

OPEN ACCESS

Technology Transfer and Entrepreneurial Development through University Business Incubation Process in Thailand

Jarunee Wonglimpiyarat*

Professor, College of Innovation, Thammasat University, Thailand.

Abstract : This study is concerned with the process of technology transfer and commercialization. It is focused on the entrepreneurial development through the university business incubation process of Thailand. The study analyzes the leading university business incubators (UBIs) as well as major science and technology incubators to understand the strategies for commercialization of technology. The analyses of results, based on the Triple Helix model, have shown that the incubation program is one of the major policy mechanisms to support innovation and suggested that UBIs should act as an intermediary between the spheres of university and industry to provide interactive linkages and promote effective utilization of university research. The empirical study provides theoretical and managerial implications on the government policies to support the entrepreneurial development, innovation development and diffusion.

Keywords: Commercialization of technology, Innovation commercialization, Entrepreneurial development, University business incubator (UBI)

1. INTRODUCTION

The Thai case study on commercialization of technology illustrates the government attempts to support entrepreneurial development through the incubation programs. The Thai government has introduced the university incubation program as a means to promote job creation, entrepreneurial development, innovation and economic growth. In attempts to transition from lower middle income economy towards upper middle income economy, the Thai government has enhanced the capacity for innovation through the use of use business and technology incubators. With the economic growth rate of 5 percent per year and one of the world's fastest growing country, the case of Thailand would provide interesting theo-

retical and managerial implications to understand the strategies for commercialization of technology.

Accounting for the aspect of commercialization of technology, the Thailand entrepreneurial development experience illustrates the prospects of innovation government and innovation policies in technological upgrading. The overview of economic and innovation performance of Thailand is shown in <Table 1>. In 2012, Thailand was ranked 30th (out of 59 countries) according to the International Institute for Management Development (IMD) world competitiveness ranking and 38th (out of 144 countries) according to the World Economic Forum (WEF) Global Competitiveness Report.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 reviews the theoretical frameworks on technology transfer and entrepreneurial development and the Triple Helix model. Section 3 provides the background of research universities and policies to support university technology commercialization. Section 4 discusses the research methodology and presents the research findings. The analyses of findings cover case studies of university business incubators (UBIs) and technology business incubators. The case studies of UBIs include three major universities in Thailand: Mahidol University, Chulalongkorn

*Correspondence to : Jarunee Wonglimpiyarat, Ph.D
Professor, College of Innovation, Thammasat University,
Anekprasong 3 Bldg., Prachan Rd., Bangkok 10200, Thailand.
E-mail : jarunee@tu.ac.th

World Technopolis Review
Copyright©World Technopolis Association


 This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Table 1. Overview of economic and innovation performance of Thailand

Indicator	Year	Thailand
Population (million)	2012	69.5
GDP	2012	USD 366 billion
GDP growth (%)	2011 2012	0.1 6.4
IMD world competitiveness ranking	2010 2011 2012	26 27 30
IMD world competitiveness ranking	2012	30
Ranking in economic performance	2012	15
Ranking in government efficiency	2012	26
Ranking in business efficiency	2012	23
Ranking in infrastructure	2012	49
- Ranking in scientific infrastructure	2012	40
- Ranking in technological infrastructure	2012	50
WEF competitiveness ranking	2010 2011 2012	38 39 38
WEF competitiveness ranking	2012	38
Ranking in basic requirements	2012	45
Ranking in efficiency enhancers	2012	47
- Ranking in technological readiness	2012	84
Ranking in innovation and sophistication factors	2012	55
- Ranking in innovation	2012	68
Knowledge Economy Index (KEI) Ranking	2012	66
KEI Index	2012	5.21
Research and development (R&D) expenditure	2012	USD 740 million
% of R&D expenditure to GDP (approximate)	2012	0.24%
Proportion of R&D spending (public and private)	2012	60:40

Source: The author's design, based on the World Competitiveness Scoreboard (various years) by International Institute for Management Development (IMD), World Economic Forum (WEF) Global Competitiveness Report, World Bank, United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD)

University and King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT) whereas the science and technology incubator cases include national incubation centres of National Science and Technology Agency (NSTDA) and National Innovation Agency (NIA). It also presents the multi-faceted discussions of policy issues with regard to the capacity of university technology transfer and commercialization. The analyses and discussions are based on the Triple Helix model emphasising the integration of three institutional spheres (university-industry-government relations). Section 5 proposes the model of university technology commercialisation in Thailand. Section 6 concludes the paper by drawing lessons and insights

that can be used as policy guidelines for other developing economies in the process of technology transfer and commercialisation through the university incubation mechanism (university technology commercialisation).

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Technology transfer and entrepreneurial development

Technology transfer is the process of transferring or exploiting knowledge and technologies to achieve a level of innova-

tion diffusion (Rogers 2003; Wonglimpiyarat 2010). The conditions for technology transfer affect the development path of innovation commercialization. Most of the entrepreneurship literature defines entrepreneurial development as a new business creation whereby the incubation programs can help achieve a higher rate of diffusion. The entrepreneurial development is recognized as an engine of economic growth. The process of technology transfer and entrepreneurial development also needs financial support, network support, government support, market support (Livingston 2007; Zahra and Nambisan 2012).

To fill the gap between R&D and commercialization, the business incubator therefore plays an important role in entrepreneurial development. The university business incubators (UBIs) play an important role in transferring basic research or academic research from universities to industry. Business in-

cubator and technology incubator are a kind of infrastructure geared to support and nurture the development of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) (Barrow 2001 ; Bøllingtoft and Ulhøi 2005). Business incubator provides business assistance to firms in the early stages of development to increase firm survival rates (Bøllingtoft and Ulhøi 2005 ; Bøllingtoft 2012). Business incubators typically provide office space, administrative support and mentoring services (Peters et al. 2004). Technology incubators are business incubators focusing on new companies with advanced technologies and often have the characteristics shown in <Table 2>. Generally, technology incubators are known under various names such as innovation centres, science parks and technology centres (OECD 1997). The incubator resources could help young entrepreneurial firms access new knowledge, expertise and industrial networks (Barrow 2001; Rothschild and Darr 2005).

Table 2. Characteristics of technology incubators

Host institution	University	Research facilities	Production facilities	Technology transfer office	Park facilities	Incubator	Venture capital
Science and research parks	x	x	o	x	x	x	o
Innovation centre	o	o	x	x	o	x	o
Technology park	x	x	x	x	x	x	x

Note: x = Essential feature of technology incubator
o = Desirable feature of technology incubator

Source: The Working Group on Innovation and Technology Policy (TIP) of the OECD Committee for Scientific and Technological Policy (CSTP).

<Fig. 1> demonstrates a schematic presentation of technology incubator. The university business incubator provides services such as laboratories and equipment, management and technical support, legal advice and networkings which add value to incubating companies (OECD 1997, 2010). Given the high risks associated with the formation of new enterprises, many governments attempt to use technology incubator as a vehicle for linking technology, entrepreneurs, small and large firms and sources of capital for technology development and commercialisation (OECD 1997 ; Lofsten and Lindelof 2005 ; McAdam and McAdam 2008 ; Wonglimpiyarat 2010).




Fig. 1. Schematic presentation of technology incubator

Source: OECD (1997)

2.2 Triple Helix Model

Given that innovation is increasingly regarded as an important factor in driving economic growth, the nation needs policy coordination among various agents participating in the innovation system to promote sustainable economic growth and long-term competitiveness (Lundvall 1998 ; Freeman 1987). The governments in developing countries are considered the national agents playing a crucial role in strengthening technological capability to support the national system of innovation. Promoting S&T specialization would influence a nation's future economic performance since countries with technological strengths in rising areas are likely to benefit from increasing returns, which in turn allow them to expand technological and production capabilities (Archibugi and Michie 1997).




Fig. 2. Triple Helix model

Source: Etzkowitz (2002), Etzkowitz and Leydesdorff (1998, 2000)

<Fig. 2> illustrates the Triple Helix model emphasising the integration of three institutional spheres (university-industry-government relations). The networks connecting the productive sector and the government aim to enhance economic development and competitiveness. The Triple Helix model postulates an interaction among the institutional spheres to foster the conditions for innovation in both advanced industrial and developing economies. (Etzkowitz 2002, 2004, 2011 ; Etzkowitz and Leydesdorff 1998, 2000 ; McEvily et al. 2004). The interactions help facilitate the move of technologies from universities/research organizations to the private sector. It is argued that the government policies should support these interactions for knowledge generation and industrial development (Etzkowitz and Leydesdorff 1998, 2000 ; Gay and Dousset 2005).

3. BACKGROUND OF RESEARCH UNIVERSITIES AND POLICIES TO SUPPORT UNIVERSITY TECHNOLOGY COMMERCIALISATION

The Thai government has enacted various sets of policies and programs as a means to revive the economy after the 1997 financial crisis. The National Economic and Social Development Plan and SME Promotion Master Plan are major SME policies to support entrepreneurship. Realising the importance of SMEs in terms of job creation and economic growth, the government has paid special attention towards supporting new start-ups and entrepreneurial ventures. The eleventh National Economic and Social Development Plan (Years 2012-2016) is a continuation of the tenth plan (Years 2007-2011) placing emphasis on SME development in order to meet the challenges of the 21st century.

The Thai government has played a significant role in establishing the national research universities so as to increase research outputs in the fields of study that are important to national competitiveness. To enhance national competitiveness, the government has set the policies to develop the National Research University program in 2009. The Office of the Higher Education Commission has selected nine universities as flagship national research universities to improve research capacity and promote university research for production which would further support social and economic development. The national research universities are Chulalongkorn University, Thammasat University, Mahidol University, Kasetsart University, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, Chiang Mai University, Khon Kaen University, Suranaree University of Technology, and Prince of Songkla University. The purpose of establishing national research universities is to encourage entrepreneurship and research commercialisation.

Thailand can be seen as a late adopter of SME policy to support entrepreneurial development (Thailand adopted policies later than other Asian countries like Taiwan and Singapore whose SME innovation policies were adopted since the 1980s). A set of entrepreneurship policies (termed 'Thaksinomics policies') was implemented in the late 1990s to upgrade the capacities of SMEs after the Asian financial crisis. The first SME Promotion Master Plan (Years 2002-2006) and the second SME Promotion Master Plan (Years 2007-2011) were initiated to mainly solve the problems on financial crisis and support the revival of SMEs. The Bank of Thailand Financial Sector Master Plan II (Years 2010-2014) was introduced as a national entre-

preneurship policy to support and develop entrepreneurs through policy-based institutions (commercial banks and financial institutions).

Table 3. List of university business incubators (UBIs) in Thailand

Name of university with business incubators
1. Chulalongkorn University
2. Dhonburi Rajabhat University
3. Kasetsart University
4. King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Ladkrabang
5. King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology North Bangkok
6. King Mongkut’s University of Technology Thonburi
7. Mahidol University
8. Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University
9. Nakhon Pathom Rajabhat University
10. Naresuan University
11. Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi
12. Silpakorn University
13. Srinakharinwirot University
14. Suan Dusit Rajabhat University
15. Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University
16. Thammasat University
17. Burapha University
18. Buriram Rajabhat University
19. Khon Kaen University
20. Loei Rajabhat University




Fig. 3. Map of university business incubators by location in Thailand
 Source: Office of the Higher Education Commission, Ministry of Education

The Thai government, through the Office of the Higher Education Commission, Ministry of Education, also launched the innovation policy of setting up university business incubators (UBIs) with an aim to support new ventures which would thereby create jobs and strengthen the country’s economic competitiveness. The UBIs supported by the Office of the Higher Education Commission are shown in <Table 3>. <Fig. 3> shows the map of university business incubators (UBIs) by location in Thailand. The purpose of establishing

Source: University Business Incubators (<http://www.ubi.mua.go.th>)

UBIs is to encourage wide use of university research as well as of intellectual properties (IPs). Currently, there are 35 UBIs established with 327 cases incubated and 60 new enterprises established (OECD 2011). The UBI has been implemented to foster linkages between university and industry so as to improve the process of technology commercialization.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND ANALYSIS OF FINDINGS

This study employs the use of case study methodology (Eisenhardt 1989 ; Yin 1994) to understand in-depth the logical or causal drivers of phenomena (rather than statistical generalization). The research derives evidence from a collection of interviews and documentary investigation. The sample size in this study covers the case studies of university business incubators (UBIs) and technology business incubators. The case studies of UBIs include three major universities in Thailand: Mahidol University, Chulalongkorn University and King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT) whereas the science and technology incubator cases include national incubation centres of National Science and Technology Agency (NSTDA) and National Innovation Agency (NIA).






The analyses focus on the operations of major university business incubators and technology business incubators in enhancing the process of technology commercialization. The operations and incubating policies are analysed through the lens of Triple Helix model. The interviews were carried out using the semi-structured questionnaire to understand the views of trilateral parties (the government, university and industry) related to the concept of Triple Helix model. The interviews were carried out with major stakeholders including policy makers, policy analysts, government officials, managers running incubators, incubates, university professors, research managers. Interview data were supported by an examination of secondary data so as to provide a cross check on internal validity. The use of triangulation thereby increases the robustness of results as the findings can be strengthened through cross-validation of multiple data sources (Benbasat et al. 1987).

The operations of major university business incubators and technology business incubators to enhance technology commercialisation in Thailand are shown in <Table 4>. The

case study focuses on leading university business incubators (cases of Mahidol University, Chulalongkorn University and King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi) and national technology business incubators (cases of the National Science and Technology Development Agency and National Innovation Agency). The UBIs of Mahidol University, Chulalongkorn University and King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT) are selected because they represent major authorised university business incubators and also are recognised as major national research universities in Thailand. The National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA) and the National Innovation Agency (NIA) under the management of the Ministry of Science and Technology are major technology business incubators providing incubation services to support the entrepreneurial process. The operation of NSTDA's Science Park and NIA's Innovation Park is structured in clusters to provide infrastructure for facilitating technology transfers of UBIs. The linkages between NSTDA's Science Park and NIA's Innovation Park with UBIs help utilise the university research-based knowledge and increase the survival rate of university spin-offs.

The incubation program is one of the policy mechanisms to support innovation in SMEs in Thailand. The UBI program was coordinated by the Office of Commission on Higher Education (CHE) and universities to provide entrepreneurial mentoring and advisory services. Most UBIs were set up in 2004-2005 (as can be seen in <Table 4>) to support high potential projects which could be further developed to become university spin-off companies. In other words, UBIs support university faculties, researchers and students to start new ventures from research outputs/projects. Currently, UBIs of Mahidol University, Chulalongkorn University and King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT) received USD 3.09-15.43 annually to develop their R&D capacities. In 2013, the Office of Commission on Higher Education (CHE), Ministry of Education, has set up a USD 172 million venture capital (VC) fund to support entrepreneurial start-ups with an aim to create 5,000 - 10,000 new enterprises annually. <Table 5> provides the other statistics regarding the performance of the national research universities in Thailand in recent years (Years 2011-2012).

Table 4. The operations of major university business incubators and technology business incubators in Thailand

	University business incubators			Technology business incubators	
Name of BIs	 Mahidol University	 Chulalongkorn University	 King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT)	 National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA)	 National Innovation Agency (NIA)
Year of establishment	2004	2004	2005	2001	2011
Incubating policies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policies focused on supporting start-up companies and projects having commercial potential • The incubator has clear policies and mechanisms to form spin-off companies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policies focused on providing true incubation services with intellectual property (IP) management and VC support programs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policies focused on using the networks within and outside the university in making use of KMUTT research laboratories, university personnel/ faculties and providing marketing services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policies focused on funding early-stage firms to provide incubation support via Science Park and Software Park • Provide incubation services according to the venture life cycle stages of pre-incubation, incubation and post-incubation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policies focused on risk reduction investments • Provide late stage investments to finance firms already generating sales with private sector financial holding
Area of support	Healthcare, medicine, life sciences, medical equipment, biological and biochemical materials	Agricultural technology, health and medical technology, educational technology	Material science, biochemistry, composites materials, nanotechnology, agricultural technology	Major technologies of genetic engineering, biotechnology, metal, materials, electronics, computer, nanotechnology	Major technologies of bio-business, eco-industry, design and solutions
Operational functions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide technology commicalisation programs, inventor forum, entrepreneurial know-how and training services • Establish Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) plant for drug production • Support the university spin-offs through Stang Holding Company Limited 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement the cluster support program in line with the national clusters and competitiveness agenda • The incubator is managed under the University Intellectual Property Institute to incubate firms that use technology developed by the university • Provide entrepreneurial support to students and alumni interested in developing early-stage ventures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide matched funding, training and advisory services in marketing new product development • Establish collaborative links with NSTDA's Industrial Technology Assistance Program (ITAP) program to support entrepreneurial start-ups • Set up Student Entrepreneur Club to encourage new start-up businesses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project-based operation supporting research projects in the stages of pre-incubation, incubation and post-incubation • Cooperation and coordination with financial institutions to provide financial assistance and with the Revenue Department to provide 200% R&D tax relief 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Facilitate access to finance via collaboration with 9 financial institutions and make appropriate referrals to network of specialists • Innovation Park supports innovation-based projects with grants, zero-interest rate loans and VC financing
No. of patents granted to university from 1995-2004	15	19	36	n/a	n/a

Source: The author's design

Table 5. The performance of the national research universities in Thailand

Activities		2011		2012	
		Target	Output	Target	Output
Academic performance	- Publication	2,008	2,078	1,538	1,145
	- Patent	86	124	93	98
Postgraduate education	- Enrolment of graduates	1,654	2,321	1,061	1,384

Source: The author's design, based on the Office of Commission on Higher Education

The rate of university's technology commercialization is very low in Thailand. This problem is due partly to the lack of financial support such as venture capital financing and angel financing to support SMEs as well as ineffective networks/linkages between the university and the industrial sector to help the process of technology transfer and innovation commercialization. As a result, most of the university research is in the embryonic stage and could not reach the marketplace. Although the government has introduced various entrepreneurship policies/programs to support SMEs, the public innovation schemes for SMEs are seen as inefficient and bureaucratic, obstructing the process of commercialising university research. The main problem is a lack of policy coherence among the government agencies dealing with SMEs as many programs are overlapping among those launched by the Ministry of Science and Technology and the Ministry of Industry. Further, there is a limitation in terms of providing finance to SMEs due to scarcity of VC funds and private equity investments. There are no networks of venture capitalists for firms seeking venture funding. Furthermore, Thailand has no angel financing (informal risk capital) networks to support entrepreneurial development. The start-up firms are thus limited in getting access to wider investment opportunities. Also, the university researchers suffer from a lack of government funding support and discontinuities of operations due to frequent changes of the government and policies.

5. MODEL OF UNIVERSITY TECHNOLOGY COMMERCIALISATION IN THAILAND

The incubator policy to assist SMEs can be seen as an im-

portant link between academia and industry under the Triple Helix model <Fig. 2>. Although the university business incubator (UBI) mechanism has been implemented to foster linkages between university and industry, the process of commercialising university intellectual properties (IPs) through licensing/technology transfer office is not very successful in Thailand. During the years 1995-2004, there were 140 patents awarded to the universities but only 6 of them were transferred to industry, showing the low level of university research commercialisation (Krisnachinda 2009).

Based on the Triple Helix model of tri-lateral networks among the government, university and industry (<Fig. 2>), <Fig. 4> presents the model of university technology commercialisation in Thailand. The technology clusters of Science Park in the Northern Bangkok and Technopolis or Innovation Park in a metropolitan area were established to emulate the success of US Silicon Valley. The Science Park is situated in the area surrounded by universities and industries. In the Northern Bangkok Industrial Cluster, Asian Institute of Technology (AIT), Sirindhorn International Institute of Technology (SIIT), Thammasat University, Rangsit University, Bangkok University were formed near the areas of Navanakorn, Rojana, Bangkradi industrial estates to enhance R&D collaboration and commercialisation.

The Technopolis or Innovation Park of the National Innovation Agency is located at the nexus of universities in central business district of Bangkok. It is surrounded by many universities: Mahidol University, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, Kasetsart University, Chulalongkorn University. These universities are in the Bangkok metropolitan area and in close proximity to industrial estate and export zones.




Fig. 4. Model of university technology commercialisation in Thailand

Source: The author's design

Although the national clusters are established to improve the capacity to innovate, the linkages and interactions among the institutions are relatively weak. That is to say, while the Triple Helix settings are represented by the clusters shown in <Fig. 4>, there is a lack of active interactions among the state/government, industry and academia. Under the Triple Helix model, the successful commercialisation of university technologies needs strong interactions among academia, industry, policies and stimuli supported by the government. To encourage the process of university research commercialisation, the operation of UBIs should have close coordination with the commercialised unit of university technology transfer office/technology licensing office. Further, the process of commercialisation needs financial and tax incentives to improve IP exploitation and promote IP commercialisation apart from the government incentive of 200% tax deduction for R&D expenses.

It is argued that the UBIs should work collaboratively with the government agencies such as NSTDA Science Park and NIA Technopolis/Innovation Park to promote the utilisation of university research. In particular, the networks of angel and venture capital investors should be established and main-

tained closely with the university incubators. Given the difficulties faced by firms in accessing financial resources during their early stages of business development, the UBIs should act as an intermediary to give advice and guidance in helping start-up firms get access to alternative sources of finance. In the future, the move towards the entrepreneurial university may need the university-owned VC fund to facilitate technology transfer and commercialisation. To catalyse cluster development, the key performance indicator (KPI) should include the number of university spin-offs as measurement of incubator performance. In line with the knowledge-based strategy for economic growth of Thailand, the government policies on university financing should be developed to increase efficiency in business incubation and technology commercialisation.

6. CONCLUSIONS

This study explores the process of technology transfer and commercialization in the case of Thailand. It is focused on the

entrepreneurial development through the university business incubation process with the purpose to provide the theoretical and managerial implications for commercialization of technology. The analyses of results, based on the Triple Helix model, provide interesting theoretical and managerial implications to support the development of technological and innovative capabilities as follows:

Theoretical implications

The Thailand entrepreneurial development experience, based on the Triple Helix model, has shown the importance of the government role in enhancing the national innovative capacity. The Triple Helix model emphasizes the integration of three institutional spheres (university-industry-government relations). The logic of the Triple Helix system is similar to the national innovation system in that the Triple Helix system emphasizes the links between universities and industries to support the commercialization of technology. The analyses have shown that the Thai government has introduced various policies and programs to encourage the creation of new entrepreneurs, for example, the SME Promotion Master Plan, the Bank of Thailand Financial Sector Master Plan, the National Economic and Social Development Plan. Indeed, the Triple Helix model has conceptualized the roles and activities of university, industry and government to increase the effectiveness of technology commercialization.

Managerial implications

The analyses of leading university business incubators (UBIs) as well as major science and technology incubators in Thailand provide important managerial implications regarding the strategies for commercialization of technology. In view of building up the innovation capacities, it is argued that the government should function as a catalyst in the process of techno-economic development. This study has shown that the technology clusters of Science Park in the Northern Bangkok and Technopolis/ Innovation Park provide necessary infrastructure that could help reduce the risks in new venture formation. In moving towards the entrepreneurial university, Thailand would require improvements of entrepreneurship policy to facilitate university-industry collaboration which would increase the commercial potential of university research. The results of this study also provide some useful lessons to other developing countries in the process of technological catch-ups. For other countries considering the use of government policies to support transfer and commercialisation of R&D, this research

study has shown that the aspect of fostering linkages and appropriate structural transformation within the Triple Helix system is an important factor to stimulate innovation development and diffusion.

REFERENCES

- Archibugi, D., and Michie, J. (1997) "Technological Globalisation or National Systems of Innovation," *Futures* 29(2) : 121-137.
- Barrow, C. (2001) *Incubators: A Realist's Guide to the World's Business Accelerators*, Wiley, Chichester.
- Benbasat, I., Goldstein, D.K., and Mead, M. (1987) "The Case Research Strategy in Studies of Information Systems," *MIS Quarterly* 11(3) : 369-386.
- Bøllingtoft, A. (2012) "The bottom-up business incubator: Leverage to networking and cooperation practices in a self-generated, entrepreneurial-enabled environment," *Technovation* 32(5) : 304-315.
- Bøllingtoft, A., and Ulhøi, J.P. (2005) "The networked business incubator—leveraging entrepreneurial agency?," *Journal of Business Venturing* 20(2) : 265-290.
- Eisenhardt, K.M., (1989) "Building Theories from Case Study research," *Academy of Management Review* 14(4) : 532-550.
- Etzkowitz, H. (2002) "Incubation of Incubators: Innovation as a Triple Helix of University-Industry-Government Networks," *Science and Public Policy* 29 (2): 115-128.
- Etzkowitz, H. (2004) "The evolution of the entrepreneurial university," *International Journal of Technology and Globalization* 1(1) : 64-77.
- Etzkowitz, H. (2011) "Creative Reconstruction: From Isolated Innovation Mechanisms to A Triple Helix Regime," *International Journal of Technoentrepreneurship* 2(3-4) : 210-226.
- Etzkowitz, H., and Leydesdorff, L. (1998) "A Triple Helix of University-Industry-Government Relations," *Industry and Higher Education* 12(4): 197-258.
- Etzkowitz, H., and Leydesdorff, L. (2000) "The Dynamics of Innovation: From National Systems and 'Mode 2' to a Triple Helix of University-Industry-Government Relations," *Research Policy* 29 : 109-123.
- Freeman, C. (1987) *National Systems of Innovation: the Case of Japan Technology Policy and Economics Performance: Lessons from Japan*, Pinter Publishers, London.

- Gay, B., and Dousset, B. (2005) "Innovation and network structural dynamics: Study of the alliance network of a major sector of the biotechnology industry," *Research Policy* 34 : 1457-1475.
- Krisnachinda, N. (2009) "Thailand's experience in fostering university-industry partnership," *WIPO development of university-industry partnerships for the promotion of innovation and transfer of technology Thai Report*, World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO).
- Livingston, J. (2007) *Founders at work: Stories of startups' early days*, Apress, Berkeley, CA.
- Lofsten, H., and Lindelof, P. (2005) "R&D networks and product innovation patterns academic and non-academic new technology-based firms on science parks," *Technovation* 28 : 277-290.
- Lundvall, B. (1998) "Why study national systems and national styles of innovation?," *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management* 10(4) : 407-422.
- McAdam, M., and McAdam, R. (2008) "High-tech start-ups in university science park incubators: the relationship between the start-up's lifecycle progression and use of the incubator's resources," *Technovation* 28 : 277-290.
- McEvily, S.K., Eisenhardt, K.M., and Prescott, J.E. (2004) "The global acquisition, leverage, and protection of technological competencies," *Strategic Management Journal* 25 : 713-722.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (1997) *Technology Incubators: Nurturing Small Firms*, Paris.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2010) *High-growth enterprises: What governments can do to make a difference*, OECD studies on SMEs and entrepreneurship, OECD Publishing, Paris.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2011) *OECD Studies on SMEs and Entrepreneurship Thailand: Key Issues and Policies*, Paris.
- Peters, L., Rice, M., and Malavika, S. (2004) "The role of the incubators in the entrepreneurial Process," *Journal of Technology Transfer* 29 : 83-91.
- Rogers, E.M. (2003) *Diffusion of innovation*, 5th edition, The Free Press, New York.
- Rothschild, L., and Darr, A. (2005) "Technological incubators and the social construction of innovation networks: an Israeli case study," *Technovation* 25(1) : 59-67.
- Wonglimpiyarat, J. (2010) "Commercialisation Strategies of Technology: Lessons From Silicon Valley," *Journal of Technology Transfer* 35(2) : 225-236.
- Yin, R. (1994) *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*, Sage, Beverly Hills.
- Zahra, S.A., and Nambisan, S. (2012) "Entrepreneurship and strategic thinking in business ecosystems," *Business Horizons* 55 : 219-229.

WEBSITES

- University Business Incubators, accessed 25 March 2014, <http://www.ubi.mua.go.th>
- Office of the Higher Education Commission, Ministry of Education, Thailand, accessed 26 March 2014, <http://www.inter.mua.go.th/>