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#### Abstract

Suppose $G$ is a simple graph. The irregularity of $G, \operatorname{irr}(G)$, is the summation of $\operatorname{imb}(e)$ over all edges $u v=e \in G$, where $\operatorname{imb}(e)=$ $|\operatorname{deg}(u)-\operatorname{deg}(v)|$. In this paper, we investigate the behavior of this graph parameter under some old and new graph operations.
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## 1. Introduction

The degree-based graph invariants are parameters defined by degrees of vertices. The first of such graph parameters was introduced by Gutman and Trinajstić [10]. Suppose $G$ is a graph $e=u v \in E(G)$. The first Zagreb index of $G$ is defined as $M_{1}(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(v)^{2}$. There are a lot of works on Zagreb group invariants and interested readers can be consulted $[3,8,11,16,17]$ for more information on this topic. The imbalance of $e$ is defined as $\operatorname{imb}(e)=|\operatorname{deg}(u)-\operatorname{deg}(v)|$. The summation of imbalances over all edges of $G$ is denoted by $\operatorname{irr}(G)$. Albertson [2], named this parameter "irregularity" of the graph $G$. After this paper, there was a lot of research considering the irregularity index, see [12, 14, 15] for details. It is easy to see that $M_{1}(G)=\sum_{e=u v \in E(G)}[\operatorname{deg}(u)+\operatorname{deg}(v)]$. FathTabar [9], unaware from the seminal paper of Albertson and because of similarity between $M_{1}$ and $\operatorname{irr}$ used the term "third Zagreb index" for "irregularity".

Albertson [2] computed the maximum irregularity of various classes of graphs. As a consequence, he proved that the irregularity of an arbitrary graph with $n$ vertices is less than $\frac{4 n^{3}}{27}$, and this bound is tight. Some of the present authors [20], characterized the graphs with minimum and maximum values of irregularity. Luo and Zhou [18] determined the maximum irregularity of trees and unicyclic graphs with a given number of vertices and matching number. They also characterized

[^0]the extremal graphs with the mentioned property. Zhou and Luo [22], established an upper bound for $\operatorname{irr}(G)$ in terms of $n, m$, and $r$, where $n$ is the order of $G$, $m \geq 1$ is its size, and $G$ is assumed to have no complete subgraph of order $r+1$ where $2 \leq r \leq n-1$. They also provided new upper bounds for the irregularity of trees and unicyclic graphs. These are both functions of the number of pendant vertices of the graph under consideration. For each of these three inequalities, the authors supplied a characterization of all graphs which attain the bound. Henning and Rautenbach [15] obtained the structure of bipartite graphs having maximum possible irregularity with given cardinalities of its bipartition and given number of edges. They derived a result for bipartite graphs with given cardinalities of its bipartition and presented an upper bound on the irregularity of these graphs. In particular, they shown that if $G$ is a bipartite graph of order $n$ with a bipartition of equal cardinalities, then $\operatorname{irr}(G) \leq \frac{n^{3}}{27}$, while if $G$ is a bipartite graph with partite sets of cardinalities $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$, where $n_{1} \geq 2 n_{2}$, then $\operatorname{irr}(G) \leq \operatorname{irr}\left(K_{n_{1}, n_{2}}\right)$.

Abdo and Dimitrov [1] introduced the concept of total irregularity of a graph $G$ and obtain some exact formula for computing total irregularity of some old graph operations. The aim of this paper is to compute formulas for the regularity of graphs under some old and new graph invariants.

Throughout this paper the path, complete and star graphs of order $n$ are denoted by by $P_{n}, K_{n}$ and $S_{n}$, respectively. The degree of a vertex $v$ is denoted by $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$. We denote by $\Delta(G)$ the maximum degree of vertices of $G$.

Lemma 1.1. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n, n>2$ vertices. If $G$ has exactly $k$ pendant vertices then $\operatorname{irr}(G) \geqslant k$, with equality if and only if $G \cong P_{n}$.

Proof. Suppose $u$ is a pendant vertex of $G$ and $v \in V(G)$ is a vertex adjacent to $u$. Since $|V(G)|>2, \operatorname{deg}(v) \geqslant 2$ and so $|\operatorname{deg}(u)-\operatorname{deg}(v)| \geqslant 1$. But, $G$ has exactly $k$ pendant vertices, and so $\operatorname{irr}(G) \geqslant k$. Notice that $\operatorname{irr}(G)=k$ if and only if $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)=1$ or 2 , for each vertex $u \in V(G)$. This implies that $G \cong P_{n}$.

Corollary 1.2. Let $T$ be a tree with $\Delta(T)>1$. Then $\operatorname{irr}(T) \geqslant \Delta(T)$.
Proof. By assumption, $T$ has at least $\Delta(T)$ pendant vertices and so, by Lemma 1.1, $\operatorname{irr}(T) \geqslant \Delta(T)$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $T$ be a tree with $n>2$ vertices. Then

$$
2 \leqslant \operatorname{irr}(T) \leqslant(n-1)(n-2)
$$

and the lower bound is attained if and only if $T \cong P_{n}$. The upper bound is attained if and only if $T \cong S_{n}$.

Proof. Since $T$ is a tree with $n>2$ vertices, it has at least two pendant vertices and so, by lemma 1.1, $2 \leqslant \operatorname{irr}(T)$. On the other hand, it is not difficult to check that for each $u v \in E(T),|\operatorname{deg}(u)-\operatorname{deg}(v)| \leqslant n-2$ and $E(T)=n-1$. So, $\operatorname{irr}(T) \leqslant(n-1)(n-2)$. Notice that $S_{n}$ is the unique graph with $n-2$ as
difference of degrees between adjacent vertices. But by Lemma 1.1, $P_{n}$ is the unique tree that its third Zagreb index is equal to 2, as desired.

## 2. Main results

The join $G=G_{1}+G_{2}$, Figure 1, of graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ with disjoint vertex sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ and edge sets $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ is the graph union $G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ together with all the edges joining $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. For example, $\bar{K}_{n}+\bar{K}_{m}=K_{n, m}$. The composition $G=G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]$ of graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ with disjoint vertex sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ and edge sets $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ is the graph with vertex set $V_{1} \times V_{2}$ and $u=\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ is adjacent with $v=\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ whenever ( $u_{1}$ is adjacent to $u_{2}$ ) or ( $u_{1}=u_{2}$ and $v_{1}$ is adjacent to $v_{2}$ ) [13]. For instance, $P_{2}\left[\bar{K}_{n}\right]=K_{n, n}$.


Figure 1. The Join of $\bar{K}_{2}$ and $\bar{K}_{3}$.


Figure 2. The Strong Product of $C_{5}$ and $K_{2}$.
The Strong product $G \boxtimes H$, Figure 2, of graphs $G$ and $H$ has the vertex set $V(G \boxtimes H)=V(G) \times V(H)$ and $(a, x)(b, y)$ is an edge of $G \boxtimes H$ if $a=b$ and $x y \in E(H)$, or $a b \in E(G)$ and $x=y$, or $a b \in E(G)$ and $x y \in E(H)$. As an example, $C_{n} \boxtimes K_{2}$ is the closed fence. The tensor product $G \otimes H$, Figure 3, is defined as the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and $E(G \otimes H)=$ $\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \mid u_{1} v_{1} \in E(G)\right.$ and $\left.u_{2} v_{2} \in E(H)\right\}$. For example, $C_{n} \otimes P_{2}=C_{2 n}$. The corona product $G o H$, Figure 4, is obtained by taking one copy of $G$ and $|V(G)|$ copies of $H$; and by joining each vertex of the $i$-th copy of $H$ to the $i$-th vertex of $G, 1 \leq i \leq|V(G)|[19]$. For example, $K_{1} o \bar{K}_{n}=S_{n}$. Finally, for a connected graph $G, R(G)$ is a graph obtained from $G$ by adding a new vertex corresponding to each edge of $G$, then joining each new vertex to the end vertices of the corresponding edge [21]. For example, we can see $R\left(P_{2}\right)=K_{3}$.

It is well-known that the Cartesian product of graphs can be recognized efficiently, in time $O(m \log n)$ for a graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges [22]. This operation is commutative and associative as an operation on isomorphism classes of graphs, but it is not commutative. The Cartesian product is not a product in the category of graphs, but the tensor product is the categorical product.


Figure 3. The Tensor Product of Two Graphs.


Figure 4. The Corona Product of Two Graphs.

Suppose $G$ and $H$ are graphs with disjoint vertex sets. Following Došlić [8], for given vertices $y \in V(G)$ and $z \in V(H)$ a splice of $G$ and $H$ by vertices $y$ and $z,(G \cdot H)(y ; z)$, is defined by identifying the vertices $y$ and $z$ in the union of $G$ and $H$. Similarly, a link of $G$ and $H$ by vertices $y$ and $z$ is defined as the graph $(G \sim H)(y ; z)$ obtained by joining $y$ and $z$ by an edge in the union of these graphs. Let $H$ is a tree of progressive degree $p$ and generation $r$ that whose root vertex is $r_{1}$. Also, let $D D_{p, r}$ be the graph of the regular dicentric dendrimer, Figure 6. So, it is clear that $D D_{p, r}=(H \sim H)\left(r_{1} ; r_{1}\right)$.
Lemma 2.1. Suppose $G$ and $H$ are rooted graphs with respect to the rooted vertices of $a$ and $b$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{irr}(G)+\operatorname{irr}(H) & -2 \operatorname{deg}_{G}(a) \operatorname{deg}_{H}(b) \leqslant \operatorname{irr}((G \cdot H)(a ; b)) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{irr}(G)+\operatorname{irr}(H)+2 \operatorname{deg}_{G}(a) \operatorname{deg}_{H}(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and the upper bound is attained if and only if for every vertex $u \in V(G)$ that $u a \in E(G), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(a) \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)$ and for every vertex $v \in V(H)$ that $v b \in E(H)$, $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(b) \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)$. Moreover, the lower bound is attained if and only if for each
edge $a u \in E(G), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)-\operatorname{deg}_{G}(a) \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{H}(b)$ and for each edge $b v \in E(H)$, $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)-\operatorname{deg}_{H}(b) \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G}(a)$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the definition of splice of two graphs.
In a similar way, by definition of link of two graphs, we have:
Lemma 2.2. Suppose $G$ and $H$ are rooted graphs with respect to the rooted vertices of $a$ and $b$, respectively. Then
and the upper bound is attained if and only if for every vertex $u \in V(G)$ that $u a \in E(G), \operatorname{deg}_{G}(a) \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)$ and for every vertex $v \in V(H)$ that $v b \in E(H)$, $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(b) \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)$. Moreover, the lower bound is attained if and only if for every vertex $u \in V(G)$ that $u a \in E(G)$, $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(a)<\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)$ and for every vertex $v \in V(H)$ that $v b \in E(H), \operatorname{deg}_{H}(b)<\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then $\operatorname{irr}(G)=0$ if and only if $G$ is regular.

The line graph $L(G)$ of a graph $G$ is defined as follows: each vertex of $L(G)$ represents an edge of $G$, and any two vertices of $L(G)$ are adjacent if and only if their corresponding edges share a common endpoint in $G$ [21].
Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then $\operatorname{irr}(L(S(G)))=\operatorname{irr}(G)$.
Proof. We assume that $u v$ is an edge of $L(G)$ that $u$ and $v$ are vertices corresponding to edges $w x$ and $w y$ of $G$, respectively. Then $\left|d e g_{L(G)}(u)-\operatorname{deg}_{L(G)}(v)\right|=$ $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)-\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right|$. To compute the third Zagreb index of $L(G)$, it is enough to calculate the summation of all degree differences of vertices of distance 2 in $L(G)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{irr}(L(S(G)))=\operatorname{irr}(G)$.

In the next result, the relationship between strong and tensor products of two graphs under the third Zagreb index is investigated.

Lemma 2.5. Let $G$ and $H$ be graphs. Then
$\operatorname{irr}(G \boxtimes H)-\operatorname{irr}(G \otimes H) \leqslant(|V(G)|+4|E(G)|) \operatorname{irr}(H)+(|V(H)|+4|E(H)|) \operatorname{irr}(G)$.
Proof. The summation of $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G \boxtimes H}(u)-\operatorname{deg}_{G \boxtimes H}(v)\right|$ over all edges $(a, x)(b, y)$ such that $(a=b$ and $x y \in E(H))$ or $(x=y$ and $a b \in E(G))$, is equal to:

$$
(|V(G)|+2|E(G)|) \operatorname{irr}(H)+(|V(H)|+2|E(H)|) \operatorname{irr}(G) .
$$

On the other hand, for each edge $(a, x)(b, y)$ of $G \boxtimes H$ that $a b \in E(G)$ and $x y \in E(H)$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\operatorname{deg}_{G \boxtimes H}((a, x))-\operatorname{deg}_{G \boxtimes H}((b, y))\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G}(a)+\operatorname{deg}_{H}(x)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(a) \operatorname{deg}_{H}(x)-\operatorname{deg}_{G}(b)-\operatorname{deg}_{H}(y)-\operatorname{deg}_{G}(b) \operatorname{deg}_{H}(y)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G}(a)-\operatorname{deg}_{G}(b)\right|+\left|\operatorname{deg}_{H}(x)-\operatorname{deg}_{H}(y)\right|+\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G}(a) \operatorname{deg}_{H}(x)-\operatorname{deg}_{G}(b) \operatorname{deg}_{H}(y)\right| \\
& \leqslant\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G}(a)-\operatorname{deg}_{G}(b)\right|+\left|\operatorname{deg}_{H}(x)-\operatorname{deg}_{H}(y)\right|+\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G \otimes H}((a, x))-\operatorname{deg}_{G \otimes H}((b, y))\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(a, x)(b, y)} \mid & \left|\operatorname{deg}_{G \boxtimes H}((a, x))-d e g_{G \boxtimes H}((b, y))\right| \\
& \leqslant 2|E(H)| \operatorname{irr}(G)+2|E(G)| \operatorname{irr}(H)+\operatorname{irr}(G \otimes H),
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
Lemma 2.6. Let $G$ and $H$ be two connected graphs. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{irr}(G o H) & =\operatorname{irr}(G)+|V(G)| \operatorname{irr}(H)+|V(G)||V(H)|(|V(H)|-1) \\
& +2|V(H)||E(G)|-2|V(G)||E(H)|
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $H_{i}$ be the $i$-th copy of $H, 1 \leq i \leq|V(G)|$, and let $G^{\prime}$ be the copy of $G$ in $G o H$. We partition edges of $G o H$ into the following three subsets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{u v \in E(G o H)\left|u, v \in V\left(H_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots,|V(G)|\right\},\right. \\
& B=\left\{u v \in E(G o H) \mid u, v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& C=\left\{u v \in E(G o H)\left|u \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right), v \in V\left(H_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots,|V(G)|\right\} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u^{\prime} v^{\prime} \in A$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{H}(u)+1$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)+$ 1 that $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in V\left(H_{i}\right)$ are corresponding to $u, v \in V(H)$, respectively. Thus $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(u^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{deg}_{H}(u)-\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)\right|$. Since $|V(G)|$ edges $u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$ in $E(G o H)$ are corresponding to each edge $u v \in E(H), \operatorname{irr}_{1}=\sum_{u v \in A} \mid \operatorname{deg}_{G o H}(u)-$ $\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}(v)|=|V(G)| \operatorname{irr}(H)$.

It is clear that for each $u^{\prime} v^{\prime} \in B, \operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)+|V(H)|$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)+|V(H)|$, where $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are corresponding to $u, v \in V(G)$, respectively. Hence $i r r_{2}=\sum_{u v \in B}\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}(u)-\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}(v)\right|=$ $\operatorname{irr}(G)$.

Finally, if $u^{\prime} v^{\prime} \in C$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)+|V(H)|$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=$ $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)+1$, where $u^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right), v^{\prime} \in V\left(H_{i}\right)$ are corresponding to $u \in V(G), v \in$ $V(H)$, respectively. Hence $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(u^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right|=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)+|V(H)|-$ $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)-1$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
i r r_{3} & =\sum_{u v \in C}\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}(u)-\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}(v)\right|=|V(G)||V(H)|(|V(H)|-1) \\
& +2|V(H)||E(G)|-2|V(G)||E(H)|
\end{aligned}
$$

By summation of $\mathrm{irr}_{1}$, $\mathrm{irr}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{irr}_{3}$, the result can be proved.
As in the proof of Lemma 2.6, $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(u^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right|=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)+|V(H)|-$ $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)-1$, where for each edge $u^{\prime} v^{\prime} \in E(G o H)$, vertices $u^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $v^{\prime} \in V\left(H_{i}\right)$ are corresponding to $u \in V(G)$ and $v \in V(H)$, respectively. Thus,

$$
2|V(H)|(|V(G)|-1) \leqslant \sum_{u v \in E(G o H}\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}(u)-\operatorname{deg}_{G o H}(v)\right|
$$

$$
\leqslant|V(G)|\left(|V(H)|^{2}+|V(G)||V(H)|-4|V(H)|+2\right)
$$

Corollary 2.7. Let $G$ and $H$ be two connected graphs. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{irr}(G) & +|V(G)| \operatorname{irr}(H)+2|V(H)|(|V(G)|-1) \leqslant \operatorname{irr}(G o H) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{irr}(G)+|V(G)| \operatorname{irr}(H)+|V(G)|\left(|V(H)|^{2}+|V(G)||V(H)|-4|V(H)|+2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the upper bound is attained if and only if $H$ is a tree and $G \cong K_{n}$. Moreover, the lower bound is attained if and only if $G$ is a tree and $H \cong K_{n}$.

Let $G_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)$ be $N$ graphs with each vertex set $V_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq N$, having a distinguished or root vertex, labeled 0 . The hierarchical product $H=G_{N} \sqcap$ $\ldots \sqcap G_{2} \sqcap G_{1}$ is the graph with vertices the $N$-tuples $x_{N} \ldots x_{3} x_{2} x_{1}, x_{i} \in V_{i}$, and edges defined by the adjacencies:

$$
x_{N} \ldots x_{3} x_{2} x_{1} \sim\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
x_{N} \ldots x_{3} x_{2} y_{1} & \text { if } y_{1} \sim x_{1} \text { in } G_{1} \\
x_{N} \ldots x_{3} y_{2} x_{1} & \text { if } y_{2} \sim x_{2} \text { in } G_{2} \text { and } x_{1}=0 \\
x_{N} \ldots y_{3} x_{2} x_{1} & \text { if } y_{3} \sim x_{3} \text { in } G_{3} \text { and } x_{1}=x_{2}=0 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
y_{N} \ldots x_{3} x_{2} x_{1} & \text { if } y_{N} \sim x_{N} \text { in } G_{N} \text { and } x_{1}=x_{2}=\ldots=x_{N}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We encourage the reader to consult $[5,6]$ for the mathematical properties of this new graph operation.

Lemma 2.8. Let $G$ and $H$ be connected rooted graphs and $r$ is the root vertex of $H$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{irr}(G) & +|V(G)| \operatorname{irr}(H)-2 \operatorname{deg}_{H}(r)|E(G)| \leqslant \operatorname{irr}(G \sqcap H) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{irr}(G)+|V(G)| \operatorname{irr}(H)+2 \operatorname{deg}_{H}(r)|E(G)|
\end{aligned}
$$

The upper bound is attained if and only if for each ur $\in E(H)$, $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(r) \geqslant$ $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(u)$. Moreover, the lower bound is attained if and only if for each ur $\in E(H)$ and $v \in V(G)$, $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(r)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v) \leqslant \operatorname{deg}_{H}(u)$.
Proof. Let $u r \in E(H)$ and $v \in V(G)$, then $(v, r)(v, u) \in E(G \sqcap H)$ and so

$$
\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G \sqcap H}((v, r))-\operatorname{deg}_{G \sqcap H}((v, u))\right|=\left|\operatorname{deg}_{H}(r)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)-\operatorname{deg}_{H}(u)\right| .
$$

Consequently, if $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(r) \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{H}(u)$ then $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G \sqcap H}((v, r))-\operatorname{deg}_{G \sqcap H}((v, u))\right|=$ $\left(\operatorname{deg}_{H}(r)-\operatorname{deg}_{H}(u)\right)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$ and if $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(r)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v) \leqslant \operatorname{deg}_{H}(u)$ then $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G \sqcap H}((v, r))-\operatorname{deg}_{G \sqcap H}((v, u))\right|=\left(\operatorname{deg}_{H}(u)-\operatorname{deg}_{H}(r)\right)-\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$. On the other hand for each edge $(u, r)(v, r)$ of $G \sqcap H$ that $u v \in E(G)$, we have $\mid \operatorname{deg}_{G \sqcap H}((u, r))-$ $\operatorname{deg}_{G \sqcap H}((v, r))\left|=\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)-\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)\right|\right.$ and for each edge $(w, u)(w, v)$ of $G \sqcap H$ that $u \neq v \neq r$, we have $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G \sqcap H}((w, u))-\operatorname{deg}_{G \sqcap H}((w, v))\right|=\mid \operatorname{deg}_{H}(u)-$ $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v) \mid$, which proves the result.

In what follows, let $\prod_{i}^{j} f_{i}=1$ for each $i, j \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$, that $i-j=1$. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}$ be connected rooted graphs with root vertices $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}$, respectively. We set $\left|V_{i, j}\right|=\prod_{k=i}^{j}\left|V\left(G_{k}\right)\right|$. Also, if $G=G_{n} \sqcap \ldots \sqcap G_{2} \sqcap G_{1}$ then we will use $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(r)$ to denote $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{1}}\left(r_{1}\right)+\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}\left(r_{2}\right)+\ldots+\operatorname{deg}_{G_{n}}\left(r_{n}\right)$.

Corollary 2.9. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}$ be connected rooted graphs with root vertices $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i+1, n}\right| \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right) & -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left|V_{i+2, n}\right|\left|E\left(G_{i+1}\right)\right| \sum_{k=1}^{i} \operatorname{deg}_{G_{k}}\left(r_{k}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{n} \sqcap \ldots \sqcap G_{2} \sqcap G_{1}\right) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i+1, n}\right| \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left|V_{i+2, n}\right|\left|E\left(G_{i+1}\right)\right| \sum_{k=1}^{i} \operatorname{deg}_{G_{k}}\left(r_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The upper bound is attained if and only if for each $u_{i} \in E\left(G_{i}\right), \operatorname{deg}_{G_{i}}\left(r_{i}\right) \geqslant$ $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{i}}(u), i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Moreover, the lower bound is attained if and only if for each $u_{i} \in E\left(G_{i}\right)$ and $v \in V\left(G_{j}\right), \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \operatorname{deg}_{G_{i}}\left(r_{i}\right)+\operatorname{deg}_{G_{j}}(v) \leqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G_{i}}(u)$, $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1, j=i+1, i+2, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Use induction on $n$. By Lemma 2.8, the result is valid for $n=2$. Let $n \geqslant 3$ and assume the result holds for $n$. Set $G=G_{n} \sqcap \ldots \sqcap G_{2} \sqcap G_{1}$. Thus $G_{n+1} \sqcap \ldots \sqcap G_{2} \sqcap G_{1}=G_{n+1} \sqcap G$. Then by our assumption,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{irr}\left(G_{n+1}\right) & +\left|V\left(G_{n+1}\right)\right| \operatorname{irr}(G)-2 d e g_{G}(r)\left|E\left(G_{n+1}\right)\right| \leqslant \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{n+1} \sqcap G\right) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{n+1}\right)+\left|V\left(G_{n+1}\right)\right| \operatorname{irr}(G)+2 \operatorname{deg}_{G}(r)\left|E\left(G_{n+1}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, again by our assumption,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i+1, n}\right| \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right) & -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left|V_{i+2, n}\right|\left|E\left(G_{i+1}\right)\right| \sum_{j=1}^{i} \operatorname{deg}_{G_{k}}\left(r_{k}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{irr}(G) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i+1, n}\right| \operatorname{irr}\left(G_{i}\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left|V_{i+2, n}\right|\left|E\left(G_{i+1}\right)\right| \sum_{j=1}^{i} \operatorname{deg}_{G_{k}}\left(r_{k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes our argument.


Figure 5. The Molecular Graph of Octanitrocubane.

Example 2.10. Octanitrocubane is the most powerful chemical explosive with formula $\left.\mathrm{C}_{8}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{8}\right)$, Figure 5. Let $\Gamma$ be the graph of this molecule. Then obviously $\Gamma=Q_{3} \sqcap P_{2}$. If $r$ is the root vertex of $P_{2}$, one can easily see that $\operatorname{irr}\left(Q_{3}\right)=\operatorname{irr}\left(P_{2}\right)=0,\left|E\left(Q_{3}\right)\right|=12, \operatorname{deg}_{P_{2}}(r)=1$ and for each ur $\in E\left(P_{2}\right)$, $\operatorname{deg}_{P_{2}}(r)=\operatorname{deg}_{P_{2}}(u)$ and so, by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
\operatorname{irr}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{irr}\left(Q_{3} \sqcap P_{2}\right)=\operatorname{irr}\left(Q_{3}\right)+\left|V\left(Q_{3}\right)\right| \operatorname{irr}\left(P_{2}\right)+2 \operatorname{deg}_{P_{2}}(r)\left|E\left(Q_{3}\right)\right|=24
$$



Figure 6. Regular Dicentric $\left(D D_{2.4}\right)$ Dendrimer.

Example 2.11. Dendrimers are branched molecules have a high degree of molecular uniformity. The molecular graph of this molecules is constructed from a core and some branches connecting to the core. Let $D D_{p, r}$ be the graph of the regular dicentric dendrimer, see [7] for more information. Then $D D_{p, r}=P_{2} \sqcap H$, where $H$ is a tree of progressive degree $p$ and generation $r$, Figure 6. One can see that $\operatorname{irr}\left(P_{2}\right)=0, \operatorname{irr}(H)=p^{r+1}+p$, and for each $u r \in E(H)$ and $v \in V\left(P_{2}\right)$, $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(r)+\operatorname{deg}_{P_{2}}(v) \leqslant \operatorname{deg}_{H}(u)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.8, we have:
$\operatorname{irr}\left(D D_{p, r}\right)=\operatorname{irr}\left(P_{2} \sqcap H\right)=\operatorname{irr}\left(P_{2}\right)+\left|V\left(P_{2}\right)\right| \operatorname{irr}(H)-2 d e g_{H}(r)\left|E\left(P_{2}\right)\right|=2 p^{r+1}$.
Example 2.12. Consider the graph $S_{4}$ whose root vertex is 0 . If $\operatorname{deg}_{S_{4}}(0)=3$ then by Lemma 2.8 we have:
$\operatorname{irr}\left(P_{n} \sqcap S_{4}\right)=\operatorname{irr}\left(P_{n}\right)+\left|V\left(P_{n}\right)\right| \operatorname{irr}\left(S_{4}\right)+2 \operatorname{deg}_{S_{4}}(0)\left|E\left(P_{n}\right)\right|=12 n-4, \quad(n>2) ;$ and if $\operatorname{deg}_{S_{4}}(0)=1$ then again by Lemma 2.8 we have:
$\operatorname{irr}\left(P_{n} \sqcap S_{4}\right)=\operatorname{irr}\left(P_{n}\right)+\left|V\left(P_{n}\right)\right| \operatorname{irr}\left(S_{4}\right)-2 \operatorname{deg}_{S_{4}}(0)\left|E\left(P_{n}\right)\right|=4 n+4, \quad(n>2)$.
Lemma 2.13. Let $G$ and $H$ be connected graphs. Then
(1) If $G$ is regular, then we have $\operatorname{irr}(G[H])=|E(G)| \operatorname{irr}(2 H)+(|V(G)|-$ $2|E(G)|) \operatorname{irr}(H)$.
(2) If $H$ is regular, then $\operatorname{irr}(G[H])=|V(H)|^{3} \operatorname{irr}(G)$.

Proof. 1). Let $G$ be regular. Clearly, for each vertex $(u, v) \in V(G[H])$, $\operatorname{deg}_{G[H]}((u, v))=|V(H)| \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)+\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)$. Since $G$ is regular, for each edge $(u, x)(v, y) \in G[H]$ that $u v \in E(G)$, we have $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G[H]}((u, x))-\operatorname{deg}_{G[H]}((v, y))\right|=$ $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{H}(x)-\operatorname{deg}_{H}(y)\right|$ and for every edge $(u, x)(u, y)$ that $x y \in E(H)$, we have $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G[H]}((u, x))-\operatorname{deg}_{G[H]}((u, y))\right|=\left|\operatorname{deg}_{H}(x)-\operatorname{deg}_{H}(y)\right|$, which proves the result.
2). Let $H$ be regular. Then for every edge $(u, x)(u, y)$ that $x y \in E(H)$, we have $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G[H]}((u, x))-\operatorname{deg}_{G[H]}((u, y))\right|=0$ and for every edge $(u, x)(v, y)$ of $G[H]$ that $u v \in E(G)$, we have $\left|\operatorname{deg}_{G[H]}((u, x))-\operatorname{deg}_{G[H]}((v, y))\right|=|V(H)| \mid \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)-$ $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v) \mid$. On the other hand, to each edge $u v \in E(G)$, there correspond $|V(H)|^{2}$ edges $(u, x)(v, y)$ in $E(G o H)$, so $\operatorname{irr}(G[H])=|V(H)|^{3} \operatorname{irr}(G)$.
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