# INTERIORS AND CLOSURES IN A SET WITH AN OPERATION

Fumie Nakaoka and Nobuyuki Oda

ABSTRACT. A set with an operation defined on a family of subsets is studied. The operation is used to generalize the topological space itself. The operation defines the operation-open subsets in the set. Relations are studied among two types of the interiors and the closures of subsets. Some properties of maximal operation-open sets are obtained. Semi-open sets and pre-open sets are defined in the sets with operations and some relations among them are proved.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  be the power set of a set X. Kasahara [2] defined an operation  $\alpha$  on a family  $\tau$  of sets to the power set of  $\cup \tau$  (the union the sets in  $\tau$ ), namely a function  $\alpha: \tau \to \mathcal{P}(\cup \tau)$  such that  $G \subset G^{\alpha}$  for any  $G \in \tau$ , where  $G^{\alpha} = \alpha(G)$ , and studied the theory of operations on topologies  $\tau$  of topological spaces  $(X,\tau)$ . However, Kasahara's original definition, which requires the target of the operation to be  $\mathcal{P}(\cup \tau)$ , seems to be too restrictive for our purpose when we study operations for any family of subsets of a set X. In this paper we adopt the following definition: Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ . An operation  $\kappa$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  is a function

$$\kappa: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$$

such that  $U \subset U^{\kappa}$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $U^{\kappa} = \kappa(U)$ ; we assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  contains at least one non-empty set in this paper (see Section 2).

The operation  $\kappa$  satisfies one of the properties of the Kuratowski closure axioms for the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  (see Kelley [3], p. 43;  $\kappa$  satisfies the property (b) stated there; but  $\kappa$  does not satisfy the properties (a), (c), (d) there in general), although the operation  $\kappa$  is defined only for the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ . However, we do not intend to generalize closure operation by  $\kappa$ . Kasahara [2] used the operation  $\alpha: \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  for topological spaces X to generalize some methods to sort out special kinds of open sets such as  $\theta$ -open sets; we propose in this paper to use

Received March 19, 2014.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A05.

Key words and phrases. operation, interior, closure.

The second author was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 23540115).

the operation  $\kappa: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  for sets X to generalize the topological space itself. Moreover, if we define an operation  $\kappa: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  in this form, the theory of the operations can be applied to various cases where topological structures or their weaker forms, namely 'generalized open sets', are not defined. The operation  $\kappa: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  defined above enables us to define the  $\kappa$ -open set as usual; a subset A of X is called a  $\kappa$ -open set of X if for each  $x \in A$  there exists a set  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in U \subset U^{\kappa} \subset A$  (Definition 2.2). Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  be the family of  $\kappa$ -open sets. It follows that  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ ; and  $X \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  if and only if  $\cup \mathcal{F} = X$  by Proposition 2.5. Moreover, if  $A_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  by Proposition 2.8. We call the triple  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \kappa)$  a space, which is a generalization of a topological space; if  $\mathcal{F} = \tau$  for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and  $U^{\kappa} = U$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \mathcal{F} = \tau$ . If  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \kappa)$  is a space, then we call X a  $(\mathcal{F}, \kappa)$ space or a  $\kappa$ -space. Generalizations of the topological space were in retrospect studied by choosing some parts of the axioms of the topological space (that is, the axioms in Chapter 1 of Kelley [3] for example); we use the operation  $\kappa: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  as a foundation of a generalization of the topological space.

In Section 3 we define and study two types of interiors and closures of subsets in  $\kappa$ -spaces, namely  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int,  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl, and prove relations among them. Different interiors and closures are necessary because  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(A) is  $\kappa$ -open, but  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)$  is not always  $\kappa$ -open for subsets A of  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \kappa)$ ; dually,  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(A) is  $\kappa$ -closed, but  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A)$  is not always  $\kappa$ -closed. We obtain relations between maximal  $\kappa$ -open sets and the  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -closure (see Remarks 3.23 and 4.6). We define two kinds of 'neighborhoods' for each point x, namely  $\kappa$ -neighborhoods and  $\kappa$ -open neighborhoods. Since we do not assume  $\cup \mathcal{F} = X$  in general, the points  $x \in X - \cup \mathcal{F}$  have no neighborhoods. However, some standard formulas are proved as in Propositions 3.13, 3.16, 3.18 and Theorem 3.26. If we assume  $\cup \mathcal{F} = X$ , then any point  $x \in X$  has at least one  $V \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in V \subset V^{\kappa}$  and at least one neighborhood of the type  $x \in U \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  (see Remark 3.1).

In Section 4 we study relations among maximal  $\kappa$ -open sets and the  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -closure.

In Section 5 we study some properties of pre- $\kappa$ -open sets, pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open sets, semi- $\kappa$ -open sets and semi- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open sets.

Janković [1] generalized the closures and the closed sets making use of the operation  $\alpha: \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  of [2] for topological spaces  $(X,\tau)$  and defined  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\alpha}$ . Ogata [6] introduced the  $\gamma$ -open sets defined by any operation  $\gamma: \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  (which is the operation  $\alpha$  in [2]) for any topological space  $(X,\tau)$ ; he denoted the set of all  $\gamma$ -open sets by  $\tau_{\gamma}$ , which is a 'generalized topology' of X by Proposition 2.3 of [6], and furthermore, he defined and studied the  $\tau_{\gamma}$ -closures,  $\tau_{\gamma}$ -Cl. Rehman and Ahmad [8] defined  $\operatorname{Int}_{\gamma}$ . Some 'open sets' in a topological space  $(X,\tau)$ , such as  $\theta$ -open sets and  $\delta$ -open sets, are considered as  $\gamma$ -open sets for some operation  $\gamma:\tau\to \mathcal{P}(X)$ ;  $\theta$ -open sets are  $\gamma$ -open sets for  $\gamma=\operatorname{Cl}$ , the closure operation, and  $\delta$ -open sets for  $\gamma=\operatorname{IntCl}$ , the interior-closure operation (cf. [1]). We also note that generalized closures and interiors were considered in "supratopological spaces" by Mashhour, Allam, Mahmoud and Khedr [4]; more

general family of sets by Maki, Chandrasekhara Rao and Nagoor Gani [5] and Popa and Noiri [7] (the m-structure). We see that  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl and  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int satisfy their definition by Propositions 3.4 and 3.10; however,  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}$  and  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}$  do not have the properties. In this paper, an operation is not a function  $\gamma: \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  for a topological space  $(X,\tau)$  or some generalization of it such as  $\gamma: m_X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  for a m-structure in [7]; a feature of our approach is that the definition of our operation  $\kappa: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  enables us to use any families  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  as well as, for example, any family of regular open sets, pre-open sets, semi-open sets,  $\alpha$ -open sets,  $\beta$ -open sets,  $\delta$ -open sets and so on for  $\mathcal{F}$  (see Popa and Noiri [7] for definitions). Thus, the definition of the operation in this paper will extend the scope of applications of the theory of operations.

## 2. The space $(X, \mathcal{F}, \kappa)$

Let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  be the power set of a set X and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  contains at least one non-empty set. An operation  $\kappa$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  is a function

$$\kappa: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$$

such that  $U \subset U^{\kappa}$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $U^{\kappa} = \kappa(U)$ . We call the triple  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \kappa)$  a space. If  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \kappa)$  is a space, then we call X a  $(\mathcal{F}, \kappa)$ -space, or simply, a  $\kappa$ -space.

Remark 2.1. We note that Kasahara considered the operation  $\alpha: \tau \to \mathcal{P}(\cup \tau)$  such that  $G \subset G^{\alpha}$  for any  $G \in \tau$  in [2], where  $\cup \tau = \cup_{U \in \tau} U$ . However, we do not assume that  $\cup \mathcal{F} = X$  in the above definition and we will state explicitly when the assumption  $\cup \mathcal{F} = X$  is necessary. We follow the idea of Kasahara [2] which impose the relation ' $U \subset U^{\kappa}$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ ' to define the operation in this paper.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\kappa : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  be an operation. A subset A of X is called a  $\kappa$ -open set of X if for each  $x \in A$  there exists a set  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in U \subset U^{\kappa} \subset A$ . The family of all  $\kappa$ -open sets is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ . A subset F of X is called a  $\kappa$ -closed set of X if its complement X - F is a  $\kappa$ -open set in X.

Remark 2.3. The set  $U^{\kappa}$  itself is not necessarily  $\kappa$ -open for any  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ .

- (1) Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  be the real line with the usual topology  $\tau$ . If we define  $\mathcal{F} = \tau$  and  $\kappa = \text{Cl} : \tau \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ , then we see  $U^{\kappa}$  is not necessarily  $\kappa$ -open.
- (2) Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is a connected topological space. If we define  $\mathcal{F} = \tau$  and  $\kappa = \text{Cl} : \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ , then we see  $\emptyset^{\kappa} = \emptyset$ ,  $\{a\}^{\kappa} = \{a, b\}^{\kappa} = \{a, c\}^{\kappa} = X^{\kappa} = X$ . It follows that  $U^{\kappa}$  is  $\kappa$ -open for any  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We have the following characterization of  $\kappa$ -open sets by the definition.

**Proposition 2.4.** A subset A of X is  $\kappa$ -open if and only if there exists an index set  $\Lambda$  and  $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{F}$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}^{\kappa}$ . It

follows that  $A \subset \cup \mathcal{F}$  for any  $\kappa$ -open set A, and  $F \supset X - \cup \mathcal{F}$  for any  $\kappa$ -closed set F. Therefore, the relation  $\cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa} \subset \cup \mathcal{F}$  holds.

If  $\kappa : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  is the inclusion, namely  $\kappa(U) = U$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ , then we define ' $\kappa$ -open sets' making use of the family  $\mathcal{F}$  itself.

The following result is obtained by Definition 2.2:

Proposition 2.5. (1)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ .

(2)  $X \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  if and only if  $\cup \mathcal{F} = X$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ .

- (1) If we define an operation  $\kappa : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  by  $\{a\}^{\kappa} = \{a, c\}, \{b\}^{\kappa} = \{b, c\}$  and  $\{a, b\}^{\kappa} = X$ , then we see  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \{\emptyset\}$ .
- (2) If we define an operation  $\kappa : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  by  $\{a\}^{\kappa} = \{a, c\}, \{b\}^{\kappa} = \{b, c\}$  and  $\{a, b\}^{\kappa} = \{a, b\}, \text{ then we see } \mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}\}.$

**Example 2.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}\}$ . We define an operation  $\kappa : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  by  $\{a\}^{\kappa} = \{a\}, \{b\}^{\kappa} = \{a, b\}$  and  $\{c\}^{\kappa} = \{a, c\}$ . Then we see  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ .

If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space and  $\mathcal{F} = \tau$  and the operation  $\kappa$  is  $\gamma : \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \tau_{\gamma}$  of Ogata [6]. However, without the assumption  $\mathcal{F} = \tau$  for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , we have the following result as a direct consequence of Definition 2.2 (cf. Proposition 2.3 of [6]); the proof is obtained by a routine argument and it is omitted.

**Proposition 2.8.** If  $A_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ .

The family  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  of  $\kappa$ -open sets is not closed under finite intersections in general (cf. Remark 2.10 of Ogata [6], which is also quoted in Example 3.19).

Remark 2.9. Let  $\gamma: \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  be any operation for a topological space  $(X,\tau)$ . Janković [1] called a subset A of  $(X,\tau)$  a  $\gamma$ -closed set if  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\gamma}(A) \subset A$ ; this definition coincides with the  $\kappa$ -closed set in Definition 2.2 when  $\kappa = \gamma: \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  by Theorem 3.7 of Ogata [6] or its generalization Proposition 3.22. See also Definition 3.7.

## 3. Interiors and closures in $\kappa$ -spaces

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \kappa)$  be a space. Considering well-known concepts in general topology, we define  $\kappa$ -interior points,  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -interior points,  $\kappa$ -adherent points,  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -adherent points, and introduce  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(A),  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(A) for any subset A of X. Some relations among them are obtained.

Let x be any point of X. A set  $U^{\kappa}$  such that  $x \in U \in \mathcal{F}$  is called a  $\kappa$ -neighborhood of x. A  $\kappa$ -open set W such that  $x \in W$  is called a  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood of x.

Remark 3.1. We notice that if  $\cup \mathcal{F} \neq X$  and  $x \in X - \cup \mathcal{F}$ , then x has no  $\kappa$ -neighborhood or  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood; this never happens in general topology.

If  $\cup \mathcal{F} = X$ , then for each point x of X there exists at least one  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in U \subset U^{\kappa} \subset X$ ; it follows then that  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  and each point  $x \in X$  has at least one  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood X.

# 3.1. Some properties of $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}$ , $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int, $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl

**Definition 3.2.** Let A be a subset of X and x a point of X. A point x is called a  $\kappa$ -interior point of A if there exists  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in U \subset U^{\kappa} \subset A$ . The  $\kappa$ -interior of A is defined by

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A) = \{x \mid x \text{ is a } \kappa\text{-interior point of } A\}.$$

A point x is called a  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -interior point of A if there exists  $V \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  such that  $x \in V \subset A$ . The  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -interior of A is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$$
-Int $(A) = \{x \mid x \text{ is a } \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -interior point of  $A\}$ .

**Example 3.3.** We consider the examples (1) and (2) in Example 2.6, where  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ : In (1) we see  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(X) = \{a, b\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(X) = \emptyset$ ; in (2) we see  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(X) = \{a, b\} = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.**  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int $(A) = \bigcup \{V \mid V \subset A \text{ and } V \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\}.$ 

*Proof.* We see  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(A) if and only if there exists  $V \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  such that  $x \in V \subset A$ , which is equivalent to  $x \in \bigcup \{V \mid V \subset A \text{ and } V \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\}.$ 

The following are immediate consequences of the definitions.

**Proposition 3.5.** The following hold for any subset A of X.

- (1)  $A \supset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A) \supset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(A)$ .
- (2) If A is a  $\kappa$ -open set, then  $A = \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(A)$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \tau$ . The following hold for any subset A of X.

- (1)  $A \supset \operatorname{Int}(A) \supset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A) \supset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(A)$ .
- (2) If A is a  $\kappa$ -open set, then  $A = \operatorname{Int}(A) = \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(A)$ .

**Definition 3.7.** Let A be a subset of X and x a point of X. A point x is called a  $\kappa$ -adherent point of A if  $U^{\kappa} \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $x \in U$ , or there exists no  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $x \in U$ . The  $\kappa$ -closure of A is defined by

$$Cl_{\kappa}(A) = \{x \mid x \text{ is a } \kappa\text{-adherent point of } A\}.$$

A point x is called a  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -adherent point of A if  $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for any  $V \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  with  $x \in V$ , or there exists no  $V \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  with  $x \in V$ . The  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -closure of A is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$$
-Cl(A) = {x | x is a  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -adherent point of A}.

Although some points of X may have no  $\kappa$ -neighborhood or  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood, the following results holds by Definition 3.7.

**Proposition 3.8.** The inclusions  $A \subset Cl_{\kappa}(A)$  and  $A \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}Cl(A)$  hold for any  $A \subset X$ .

Remark 3.9. The following relations hold for any subset A of X.

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A) \subset \cup \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(A) \subset \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa};$$

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A) \supset X - \cup \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(A) \supset X - \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}.$$

The above relations hold even in the case where  $A = \emptyset$  or X. It follows that

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(X) = \cup \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(X) = \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa};$$

$$\mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(\emptyset) = X - \cup \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\mathrm{Cl}(\emptyset) = X - \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa};$$

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\emptyset) = \emptyset = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\emptyset) \text{ and } \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(X) = X = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(X).$$

**Proposition 3.10.**  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(A) =  $\cap \{F \mid A \subset F \text{ and } X - F \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\}.$ 

*Proof.* We see  $x \notin \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(A)$  if and only if  $V \cap A = \emptyset$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  with  $x \in V$ , which is equivalent to  $x \notin \cap \{F \mid A \subset F \text{ and } X - F \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\}$ .

The following results are obtained as immediate consequences of the definitions and Proposition 3.10; they are known for special cases where operations are  $\kappa = \gamma : \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  for topological spaces  $(X, \tau)$  by (3.4) of Ogata [6].

**Proposition 3.11.** The following hold for any subset A of X.

- (1)  $A \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(A)$ .
- (2) If A is a  $\kappa$ -closed set, then  $A = \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(A)$ .

**Corollary 3.12.** Assume that  $(X,\tau)$  is a topological space and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \tau$ . The following hold for any subset A of X.

- (1)  $A \subset Cl(A) \subset Cl_{\kappa}(A) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(A).
- (2) If A is a  $\kappa$ -closed set, then  $A = \mathrm{Cl}(A) = \mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(A) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ - $\mathrm{Cl}(A)$ .

**Proposition 3.13.** The following hold for any subset A of X:

- (1)  $X (\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Int}(A)) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(X A); \quad X (\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(A)) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Int}(X A).$
- (2)  $X \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A) = \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(X A); \quad X \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A) = \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(X A).$

*Proof.* (1) We prove the first formula. Let  $x \in X - (\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Int}(A))$ .

Case 1;  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ :  $x \notin \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(A) is equivalent to  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(X - A) by definitions.

Case 2;  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ : By the definitions of  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int and  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl, the condition  $x \notin \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(A) is equivalent to the condition that there exists no  $V \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  such that  $x \in V \subset A$ , that is,  $V \cap (X - A) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $V \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  with  $x \in V$  or  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(X - A).

(2) We prove the first formula. Let  $x \in X - \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)$ .

Case 1;  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{F}$ : The condition  $x \notin \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)$  is equivalent to the condition that  $x \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(X - A)$  by definitions.

Case 2;  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$ : The condition  $x \notin \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)$  is equivalent to the condition that there exists no  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in U \subset U^{\kappa} \subset A$ , that is,  $U^{\kappa} \cap (X - A) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $x \in U$  or  $x \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(X - A)$ .

**Proposition 3.14.** Let A be any subset of X. Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(A) is a  $\kappa$ -open set and  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(A) is a  $\kappa$ -closed set.

*Proof.* By Propositions 2.8 and 3.4, the set  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(A) is  $\kappa$ -open. By Proposition 3.13(1), we have  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(A) =  $X - (\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(X - A)), and hence  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(A) is a  $\kappa$ -closed set.

Remark 3.15. (1) We proved that  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Int}(A)$  is a  $\kappa$ -open set and  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(A)$  is a  $\kappa$ -closed set by Proposition 3.14. But,  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)$  is not necessarily a  $\kappa$ -open set, and  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A)$  is not necessarily a  $\kappa$ -closed set even in the case where  $\kappa = \gamma : \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  for a topological space  $(X,\tau)$ . For example: Let  $X = \{a,b,c\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, X\}$ . Then  $(X,\tau)$  is a topological space. Let  $\kappa = \gamma$  be an operation defined by  $\kappa(A) = \gamma(A) = \operatorname{Cl}(A)$  for any  $A \in \tau$ ; we see  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \tau_{\gamma} = \{\emptyset, X\}$  (cf. Example 2.7 of [6]). It follows that  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a,c\}) = \{a\}$  is not a  $\kappa$ -open set and

$$\{a\} = \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a,c\}) \neq \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\{a,c\}) = \emptyset.$$

Moreover,  $Cl_{\kappa}(\{a\}) = \{a, c\}$  is not a  $\kappa$ -closed set and  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ - $Cl(\{a\}) = X$  is a  $\kappa$ -closed set.

(2) Ogata [6] defined the  $\tau_{\gamma}$ -closure of a subset A of X by

$$\tau_{\gamma}\text{-Cl}(A) = \bigcap \{F \mid A \subset F \text{ and } X - F \in \tau_{\gamma}\}\$$

for any operation  $\gamma: \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  and any topological space  $(X, \tau)$ .

### 3.2. Some formulas and examples

By Propositions 3.4, 3.10 and 3.14, the following results for  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int and  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl are obtained (cf. Theorem 1.1 of [4], Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 of [5] and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 of [7]).

**Proposition 3.16.** Let A and B be subsets of X.

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int( $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(A)) =  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(A). If  $A \subset B$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(A)  $\subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(B).
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl( $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(A)) =  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(A). If  $A \subset B$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(A)  $\subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(B).

Corollary 3.17. For any subsets A and B of X, the following hold.

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Int}(A \cap B) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Int}(A) \cap \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Int}(B);$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Int}(A \cup B) \supset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Int}(A) \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Int}(B);$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(A \cap B) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(A) \cap \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(B);$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(A \cup B) \supset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(A) \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(B).$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.16, the results follow.

However, the formulas for  $\mathrm{Cl}_\kappa$  and  $\mathrm{Int}_\kappa$  are not obtained as special cases of these formulas. The following inclusions hold by the definitions, and the proof is omitted.

**Proposition 3.18.** (1) If  $A \subset B \subset X$ , then  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A) \subset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(B)$  and  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(B)$ .

(2) If  $A, B \subset X$ , then

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A \cap B) \subset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A) \cap \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(B); \quad \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A \cup B) \supset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A) \cup \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(B);$$
$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A \cap B) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A) \cap \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(B); \quad \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A \cup B) \supset \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A) \cup \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(B).$$

Example 3.19. Well-known formulas:

$$Cl(A \cup B) = Cl(A) \cup Cl(B); Int(A \cap B) = Int(A) \cap Int(B)$$

for subsets A, B of a topological space X do not hold in general for  $\text{Cl}_{\gamma}$ ,  $\text{Int}_{\gamma}$ ,  $\tau_{\gamma}$ -Cl and  $\tau_{\gamma}$ -Int even in the case where  $\kappa = \gamma : \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . For example, we consider the topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and the operation  $\gamma$  of Example 2.8 of Ogata [6]: Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}.$$

Define an operation  $\kappa : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  by  $A^{\kappa} = A$  if  $b \in A$  and  $A^{\kappa} = \mathrm{Cl}(A)$  if  $b \notin A$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$  and  $\{a, b\} \cap \{a, c\} = \{a\} \notin \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  by Remark 2.10 of [6]. We have

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{b\}) \cup \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{c\}) = \{b\} \cup \{c\} \subsetneq X = \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{b,c\});$$

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a\}) \cup \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{b\}) = \emptyset \cup \{b\} \subsetneq \{a,b\} = \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a,b\});$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(\{b\}) \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(\{c\}) = \{b\} \cup \{c\} \subsetneq X = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(\{b,c\});$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\{a\}) \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\{b\}) = \emptyset \cup \{b\} \subsetneq \{a,b\} = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\{a,b\});$$

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a,b\} \cap \{a,c\}) = \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a\}) = \emptyset \subsetneq \{a\} = \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a,b\}) \cap \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a,c\});$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\{a,b\} \cap \{a,c\}) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\{a\}) = \emptyset$$

$$\subsetneq \{a\} = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\{a,b\}) \cap \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\{a,c\}).$$

**Theorem 3.20.** The following hold for any subset A of X.

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(A)))) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(A));$$
  
$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Int}(A)))) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\operatorname{Int}(A)).$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.16, we have the results.

**Proposition 3.21.** The following hold for any subset A of X.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A))) &\supset \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)))) \supset \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)));\\ \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A))) &\supset \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)))) \supset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)));\\ \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A))) &\supset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A)))) \supset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A)));\\ \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A))) &\supset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A)))) \supset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A))). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.18, we have the results.

The following result generalizes Theorem 3.7 of Ogata [6].

**Proposition 3.22.** The following statements are equivalent for any subset A of X.

- (1) A is  $\kappa$ -open.
- (2)  $A = \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)$ .

- (3)  $A = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(A)$ .
- (4)  $Cl_{\kappa}(X A) = X A$ .
- (5)  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(X A) = X A.
- (6) X A is  $\kappa$ -closed.

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are equivalent by the definitions of  $\kappa$ -open sets and  $\kappa$ -interior points. (1) and (3) are equivalent by the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -interior points and Propositions 2.8 and 3.4. (1) and (6) are equivalent by the definition of  $\kappa$ -closed sets. (2) and (4) are equivalent by Proposition 3.13(2). (3) and (5) are equivalent by Proposition 3.13(1).

Remark 3.23. (1) For any subset  $A \subset X$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(A)) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(A)$$
 and  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(A)) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(A)$ 

by Propositions 3.14 and 3.22.

(2) Let  $A \subset X$ . Then there are examples such that

$$\mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(\mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(A)) \neq \mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(A), \ \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\mathrm{-Cl}(\mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(A)) \neq \mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(A),$$

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)) \neq \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A) \text{ or } \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)) \neq \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(A)$$

even in the case where  $\kappa = \gamma : \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ : Let  $(X, \tau)$  be the space in Remark 3.15(1). Then we have  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{a\}) = \{a, c\}$ ,  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{a, c\}) = X$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ - $\operatorname{Cl}(\{a, c\}) = X$ . Therefore (cf. Remark 3.9 of [6])

$$\mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(\mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{a\})) = X \neq \{a, c\} = \mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{a\});$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$$
-Cl(Cl<sub>\kappa</sub>(\{a\})) =  $X \neq \{a, c\} = \text{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{a\}).$ 

Next, we have the following relations, since  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a,c\}) = \{a\}$ :

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a,c\})) = \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a\}) = \emptyset \neq \{a\} = \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a,c\});$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a,c\})) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\mathrm{Int}(\{a\}) = \emptyset \neq \{a\} = \mathrm{Int}_{\kappa}(\{a,c\}).$$

Remark 3.24. If  $\kappa = \gamma : \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  for some topological space  $(X,\tau)$ , then Proposition 3.13(2) is the result of Theorem 2(2.1) of Rehman and Ahmad [8]. The relations in Proposition 3.18 are also remarked in [8].

## 3.3. Regular operations

An operation  $\kappa: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  is said to be *regular* if for any  $a \in X$  and any sets  $U, V \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $a \in U \cap V$ , there exists a set  $W \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $a \in W \subset W^{\kappa} \subset U^{\kappa} \cap V^{\kappa}$  (cf. p. 98 of Kasahara [2]). Then the following result, which is a generalization of Proposition 2.9(1) of Ogata [6], is obtained by a standard argument.

**Proposition 3.25.** If  $\kappa : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  is regular, then  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  for any  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ .

**Theorem 3.26.** Assume that the operation  $\kappa : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  is regular. Let A be a  $\kappa$ -open set and B any subset of X. Then the following relations hold.

- (1)  $A \cap \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(B) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(A \cap B)$ .
- (2)  $A \cap \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(B) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A \cap B)$ .

*Proof.* (1) If  $x \in A \cap \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(B), then for any  $U \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  such that  $x \in U$  we see  $U \cap (A \cap B) = (U \cap A) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , since  $x \in U \cap A \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$  by Proposition 3.25.

(2) Suppose that  $x \in A \cap \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(B)$  and  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  is any set such that  $x \in U$ . Since A is a  $\kappa$ -open set and  $x \in A$ , there exists  $V \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in V \subset V^{\kappa} \subset A$ . Since  $\kappa$  is regular, there exists a neighborhood  $W \in \mathcal{F}$  of x such that  $x \in W^{\kappa} \subset U^{\kappa} \cap V^{\kappa}$ . Since  $x \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(B)$ , we have

$$U^{\kappa} \cap (A \cap B) = (U^{\kappa} \cap A) \cap B \supset (U^{\kappa} \cap V^{\kappa}) \cap B \supset W^{\kappa} \cap B \neq \emptyset.$$

It follows that  $x \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(A \cap B)$ .

If the operation  $\kappa: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  is regular, then the following hold (cf. Example 3.19).

**Proposition 3.27.** If the operation  $\kappa : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  is regular, then the following equalities hold for any subsets A and B of X.

$$Int_{\kappa}(A \cap B) = Int_{\kappa}(A) \cap Int_{\kappa}(B);$$

$$Cl_{\kappa}(A \cup B) = Cl_{\kappa}(A) \cup Cl_{\kappa}(B);$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Int}(A \cap B) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Int}(A) \cap \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Int}(B);$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(A \cup B) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(A) \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(B).$$

*Proof.* The first formula is obtained by the definition of  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}$  and the definition of regularity. The second is then obtained by Proposition 3.13(2). The third and the fourth formulas are obtained by Propositions 3.25 and 3.13(1).

### 4. Maximal $\kappa$ -open sets and the $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -closure.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \kappa)$  be a space.

**Definition 4.1.** A proper non-empty  $\kappa$ -open set U of X is said to be a maximal  $\kappa$ -open set if any  $\kappa$ -open set which contains U is X or U.

**Theorem 4.2.** If U is a maximal  $\kappa$ -open set and x is an element of X - U, then  $X - U \subset W$  for any  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood W of x.

*Proof.* If  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{F}$ , then there is no  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood of x and hence the theorem holds. Now suppose that  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$ : Since  $W \not\subset U$  for any  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood W of x, we see  $W \cup U = X$  by the definition of maximal  $\kappa$ -open set and Proposition 2.8, or  $X - U \subset W$ . (Remark. If  $W \cup U = X$  for some  $W, U \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ , then we see  $\cup \mathcal{F} = X$ .)

**Corollary 4.3.** If U is a maximal  $\kappa$ -open set, then either of the following (1) and (2) holds.

- (1) For each  $x \in X U$  and each  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood W of x, the relation  $X U \subsetneq W$  holds.
  - (2) U is  $\kappa$ -closed.

*Proof.* Assume that (2) does not hold. Then we must show that (1) holds: For each  $x \in X - U$  and each  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood W of x, we see  $X - U \subset W$  by Theorem 4.2. If X - U = W, then U is a  $\kappa$ -closed set, which contradicts our assumption. Hence, we must have  $X - U \subsetneq W$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** If U is a maximal  $\kappa$ -open set, then  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(U) = X or  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(U) = U. If  $\cup \mathcal{F} \neq X$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(U) = X.

Proof. Suppose  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(U)  $\neq U$ . Then U is not  $\kappa$ -closed by Proposition 3.11(2). Let x be any element of X-U and W any  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood of x. By Corollary 4.3, we have  $X-U \subsetneq W$  for any  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood W of x, and hence we get  $W \cap U \neq \emptyset$  or  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(U). If  $x \in X-U$  has no  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood, then  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(U). It follows that  $X-U \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(U). Since

$$X = U \cup (X - U) \subset U \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\mathrm{Cl}(U) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\mathrm{Cl}(U) \subset X$$

we have  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(U) = X.

If  $\cup \mathcal{F} \neq X$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(U) \neq U$  and hence  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(U) = X$  by the first assertion.

**Example 4.5.** (1) In Example 2.7, maximal  $\kappa$ -open sets are  $\{a,b\}$  and  $\{a,c\}$ . We see  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl( $\{a,b\}$ ) =  $X = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl( $\{a,c\}$ ).

(2) In Example 3.19, maximal  $\kappa$ -open sets are  $\{a,b\}$  and  $\{a,c\}$ . We see  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(\{a,b\}) = X$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(\{a,c\}) = \{a,c\}$ .

Remark 4.6. The result of Theorem 4.4 does not hold for  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}$  in general even in the case where  $\kappa = \gamma : \tau \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . For example: Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and let

$$\mathcal{F} = \tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,b,c\}, X\}.$$

Let  $\kappa: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  be an operation defined by

$$\emptyset^{\kappa} = \emptyset, \quad \{a\}^{\kappa} = \{a, d\}, \quad \{b\}^{\kappa} = \{c\}^{\kappa} = \{b, c\}^{\kappa} = \{b, c\},$$
 
$$\{a, b\}^{\kappa} = \{a, b, d\}, \quad \{a, c\}^{\kappa} = \{a, c, d\}, \quad \{a, b, c\}^{\kappa} = X^{\kappa} = X.$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \{\emptyset, \{b, c\}, X\}$  and  $\{b, c\}$  is a maximal  $\kappa$ -open set. We see

$$\{b, c\} \neq \text{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{b, c\}) = \{b, c, d\} \neq X = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(\{b, c\}).$$

Finally, we note the following relations which are considered again in Corollary 4.8 and Example 5.3:

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{a,b,c\}) = X = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(\{a,b,c\}); \quad \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{b,c,d\}) = X = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(\{b,c,d\}).$$

**Theorem 4.7.** Let U be a maximal  $\kappa$ -open set and S a non-empty subset of X - U. Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(S) = X - U$ .

Proof. We have  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(S) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(X-U) = X-U$  by Proposition 3.11(2), since X-U is a  $\kappa$ -closed set and  $S \subset X-U$ . Next, suppose that x is any element of X-U: If x has no  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood, then  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(S)$ ; if W is any  $\kappa$ -open neighborhood of x, then  $W \cap S \neq \emptyset$  by Theorem 4.2, since  $\emptyset \neq S \subset X-U$ . Hence  $X-U \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(S)$ .

Corollary 4.8. If U is a maximal  $\kappa$ -open set and M is a subset of X such that  $U \subseteq M$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(M) = X.

*Proof.* Since  $U \subsetneq M \subset X$ , there exists a non-empty subset S of X-U such that  $M=U \cup S$ . Hence we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(M) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(S \cup U) \supset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(S) \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(U) \supset (X - U) \cup U = X$$
 by Theorem 4.7 or  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-Cl}(M) = X$ .

#### 5. The pre- $\kappa$ -open sets and the semi- $\kappa$ -open sets

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \kappa)$  be a space. A subset M of a set X is called

- a pre- $\kappa$ -open set if  $M \subset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(M))$ ;
- a pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open set if  $M \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int $(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl(M));
- a semi- $\kappa$ -open set if  $M \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(M))$ ;
- a semi- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open set if  $M \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl $(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int(M)).

The complement of a pre- $\kappa$ -open (resp. pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open, semi- $\kappa$ -open, semi- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open) set is called a pre- $\kappa$ -closed (resp. pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -closed, semi- $\kappa$ -closed, semi- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -closed) set.

Any  $\kappa$ -open (resp.  $\kappa$ -closed) set is pre- $\kappa$ -open, pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open, semi- $\kappa$ -open and semi- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open (resp. pre- $\kappa$ -closed, pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -closed, semi- $\kappa$ -closed and semi- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -closed) by Proposition 3.22.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let U be a maximal  $\kappa$ -open set and M any subset of X with  $U \subset M \subset \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ . Then M is pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open.

*Proof.* If M=U, then M is a  $\kappa$ -open set. Therefore M is a pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open set. Otherwise  $U \subsetneq M$ , and we have  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int $(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Cl $(M)) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int $(X) = \cup \mathcal{F}_{\kappa} \supset M$  by Corollary 4.8 and Remark 3.9. Therefore M is a pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open set.  $\square$ 

**Example 5.2.** Let  $(X,\tau)$  be a topological space and  $\gamma:\tau\to\mathcal{P}(X)$  any operation. If U is a maximal  $\gamma$ -open set, then  $X-\{a\}$  is a pre- $\tau_{\gamma}$ -open for any element a of X-U by Theorem 5.1.

**Example 5.3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $\kappa$  be the same as in Remark 4.6. Let  $M = \{a, b, c\}$  which contains a maximal  $\kappa$ -open set  $\{b, c\}$ . Then by Theorem 5.1, the subset M is pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open. However, we see

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(M) = \{b, c\} = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(M);$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$$
-Cl $(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int $(M)$ ) =  $X \supset M$ ; Cl $_{\kappa}$ (Int $_{\kappa}(M)$ ) =  $\{b, c, d\} \not\supset M$ .

Hence M is not semi- $\kappa$ -open, but M is semi- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open. Moreover, we see

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{a, b, c\}) = X = \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\{a, c, d\}).$$

Hence  $\{a, b, c\} (= M)$  and  $\{a, c, d\}$  are pre- $\kappa$ -open sets.

**Example 5.4.** A subset M which contains a maximal  $\kappa$ -open set is not necessarily pre- $\kappa$ -open or semi- $\kappa$ -open or semi- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open: Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}\}$ . Define  $\kappa : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  by

$${a}^{\kappa} = {a}, {b}^{\kappa} = {b, c}, {c}^{\kappa} = {c, d}, {d}^{\kappa} = {b, d}.$$

It follows that  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ . We see  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b, c, d\}$  are maximal  $\kappa$ -open sets. Let  $M = \{a, b\}$ . Then we see  $\mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(M) = M \cup \{d\}$  and  $\mathrm{Int}_{\kappa}(M) = \{a\}$ . It follows that

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(M)) = \{a, d\} \not\supset M; \quad \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(M)) = \{a\} \not\supset M.$$

Hence M is not pre- $\kappa$ -open or semi- $\kappa$ -open. We see  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -Int $(M) = \{a\}$  and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\mathrm{Int}(M)) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\mathrm{Cl}(\{a\}) = \{a\} \not\supset M.$$

It follows that M is not a semi- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open set. However, M is a pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open set by Theorem 5.1.

**Example 5.5.** If  $M \subset X - \cup \mathcal{F}$ , then M is semi- $\kappa$ -open and semi- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open, since the relation  $\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(M) = \emptyset = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(M)$  and the facts in Remark 3.9 and Proposition 2.4 imply the following relations:

$$\mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(\mathrm{Int}_{\kappa}(M))=\mathrm{Cl}_{\kappa}(\emptyset)=X-\cup\mathcal{F}\supset M;$$
 
$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\mathrm{Int}(M))=\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\text{-}\mathrm{Cl}(\emptyset)=X-\cup\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\supset X-\cup\mathcal{F}\supset M.$$

By the following theorem, we have relations between pre- $\kappa$ -open sets and pre- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open sets; or semi- $\kappa$ -open sets and semi- $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}$ -open sets under some conditions.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let M be any subset of X.

(1) If 
$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(M)) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(M))$$
, then

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(M)) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(M)).$$

(2) If 
$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(M)) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(M))$$
, then

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(M)) = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(M)).$$

*Proof.* (1) By Propositions 3.11 and 3.5, we have

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(M)) \supset \operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(M)) \supset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(M));$$
$$\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(M)) \supset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(M)) \supset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(M)).$$

Hence the result follows.

(2) By Propositions 3.11 and 3.5, we have

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(M)) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(M)) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(M));$$
  
 $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(M)) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Int}(M)) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}\operatorname{-Cl}(\operatorname{Int}_{\kappa}(M)).$ 

Hence the result follows.

#### References

- D. S. Janković, On functions with α-closed graphs, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 18(38) (1983), no. 1, 141–148.
- [2] S. Kasahara, Operation-compact spaces, Math. Japon. 24 (1979), no. 1, 97–105.
- [3] J. L. Kelley, General Topology, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Toronto-New York-London, 1955.
- [4] A. S. Mashhour, A. A. Allam, F. S. Mahmoud, and F. H. Khedr, On supratopological spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1983), no. 4, 502–510.

- [5] H. Maki, K. Chandrasekhara Rao, and A. Nagoor Gani, On generalizing semi-open sets and preopen sets, Pure Appl. Math. Sci. 49 (1999), no. 1-2, 17-29.
- [6] H. Ogata, Operations on topological spaces and associated topology, Math. Japon. 36 (1991), no. 1, 175–184.
- [7] V. Popa and T. Noiri, On the definitions of some generalized forms of continuity under minimal conditions, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math. 22 (2001), 9–18.
- [8] F. U. Rehman and B. Ahmad, Operations on topological spaces-I, Math. Today 10 (1992), 29–36.

Fumie Nakaoka Department of Applied Mathematics Faculty of Science Fukuoka University

8-19-1 Nanakuma, Jonan-ku, Fukuoka, 814-0180, Japan

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{fumie@fukuoka-u.ac.jp}$ 

NOBUYUKI ODA
DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
FUKUOKA UNIVERSITY
8-19-1 NANAKUMA, JONAN-KU, FUKUOKA, 814-0180, JAPAN
E-mail address: odanobu@cis.fukuoka-u.ac.jp