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#### Abstract

The complete active space self-consist field method followed by the internally contracted multireference configuration interaction method has been used to compute the potential energy curves of $X^{2} \Pi_{g}, a^{4} \Pi_{u}, A^{2} \Pi_{u}$, $\mathrm{b}^{4} \Sigma^{-} \mathrm{g}$, and $\mathrm{B}^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}$ states of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$cation with large correlation-consistent basis sets. Utilizing the potential energy curves computed with different basis sets, the spectroscopic parameters of these states were evaluated. Finally, the transition dipole moment and the Franck-Condon factors of the transition from $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$ to $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ were evaluated. The radiative lifetime of $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}$ is calculated to be 887 ns , which is in good agreement with experimental value of $805 \pm 10 \mathrm{~ns}$.
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## Introduction

Sulfur dimer $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ and its cation $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$are important molecules in astrophysics, astrochemistry, and chemical lasers. For example, the spectra of $S_{2}$ has been detected in cometary atmospheres, ${ }^{1,2}$ Jupiter's atmosphere, ${ }^{3}$ and dense molecular clouds. ${ }^{4}$ In addition, $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$cation is always generated from all kinds of industrial and natural plasmas containing sulfur compounds. ${ }^{5-8}$ Since sulfur compounds play an important role in a variety of research fields, the studies of spectroscopic properties and electronic states of sulfur compounds ${ }^{1-4,9-12}$ have attracted much attention over many years. Compared with extensive investigations of sulfur compounds, there are a few studies on the spectroscopic and transition properties of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$cation.
Early in 1975, Berkowitz et al. ${ }^{13}$ observed the photoelectron spectra of $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Te}_{2}$. Based on the single ionization spectrum of $S_{2}$, they identified the $X^{2} \Pi_{g}, a^{4} \Pi_{u}, A^{2} \Pi_{u}, b^{4} \Sigma^{-}$, and $\mathrm{B}^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}$ states of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$. At the same time, Dyke et al. ${ }^{14}$ also observed the $\mathrm{He}(\mathrm{I})$ photoelectron spectra of $\mathrm{S}_{2}$, assigned the $X^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}, \mathrm{a}^{4} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{b}^{4} \Sigma^{-}$, and $\mathrm{B}^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}$ states of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$, and fitted the spectroscopic parameters of the five electronic states of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$. Later on, Tsuji et al. ${ }^{5}$ made a vibrational analysis of the $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}-X^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ transition in $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$. Subsequently, rotational analysis of the $\mathrm{S}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}-\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ emission band was reported by Capel et al. ${ }^{6}$ and Brabaharan et al.. ${ }^{15}$ Recently, the $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u^{-}}$ $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ emission spectrum of $S_{2}{ }^{+}$was observed through microwave discharge of $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ or sulfur vapor in solid neon, ${ }^{7}$ and photolysis of an $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} / \mathrm{Ar}$ matrix in solid argon, ${ }^{8}$ respectively.
However, to the best of our knowledge, only a few theoretical studies were made to investigate the spectroscopic properties of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$cation. In 1989, the total energy and bond
length of the ground state $X^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$are calculated by Balaban et al. ${ }^{10}$ using ab initio method. Recently, Grant et $a l .{ }^{11}$ investigated the electronic structure of the ground state for $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$by employing the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ theory. The potential energy curve (PEC) of the ground state for $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$was extrapolated to the complete basis set (CBS) by utilizing systematic sequences of correlation-consistent basis sets with an exponential function. Nevertheless, the previously available theoretical calculations are not enough to illuminate the spectroscopic properties of low-lying excited electronic states of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$cation.
In the present study, we performed $a b$ initio calculations on the low-lying electronic states of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$. The core-valence correlation and scalar relativistic (mass-velocity and Darwin term) corrections were taken into account. The PECs of 5 -


Figure 1. The PECs of $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ and $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$ states determined by the $\mathrm{MRCI}+\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{CBS}+\mathrm{CV}+\mathrm{DK}$ calculations (top and right axes), and the transition dipole moment of $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}-\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ (bottom and left axes).

S states $\left(X^{2} \Pi_{g}, a^{4} \Pi_{u}, A^{2} \Pi_{u}, b^{4} \Sigma^{-}\right.$, and $\left.B^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ were calculated with high-level multireference methods. In order to eliminate errors due to the incomplete basis set, the PECs were computed with a series of correlation-consistent basis sets and extrapolated to the CBS limit. On the basis of PECs of the bound $\Lambda-S$ and $\Omega$ electronic states, the spectroscopic constants of the bound states were determined by numerically solving the nuclear-motion Schrödinger equations. Finally, the transition dipole moment (TDM) and the radiative lifetime of $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$ were obtained. The spin-orbit coupling (SOC) effect was included in computations on transition properties of $X^{2} \Pi_{g}-A^{2} \Pi_{u}$.
Methods and Computational Details. In the present work, the electronic structure computations were performed with MOLPRO 2010 quantum chemical package designed by Werner et al.. ${ }^{16}$ The point group of the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$cation is $D_{\infty o h}$. Nevertheless, owing to the limit of the MOLPRO procedure, all of the computations were carried out in the $D_{2 v}$ subgroup of the $D_{\infty h}$ point group. The correlating relationships for the irreducible representations of the $D_{\infty h}$ and $D_{2 v}$ are $\Sigma^{+}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$, $\Sigma^{-}=B_{1 g}, \Sigma^{+}{ }_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}, \Sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{-}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{u}}, \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}+\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{~g}}$, and $\Pi_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}+$ $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$. In the subsequent calculations, the PECs of $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}, \mathrm{a}^{4} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}$, $A^{2} \Pi_{u}, b^{4} \Sigma^{-}$, and $B^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{g}$ electronic states of $S_{2}{ }^{+}$were calculated through the complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) method. ${ }^{17,18}$ In the CASSCF computations, active space was made up of eight MOs: two $A_{g}$, one $B_{3 u}$, one $B_{2 u}$, two $B_{1 u}$, one $B_{2 g}$, one $B_{3 g}$ symmetric MOs. The $3 s 3$ p valence electrons of $S$ were placed into the active space. The other twenty electrons of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$were distributed into the closed orbitals, i.e., three $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$, one $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$, one $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$, three $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$, one $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$, and one $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{~g}}$ symmetric MOs, which correspond to innershell orbitals 1s2s2p of S. Furthermore, all configurations in the configuration interaction (CI) expansions of the CASSCF wave functions were used as reference for internally contracted multireference configuration interaction method ${ }^{19}$ (MRCI) and MRCI with the Davidson correction (MRCI+Q). ${ }^{20}$ Additionally, the core-valence (CV) correlation induced by $n=2$ orbital of S atom was estimated by combining the MRCI +Q method and the aug-cc-pwCVQZ basis set. ${ }^{21}$ The 1 s core orbital of sulfur atom was excluded in CV computations. In order to improve the quality of spectroscopic constants, the scalar relativistic effect was taken into account via the second-order Douglas-Kroll-Hess (DKH) one-electron integrals in the PECs calculations. The scalar relativistic effect (denoted as DK) was produced by the difference between the energies with DKH and without DKH using an aug-cc-pVQZ-dk ${ }^{22}$ basis set at the MRCI + Q level. The sensitivity of calculated electronic states to the basis set was investigated by using a series of correlation consistent basis sets (aug-cc-pV( $n+\mathrm{d}$ ) Z, $n=\mathrm{Q}(4), 5,6$ ). ${ }^{23}$ For the sake of brevity, the basis set is abbreviated to aVnZ. The dynamical correlation energy was extrapolated to the CBS limit by $n^{-3}$ extrapolation formula ${ }^{24-27}$ with $n=\mathrm{Q}, 5$.
The SOC was calculated by employing the state interaction method with the full Breit-Pauli (BP) operator, ${ }^{28}$ which means that the spin-orbit eigenstates are determined by diagonalizing $\hat{H}^{e l}+\hat{H}^{S O}$ in the basis eigenfunctions of
$\hat{H}^{e l}$. On the basis of PECs obtained by MRCI $+\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{CBS}+$ $\mathrm{CV}+\mathrm{DK}+\mathrm{SOC}$ level, we then solved the nuclear-motion Schrödinger equations utilizing the numerical integration LEVEL program ${ }^{29}$ designed by Le Roy to obtain the corresponding vibrational wave functions, vibrational energy levels, Franck-Condon factors (FCFs), and spectroscopic constants.

## Results and Discussion

Spectroscopic Parameters. In order to obtain more accurate PECs, the point spacing interval of the calculated electronic states was $0.05 \AA$ for $R=1.3-2.4 \AA, 0.1 \AA$ for $R=$ 2.5-4.0 $\AA$, and $0.5 \AA$ for $R=4.5-6.0 \AA$. Table 1 lists the calculated parameters of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$, including adiabatic transition energies $T_{e}$, vibrational constants ( $\omega_{e}$ and $\omega_{e} x_{e}$ ), rotational constants $B_{e}$, and equilibrium distances $R_{e}$. In Table 1, the spectroscopic constants were evaluated with the MRCI method utilizing the AVQZ and aV5Z basis sets. Table 1 also lists the previously available experimental results. Compared with previous accurate experimental results, ${ }^{15}$ the $\omega_{e}, \omega_{e} x_{e}, B_{e}$, and $R_{e}$ of $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}$ states evaluated with the AVQZ basis set are accurate with deviations of 50.961, $0.5905,0.0453 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $0.0131 \AA$, but the spectroscopic constants of the two states evaluated with the AV5Z basis set are accurate to within $9.65,0.0768,0.00275 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $0.0114 \AA$, respectively. For $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$ state, the $T_{e}$ value calculated with the AVQZ basis set differs from experimental result ${ }^{15}$ by $331.63 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the $T_{e}$ value calculated with the AV5Z basis set is only $307.25 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ larger than experimental data. ${ }^{15}$ On the whole, the spectroscopic parameters obtained by the AV5Z basis set are more accurate. Thus, we use the AV5Z basis set to calculate the Davidson correction (MRCI + Q). Table 1 also lists the spectroscopic parameters derived from the PECs including CV and DK effects. As shown in Table 1, the $T_{e}$ values of $\mathrm{a}^{4} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{b}^{4} \Sigma^{-}$, and $\mathrm{B}^{2} \Sigma^{-}$g states obtained with the MRCI+Q method are 17817.16, 22013.82, 30773.25 , and $38898.84 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, which are $228.07,638.88,716.91$, and $900.67 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ smaller than those calculated by the MRCI method. When only the corevalence correlation correction is taken into account in the present MRCI +Q calculations, $T_{e}$ is increased by 157.00 , $526.03,464.99$, and $547.14 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{a}^{4} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{b}^{4} \Sigma^{-}$, and $\mathrm{B}^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}$ states, respectively; $\omega_{e}$ is increased by $6.79,4.31,7.14$, 3.93, and $5.20 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}, \mathrm{a}^{4} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{b}^{4} \Sigma^{-}$, and $\mathrm{B}^{2} \Sigma^{-} \mathrm{g}$ states, respectively. When only the relativistic correction is taken into account in the present MRCI+Q calculations, the influence of DK correction on the spectroscopic constants is evidently smaller than that with the core-valence correlation correction. For example, the DK correction makes the values of $T_{e}$ shift only by $86.38,116.74,106.52$, and $79.06 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $a^{4} \Pi_{u}, A^{2} \Pi_{u}, b^{4} \Sigma^{-}$, and $B^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{g}$ states, respectively. Even though the influence of the DK correction on spectroscopic constants is relatively small, it cannot be omitted in high-level ab initio computations.

By incorporating the Davidson correction as well as the CV and DK corrections into the present study, we calculate the spectroscopic constants which agree very well with the

Table 1. The spectroscopic constants of low-lying electronic states of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$with the AVQZ and AV5Z basis sets including core-valence correlation and relativistic corrections

|  |  | $T_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\omega_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\omega_{e} x_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $B_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $R_{e}(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ | MRCI |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AVQZ | 0 | 857.06 | 3.9876 | 0.3623 | 1.8357 |
|  | AV5Z | 0 | 801.92 | 3.3934 | 0.3143 | 1.8318 |
|  | MRCI+Q | 0 | 799.96 | 3.4042 | 0.3140 | 1.8325 |
|  | +CV | 0 | 806.75 | 3.4397 | 0.3163 | 1.8260 |
|  | +DK | 0 | 795.08 | 3.3976 | 0.3138 | 1.8330 |
|  | +CV+DK | 0 | 802.07 | 3.4432 | 0.3161 | 1.8264 |
|  | Expt. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | - | - | - | 1.82(5) |
|  | Expt. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0 | 807(3) | 3.5(3) | - |  |
|  | Expt. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | 805.9(2) | 3.38(2) | 0.3175 | 1.8226 |
|  | Expt. ${ }^{d}$ | 0 | 806.099(11) | 3.3971(18) | $0.316974(53)$ | 1.8239(1) |
|  | Expt. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 806.10(1) | 3.3971(18) | ( |  |
|  | Expt. ${ }^{f}$ | 0 | 800(10) | 3.0(5) | - | - |
| $\mathrm{a}^{4} \Pi_{u}$ | MRCI |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AVQZ | 17595.42 | 563.14 | 2.7384 | 0.2505 | 2.0518 |
|  | AV5Z | 18045.23 | 566.22 | 2.6613 | 0.2513 | 2.0485 |
|  | MRCI+Q | 17817.16 | 566.82 | 2.7039 | 0.2513 | 2.0483 |
|  | +CV | 17974.16 | 571.13 | 2.6712 | 0.2533 | 2.0403 |
|  | +DK | 17730.78 | 560.48 | 2.6520 | 0.2505 | 2.0519 |
|  | +CV+DK | 17891.14 | 564.69 | 2.6127 | 0.2525 | 2.0436 |
| $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}$ | MRCI |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AVQZ | 22013.82 | 542.24 | 3.3157 | 0.2490 | 2.0581 |
|  | AV5Z | 22652.70 | 543.07 | 3.2133 | 0.2494 | 2.0564 |
|  | MRCI+Q | 22013.82 | 542.24 | 3.3157 | 0.2490 | 2.0581 |
|  | +CV | 22539.85 | 549.38 | 3.2109 | 0.2516 | 2.0474 |
|  | +DK | 22130.56 | 539.63 | 3.1988 | 0.2490 | 2.0578 |
|  | +CV+DK | 22453.03 | 542.31 | 3.1523 | 0.2507 | 2.0511 |
|  | Expt. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | 2.04 |
|  | Expt. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 22580(3) | 551(3) | 3.1(3) | - | - |
|  | Expt. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 22344.69(58) | 552.72(5) | 3.14(20) | 0.2524(3) | 2.0441(13) |
|  | Expt. ${ }^{d}$ | 22345.450(30) | 552.635(11) | 3.1365(24) | $0.252150(52)$ | 2.0450(2) |
|  | Expt. ${ }^{f}$ | $22120(50)$ | 560(10) | 3.0(5) | -252150(52) | (2) |
| $\mathrm{b}^{4} \Sigma^{-} \mathrm{g}$ | MRCI |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AVQZ | 30603.32 | 596.16 | 4.3829 | 0.2787 | 1.9451 |
|  | AV5Z | 31490.16 | 595.24 | 4.2270 | 0.2789 | 1.9444 |
|  | MRCI+Q | 30773.25 | 600.51 | 4.3224 | 0.2798 | 1.9413 |
|  | +CV | 31238.24 | 604.44 | 4.2925 | 0.2818 | 1.9344 |
|  | +DK | 30879.77 | 591.30 | 4.3719 | 0.2788 | 1.9447 |
|  | +CV+DK | 31347.80 | 595.30 | 4.3426 | 0.2808 | 1.9377 |
| $B^{2} \Sigma^{-} g^{\prime}$ | MRCI |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AVQZ | 38747.79 | 527.84 | 4.5073 | 0.2686 | 1.9816 |
|  | AV5Z | 39799.51 | 523.87 | 4.2786 | 0.2677 | 1.9847 |
|  | MRCI+Q | 38898.84 | 533.47 | 4.4653 | 0.2698 | 1.9770 |
|  | +CV | 39445.98 | 538.67 | 4.5165 | 0.2710 | 1.9728 |
|  | +DK | 38977.90 | 522.02 | 4.4071 | 0.2685 | 1.9821 |
|  | +CV+DK | 39527.00 | 527.13 | 4.4352 | 0.2697 | 1.9778 |

Note: For the experimental values, the inaccuracy of measurement is depicted in the bracket. ${ }^{a}$ Reference $14 .{ }^{b}$ Reference $5 .{ }^{c}$ Reference $6 .{ }^{d}$ Reference 15. ${ }^{e}$ Reference 7. ${ }^{f}$ Reference 8.
previous experimental values. ${ }^{8,15}$ For instance, the differences between our calculated $T_{e}$ of the $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}$ state and the experimental values ${ }^{8,15}$ are $107.58-333.03 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Regarding the vibrational frequencies of $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ and $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$, our calculated
values of $\omega_{e}$ and $\omega_{e} x_{e}$ differ by less than 10.33 and 0.0461 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ from the accurate experimental results. ${ }^{7,15}$ For the rotational constants of $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}$, our calculated $B_{e}$ values differ by less than $0.00145 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ from experimental

Table 2. Spectrcoscopic constants of low-lying electronic states of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$determined by MRCI+Q computations at the AV5Z and CBS

|  | $T_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\omega_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\omega_{e} x_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $B_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $R_{e}(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV5Z | 0 | 799.96 | 3.4042 | 0.3140 | 1.8325 |
| CBS | 0 | 804.51 | 3.3895 | 0.3152 | 1.8290 |
| CBS+cv | 0 | 813.73 | 3.3909 | 0.3180 | 1.8210 |
| CBS+dk | 0 | 799.38 | 3.4069 | 0.3150 | 1.8298 |
| CBS $+\mathrm{cv}+\mathrm{dk}$ | 0 | 808.75 | 3.4111 | 0.3178 | 1.8217 |
| Calc. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 816.9 | 3.1 |  | 1.8240 |
| $\mathrm{a}^{4} \Pi_{u}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV5Z | 17817.16 | 566.82 | 2.7039 | 0.2513 | 2.0483 |
| CBS | 18063.19 | 570.46 | 2.6753 | 0.2523 | 2.0443 |
| CBS+cv | 18695.01 | 574.74 | 2.6423 | 0.2543 | 2.0362 |
| CBS+dk | 17915.14 | 564.13 | 2.6219 | 0.2514 | 2.0478 |
| CBS+cv+dk | 18449.35 | 568.31 | 2.5828 | 0.2535 | 2.0395 |
| $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV5Z | 22219.99 | 546.74 | 3.2610 | 0.2499 | 2.0542 |
| CBS | 22450.26 | 551.11 | 3.2145 | 0.2510 | 2.0498 |
| CBS+cv | 23146.01 | 553.29 | 3.1448 | 0.2527 | 2.0428 |
| CBS+dk | 22299.86 | 543.71 | 3.1237 | 0.2501 | 2.0532 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS }+\mathrm{cv}+\mathrm{dk} \\ & \mathrm{~b}^{4} \Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{-} \end{aligned}$ | 22996.89 | 546.45 | 3.0862 | 0.2518 | 2.0465 |
| AV5Z | 30773.25 | 600.51 | 4.3224 | 0.2798 | 1.9413 |
| CBS | 30963.96 | 605.65 | 4.3086 | 0.2811 | 1.9375 |
| CBS+cv | 31804.18 | 609.53 | 4.2713 | 0.2831 | 1.9305 |
| CBS + dk | 31008.86 | 596.63 | 4.3662 | 0.2801 | 1.9408 |
| CBS $+\mathrm{cv}+\mathrm{dk}$ | 31851.20 | 600.57 | 4.3291 | 0.2821 | 1.9337 |
| $B^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV5Z | 38898.84 | 533.47 | 4.4653 | 0.2698 | 1.9770 |
| CBS | 39072.23 | 539.54 | 4.4632 | 0.2713 | 1.9716 |
| CBS+cv | 39996.19 | 544.86 | 4.5435 | 0.2725 | 1.9675 |
| CBS + dk | 39091.04 | 528.26 | 4.4149 | 0.2699 | 1.9767 |
| CBS $+\mathrm{cv}+\mathrm{dk}$ | 40016.04 | 533.58 | 4.4775 | 0.2712 | 1.9724 |

${ }^{a}$ Reference 12
results. ${ }^{15}$ Compared with the experimental values, ${ }^{14,15}$ the calculated results of $R_{e}$ of the two states are accurate, only with deviations of less than $0.0061 \AA$.
Table 2 lists spectroscopic constants obtained from MRCI + Q PECs at aV5Z and CBS levels. Compared with spectroscopic constants determined by the MRCI+Q/aV5Z calculations, the extrapolation to the CBS limit (excluding the CV and DK effects) makes $T_{e}$ increase by 246.03, 230.27, 190.71, and $173.39 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{a}^{4} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{b}^{4} \Sigma^{-}$g, and $\mathrm{B}^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}$ states, respectively. Compared with spectroscopic constants determined at the MRCI $+\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{aV} 5 \mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{CV}+\mathrm{DK}$ level, the extrapolation to the CBS limit makes $T_{e}$ increase by 558.21, $543.86,503.4$, and $489.04 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, for $\mathrm{a}^{4} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}$, $\mathrm{b}^{4} \Sigma^{-} \mathrm{g}$, and $\mathrm{B}^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}$ states. As to the $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}$ state, the $T_{e}$ obtained by the MRCI $+\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{CBS}+\mathrm{CV}+\mathrm{DK}$ calculations is larger than previously available experimental data ${ }^{15}$ by $651.44 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the deviations of $\omega_{e}, \omega_{e} x_{e}, B_{e}$, and $R_{e}$ determined at the MRCI $+\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{CBS}+\mathrm{CV}+\mathrm{DK}$ level from the experimental values ${ }^{14,15}$ are only $6.185 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 0.0503 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 0.00035 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and 0.0015 $\AA$, respectively. As to the $X^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ state, the deviations of $\omega_{e}$,

Table 3. Spectroscopic constants of the $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{gi}}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{ui}}$ states of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$including the spin-orbit coupling effect

|  | $T_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\omega_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\omega_{e} x_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $B_{e}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $R_{e}(\AA)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g} 1 / 2}$ | 0 | 808.98 | 3.4048 | 0.3178 | 1.8217 |
| $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g} 3 / 2}$ | 425.61 | 808.51 | 3.4170 | 0.3178 | 1.8217 |
| $\mathrm{~A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u} 1 / 2}$ | 23221.38 | 546.31 | 3.0921 | 0.2518 | 2.0466 |
| $\mathrm{~A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u} 3 / 2}$ | 23197.99 | 546.59 | 3.0804 | 0.2518 | 2.0464 |

$\omega_{e} x_{e}, B_{e}$, and $R_{e}$ determined by the MRCI $+\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{CBS}+\mathrm{CV}+\mathrm{DK}$ calculations from the experimental values ${ }^{14,15}$ are also only $2.651 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 0.014 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 0.000826 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $0.0022 \AA$, respectively. Our calculated $\omega_{e}, \omega_{e} x_{e}$, and $R_{e}$ of $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ state are $808.75 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3.4111 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $1.8217 \AA$, respectively, which also agree well with those of previous theoretical values ${ }^{11}$ of $816.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1.8240 \AA$. In comparison to previous experimental and theoretical results, ${ }^{14,15}$ we can conclude that the spectroscopic constants determined by the MRCI $+\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{CBS}+\mathrm{CV}+\mathrm{DK}$ calculations are more accurate, even if the spectroscopic constants obtained by the MRCI $+\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{AV} 5 \mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{CV}+\mathrm{DK}$ calculation also agree well with experimental data. Additionally, the spectroscopic constants for $a^{4} \Pi_{u}, b^{4} \Sigma^{-}$, and $B^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{g}$ states have not been measured in experiments. However, we believe that the spectroscopic constants of these states are also accurate owing to the good consistence with experimental results for the $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}$ states.

Effect of Spin-Orbit Coupling on PECs of $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{u}$ States. The SOC effect generally results in the splitting of multiplet electronic states. The spin-orbit splitting of the $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ and $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$ states has been determined experimentally ${ }^{6}$ utilizing a rotational analysis of the A-X emission band. Table 3 lists the spectroscopic constants of the $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}$ states determined by the $\mathrm{MRCI}+\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{CBS}+\mathrm{CV}+\mathrm{DK}$ calculations including the SOC effect. The calculated spinorbit splitting of the $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ and $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$ states are 425.61 and $23.39 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, which are in reasonable agreement with experimental data of $469.7 \pm 2.3$ and $13.5 \pm 2.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{6}$ For the $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ state, the modifications caused by the SOC effect are only $0.23 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},-0.0063 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $0 \AA$, for spectroscopic constants $\omega_{e}, \omega_{e} x_{e}, B_{e}$, and $R_{e}$, respectively. For the $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}$ state, the modifications caused by the SOC effect are only $0.14 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},-0.0058 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 0 \AA$, and $55.89 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for these spectroscopic parameters, respectively. According to the above discussion, we can conclude that the SOC effect cannot bring obvious modification to the spectroscopic parameters of $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ and $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$.

Transition Dipole Moment and Radiative Lifetime of $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\mathrm{u}}$ State. The electronic transition dipole moment (TDM) function of the $A^{2} \Pi_{u}-X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ transition was computed. For the sake of clarity, the TDM of $A^{2} \Pi_{u}-X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ as a function of the internuclear distance and PECs of the two states are plotted in Figure 1. It is found from Figure 1. that the TDM increases monotonously as the internuclear distance increases from 1.35 to $6.0 \AA$, and equals 0.29 a . u. ( $1 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u} .=2.542$ Debye $)$ at the equilibrium distance of the $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}$ state. On the basis of the PECs obtained by the MRCI $+\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{CBS}+\mathrm{CV}+\mathrm{DK}+\mathrm{SOC}$

Table 4. $\mathrm{G}(v)$ and $\mathrm{B}_{v}$ values of the $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}$ states of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$

| $v$ | $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{v})\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |  | $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{v})\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |  |
|  | This work | Expt. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | This work | Expt. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | This work | Expt. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | This work | Expt. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 0 | 403.7317 | 402.336 | 0.31691 | 0.31623 | 272.8086 | 275.528 | 0.25085 | 0.25130 |
| 1 | 1205.8977 | 1201.662 | 0.31516 | 0.31440 | 812.6406 | 821.866 | 0.24903 | 0.24356 |
| 2 | 2001.3019 | 1994.130 | 0.31341 | 0.31264 | 1346.4115 | 1361.906 | 0.24731 | 0.24779 |
| 3 | 2790.0386 | 2779.840 | 0.31167 | 0.31094 | 1874.7000 | 1895.605 | 0.24552 | 0.24593 |
| 4 | 3571.8724 | 3558.753 | 0.30991 | 0.30923 | 2396.3422 | 2422.921 | 0.24372 | 0.24424 |
| 5 | 4346.7829 | 4330.781 | 0.30815 | 0.30750 | 2911.9229 | 2943.875 | 0.24196 | 0.24253 |
| 6 | 5114.8205 | 5096.041 | 0.30639 | 0.30567 | 3421.4136 | 3458.419 | 0.24017 | 0.24075 |
| 7 | 5876.0047 | 5854.427 | 0.30464 | 0.30397 | 3924.4728 | 3966.528 | 0.23835 | 0.23904 |
| 8 | 6630.4602 | 6606.057 | 0.30288 | 0.30219 | 4421.1328 | 4468.250 | 0.23654 | 0.23712 |
| 9 | 7378.1632 | 7350.800 | 0.30111 | 0.30042 | 4911.5683 | 4963.298 | 0.23473 | 0.23538 |
| 10 | 8119.0423 | 8088.640 | 0.29934 | 0.29861 | 5395.7421 | 5451.910 | 0.23289 | 0.23346 |

${ }^{a}$ Reference 15 .
calculations, we evaluated the spectroscopic constants, vibrational wave functions, and vibrational energy levels of $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ and $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$. The spectroscopic constants of the two states have been analyzed in above Section, and vibrational level $G(v)$, vibration-dependent rotational constant $B_{v}$ of the first 11 vibrational states for the two states are listed in Table 4. For the $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ state, the largest deviation of $G(v)$ and $B_{v}$ from experimental values ${ }^{15}$ are only $30.4023 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(0.38 \%$ for $v=10$ ) and $0.0008 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. For the $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}$ state, the largest deviation of $\mathrm{G}(v)$ and $\mathrm{B}_{v}$ from experimental values ${ }^{15}$ are only $56.1679 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(1.03 \%$ for $v=10)$ and 0.0055 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, respectively. On the whole, our calculated values of $\mathrm{G}(v)$ and $\mathrm{B}_{v}$ of the $\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{g}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}$ states agree well with the previous experimental results. Subsequently, we evaluated the Franck-Condon factors (FCFs) from the vibrational level $v=0-6$ of the upper electronic state $\left(\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}\right)$ to the vibrational level $v^{\prime}=0-6$ of the lower ground state $\left(\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, as listed in

Table 5. It is found that the maximum FCFs of $v^{\prime}-v^{\prime \prime}$ transitions in $\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{u}}-\mathrm{X}^{2} \Pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ system are $6.67 \times 10^{-2}(6-0), 9.45$ $\times 10^{-2}(5-1), 1.02 \times 10^{-1}(3-2), 1.07 \times 10^{-1}(2-3), 1.26 \times 10^{-1}$ $(1-4), 1.40 \times 10^{-1}(0-5)$, and $1.74 \times 10^{-1}(0-6)$ for $v^{\prime \prime}=0-6$ vibrational energy levels, respectively, which agree well with the corresponding experimental values: $7.24 \times 10^{-2}, 9.53 \times$ $10^{-2}, 1.02 \times 10^{-1}, 1.11 \times 10^{-1}, 1.05 \times 10^{-1}, 1.51 \times 10^{-1}$, and $1.81 \times 10^{-1} .{ }^{15}$
The transition probability from excited state $\left(\mathrm{A}^{2} \Pi_{u}\right)$ to the ground state is equal to the Einstein coefficient $\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{v}^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}$. The Einstein coefficient $\mathrm{A}_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}$ for spontaneous emission between vibrational levels $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}=2.026 \times 10^{-6} \tilde{v}^{3}(T D M)^{2} q_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{v}$ is the transition energy in unit of $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, TDM is the average electronic transition dipole moment in Franck-

Table 5. Franck-Condon factors of the $A^{2} \Pi_{u}-X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ transition of $S_{2}{ }^{+}$

|  | $v^{\prime \prime}=0$ | $v^{\prime \prime}=1$ | $v^{\prime \prime}=2$ | $v^{\prime \prime}=3$ | $v^{\prime \prime}=4$ | $v^{\prime \prime}=5$ | $v^{\prime \prime}=6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $v^{\prime}=0$ | $3.30 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.18 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.46 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.26 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.86 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.40 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |
| $v^{\prime}=1$ | $2.10 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.60 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.22 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.02 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.26 \times 10^{-1}$ | $9.12 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.84 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $v^{\prime}=2$ | $7.08 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.97 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.21 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.07 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.36 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.75 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.56 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $v^{\prime}=3$ | $1.67 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.87 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.02 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.34 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.38 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.47 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.19 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $v^{\prime}=4$ | $3.12 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.02 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.48 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.00 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.47 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.43 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.01 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $v^{\prime}=5$ | $4.88 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.45 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.26 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.87 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.73 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.70 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.26 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $v^{\prime}=6$ | $6.67 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.02 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.23 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.47 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.52 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.38 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.04 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| Expt. $^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $v^{\prime}=0$ | $4.22 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.97 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.77 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.98 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.96 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.51 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.81 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $v^{\prime}=1$ | $2.61 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.87 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.01 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.11 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.25 \times 10^{-1}$ | $8.06 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.78 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $v^{\prime}=2$ | $8.54 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.58 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.83 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.05 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.23 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.19 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.81 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $v^{\prime}=3$ | $1.96 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.59 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.02 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.32 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.28 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.62 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.01 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $v^{\prime 2}=4$ | $3.55 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.53 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.76 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.84 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.48 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.25 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.07 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $v^{\prime 2}=5$ | $5.42 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.53 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.42 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.22 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.04 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.74 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.15 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $v^{\prime}=6$ | $7.24 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.66 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.14 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.35 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.75 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.59 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.73 \times 10^{-2}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reference 15.

Condon region in atomic unit, and $q_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}$ is the FCF between vibrational levels $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$. The radiative lifetime of vibrational level $v^{\prime}$ is defined as the inverse of the total transition probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\left(\sum_{v^{\prime \prime}} A_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the basis of Eq. (2), FCFs, and TDM of A-X, the radiative lifetime of $v^{\prime}=0$ vibrational level of $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$ is calculated to be 887 ns , which agrees well with the previous experimental value of $805 \pm 10 \mathrm{~ns}$ measured in a solid argon matrix.

## Conclusion

In the present paper, the PECs of the low-lying electronic states $\left(X^{2} \Pi_{g}, a^{4} \Pi_{u}, A^{2} \Pi_{u}, b^{4} \Sigma^{-}\right.$, and $B^{2} \Sigma^{-}$) for the $S_{2}{ }^{+}$cation were investigated by the MRCI method with the correlationconsistent basis sets (aug-cc-pV( $n+\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{Z}, n=\mathrm{Q}, 5,6$ ). The Davidson and the core-valence correlation corrections were also taken into account in calculations. Subsequently, on the basis of PECs obtained by the CASSCF and MRCI+Q method with different correlation-consistent basis sets, we obtained the PECs of $X^{2} \Pi_{g}, a^{4} \Pi_{u}, A^{2} \Pi_{u}, b^{4} \Sigma^{-}$, and $B^{2} \Sigma^{-}{ }_{g}$ states, which have been extrapolated to the CBS limit. Based on the computed PECs, the spectroscopic constants of the corresponding states were evaluated, which agree well with the existing experimental results. The spin-orbit coupling of $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ and $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$ states was taken into account via state interaction method with the full Breit-Pauli Hamiltonian. The spin-orbit splittings of $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ and $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$ states were found to be consistent with the experimental data. Utilizing the PECs determined by the MRCI $+\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{CBS}+\mathrm{CV}+\mathrm{DK}+\mathrm{SOC}$ calculations, vibrational levels $G(v)$, vibration-dependent rotational constants $B_{v}$ for each vibrational state of $X^{2} \Pi_{g}$, and $A^{2} \Pi_{u}$ states were evaluated by solving nuclear Schrödinger equations. The transition dipole moment function of spinallowed transition $A^{2} \Pi_{u}-X^{2} \Pi_{g}$ was investigated, and the radiative lifetime of $A^{2} \Pi_{u}\left(v^{\prime}=0\right)$ vibrational level was evaluated. Our studies indicate that core-valence correlation, and relativistic corrections have great influence to the spectroscopic parameters of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$. The present theoretical investigation should help to understand the transition and spectroscopic properties of the low-lying electronic states of the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{+}$cation.
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