KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 54(2014), 555-575 http://dx.doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2014.54.4.555

## On Some New Nonlinear Integral Inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman Type

HASSAN MOSTAFA EL-OWAIDY, ABDELWAHAB ABBAS RAGAB AND AHMED ABDEL-MONEIM ELDEEB\* Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City (11884), Cairo, Egypt e-mail: elowaidy@yahoo.com, awaragab158@yahoo.com and ahmed\_na707@yahoo.com(ahmedeldeeb@azhar.edu.eg)

WALEED MOSTAFA KAMAL ABUELELA Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City (11884), Cairo, Egypt Deanery of Academic Services, Taibah University, Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah, Saudi Arabia e-mail: w\_abuelela@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish some new nonlinear integral inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman type. These inequalities generalize some famous inequalities which can be used in applications as handy tools to study the qualitative as well as quantitative properties of solutions of some nonlinear ordinary differential and integral equations. More accurately we extend certain results which have been proved in A. Abdeldaim and M. Yakout [1] and H. El-Owaidy, A. A. Ragab, A. Abdeldaim [7] too.

### 1. Introduction

It is well known that, the differential and integral inequalities of one variable which provide explicit bounds on unknown functions, occupy a very privileged position in the development of the theory of linear and nonlinear ordinary differential and integral equations see for example [2, 8, 9, 10, 11]. In the qualitative theory of differential and Volterra integral equations, Gronwall type inequalities of one variable for the real functions play a very important role. In the recent years, these inequalities have been greatly enriched by the recognition of their potential and

Key words and phrases: Gronwall-Bellman inequality, integral inequalities, ordinary differential equations, integral equations.



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author.

Received December 28, 2012; accepted April 15, 2013.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 54C60, 54H25.

intrinsic worth in many applications of the applied sciences. The first use of Gronwall inequality to establish boundedness and stability is due to R. Bellman, for the ideas and the methods of R. Bellman see [5]. In 1943, Bellman [6] proved the fundamental lemma (see Theorem 1.1) named Gronwall-Bellman's inequality which plays a vital role in studying stability and asymptotic behavior of solutions of differential and integral equations see for instance [4, 5]. After the discovery of the Gronwall-Bellman's inequality, the inequalities of this type are known in the literature as Bellman's inequality, Bellman-Gronwall's inequality or Gronwall-Bellman's inequality [2, 11]. In view of the important applications of the Gronwall-Bellman's inequality see [2, 3], in the past few years, Pachpatte in [11], established new generalizations of the Gronwall-Bellman's inequality which can be used as powerful tools in the study of certain classes of differential and integral equations. In 1999 El-Owaidy et al [7] obtained several new integral inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman inequality type. These inequalities are directly useful in studying some properties of solutions of ordinary differential equations. The aim of this paper is to extend certain results which have been proved in [1] and [7] to obtain new generalizations for some former famous inequalities, which can be used as handy tools to study the qualitative as well as the quantitative properties of solutions of some nonlinear ordinary differential and integral equations.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Gronwall-Bellman's inequality [6]) let x(t) and f(t) be non-negative continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$ , for which the inequality

$$x(t) \le x_0 + \int_0^t f(s)x(s)ds, \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

holds, where  $x_0 \ge 0$  is a constant. Then

$$x(t) \le x_0 \exp\left[\int_0^t f(\lambda) d\lambda\right], \quad \forall t \in I.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** (A. Abdeldaim and M. Yakout's inequality [1]) Let x(t), f(t) and h(t) be non-negative real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$ , and satisfy the inequality

$$x^{p}(t) \leq x_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} f(s)x^{p}(s)ds + \int_{0}^{t} h(s)x^{q}(s)ds, \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $p > q \ge 0$ , are constants. Then

for

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_0^t f(s) ds\right) \left[x_0^{p_1} + p_1 \int_0^t h(s) \exp\left(-p_1 \int_0^s f(\lambda) d\lambda\right) ds\right]^{\left[\frac{1}{p-q}\right]},\\ all \ t \in I, \ where \ p_1 &= \left[\frac{p-q}{p}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

#### 2. Main Results

In this section, we state and prove some new integral inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman type, which can be used in applications as handy tools, and in the analysis of various problems in the theory of the nonlinear ordinary differential and integral equations.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let x(t) and h(t) be real-valued non-negative continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$ , and n(t) be a positive monotonic non-decreasing continuous function defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$  and satisfy the inequality

(2.1) 
$$x^{p}(t) \leq n^{p}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} h(s)x^{q}(s)ds, \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $p > q \ge 0$ . Then

(2.2) 
$$x(t) \le n(t) \left[ 1 + \left[ \frac{p-q}{p} \right] \int_0^t h(s) n^{-[p-q]}(s) ds \right]^{\left[ \frac{1}{p-q} \right]}, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

*Proof.* Since n(t) is a positive, monotonic non-decreasing function, we observe from (2.1) that

$$\left[\frac{x(t)}{n(t)}\right]^p \le 1 + \int_0^t h(s) n^{-[p-q]}(s) \left[\frac{x(s)}{n(s)}\right]^q ds, \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Let

(2.3) 
$$m(t) = \frac{x(t)}{n(t)}, \qquad m(0) \le 1,$$

hence

$$m^{p}(t) \leq 1 + \int_{0}^{t} h(s)n^{-[p-q]}(s)m^{q}(s)ds, \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Using Theorem 1.2 at f(t) = 0, we have

(2.4) 
$$m(t) \leq \left[1 + \left[\frac{p-q}{p}\right]\int_0^t h(s)n^{-[p-q]}(s)ds\right]^{\left\lfloor\frac{1}{p-q}\right\rfloor}, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

The required inequality in (2.2) follows from (2.3) and (2.4). The proof is complete.

**Remark 2.1.** It is interesting to note that when q = 1 Theorem 2.1 reduces to Theorem 2 in [7].

Now we will give an inequality, which is circulated to the previous inequalities in Theorems 1.2, 2.1, and many other famous inequalities in different papers, also it has many applications. **Theorem 2.2.** Let x(t) and f(t) be non-negative real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$  and n(t) be a positive monotonic non-decreasing continuous function defined also on I and satisfy the inequality

(2.5) 
$$x^{p}(t) \leq n^{p}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} f(s)x^{p}(s)ds + \int_{0}^{t} h(s)x^{q}(s)ds, \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $p > q \ge 0$ . Then

(2.6) 
$$x(t) \le n(t)k_1(t), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where

$$k_1(t) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_0^t f(s) ds\right)$$
$$\times \left[1 + \left[\frac{p-q}{p}\right] \int_0^t h(s) n^{-[p-q]}(s) \exp\left(\frac{-[p-q]}{p} \int_0^s f(\lambda) d\lambda\right) ds\right]^{\left[\frac{1}{p-q}\right]},$$

for all  $t \in I$ .

*Proof.* Since n(t) is a positive monotonic non-decreasing function, we observe from (2.5) that

$$\left[\frac{x(t)}{n(t)}\right]^p \le 1 + \int_0^t f(s) \left[\frac{x(s)}{n(s)}\right]^p ds + \int_0^t h(s) n^{-[p-q]}(s) \left[\frac{x(t)}{n(t)}\right]^q ds, \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Let

(2.8) 
$$m(t) = \frac{x(t)}{n(t)}, \qquad m(0) \le 1, \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Hence

$$m^{p}(t) \leq 1 + \int_{0}^{t} f(s)m^{p}(s)ds + \int_{0}^{t} h(s)n^{-[p-q]}(s)m^{q}(s)ds, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Now, we have from Theorem 1.2.

(2.9) 
$$m(t) \le k_1(t), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $k_1(t)$  as defined in (2.7) The required inequality in (2.6) follows from (2.8) and (2.9). The proof is complete.

#### Remark 2.2.

1. It is interesting to note that the special case when  $n(t) = n_0$  (any constant), the inequality given in Theorem 2.2, reduces to the inequality given in Theorem 1.2.

- 2. When p = 1 the inequality given in Theorem 2.2, reduces to the inequality given in Theorem 7 in [7].
- 3. If we put  $n(t) = n_0$ , h(t) = 0, and p = 1, in Theorem 2.2, we get the well known Gronwall-Bellman inequality (see Theorem 1.1).
- 4. If we put  $n(t) = n_0$ , and p = 1, The inequality given in Theorem 2.2, reduces to the Willett and Wong inequality [12].
- 5. When we put p = 2, q = 1,  $n(t) = n_0$ , and f(t) = 0, the inequality given in Theorem 2.2, reduces to the well known Ou-lnag inequality [10].
- 6. When f(t) = 0 the inequality given in Theorem 2.2, reduces to the inequality given in Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let x(t) be a real-valued positive continuous function and f(t), g(t) are real-valued non-negative continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$  and satisfy the inequality

(2.10) 
$$x^{p}(t) \leq x_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} f(s) \left[ x^{q}(s) + \int_{0}^{s} g(\lambda) x(\lambda) d\lambda \right] ds,$$

where  $x_0$  is non-negative constant and  $p > q \ge 0$ . Then

(2.11) 
$$x(t) \le \left[x_0 + \int_0^t f(s)k_2(s) \exp\left(\int_0^s g(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where

(2.12) 
$$k_2(t) = \left[x_0^{\frac{q[p-q]}{p}} + \left[\frac{q[p-q]}{p}\right]\int_0^t f(s)\exp\left(-[p-q]\int_0^s g(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right]^{\left[\frac{1}{p-q}\right]},$$

for all  $t \in I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $J^p(t)$  equal the right hand side in (2.10), we have  $J(0) = x_0^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and

(2.13) 
$$x(t) \le J(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Differentiating  $J^p(t)$ , leads to

(2.14) 
$$pJ^{[p-1]}(t)\frac{dJ(t)}{dt} \le f(t)Y(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $Y(t) = J^q(t) + \int_0^t g(s)J(s)ds$ , thus we have  $Y(0) = J^q(0) = x_0^{\frac{q}{p}}$ , and

$$(2.15) J(t) \le Y(t), \forall t \in I.$$

Differentiating Y(t) and using (2.14) and (2.15), produces

$$\frac{dY(t)}{dt} \le \frac{q}{p} f(t) Y^{[q-p+1]}(t) + g(t) Y(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

but Y(t) > 0, thus we have

(2.16) 
$$Y^{[p-q-1]}(t)\frac{dY(t)}{dt} - g(t)Y^{[p-q]}(t) \le \frac{q}{p}f(t), \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Now if we put

(2.17) 
$$Z_1(t) = Y^{[p-q]}(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

then we have  $Z_1(0) = Y^{[p-q]}(0) = x_0^{\frac{q[p-q]}{p}}$  and  $Y^{[p-q-1]}(t)\frac{dY(t)}{dt} = [\frac{1}{p-q}]\frac{dZ_1}{dt}$ , thus from (2.16) we obtain

$$\frac{dZ_1(t)}{dt} - [p-q]g(t)Z_1(t) \le \left[\frac{q[p-q]}{p}\right]f(t), \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

The above inequality implies the following estimation for  $Z_1(t)$ 

(2.18) 
$$Z_1(t) \le \exp\left(\left[p-q\right] \int_0^t g(s)ds\right) \times \left[x_0^{\frac{q(p-q)}{p}} + \left[\frac{q[p-q]}{p}\right] \int_0^t f(s) \exp\left(-\left[p-q\right] \int_0^s g(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right],$$

for all  $t \in I$ , then from (2.18) in (2.17), we obtain

(2.19) 
$$Y(t) \le k_2(t) \exp\left(\int_0^t g(s)ds\right), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $k_2(t)$  is as defined in (2.12), thus from (2.14) we have

$$pJ^{[p-1]}(t)\frac{dJ(t)}{dt} \le f(t)k_2(t)\exp\left(\int_0^t g(s)ds\right), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Taking t = s in the above inequality and Integrating from 0 to t, gives

(2.20) 
$$J(t) \le \left[x_0 + \int_0^t f(s)k_2(s) \exp\left(\int_0^s g(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Using (2.20) in (2.13), leads to the required inequality in (2.11). The proof is complete.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Remark 2.3.** It is interesting to note that the special case when p = 1, Theorem 2.3 reduces to Theorem 3 in [7].

561

If we put p = 2 and, q = 0 in Theorem 2.3, we can easily drive the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.1.** Let x(t) be a real-valued positive continuous function and f(t), g(t) are real-valued non-negative continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$  and satisfy the inequality

$$x^{2}(t) \leq x_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} f(s) \left[ 1 + \int_{0}^{s} g(\lambda)x(\lambda)d\lambda \right] ds, \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $x_0$  is non-negative constant. Then

$$x(t) \le \sqrt{x_0 + \int_0^t f(s) \exp\left(\int_0^s g(\lambda) d\lambda\right)} ds, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** Let x(t) be a real-valued positive continuous function and f(t), g(t) are real-valued non-negative continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$  and satisfy the inequality

(2.21) 
$$x^{p}(t) \leq x_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} f(s) \left[ x^{p}(s) + \int_{0}^{s} g(\lambda) x(\lambda) d\lambda \right] ds, \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $x_0$  and p are positive constants. Then

(2.22) 
$$x(t) \le x_0^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ 1 + \int_0^t f(s) \exp\left(\int_0^s [f(\lambda) + g(\lambda)] d\lambda\right) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Proof. Let  $J_1^p(t)$  equal the right hand side in (2.21), we have  $J_1(0) = x_0^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and (2.23)  $x(t) \leq J_1(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$ 

Differentiating  $J_1^p(t)$ , leads to

(2.24) 
$$pJ_1^{[p-1]}(t)\frac{dJ_1(t)}{dt} \le f(t)Y_1(t), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $Y_1(t) = J_1^p(t) + \int_0^t g(s) J_1(s) ds$ , thus we have  $Y_1(0) = J_1^p(0) = x_0$  and (2.25)  $U(t) \leq V_1(t)$   $\forall t \in I$ 

Differentiating  $Y_1(t)$  and using (2.24) and (2.25), produces

$$\frac{dY_1(t)}{dt} \le [f(t) + g(t)]Y_1(t), \qquad \forall t \in I$$

Taking t = s in the above inequality and Integrating from 0 to t, we get

(2.26) 
$$Y_1(t) \le x_0 \exp\left(\int_0^t [f(s) + g(s)]ds\right), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Substituting (2.26) in (2.24) we have

$$J_1^{[p-1]}(t)\frac{dJ_1(t)}{dt} \le \frac{1}{p}x_0f(t)\exp\left(\int_0^t [f(s)+g(s)]ds\right), \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

The above inequality implies an estimation for  $J_1(t)$  as follows

(2.27) 
$$J_1(t) \le x_0^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ 1 + \int_0^t f(s) \exp\left(\int_0^s [f(\lambda) + g(\lambda)] d\lambda\right) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Using (2.27) in (2.23), we get the required inequality in (2.22). The proof is complete.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Theorem 2.5.** Let x(t), f(t) and g(t) be real-valued positive continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$  and satisfy the inequality

(2.28) 
$$x(t) \le x_0 + \int_0^t f(s) \left[ x^{[2-p]}(s) + \int_0^s g(\lambda) x^q(\lambda) d\lambda \right]^p ds, \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $x_0 > 0$ , and  $0 , <math>0 \le q < 1$ , are constants. Then

(2.29) 
$$x(t) \le x_0 + \int_0^t f(s)k_3(s)ds, \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where

(2.30)

$$K_{3}(t) = \exp\left(p(2-p)\int_{0}^{t} f(s)ds\right) \\ \times \left[x_{0}^{[1-q][2-p]} + (1-q)\int_{0}^{t} g(s)\exp\left((1-q)(2-p)\int_{0}^{t} f(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right]^{[\frac{p}{1-q}]},$$

for all  $t \in I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $J_2(t)$  equal the right hand side in (2.28), we have  $J_2(0) = x_0$  and

(2.31) 
$$x(t) \le J_2(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Differentiating  $J_2(t)$ , produces

(2.32) 
$$\frac{dJ_2(t)}{dt} \le f(t)Y_2^p(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $Y_2(t) = J_2^{[2-p]}(t) + \int_0^t g(s)J_2^q(s)ds$ , thus we have  $Y_2(0) = J_2^{[2-p]}(0) = x_0^{[2-p]}$  and

$$(2.33) J_2(t) \le Y_2(t), \forall t \in I.$$

562

Differentiating  $Y_2(t)$  and using (2.32) and (2.33), leads to

(2.34) 
$$\frac{dY_2(t)}{dt} \le (2-p)f(t)Y_2(t) + g(t)Y_2^q(t), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

but  $Y_2(t) > 0$  then we can write the inequality (2.34) in the following form

(2.35) 
$$Y_2^{-q}(t)\frac{dY_2(t)}{dt} - (2-p)f(t)Y_2^{[1-q]}(t) \le g(t), \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

If we let,  $Y_2^{[1-q]}(t) = Z_2(t)$ , we have  $Z_2(0) = Y_2^{[1-q]}(0) = x_0^{[1-q][2-p]}$ , and  $Y_2^{-q}(t)\frac{dY_2(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{(1-q)}\frac{dZ_2}{dt}$ , then we can write the inequality (2.35) as follows

(2.36) 
$$\frac{dZ_2}{dt} - (1-q)(2-p)f(t)Z_2 \le (1-q)g(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

The inequality (2.36) implies an estimation for  $Z_2(t)$  as in the following

(2.37)  

$$Z_{2}(t) \leq \exp\left((1-q)(2-p)\int_{0}^{t}f(s)ds\right) \\ \times \left[x_{0}^{[1-q][2-p]} + (1-q)\int_{0}^{t}g(s)\exp\left(-(1-q)(2-p)\int_{0}^{t}f(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right],$$

for all  $t \in I$ . But  $Y_2^{[1-q]}(t) = Z_2(t)$ , then from (2.37), we have

(2.38) 
$$Y_2^p(t) \le k_3(t), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $k_3(t)$  as defined in (2.30), and from (2.38) in (2.32), we obtain

$$\frac{dJ_2(t)}{dt} \le f(t)k_3(t), \qquad \forall t \in I$$

The above inequality implies an estimation for  $J_2(t)$  as in the following

(2.39) 
$$J_2(t) \le x_0 + \int_0^t f(s)k_3(s)ds, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Using (2.39) in (2.31), we get the required inequality in (2.29). The proof is complete. 

**Remark 2.4.** When g(t) = 0 and p = 1, the inequality given in Theorem 2.5, reduces to the Gronwall-Bellman inequality (see Theorem 1.1.

In the special case when p = 2, and  $q = \frac{1}{2}$ , Theorem 2.5 takes the following form:

**Corollary 2.2.** Let x(t), f(t) and g(t) be real-valued positive continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$  and satisfy the inequality

$$x(t) \le x_0 + \int_0^t f(s) \left[ 1 + \int_0^s g(\lambda) \sqrt{x(\lambda)} d\lambda \right]^2 ds, \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $x_0 > 0$ . Then

$$x(t) \le x_0 + \int_0^t f(s) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s g(\lambda) \right]^4 ds, \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

**Theorem 2.6.** Let x(t) be a real-valued positive continuous function and f(t), g(t) are non-negative real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$ , and satisfy the inequality

(2.40) 
$$x^{p}(t) \leq x_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} f(s)x(s) \left[x^{q}(s) + \int_{0}^{s} g(\lambda)x(\lambda)d\lambda\right]^{r} ds,$$

for all  $t \in I$ , where  $x_0 > 0$ , r > 0, q > 0, and r + q > p, p > 1 are constants. Then

(2.41) 
$$x(t) \le \left[ x_0^{\left[\frac{p-1}{p}\right]} + \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) \int_0^t f(s)k_4(s)ds \right]^{\left[\frac{1}{p-1}\right]}, \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where

(2.42) 
$$k_4(t) = \frac{x_0^{\frac{qr}{p}} \exp\left(r \int_0^t g(s)ds\right)}{\left[1 - \frac{q[r+q-p]}{p} x_0^{\frac{q[r+q-p]}{p}} \int_0^t f(s) \exp([r+q-p] \int_0^s g(\lambda)d\lambda)ds\right]^{\left[\frac{r}{r+q-p}\right]}},$$

for all  $t \in I$ , such that

$$\frac{q[r+q-p]}{p}x_0^{\frac{q[r+q-p]}{p}}\int_0^t f(s)\exp([r+q-p]\int_0^s g(\lambda)d\lambda)ds < 1, \text{ for all } t \in I.$$
Proof. Let  $J_3^p(t)$  equal the right hand side in (2.40), we have  $J_3(0) = x_0^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and

(2.43) 
$$x(t) \le J_3(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Differentiating  $J_3^p(t)$ , gives

(2.44) 
$$pJ_3^{(p-1)}\frac{dJ_3(t)}{dt} \le f(t)J_3(t)Y_3^r(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $Y_3(t) = J_3^q(t) + \int_0^t g(s) J_3(s) ds$ , hence  $Y_3(0) = J_3^q(0) = x_0^{\frac{q}{p}}$ , and (2.45)  $J_3(t) \le Y_3(t) \quad \forall t \in I.$  Differentiating  $Y_3(t)$  and using (2.44) and (2.45), leads to

(2.46) 
$$\frac{dY_3(t)}{dt} \le \frac{q}{p} f(t) Y_3^{[r+q-p+1]}(t) + g(t) Y_3(t), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

but  $Y_3(t) > 0$  then we can write the inequality (2.46) in the following form

(2.47) 
$$Y_3^{-[r+q-p+1]}(t)\frac{dY_3(t)}{dt} - g(t)Y_3^{-[r+q-p]}(t) \le \frac{q}{p}f(t), \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

If we let

(2.48) 
$$Y_3^{-[r+q-p]}(t) = Z_3(t), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

we have

We have  $Z_3(0) = Y_3^{-[r+q-p]}(0) = x_0^{\frac{-q[r+q-p]}{p}}$ , and  $Y_3^{-[r+q-p+1]}(t)\frac{dY_3(t)}{dt} = \frac{-1}{[r+q-p]}\frac{dZ_3}{dt}$ , then we can write the inequality (2.47) as follows

$$\frac{dZ_3}{dt} + (r+q-p)g(t)Z_3(t) \ge \frac{-q[r+q-p]}{p}f(t), \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

The above inequality implies an estimation for  $Z_3(t)$  as in the following inequality

(2.49) 
$$Z_{3}(t) \geq \frac{\left[1 - \frac{q[r+q-p]}{p}x_{0}^{\frac{q[r+q-p]}{p}}\int_{0}^{t}f(s)\exp([r+q-p]\int_{0}^{s}g(\lambda)d\lambda)ds\right]}{x_{0}^{\frac{q[r+q-p]}{p}}\exp\left((r+q-p)\int_{0}^{t}g(s)ds\right)}$$

for all  $t \in I$ , then from (2.48) and (2.49), we have

(2.50) 
$$Y_3^r(t) \le k_4(t), \qquad \forall t \in I$$

where  $k_4(t)$  as defined in (2.42), thus from (2.50) in (2.44), we have

$$J_{3}^{[p-2]}(t)\frac{dJ_{3}(t)}{dt} \le \frac{1}{p}f(t)k_{4}(t), \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Taking t = s in the above inequality and integrating from 0 to t , produces

(2.51) 
$$J_3(t) \le \left[ x_0^{\left[\frac{p-1}{p}\right]} + \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) \int_0^t f(s)k_4(s)ds \right]^{\left[\frac{1}{p-1}\right]}, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Using (2.51) in (2.43), we get the required inequality in (2.41). The proof is complete.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Theorem 2.7.** Let x(t) be a real-valued positive continuous function and f(t), q(t)are non-negative real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$ , and satisfy the inequality

(2.52) 
$$x(t) \le x_0 + \int_0^t f(s)x(s) \left[ x^q(s) + \int_0^s g(\lambda)x(\lambda)d\lambda \right]^p ds$$

for all  $t \in I$ , where  $x_0 > 0$ , p > 0, q > 0, and p + q > 1, are constants. Then

(2.53) 
$$x(t) \le x_0 \exp\left(\int_0^t f(s)k_5(s)ds\right), \quad t \in I.$$

where (2.54)

$$k_{5}(t) = \frac{x_{0}^{pq} \exp\left(p \int_{0}^{t} g(s)ds\right)}{\left[1 - q[p+q-1]x_{0}^{q[p+q-1]} \int_{0}^{t} f(s) \exp([p+q-1]\int_{0}^{s} g(\lambda)d\lambda)ds\right]^{\left[\frac{p}{p+q-1}\right]}},$$

for all  $t \in I$ , such that  $q[p+q-1]x_0^{q[p+q-1]} \int_0^t f(s) \exp([p+q-1] \int_0^s g(\lambda)d\lambda)ds < 1$ , for all  $t \in I$ . *Proof.* Let  $J_4(t)$  equal the right hand side in (2.52), we have  $J_4(0) = x_0$  and

(2.55) 
$$x(t) \le J_4(t), \quad \forall t \in I$$

Differentiating  $J_4(t)$ , gives

(2.56) 
$$\frac{dJ_4(t)}{dt} \le f(t)J_4(t)Y_4^p(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $Y_4(t) = J_4^q(t) + \int_0^t g(s)J_4(s)ds$ , hence  $Y_4(0) = J_4^q(0) = x_0^q$ , and

$$(2.57) J_4(t) \le Y_4(t) \forall t \in I.$$

Differentiating  $Y_4(t)$  and using (2.56) and (2.57), leads to

$$\frac{dY_4(t)}{dt} \le qf(t)Y_4^{[p+q]}(t) + g(t)Y_4(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

but  $Y_4(t) > 0$ , then we have

(2.58) 
$$Y_4^{-[p+q]}(t)\frac{dY_4(t)}{dt} - g(t)Y_4^{[1-(p+q)]}(t) \le qf(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

If we let

(2.59) 
$$Y_4^{[1-(p+q)]}(t) = Z_4(t), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

we have  $Z_4(0) = Y_4^{-[p+q-1]}(0) = x_0^{-q[p+q-1]}$ , and  $Y_4^{-[p+q]}(t)\frac{dY_4(t)}{dt} = \frac{-1}{[p+q-1]}\frac{dZ_4}{dt}$ , then we can write the inequality (2.58) as follows

(2.60) 
$$\frac{dZ_4}{dt} + (p+q-1)g(t)Z_4(t) \ge -q(p+q-1)f(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

The above inequality implies an estimation for  $Z_4(t)$  as in the following inequality

$$(2.61) \quad Z_4(t) \ge \frac{\left\lfloor 1 - q(p+q-1)x_0^{q[p+q-1]} \int_0^t f(s) \exp([p+q-1] \int_0^s g(\lambda)d\lambda)ds \right\rfloor}{x_0^{q[p+q-1]} \exp\left((p+q-1) \int_0^t g(s)ds\right)}$$

for all  $t \in I$ , then from (2.59) and (2.61), we have

(2.62) 
$$Y_4^p(t) \le k_5(t), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $k_5(t)$  as defined in (2.54), thus from (2.62) in (2.56), we have

(2.63) 
$$\frac{dJ_4(t)}{dt} \le f(t)k_5(t), \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Taking t = s in the above inequality and integrating from 0 to t, produces

(2.64) 
$$J_4(t) \le x_0 \exp\left(\int_0^t f(s)k_5(s)ds\right), \quad t \in I.$$

Using (2.64) in (2.55), we get the required inequality in (2.53). The proof is complete.

**Remark 2.5.** If we put q = 1, the inequality given in Theorem 2.7, reduces to Theorem 3.2 in [1].

**Theorem 2.8.** Let x(t), f(t) and g(t) be real-valued positive continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$  and satisfy the inequality

(2.65) 
$$x(t) \le x_0 + \int_0^t f(s) \left[ x^p(s) + \int_0^s g(\lambda) x^{[2p-1]}(\lambda) d\lambda \right]^p ds,$$

for all  $t \in I$ , where  $x_0 > 0$ , and  $p \in (0, 1)$ , are constants. Then

(2.66) 
$$x(t) \le x_0 + \int_0^t f(s)k_6(s), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

where

(2.67) 
$$k_6(t) = \left[ x_0^{2p[1-p]} + 2(1-p) \int_0^s [pf(\lambda) + g(\lambda)] d\lambda \right]^{\left[\frac{p}{2[1-p]}\right]} ds, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

*Proof.* Let  $J_5(t)$  equal the right hand side in (2.65), we have  $J_5(0) = x_0$  and

(2.68) 
$$x(t) \le J_5(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Differentiating  $J_5(t)$ , gives

(2.69) 
$$\frac{dJ_5(t)}{dt} \le f(t)Y_5^p(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $Y_5(t) = J_5^p(t) + \int_0^t g(s) J_5^{[2p-1]}(s) ds$ , thus we have  $Y_5(0) = J_5^p(0) = x_0^p$  and

$$(2.70) J_5(t) \le Y_5(t), \forall t \in I.$$

Differentiating  $Y_5(t)$  and using (2.69), and (2.70), leads to

$$\frac{dY_5(t)}{dt} \le [pf(t) + g(t)]Y_5^{[2p-1]}(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

then

$$Y_5^{[1-2p]}(t) \frac{dY_5(t)}{dt} \le [pf(t) + g(t)], \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Taking t = s in the last inequality and integrating both sides from 0 to t and using  $Y_5^{2[1-p]}(0) = x_0^{2p[1-p]}$ , gives

(2.71) 
$$Y_5^p(t) \le k_6(t), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $k_6(t)$  as defined in (2.67), thus from (2.71) in (2.69) we have

$$\frac{dJ_5(t)}{dt} \le f(t)k_6(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

which implies the estimation for  $J_5(t)$  as

(2.72) 
$$J_5(t) \le x_0 + \int_0^t f(s)k_6(s), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Using (2.72) in (2.68), we get the required inequality in (2.66). The proof is complete.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Theorem 2.9.** Let x(t) be a real-valued positive continuous function and f(t), g(t) are non-negative real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$ , and n(t) be a positive monotonic non-decreasing continuous function on  $I = [0, \infty)$  and satisfy the inequality

(2.73) 
$$x(t) \le n(t) + \int_0^t f(s) \left[ x(s) + \int_0^s g(\lambda) x(\lambda) d\lambda \right]^p ds,$$

for all  $t \in I$ , where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Then

(2.74) 
$$x(t) \le n(t) \left[ 1 + \int_0^t f(s) k_7(s) n^{[p-1]}(s) \right], \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where

(2.75) 
$$k_7(t) \le \exp\left(p(1-p)\int_0^t g(s)ds\right) \left[1 + (1-p)\int_0^t f(s)n^{[p-1]}(s) \times \exp\left(-(1-p)\int_0^s g(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right]^{\left[\frac{p}{1-p}\right]}, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

*Proof.* Since n(t) is a positive monotonic nondecreasing function, we observe from the inequality (2.73)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{x(t)}{n(t)} \end{bmatrix} \leq 1 + \int_0^t f(s) n^{[p-1]}(s) \\ \times \left[ \left( \frac{x(s)}{n(s)} \right) + \int_0^t g(\lambda) \left( \frac{x(\lambda)}{n(\lambda)} \right) d\lambda \right]^p ds, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Let

(2.76) 
$$m(t) = \frac{x(t)}{n(t)}, \qquad m(0) \le 1, \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Hence

$$m(t) \le 1 + \int_0^t f(s) n^{[p-1]}(s) \left[ m(s) + \int_0^t g(\lambda) m(\lambda) d(\lambda) \right]^p, \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Let

$$J_6(t) = 1 + \int_0^t f(s) n^{[p-1]}(s) \left[ m(s) + \int_0^t g(\lambda) m(\lambda) d(\lambda) \right]^p, \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

we can easily obtain  $J_6(0) = 1$ , and

(2.77) 
$$m(t) \le J_6(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Differentiating  $J_6(t)$ , gives

(2.78) 
$$\frac{dJ_6(t)}{dt} \le f(t)n^{[p-1]}(t)Y_6^p(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $Y_6(t) = J_6(t) + \int_0^t g(s) J_6(s) d(s)$ ,  $J_6(0) = 1$ , and

$$(2.79) J_6(t) \le Y_6(t), \forall t \in I.$$

Differentiating  $Y_6(t)$ , and using (2.78) and (2.79), leads to

$$\frac{dY_6(t)}{dt} = \frac{dJ_6(t)}{dt} + g(t)J_6(t) \le f(t)n^{[p-1]}(t)Y_6^p(t) + g(t)Y_6(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

which implies the estimation for  $Y_6(t)$ , such that

(2.80) 
$$Y_6^p(t) \le k_7(t), \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $k_7(t)$  is as given in (2.75), thus from (2.80) in (2.78), we have

$$\frac{dJ_6(t)}{dt} \le f(t)n^{[p-1]}(t)k_7(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

the above inequality implies the following estimation for  $J_6(t)$ 

(2.81) 
$$J_6(t) \le 1 + \int_0^t f(s)k_7(s)n^{[p-1]}ds, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Using (2.81) in (2.77), we get

(2.82) 
$$m(t) \le 1 + \int_0^t f(s)k_7(s)n^{[p-1]}ds, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

We get the desired bound in (2.74) from (2.76) and (2.82). the proof is complete.

If we put  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  in Theorem 2.9, we can easily drive the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  be a real-valued positive continuous function and  $\mathbf{f}(t)$ ,  $\mathbf{g}(t)$  are non-negative real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$ , and  $\mathbf{n}(t)$  be a positive monotonic non-decreasing continuous function on  $I = [0, \infty)$  and satisfy the inequality

$$x(t) \le n(t) + \int_0^t f(s) \sqrt{x(s) + \int_0^s g(\lambda)x(\lambda)d\lambda} \quad ds,$$

for all  $t \in I$ . Then

$$x(t) \le n(t) \left[ 1 + \int_0^t \frac{f(s)k_8(s)}{\sqrt{n(s)}} \right], \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where

$$k_{8}(t) \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{t} g(s)ds\right) \\ \times \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{f(s)}{\sqrt{n(s)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{s} g(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right], \quad \forall t \in I.$$

570

**Theorem 2.10.** Let x(t) be a real-valued positive continuous function and f(t), g(t) are non-negative real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I = [0, \infty)$ , and n(t) be a positive monotonic non-decreasing continuous function on  $I = [0, \infty)$  and satisfy the inequality

(2.83) 
$$x(t) \le n(t) + \int_0^t f(s) \left[ x(s) + \int_0^s g(\lambda) x^p(\lambda) d\lambda \right]^p ds,$$

for all  $t \in I$ , where p > 1. Then

(2.84) 
$$x(t) \le n(t) \left[ 1 + \int_0^t f(s) k_9(s) n^{[p-1]}(s) \right], \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where

(2.85) 
$$k_9(t) \le \left[1 + (1-p) \int_0^t [f(s)n^{[p-1]}(s) + g(s)n(s)]ds\right]^{\left[\frac{p}{1-p}\right]}, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

*Proof.* Since n(t) is a positive monotonic nondecreasing function, we observe from (2.83)

$$\left[\frac{x(t)}{n(t)}\right] \le 1 + \int_0^t f(s) n^{[p-1]}(s) \left[\left(\frac{x(s)}{n(s)}\right) + \int_0^t g(\lambda) n^{[p-1]}(\lambda) \left(\frac{x(\lambda)}{n(\lambda)}\right)^p d\lambda\right]^p ds,$$

for all  $t \in I$ . Let

(2.86) 
$$m(t) = \frac{x(t)}{n(t)}, \qquad m(0) \le 1, \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Hence

$$m(t) \le 1 + \int_0^t f(s) n^{[p-1]}(s) \left[ m(t) + \int_0^t g(\lambda) n^{[p-1]}(\lambda) m^p(\lambda) \right]^p ds, \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Let

$$J_{7}(t) = 1 + \int_{0}^{t} f(s)n^{[p-1]}(s) \left[ m(t) + \int_{0}^{t} g(\lambda)n^{[p-1]}(\lambda)m^{p}(\lambda) \right]^{p} ds, \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

we can easily obtain  $J_7(0) = 1$ , and

(2.87) 
$$m(t) \le J_7(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Differentiating  $J_7(t)$ , gives

(2.88) 
$$\frac{dJ_7(t)}{dt} \le f(t)n^{[p-1]}(t)Y_7^p(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $Y_7(t) = J_7(t) + \int_0^t g(s) n^{[p-1]}(s) Y_7^p(s) d(s), \ Y_7(0) = J_7(0) = 1$ , and (2.89)  $J_7(t) \le Y_7(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$ 

Differentiating  $Y_7(t)$ , and using (2.88) and (2.89), leads to

$$\frac{dY_7(t)}{dt} \le [f(t)n^{[p-1]}(t) + g(t)n(t)]Y_7^p(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

which implies the estimation for  $Y_7(t)$ , such that

(2.90) 
$$Y_7^p(t) \le k_9(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $k_9(t)$  is as given in (2.85), thus from (2.90) in (2.88), we get

$$\frac{dJ_7(t)}{dt} \le f(t)n^{[p-1]}(t)k_9(t), \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

which implies the estimation for  $J_7(t)$ 

(2.91) 
$$J_7(t) \le 1 + \int_0^t f(s)k_9(s)n^{[p-1]}(s)ds, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Using (2.91) in (2.87) we get

(2.92) 
$$m(t) \le 1 + \int_0^t f(s)k_9(s)n^{[p-1]}ds, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

The desired bound in (2.84) follows from (2.86) and (2.92). The proof is complete.

#### 3. Some Applications

In this section, we present some applications of the above results in order to illustrate the usefulness of this work. For instance, let us introduce some applications of the inequalities obtained in Theorems 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 in studying the boundedness and asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of nonlinear integral and integrodifferential equations.

We present the following example, as an application of the inequality obtained in Theorem 2.2:

**Example 3.1.** We discuss the boundedness and asymptotic behaviour of the solution of nonlinear integral equation of the form

(3.1) 
$$x^{p}(t) = g(t) + \int_{0}^{t} K(t,s)H(s,x(s))ds, \quad \forall t \in I = [0,\infty),$$

where p as defined in Theorem 2.2, g(t) is a positive real-valued continuous function defined on I and K, H are non-decreasing real-valued continuous functions defined

572

573

on  $I \times I$ . Here we assume that every solution x(t) of (3.1) under discussion exists on I.

We list the following hypotheses on the functions 
$$g, K$$
 and  $H$  involved in (3.1):

$$(3.2) |g(t)| \le n^p(t); |K(t,s)| \le 1; |H(t,x(t))| \le f(t)|x^p(t)| + h(t)|x^q(t)|,$$

$$(3.3) \quad |g(t)| \le n^p(t)e^{-pt}; |K(t,s)| \le e^{-pt}; |H(t,x(t))| \le f(t)|x^p(t)| + h(t)|x^q(t)|,$$

$$\times \left[1 + p_1 \int_0^{t} e^{-qs} h(s) n^{-[p-q]}(s) \exp\left(-p_1 \int_0^{t} e^{-p\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda\right) ds\right] < \infty,$$
  
all  $t \in I$ , where  $y_1(t) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_0^t f(s) ds\right), y_2(t) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_0^t e^{ps} f(s) ds\right),$ 

 $p_1 = \frac{p-q}{p}$  and  $x_0, q, f(t), h(t)$  as defined in Theorem 2.2. Suppose that the hypotheses (3.2) and (3.4) are satisfied, and let  $x(t), t \in I$  be a solution of (3.1). Then from (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$|x^{p}(t)| \le n^{p}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} f(s)|x^{p}(s)|ds + \int_{0}^{t} h(s)|x^{q}(s)|ds, \qquad \forall t \in I.$$

Now, a suitable application of the inequality given in Theorem 2.2 to yields

for

(3.6) 
$$|x^{p}(t)| \leq y_{1}(t)n(t)$$
$$\times \left[1 + p_{1}\int_{0}^{t}h(s)n^{-[p-q]}(s)\exp\left(-p_{1}\int_{0}^{s}f(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right]^{\left[\frac{1}{p-q}\right]} < \infty,$$

for all  $t \in I$  thus, from the hypotheses (3.4) and the estimation in (3.6) implies the boundedness of solution x(t) of (3.1).

We now consider the (3.1) and the hypotheses (3.3) and (3.5), and let  $x(t), t \in I$  be a solution of (3.1). Then from (3.1) and using (3.3) it is easily to observe that

$$(3.7) \quad [e^t|x(t)|]^p \le n^p(t) + \int_0^t e^{-sp} f(s)[e^s|x^p(s)|]^p ds + \int_0^t e^{-sq} h(s)[e^s|x^q(s)|]^q ds,$$

for all  $t \in I$ . Now, a suitable application of the inequality given in Theorem 2.2 to (3.7) yields:

$$(3.8)$$

$$e^{t}|x(t)| \leq y_{2}(t)n(t)$$

$$\times \left[1+p_{1}\int_{0}^{t}e^{-qs}h(s)n^{-[p-q]}(s)\exp\left(-p_{1}\int_{0}^{s}e^{-p\lambda}f(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right]^{\left[\frac{1}{p-q}\right]},$$

for all  $t \in I$  thus, from (3.5) and (3.8) we observe that

$$|x(t)| \le \alpha e^{-t}, \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $\alpha \geq 0$  is constant. From (3.9) we see that the solution x(t) approaches zero as  $t \to \infty$ .

**Remark 3.1.** In [1], Abdeldaim and Yakout taking  $|g(t)| \leq x_0$ , where  $x_0$  is a constant. But in the above example we taking  $|g(t)| \leq n^p(t)$  where n(t) is a function which is a generalization.

As an application of the inequality given in Theorem 2.3 we present the following example :

**Example 3.2.** We discuss the boundedness of the solution of a nonlinear intgrodifferential equation of the form:

(3.10) 
$$px^{[p-1]}(t)\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = F(t, x(t), y(t), \int_0^t K(s, x(s))ds), x(0) = x_0,$$

for all  $t \in I$ , where  $p, x_0$  as defined in Theorem 2.3, and y(t), F, K be a nondecreasing real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I \times I$ . Here we assume that every solution x(t) of (3.10) under discussion exists on I.

We list the following hypotheses on the functions y(t), H, K involved in (3.10):

(3.11) 
$$|y(t)| \le f(t); |K(t, x(t))| \le g(t)x(t),$$

$$(3.12) \qquad |F(t,x(t),y(t),\int_0^t K(s,x(s))ds)| \le |y(t)|[|x^q(t)| + \int_0^t |K(s,x(s))|ds],$$

(3.13) 
$$\left[x_0 + \int_0^t f(s)k_{10}(s) \exp\left(\int_0^s g(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where

(3.14) 
$$k_{10}(t) = \left[x_0^{q[p-q]} + \left[\frac{q[p-q]}{p}\right]\int_0^t f(s)\exp\left(-[p-q]\int_0^s g(\lambda)d\lambda\right)ds\right]^{\lfloor\frac{1}{p-q}\rfloor},$$

for all  $t \in I$ , where f(t) as defined in Theorem 2.3. By taking t = s in (3.10) and integrating from 0 to t we have

(3.15) 
$$x^{p}(t) = x_{0}^{p} + \int_{0}^{t} [F(s, x(s), y(s), \int_{0}^{s} K(\lambda, x(\lambda)) d\lambda)] ds$$

575

Suppose that the hypotheses (3.11) and (3.12) are satisfied and from (3.15), it is easily to see that the solution x(t) satisfies the equivalent integral equation

(3.16) 
$$|x^{p}(t)| \leq x_{0}^{p} + \int_{0}^{t} f(s) \left[ |x^{q}(s)| + \int_{0}^{s} g(\lambda)|x(\lambda)|d\lambda \right] ds.$$

Now, a suitable application of the inequality given in Theorem 2.3 to (3.16) yields

(3.17) 
$$x(t) \le \left[ x_0 + \int_0^t f(s) k_{10}(s) \exp\left(\int_0^s g(\lambda) d\lambda\right) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where  $k_{10}(t)$  as defined in (3.14) thus, from the hypotheses (3.13) and the estimation in (3.17) implies the boundedness of the solution x(t) of (3.10).

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Dr. Ahmed Abdeldaim, for his valuable comments on this manuscript.

# References

- A. Abdeldaim and M. Yakout., On some new integral inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman-Pachpatte type, Appl. Math. Comut., 217(2011) 7887-7899.
- D. Bainov and P. Simeonov, Integral Inequalities and Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, 1992.
- [3] V. Barbu, Differential Equations, (in Romanian), Ed. Junimca, Iasi, 1985.
- [4] R. Bellman and L. K. Kooke, Differential-Difference Equations, Acad. Press, New York, 1963.
- [5] R. Bellman, Stability Theory of Differential Equations, McGraw Hill, New York, 1953.
- [6] R. Bellman, The stability of solutions of linear differential equations, Duke Math. J., 10(1943), 643-647.
- [7] H. El-Owaidy, A. A. Ragab, and A. Abdeldaim, On some integral inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman type, Appl. Math. Comut., 106(1999), 289-303.
- [8] H. T. Gronwall, Note on the derivatives with respect to a parameter of the solutions of differential equations, Ann. of Math., 20(2)(1919), 292-296.
- G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya, Iequalities, second ed, Cambridg Univ. Press, London New York, 1952. 41(3)(2004), 559-571.
- [10] B. G. Pachpatte, A note on Gronwall-Bellman inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 44(1973), 758-762.
- B. G. Pachpatte, Inqualities for Differntial and Integral Equations, Academic Press, New York and London, 1998.
- [12] D. Willett, W. S. J. Wong, On the discrete analogues of some generalization of Gronwall's inequality, Monatsh 69(1965), 362-367.