B_{F_k}$  and  $|F| \leq |F_k|$ , we have  $y_F \in A_F$ . It is obvious, by construction, that the  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle y_F \rangle$  converges to p. Therefore X is strictly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet.

We have known from Theorem 3.3 that every strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet space is strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential. However, we do not know yet the other implications as in Proposition 2.1. We pose two questions as below.

Question 3.12. Are the following true?

- (1) For all  $\kappa$ ,  $\chi(X) \leq \kappa \Rightarrow X$  is strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet(or even strictly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet);
- (2) X is strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential  $\Rightarrow t(X) \leq \kappa$ ;
- (3) X is strongly Fréchet  $\Leftrightarrow$  X is strongly  $\omega$ -Fréchet;
- (4) X is sequential  $\Leftrightarrow$  X is strongly  $\omega$ -sequential.

**Question 3.13.** Is every  $\kappa$ -radial space strongly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet (or even strictly  $\kappa$ -Fréchet)?

It seems that there is no implication between radial and strongly  $\kappa$ -sequential.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for detailed comments and suggestions in improving the exposition of the paper.

#### References

- A. V. Arhangel'skii and V. I. Ponomarev, Fundamentals of General Topology, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht/Boston/Lancaster, 1984.
- [2] M.H. Cho, J. Kim, and M.A. Moon, Generalized properties of strongly Fréchet, Honam Math. J., 34(1) (2012), 85-92.
- [3] M.H. Cho, M. A. Moon, and J. Kim, New cardinal functions related to almost closed sets, *Honam Math. J.*, **35(3)** (2013), 541-550.
- [4] R. Engelking, General Topology, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] R. E. Hodel, A theory of convergence and cluster points based on κ-nets, Topology Proc., 35 (2010), 291-330.
- [6] A. Kamiński, Remarks on multivalued convergence, in: J. Novak(Ed.), General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis Algebra V, Proc. Fifth Prague Topol. Symp., 1981, 418-422, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [7] P. R. Meyer, Sequential properties of ordered topological spaces, *Compositio Mathematicae*, **21** (1969), 102-106.
- [8] E. Michael, A quintuple quotient quest, Gen. Topology Appl., 2 (1972), 91-138.

Myung Hyun Cho Department of Mathematics Education Wonkwang University 460 Iksan-daero, Iksan-si, Jeonbuk 54538 Republic of Korea mhcho@wonkwang.ac.kr

Junhui Kim Department of Mathematics Education Wonkwang University 460 Iksan-daero, Iksan-si, Jeonbuk 54538 Republic of Korea junhikim@wonkwang.ac.kr

Mi Ae Moon Division of Mathematics & Informational Statistics Wonkwang University 460 Iksan-daero, Iksan-si, Jeonbuk 54538 Republic of Korea moonmae@wonkwang.ac.kr