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Abstract. An $n$-tuple $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is symmetric, if $a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $H_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right): a_{k} \in\{+,-\}, a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$ be the set of all symmetric $n$-tuples. A symmetric $n$-sigraph (symmetric $n$-marked graph) is an ordered pair $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)\left(S_{n}=(G, \mu)\right)$, where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph called the underlying graph of $S_{n}$ and $\sigma: E \rightarrow H_{n}\left(\mu: V \rightarrow H_{n}\right)$ is a function. The restricted super line graph of index $r$ of a graph $G$, denoted by $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$. The vertices of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ are the $r$-subsets of $E(G)$ and two vertices $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ and $Q=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{r}\right\}$ are adjacent if there exists exactly one pair of edges, say $p_{i}$ and $q_{j}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, that are adjacent edges in $G$. Analogously, one can define the restricted super line symmetric $n$-sigraph of index $r$ of a symmetric $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as a symmetric $n$-sigraph $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)=\left(\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G), \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ is the underlying graph of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$, where for any edge $P Q$ in $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$, $\sigma^{\prime}(P Q)=\sigma(P) \sigma(Q)$. It is shown that for any symmetric $n$-sigraph $S_{n}$, its $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced and we offer a structural characterization of super line symmetric $n$-sigraphs of index $r$. Further, we characterize symmetric $n$-sigraphs $S_{n}$ for which $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right) \sim \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right) \cong \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$, where $\sim$ and $\cong$ denotes switching equivalence and isomorphism and $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ are denotes the restricted super line symmetric $n$-sigraph of index $r$ and super line symmetric $n$-sigraph of index $r$ of $S_{n}$ respectively.
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## 1. Introduction

Unless mentioned or defined otherwise, for all terminology and notion in graph theory the reader is refer to [2]. We consider only finite, simple graphs free from self-loops.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. An $n$-tuple $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is symmetric, if $a_{k}=$ $a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $H_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right): a_{k} \in\{+,-\}, a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq\right.$ $n\}$ be the set of all symmetric $n$-tuples. Note that $H_{n}$ is a group under coordinate wise multiplication, and the order of $H_{n}$ is $2^{m}$, where $m=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$.

A symmetric $n$-sigraph (symmetric $n$-marked graph) is an ordered pair $S_{n}=$ $(G, \sigma)\left(S_{n}=(G, \mu)\right)$, where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph called the underlying graph of $S_{n}$ and $\sigma: E \rightarrow H_{n}\left(\mu: V \rightarrow H_{n}\right)$ is a function.

In this paper by an $n$-tuple/ $n$-sigraph $/ n$-marked graph we always mean a symmetric $n$-tuple/symmetric $n$-sigraph/symmetric $n$-marked graph.

An $n$-tuple $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is the identity $n$-tuple, if $a_{k}=+$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, otherwise it is a non-identity n-tuple. In an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ an edge labelled with the identity $n$-tuple is called an identity edge, otherwise it is a non-identity edge.

Further, in an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, for any $A \subseteq E(G)$ the $n$-tuple $\sigma(A)$ is the product of the $n$-tuples on the edges of $A$.

In [17], the authors defined two notions of balance in $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as follows (See also R. Rangarajan and P.S.K.Reddy [6]):

Definition 1.1. Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Then,
(i) $S_{n}$ is identity balanced (or $i$-balanced), if product of $n$-tuples on each cycle of $S_{n}$ is the identity $n$-tuple, and
(ii) $S_{n}$ is balanced, if every cycle in $S_{n}$ contains an even number of non-identity edges.
Note: An $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph need not be balanced and conversely.
The following characterization of $i$-balanced $n$-sigraphs is obtained in [17].
Proposition 1.1. (E. Sampathkumar et al. [17])
An n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is $i$-balanced if, and only if, it is possible to assign n-tuples to its vertices such that the n-tuple of each edge uv is equal to the product of the $n$-tuples of $u$ and $v$.

Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Consider the $n$-marking $\mu$ on vertices of $S_{n}$ defined as follows: each vertex $v \in V, \mu(v)$ is the $n$-tuple which is the product of the $n$-tuples on the edges incident with $v$. Complement of $S_{n}$ is an $n$-sigraph
$\overline{S_{n}}=\left(\bar{G}, \sigma^{c}\right)$, where for any edge $e=u v \in \bar{G}, \sigma^{c}(u v)=\mu(u) \mu(v)$. Clearly, $\overline{S_{n}}$ as defined here is an $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph due to Proposition 1.1 [9].

In [17], the authors also have defined switching and cycle isomorphism of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as follows: (See also [3, 7, 8] \& [9]-[16])

Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, be two $n$-sigraphs. Then $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}$ are said to be isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that if $u v$ is an edge in $S_{n}$ with label $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ then $\phi(u) \phi(v)$ is an edge in $S_{n}^{\prime}$ with label $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$.

Given an $n$-marking $\mu$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, switching $S_{n}$ with respect to $\mu$ is the operation of changing the $n$-tuple of every edge $u v$ of $S_{n}$ by $\mu(u) \sigma(u v) \mu(v)$. The $n$-sigraph obtained in this way is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and is called the $\mu$-switched $n$-sigraph or just switched $n$-sigraph.

Further, an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}$ switches to $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$ (or that they are switching equivalent to each other), written as $S_{n} \sim S_{n}^{\prime}$, whenever there exists an $n$-marking of $S_{n}$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}\left(S_{n}\right) \cong S_{n}^{\prime}$.

Two $n$-sigraphs $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are said to be cycle isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that the $n$-tuple $\sigma(C)$ of every cycle $C$ in $S_{n}$ equals to the $n$-tuple $\sigma(\phi(C))$ in $S_{n}^{\prime}$. We make use of the following known result (see [17]).

Proposition 1.2.(E. Sampathkumar et al. [17])
Given a graph $G$, any two n-sigraphs with $G$ as underlying graph are switching equivalent if, and only if, they are cycle isomorphic.

In this paper, we introduced the notion called restricted super line $n$-sigraph of index $r$ and we obtained some interesting results in the following sections. The restricted super line $n$-sigraph of index $r$ is the generalization of line $n$-sigraph.

## 2. Restricted Super Line $n$-sigraph $\mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$

In [4], K. Manjula introduced the concept of the restricted super line graph, which generalizes the notion of line graph. For a given $G$, its restricted super line graph $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ of index $r$ is the graph whose vertices are the $r$-subsets of $E(G)$, and two vertices $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ and $Q=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{r}\right\}$ are adjacent if there exists exactly one pair of edges, say $p_{i}$ and $q_{j}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, that are adjacent edges in $G$. In [1], the authors introduced the concept of the super line graph as follows: For a given $G$, its super line graph $\mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ of index $r$ is the graph whose vertices are the $r$-subsets of $E(G)$, and two vertices $P$ and $Q$ are adjacent if there exist $p \in P$
and $q \in Q$ such that $p$ and $q$ are adjacent edges in $G$. Clearly $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ is a spanning subgraph of $\mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$. From the definitions of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$, it turns out that $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{1}(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1}(G)$ coincides with the line graph $L(G)$.

In this paper, we extend the notion of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ to realm of $n$-sigraphs as follows: The restricted super line $n$-sigraph of index $r$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as an $n$-sigraph $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)=\left(\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G), \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ is the underlying graph of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$, where for any edge $P Q$ in $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right), \sigma^{\prime}(P Q)=\sigma(P) \sigma(Q)$.

Hence, we shall call a given $n$-sigraph $S_{n}$ is a restricted super line $n$-sigraph of index $r$ if it is isomorphic to the restricted super line $n$-sigraph of index $r, \mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ of some $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$. In the following subsection, we shall present a characterization of restricted super line $n$-sigraph of index $r$.

The following result indicates the limitations of the notion $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ as introduced above, since the entire class of $i$-unbalanced $n$-sigraphs is forbidden to be restricted super line $n$-sigraphs of index $r$.

Proposition 2.1. For any n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, its $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is i-balanced.
Proof. Let $\sigma^{\prime}$ denote the $n$-tuple of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and let the $n$-tuple $\sigma$ of $S_{n}$ be treated as an $n$-marking of the vertices of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$. Then by definition of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ we see that $\sigma^{\prime}(P, Q)=\sigma(P) \sigma(Q)$, for every edge $P Q$ of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and hence, by Proposition 1.1, the result follows.

For any positive integer $k$, the $k^{t h}$ iterated restricted super line $n$-sigraph of index $r, \mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ of $S_{n}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}^{0}\left(S_{n}\right)=S_{n}, \mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)=\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}^{k-1}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Corollary 2.2. For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and any positive integer $k, \mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced.

In [16], the authors introduced the notion of the super line $n$-sigraph, which generalizes the notion of line $n$-sigraph [18]. The super line $n$-sigraph of index $r$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as an $n$-sigraph $\mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)=\left(\mathcal{L}_{r}(G), \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ is the underlying graph of $\mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$, where for any edge $P Q$ in $\mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right), \sigma^{\prime}(P Q)=\sigma(P) \sigma(Q)$. The above notion restricted super line $n$-sigraph is another generalization of line $n$-sigraphs.

Proposition 2.3. (P.S.K.Reddy et al. [16])
For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, its $\mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced.
In [4], the author characterized whose restricted super line graphs of index $r$ that are isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$.

Proposition 2.4.(K. Manjula [4])
For a graph $G=(V, E), \mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G) \cong \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ if, and only if, $G$ is either $K_{1,2} \cup n K_{2}$ or $n K_{2}$.

We now characterize $n$-sigraphs those $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ are switching equivalent to their $\mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$.

Proposition 2.5. For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma), \mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right) \sim \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ if, and only if, $G$ is either $K_{1,2} \cup n K_{2}$ or $n K_{2}$.
Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right) \sim \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$. This implies, $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G) \cong \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ and hence by Proposition 2.4, we see that the graph $G$ must be isomorphic to either $K_{1,2} \cup n K_{2}$ or $n K_{2}$.

Conversely, suppose that $G$ is either $K_{1,2} \cup n K_{2}$ or $n K_{2}$. Then $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G) \cong \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ by Proposition 2.4. Now, if $S_{n}$ any $n$-sigraph on any of these graphs, by Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.3, $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ are $i$-balanced and hence, the result follows from Proposition 1.2.

We now characterize $n$-sigraphs those $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ are isomorphic to their $\mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$. The following result is a stronger form of the above result.

Proposition 2.6. For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma), \mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right) \cong \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ if, and only if, $G$ is either $K_{1,2} \cup n K_{2}$ or $n K_{2}$.
Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right) \cong \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$, where $G$ is either $K_{1,2} \cup n K_{2}$ or $n K_{2}$. Consider the $\operatorname{map} f: V\left(\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)\right) \rightarrow V\left(\mathcal{L}_{r}(S)\right)$ defined by $f\left(e_{1} e_{2}, e_{2} e_{3}\right)=\left(e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime} e_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism. Let $\sigma$ be any $n$-tuple on $K_{1,2} \cup n K_{2}$ or $n K_{2}$. Let $e=\left(e_{1} e_{2}, e_{2} e_{3}\right)$ be an edge in $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$, where $G$ is $K_{1,2} \cup n K_{2}$ or $n K_{2}$. Then the $n$-tuple of the edge $e$ in $\mathcal{R}_{r}(G)$ is the $\sigma\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right) \sigma\left(e_{2} e_{3}\right)$ which is the $n$-tuple of the edge $\left(e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime} e_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$, where $G$ is $K_{1,2} \cup n K_{2}$ or $n K_{2}$. Hence the map $f$ is also an $n$-sigraph isomorphism between $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$.

## 3. Characterization of Restricted Super Line $n$-sigraphs $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$

The following result characterize $n$-sigraphs which are restricted super line $n$ sigraphs of index $r$.

Proposition 3.1. An n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is a restricted super line $n$-sigraph of index $r$ if and only if $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph and its underlying graph $G$ is a restricted super line graph of index $r$.
Proof. Suppose that $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced and $G$ is a $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$. Then there exists a graph $H$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{r}(H) \cong G$. Since $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced, by Proposition 1.1, there exists an $n$-marking $\mu$ of $G$ such that each edge $u v$ in $S_{n}$ satisfies $\sigma(u v)=\mu(u) \mu(v)$. Now consider the $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where for any edge $e$ in $H, \sigma^{\prime}(e)$ is the
$n$-marking of the corresponding vertex in $G$. Then clearly, $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $S_{n}$ is a restricted super line $n$-sigraph of index $r$.

Conversely, suppose that $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is a restricted super line $n$-sigraph of index $r$. Then there exists an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $G$ is the $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ of $H$ and by Proposition 2.1, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced.

If we take $r=1$ in $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$, then this is the ordinary line $n$-sigraph. In [18], the authors obtained structural characterization of line $n$-sigraphs and clearly Proposition 3.1 is the generalization of line signed graphs.

Proposition 3.2. An n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is a line $n$-sigraph if, and only if, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph and its underlying graph $G$ is a line graph.

## 4. Complementation

In this section, we investigate the notion of complementation of a graph whose edges have signs (a sigraph) in the more general context of graphs with multiple signs on their edges. We look at two kinds of complementation: complementing some or all of the signs, and reversing the order of the signs on each edge.

For any $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is: $a^{m}=a m$. For any $M \subseteq H_{n}$, and $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of $M$ is $M^{m}=\left\{a^{m}: a \in M\right\}$.

For any $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, written $\left(S_{n}^{m}\right)$, is the same graph but with each edge label $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ replaced by $a^{m}$.

For an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, the $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced (Proposition 2.1). We now examine, the condition under which $m$-complement of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced, where for any $m \in H_{n}$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Then, for any $m \in H_{n}$, if $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ is bipartite then $\left(\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{m}$ is $i$-balanced.
Proof. Since, by Proposition 2,1, $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced, for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of $n$-tuples on any cycle $C$ in $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ whose $k^{\text {th }}$ co-ordinate are - is even. Also, since $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}(G)$ is bipartite, all cycles have even length; thus, for each $k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of $n$-tuples on any cycle $C$ in $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)$ whose $k^{\text {th }}$ co-ordinate are + is also even. This implies that the same thing is true in any $m$-complement, where for any $m, \in H_{n}$. Hence $\left(\mathcal{R}_{r}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{t}$ is $i$-balanced.
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