Clinics in Shoulder and Elbow Vol. 17, No. 3, September, 2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.5397/cise.2014.17.3.145

# **Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty in the Massive Rotator Cuff Tear**

#### Jin Young Jeong, Hong Eun Cha

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, The Catholic University of Korea, St. Vincent's Hospital, Suwon, Korea

In the patients of retracted massive rotator cuff tears, there are much of difficulty to functional recovery and pain relief. Nevertheless the development of treatment, there are still debates of the best treatments in the massive rotator cuff tears. Recently various of treatments are introduced; these are acromioplasty with debridement, biceps tenotomy, great tuberoplasty with biceps tenotomy, partial repair, mini-open rotator cuff repair, arthroscopic rotator cuff repair, soft tissue augmentation, tendon transfer, flap, hemiarthroplasty, and reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. That there is no difference of result for reverse total shoulder arthroplasty between patients who have massive rotator cuff tear without arthritis and patients who have cuff tear arthropathy. Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty is one of reliable and successful treatment options for massive rotator cuff tear. Especially it is more effective for patients who have a pseudoparalysis. (Clin Shoulder Elb 2014;17(3):145-150)

Key Words: Reverse total shoulder arthropalsty; Massive rotator cuff tear; Pseudoparalysis; Deltoid dysfunction

## Introduction

Patients with retracted massive rotator cuff tears have much difficulty in functional recovery and pain relief. Despite of the development of treatment, there are still debates about the best treatments in the massive rotator cuff tears. Recently, various of treatments are introduced; these are acromioplasty with debridement,<sup>1,2)</sup> biceps tenotomy,<sup>3)</sup> tuberoplasty with biceps tenotomy,<sup>4)</sup> partial repair,<sup>5,6)</sup> mini-open rotator cuff repair,<sup>7,8)</sup> complete arthroscopic rotator cuff repair,<sup>9,10)</sup> soft tissue augmentation,<sup>11,12)</sup> tendon transfer,<sup>13,14)</sup> deltoid flap,<sup>15,16)</sup> hemiarthroplasty,<sup>17)</sup> and reverse total shoulder arthroplasty.<sup>18)</sup>

Generally, 'massive rotator cuff tear' means that more than 5 cm sized tear or more than 2 of 4 rotators' complete tear lesions, or both of them.<sup>1)</sup> But there is a tendency to use the name of 'massive rotator cuff tear' extensively for various different symptoms. Potential healing capacity of rotator cuff and technically satisfied repair are considered more important than size of rotator cuff tear.<sup>19)</sup> In many cases of acute rotator cuff tear, it is possible to repair it if there is some degree of mobility even though more than 2 cuff lesions are involved.

proven treatments in the cuff tear arthropathy.<sup>20,21)</sup> But if there are not much injuries in articular cartilage of humeral head, arthroplasty is not an easy treatment to choose for both doctors and patients. Therefore, to apply reverse total shoulder arthroplasty to who have massive rotator cuff tears without cuff tear arthropathy, first of all we should understand the mechanism of massive rotator cuff tear and shoulder instabilities.

## **Physiology and Mechanism**

Stability of gleno-humeral joint is formed by bony structures and soft tissue structures and the rotator cuff tendons provide dynamic stability for the shoulder by creating compressive force through centering the humeral head within the glenoid fossa,<sup>22,23)</sup> and are critical for glenohumeral stability throughout its range of motion.<sup>24)</sup> Normal state of rotator cuff tendons play a role as fulcrum to change the shear force by deltoid muscle to rotation force, enabling a shoulder elevation.<sup>25,26)</sup> But if there is absent of rotator cuff, this mechanism is lost. Therefore the shear force by deltoid muscle elevates humeral head and precludes the shoulder elevation.

Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty is one of effective and

A stable lever arm is formed by 'force couple' of rotator

Received July 6, 2014. Revised August 14, 2014. Accepted August 18, 2014.

Correspondence to: Hong Eun Cha

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, The Catholic University of Korea, St. Vincent's Hospital, 93 Jungbu-daero, Paldal-gu, Suwon 442-723, Korea **Tel:** +82-31-249-7180, **Fax:** +82-31-254-7186, **E-mail:** osjeong@hotmail.com

Financial support: None. Conflict of interests: None.

Copyright © 2014 Korean Shoulder and Elbow Society. All Rights Reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) pISSN 1226-9344 eISSN 2288-8721 cuff.<sup>27)</sup> While the rotator cuff creates a net inferior and compressive vector, the strong deltoid muscle provides a superiorly directed force, resulting in a balanced 'force coupling' of glenohumeral joint. In addition, anterior and posterior force vectors are balanced by the subscapularis, teres minor, and infraspinatus.<sup>28)</sup> If there is rotator cuff tear, compensation mechanism for loss of abduction force by rotator cuff increases the deltoid force.<sup>29,30)</sup> If an isolated supraspinatus tear is relatively small, glenohumeral stability is preserved with contraction of the large adductors (latissimus dorsi and pectoralis major muscles).<sup>30,31)</sup> However, if cuff tear lesion is extended to posterior area, it disturbs 'force couple' mechanism, so it induces loss of compensation.<sup>31)</sup> As a result, joint reaction force vector migrates outside the glenoid rim, and it causes instability of shoulder joint.<sup>30,31)</sup> The loss of a stable fulcrum induces pseudoparalysis or intermittent anterosuperior escape of upper arm (Fig. 1). But if partial or full repair of rotator cuff is possible, a recovery of 'force couple' could happen.<sup>8,12)</sup>

Pseudoparalysis is defined as a condition to elevate the arm passively but not actively, and can be caused in the absence of neurologic lesion.<sup>32)</sup> Surgeons must identify conditions that may clinically mimic pseudoparalysis caused by a rotator cuff tear, such as axillary nerve injury, deltoid dehiscence, or cervical radiculopathy.<sup>33)</sup> Also, it is important to distinguish between true pseduoparalysis and limitation of motion induced by pain. Careful inspection is needed to find out the possibility of anterosuperior escape of humeral head during forward flexion or abduction of arm. And examiners should check whether the angle of arm is maintained during passive forward flexion (90–120 degree) to confirm the symptoms by massive rotator cuff tear. In massive rotator cuff tear, reverse articular surface prevents the upper migration, recovers deltoid tension and attributes the stability of



Fig. 1. Antero-posterior radiograph of the right shoulder showing superior migration of the humeral head, which is indicative of a massive rotator cuff tear.

shoulder joint. Also, superiorly directed force of deltoid is converted to rotation force, enabling elevation of arm.<sup>34)</sup>

## **Indications and Contraindications**

In the preoperative macro resonance imaging (MRI), it is unlikely to benefit from repair in case of more than 40 mm length and width of tear lesion, fatty degeneration at the infraspinatus, and thinning of supraspinatus at the superior glenoid area.<sup>35)</sup> Also, large (>5 cm) tears that involve two or more rotator cuff tendons with atrophy and a high degree of fatty infiltration (Goutallier stage 3 or 4) are unlikely to benefit from attempts of surgical repair.<sup>27,36,37)</sup> Like this, patients who have irreparable massive rotator cuff tear lesions are to take reverse total shoulder arthroplasty into consideration. Eligible candidates for reverse total shoulder arthroplasty should demonstrate forward elevation of less than 90 degree, and have weakness of the rotator cuff musculature on physical examination.<sup>18)</sup> Often these patients would have no problem in full passive shoulder motion.<sup>33)</sup>

Indications for reverse total shoulder arthroplasty are; First, who have old age, multiple underlying diseases such as diabetes mellitus, smokes or chronic rotator cuff tear lesion that is less capable to be healed, painful symptoms under the physical examinations, less than 90 degree of forward flexion and retracted rotator cuff without upper migration of humeral head under the MRI.<sup>37.44)</sup> Second, patients who have massive rotator cuff tear with pseudoparalysis, pain and apparent anterosuperior escapse of humeral head are also indicated.<sup>19,45-47)</sup>

But patients who have massive rotator cuff tear with abnormal function of deltoid are contraindicated for reverse total shoulder arthroplasty.<sup>33)</sup> That is, in cases of cervical myelopathy, previous open rotator cuff repair history, or axillary nerve palsy, good results are not expected. Cervical myelopathy and deltoid disfunction are not guaranteed for successful results of reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. We should consider the cervical myelopathy when patients have pain along the peripheral nerve dermatome, radiating pain from elbow to fingers, and pain with neck extension or flexion. Preoperative evaluation of the deltoid function is necessary, especially for those who underwent previous open rotator cuff repair. Above mentioned, there is no satisfactory result for patients who have deltoid disfunction.33,36) Besides, isolated supraspinatus tear and possibility of full range forward flexion are also contraindicated for reverse total shoulder arthroplasty.<sup>36)</sup>

#### Results

Werner et al.<sup>48)</sup> reported that the reoperation rate was lower and the final Constant score was higher in patients who were initially treated with a primary reverse shoulder arthroplasty than in patients who were treated with a reverse shoulder arthroplasty after a prior failed rotator cuff repair or failed arthroplasty. Cuff et al.<sup>49)</sup> reported similar improved outcomes in primary use of reverse shoulder arthroplasty, compared with use in revision settings. Whereas primary and revision arthroplasties resulted in similar improvements in the range of motion, the pain and relative Constant scores were significantly higher following the primary procedures.<sup>48)</sup> Wall et al.<sup>50)</sup> described that there is no difference in the result from reverse total shoulder arthroplasty between patients who have massive rotator cuff tear without arthritis and patients who have cuff tear arthropathy. But Boileau et al.<sup>18)</sup> described that patients who have preoperative limitation of motions are expected better results than patients who have preoperative full range of motions. Patients who have preoperative full range of motions are reported decrease of 24 degree forward flexion, less increase of Constant score, and more increase of dissatisfaction index after reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. Mulieri et al.<sup>51)</sup> described that results of 60 patients without arthritis who underwent reverse total shoulder arthroplasty show the increase of American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons score from 33.3 to 75.4, which is similar results of patients with arthropathy. Besides, visual analog pain score is improved from 6.3 to 1.9, simple shoulder test score is from 1.6 to 6.5, and visual analog score for function is from 3.2 to 7.1. And also in the range of motions, there are increase of forward flexion from 53 to 134 degree, increase of external rotation from 27 to 51 degree, and increase of internal rotation from S1 to L2. That is, there are relatively good results in patients who have massive rotator cuff tear regardless of having arthritis.<sup>33)</sup> Generally, there is better result in the primary reverse total shoulder arthropasty groups compared to secondary reverse total shoulder arthroplasty groups with previous rotator cuff repair.48) However, patients who have preoperative pseudoparalysis are expected better results and satisfactions than patients who have spared range of motions (>90 forward flexion).<sup>33</sup>

# Complications

Various complications of reverse total shoulder arthroplasty are reported. However, the summary of reported complications represents the series of common aspects. Most common complication is scapular notching.<sup>20,48)</sup> In case of lateralized rotation axis of glenosphere, decrease complication of scapular notching is reported.<sup>30,49)</sup> In the biomechanical studies, medialized rotation of glenosphere induces relatively frequent increase of scapular notching.<sup>52,53)</sup> Some studies reported that postoperative dislocation is most common complications.<sup>48,49)</sup> Although it is not reported in all of studies, postoperative infection rates (16%) are relatively increased after the revisional arthroplasty.<sup>20)</sup> History of previous operations increased the frequency of potential complications and reoperations.<sup>48)</sup> And also history of previous operations decreased the survival rate of implants.<sup>51)</sup> Postoperative acromion fractures are also one of common complications.<sup>21,54)</sup> The reason of this complications is still unclear, but it frequently occurs at the base of acromion and also occurs at the shaft of clavicle (Fig. 2). A common presentation of acromion fracture appears after direct trauma, intense exercise or excessive manipulation.<sup>33)</sup> But trauma is not necessary condition for acromion fracuture, and insufficient fracture by intense tension of deltoid is also one of reasons.<sup>55)</sup>

Though according to recent reports, there is no much relationship between humeral lengthening by intense tension of deltoid and acromion fracture.<sup>56)</sup> And preoperative acromion fracture and Os acromiale do not have an adverse effect on postoperative prognosis.<sup>54)</sup> Boileau et al.<sup>18)</sup> reported a 8.7% complication ratio; these were dissociation of implants, axillary mass, periprosthetic fracture and aseptic loosening.

Mulieri et al.<sup>51)</sup> described a 20% complication ratio in the patients without arthritis. In his study, there was 4 metallic baseplate failures caused by design of prosthesis. After modified design of prosthesis, there was no metallic baseplate failure. Except the case of metallic baseplate failure, complication ratio



Fig. 2. Acromial fracture (arrows) following reverse shoulder arthroplasty.

Table 1. Causes of perioperative complications in the reverse total shoulder arthroplasty

Causes of postoperative complication
Heterotopic ossification
Periprosthetic fracture
Acromion fracture
Dislocation
Causes of intraoperative complication
Poor screw fixation
Center hole drille
Calcar fracture
Humeral shaft fracture
No anterior screw
Glenoid cavity fracture

was about 13%; these were 3 acromion fracture, 1 periprosthetic fracture, 1 dislocation, 1 screw breakage, 1 hematoma and 1 deep infection. There are another complications such as heterotopic ossification (10%). Shoulder dislocation (2.5%), and periprosthetic fracture with stem loosening were reported (Table 1). Frequency of complications between arthritis group and nonarthritic group made no significant difference.<sup>48)</sup>

# Conclusion

Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty is one of reliable and successful treatment options for massive irreparable rotator cuff tear without arthritis especially for those who are experiencing shoulder instability and pseudoparalysis, but non arthroplasty options either have failed or had a low likelihood of success. RTSA has been shown to increase patient function and decrease pain. Although RTSA is revolutionized treatment of rotator cuff deficient shoulder, its use must be tempered toward the appropriate patients. In case of appropriately selected patients, comparable functional improvement, pain relief, and patient satisfaction can be expected. There are still many complications related to this procedure. However, with stringent patient selection and proper surgical technique, high patient satisfaction scores are typically achieved at the time of short to intermediate-term follow-up. Further studies are required to evaluate the efficacy these devices bring in the long term.

#### References

- Burkhart SS. Arthroscopic treatment of massive rotator cuff tears. Clinical results and biomechanical rationale. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1991;(267):45-56.
- 2. Gartsman GM. Massive, irreparable tears of the rotator cuff.

Results of operative debridement and subacromial decompression. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1997;79(5):715-21.

- 3. Walch G, Edwards TB, Boulahia A, Nové-Josserand L, Neyton L, Szabo I. Arthroscopic tenotomy of the long head of the biceps in the treatment of rotator cuff tears: clinical and radiographic results of 307 cases. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2005;14(3):238-46.
- 4. Verhelst L, Vandekerckhove PJ, Sergeant G, Liekens K, Van Hoonacker P, Berghs B. Reversed arthroscopic subacromial decompression for symptomatic irreparable rotator cuff tears: mid-term follow-up results in 34 shoulders. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2010;19(4):601-8.
- Burkhart SS. Partial repair of massive rotator cuff tears: the evolution of a concept. Orthop Clin North Am. 1997;28(1):125-32.
- Duralde XA, Bair B. Massive rotator cuff tears: the result of partial rotator cuff repair. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2005;14(2):121-7.
- Hanusch BC, Goodchild L, Finn P, Rangan A. Large and massive tears of the rotator cuff: functional outcome and integrity of the repair after a mini-open procedure. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2009;91(2):201-5.
- Papadopoulos P, Karataglis D, Boutsiadis A, Fotiadou A, Christoforidis J, Christodoulou A. Functional outcome and structural integrity following mini-open repair of large and massive rotator cuff tears: a 3-5 year follow-up study. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2011;20(1):131-7.
- 9. Burkhart SS, Barth JR, Richards DP, Zlatkin MB, Larsen M. Arthroscopic repair of massive rotator cuff tears with stage 3 and 4 fatty degeneration. Arthroscopy. 2007;23(4):347-54.
- 10. Lafosse L, Brozska R, Toussaint B, Gobezie R. The outcome and structural integrity of arthroscopic rotator cuff repair with use of the double-row suture anchor technique. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2007;89(7):1533-41.
- Nada AN, Debnath UK, Robinson DA, Jordan C. Treatment of massive rotator-cuff tears with a polyester ligament (Dacron) augmentation: clinical outcome. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2010;92(10):1397-402.
- 12. Wong I, Burns J, Snyder S. Arthroscopic GraftJacket repair of rotator cuff tears. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2010;19(2 Suppl):104-9.
- Gerber C, Maquieira G, Espinosa N. Latissimus dorsi transfer for the treatment of irreparable rotator cuff tears. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2006;88(1):113-20.
- Weening AA, Willems WJ. Latissimus dorsi transfer for treatment of irreparable rotator cuff tears. Int Orthop. 2010;34(8):1239-44.
- Glanzmann MC, Goldhahn J, Flury M, Schwyzer HK, Simmen BR. Deltoid flap reconstruction for massive rotator cuff tears: mid- and long-term functional and structural results. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2010;19(3):439-45.

- Lu XW, Verborgt O, Gazielly DF. Long-term outcomes after deltoid muscular flap transfer for irreparable rotator cuff tears. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2008;17(5):732-7.
- 17. Goldberg SS, Bell JE, Kim HJ, Bak SF, Levine WN, Bigliani LU. Hemiarthroplasty for the rotator cuff-deficient shoulder. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90(3):554-9.
- Boileau P, Gonzalez JF, Chuinard C, Bicknell R, Walch G. Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty after failed rotator cuff surgery. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2009;18(4):600-6.
- 19. Davidson J, Burkhart SS. The geometric classification of rotator cuff tears: a system linking tear pattern to treatment and prognosis. Arthroscopy. 2010;26(3):417-24.
- 20. Boileau P, Watkinson D, Hatzidakis AM, Hovorka I. Neer Award 2005: The Grammont reverse shoulder prosthesis: results in cuff tear arthritis, fracture sequelae, and revision arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2006;15(5):527-40.
- Frankle M, Siegal S, Pupello D, Saleem A, Mighell M, Vasey M. The Reverse Shoulder Prosthesis for glenohumeral arthritis associated with severe rotator cuff deficiency. A minimum twoyear follow-up study of sixty patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2005;87(8):1697-705.
- 22. Ackland DC, Pandy MG. Lines of action and stabilizing potential of the shoulder musculature. J Anat. 2009;215(2):184-97.
- 23. von Eisenhart-Rothe RM, Jäger A, Englmeier KH, Vogl TJ, Graichen H. Relevance of arm position and muscle activity on three-dimensional glenohumeral translation in patients with traumatic and atraumatic shoulder instability. Am J Sports Med. 2002;30(4):514-22.
- 24. Hurov J. Anatomy and mechanics of the shoulder: review of current concepts. J Hand Ther. 2009;22(4):328-42; quiz 43.
- 25. Lippitt S, Matsen F. Mechanisms of glenohumeral joint stability. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1993;(291):20-8.
- 26. Matsen FA 3rd, Harryman DT 2nd, Sidles JA. Mechanics of glenohumeral instability. Clin Sports Med. 1991;10(4):783-8.
- 27. Neri BR, Chan KW, Kwon YW. Management of massive and irreparable rotator cuff tears. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2009;18(5):808-18.
- 28. Burkhart SS. Fluoroscopic comparison of kinematic patterns in massive rotator cuff tears. A suspension bridge model. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1992;(284):144-52.
- 29. McCully SP, Suprak DN, Kosek P, Karduna AR. Suprascapular nerve block results in a compensatory increase in deltoid muscle activity. J Biomech. 2007;40(8):1839-46.
- Steenbrink F, de Groot JH, Veeger HE, van der Helm FC, Rozing PM. Glenohumeral stability in simulated rotator cuff tears. J Biomech. 2009;42(11):1740-5.
- Parsons IM, Apreleva M, Fu FH, Woo SL. The effect of rotator cuff tears on reaction forces at the glenohumeral joint. J Orthop Res. 2002;20(3):439-46.
- 32. Gerber C, Pennington SD, Nyffeler RW. Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2009;17(5):284-95.

- 33. Harreld KL, Puskas BL, Frankle MA. Massive rotator cuff tears without arthropathy: when to consider reverse shoulder arthroplasty. Instr Course Lect. 2012;61:143-56.
- 34. Nam D, Kepler CK, Neviaser AS, et al. Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty: current concepts, results, and component wear analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010;92 Suppl 2:23-35.
- 35. Sugihara T, Nakagawa T, Tsuchiya M, Ishizuki M. Prediction of primary reparability of massive tears of the rotator cuff on preoperative magnetic resonance imaging. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2003;12(3):222-5.
- 36. Drake GN, O'Connor DP, Edwards TB. Indications for reverse total shoulder arthroplasty in rotator cuff disease. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2010;468(6):1526-33.
- Goutallier D, Postel JM, Gleyze P, Leguilloux P, Van Driessche S. Influence of cuff muscle fatty degeneration on anatomic and functional outcomes after simple suture of full-thickness tears. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2003;12(6):550-4.
- 38. Baumgarten KM, Gerlach D, Galatz LM, et al. Cigarette smoking increases the risk for rotator cuff tears. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2010;468(6):1534-41.
- Boileau P, Brassart N, Watkinson DJ, Carles M, Hatzidakis AM, Krishnan SG. Arthroscopic repair of full-thickness tears of the supraspinatus: does the tendon really heal? J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2005;87(6):1229-40.
- 40. Gladstone JN, Bishop JY, Lo IK, Flatow EL. Fatty infiltration and atrophy of the rotator cuff do not improve after rotator cuff repair and correlate with poor functional outcome. Am J Sports Med. 2007;35(5):719-28.
- 41. Mallon WJ, Misamore G, Snead DS, Denton P. The impact of preoperative smoking habits on the results of rotator cuff repair. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2004;13(2):129-32.
- 42. Shen PH, Lien SB, Shen HC, Lee CH, Wu SS, Lin LC. Longterm functional outcomes after repair of rotator cuff tears correlated with atrophy of the supraspinatus muscles on magnetic resonance images. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2008;17(1 Suppl):1S-7S.
- 43. Tashjian RZ, Hollins AM, Kim HM, et al. Factors affecting healing rates after arthroscopic double-row rotator cuff repair. Am J Sports Med. 2010;38(12):2435-42.
- 44. Yoo JC, Ahn JH, Yang JH, Koh KH, Choi SH, Yoon YC. Correlation of arthroscopic repairability of large to massive rotator cuff tears with preoperative magnetic resonance imaging scans. Arthroscopy. 2009;25(6):573-82.
- 45. Ellman H, Hanker G, Bayer M. Repair of the rotator cuff. Endresult study of factors influencing reconstruction. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1986;68(8):1136-44.
- 46. Gerber C, Fuchs B, Hodler J. The results of repair of massive tears of the rotator cuff. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2000;82(4):505-15.
- 47. Rokito AS, Cuomo F, Gallagher MA, Zuckerman JD. Long-term functional outcome of repair of large and massive chronic tears

of the rotator cuff. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1999;81(7):991-7.

- 48. Werner CM, Steinmann PA, Gilbart M, Gerber C. Treatment of painful pseudoparesis due to irreparable rotator cuff dysfunction with the Delta III reverse-ball-and-socket total shoulder prosthesis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2005;87(7):1476-86.
- 49. Cuff D, Pupello D, Virani N, Levy J, Frankle M. Reverse shoulder arthroplasty for the treatment of rotator cuff deficiency. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90(6):1244-51.
- 50. Wall B, Nové-Josserand L, O'Connor DP, Edwards TB, Walch G. Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty: a review of results according to etiology. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2007;89(7):1476-85.
- 51. Mulieri P, Dunning P, Klein S, Pupello D, Frankle M. Reverse shoulder arthroplasty for the treatment of irreparable rotator cuff tear without glenohumeral arthritis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010;92(15):2544-56.
- 52. Gutiérrez S, Levy JC, Frankle MA, et al. Evaluation of ab-

duction range of motion and avoidance of inferior scapular impingement in a reverse shoulder model. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2008;17(4):608-15.

- 53. Nyffeler RW, Werner CM, Gerber C. Biomechanical relevance of glenoid component positioning in the reverse Delta III total shoulder prosthesis. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2005;14(5):524-8.
- 54. Walch G, Mottier F, Wall B, Boileau P, Molé D, Favard L. Acromial insufficiency in reverse shoulder arthroplasties. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2009;18(3):495-502.
- 55. Boileau P, Watkinson DJ, Hatzidakis AM, Balg F. Grammont reverse prosthesis: design, rationale, and biomechanics. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2005;14(1 Suppl S):147S-61S.
- Lädermann A, Williams MD, Melis B, Hoffmeyer P, Walch G. Objective evaluation of lengthening in reverse shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2009;18(4):588-95.