

Chittagong University Campus: Rich in Forest Growing Stock of Valuable Timber Tree Species in Bangladesh

Salena Akter¹, Md. Siddiquir Rahman^{2,*} and M. Al-Amin²

¹Department of Environment, Chittagong, Ministry of Environment and Forest, Chittagong 4202, Bangladesh

²Institute of Forestry and Environmental Sciences, University of Chittagong, Chittagong 4331, Bangladesh

Abstract

The campus of Chittagong University in Bangladesh is rich in forest ecosystem. The campus has large area with vast tract of land planted with valuable timber tree species. The present study identifies and discovers the potential growing stock of the plantations in the campus area. This Growing stock was measured in three parameters viz. volume, biomass and organic carbon stock. Study identified thirty three economically valuable forest tree species in the plantations of Chittagong University. Out of three growing stock parameters, volume of timber was found to be low in indigenous tree species in the plantation sites other than exotic species. This might be due to their slow growth rate and low density in the plantation sites. However, biomass and organic carbon stock of trees per hectare area showed that indigenous species gather and sequester more timber and carbon respectively than introduced species. Plantations of Chittagong University campus can acquire 25.51 m³/ha volume of economically important tree species, where biomass and organic carbon stock is 222.33 tonne/ha and 107.48 tonne/ha respectively. This result shows a positive impression on the plantation site to be considered as good forest reserve.

Key Words: Growing stock, economically important forest tree species, University of Chittagong, volume and biomass, organic carbon stock

Introduction

An ideal forest consists of three components one of which is growing stock (Davis 1966). Growing stock is the sum (by number or volume) of all the trees growing in the forest or a specified part of it (Prakash 1986). In any healthy forest, growing stock can be measured by volume, biomass or its carbon content.

The Study was conducted in the campus of the Chittagong University, Bangladesh. Chittagong University campus has a healthy forest plantation area where the cam-

pus belongs 1,271 acres of land (Islam et al. 1979). The original vegetation is highly eroded due to biotic interaction. Then it is converted to secondary forest with weedy environment such as thicket with a few scattered trees, thatching grasses and some bamboos (Anon 2002). A total of 665 species under 404 genera and 126 families are present in Chittagong University campus (Alam and Pasha 1999). Forest plays an important role in global carbon sequestration (Rawat et al. 2003). Brown (1996) reported that a hectare of actively growing forest can sequester 2-5 tonne of carbon per year. The plantations of the campus

Received: May 17, 2012. Revised: October 9, 2012. Accepted: October 9, 2012.

Corresponding author: Md. Siddiquir Rahman

Institute of Forestry and Environmental Sciences, University of Chittagong, Chittagong 4331, Bangladesh

Tel: 8801814308288, E-mail: siddiq.forestry@gmail.com

may be a good reservoir of organic carbon (Miah et al. 2011).

With a huge pool of existing plantations and natural forests in Bangladesh, it can be assumed that Bangladesh is playing a major role in mitigating global warming. To realize the potential of the forestry sector in Bangladesh for full-scale emission mitigation, understanding carbon sequestration potential of different species in different types of plantations are important (Miah et al. 2011).

Islam et al. (1979) described that primary forest of the hills was cleared long ago and presently covered with secondary vegetation such as thickets with a few scattered trees, thatching grasses and some bamboos. Pre existing natural forests were cleared and presently they are almost left unproductive. The condition resembles to the most of the unclassified state forest (USF) of the Chittagong Hill tracts (CHTs). In a view to have quick vegetation cover in these barren hills both the indigenous and exotic species have been tried to grow. The valleys are locally under short grasses and reeds. A large part of them is cultivated mainly for rice. Most of the presently fallow land is valleys used to be cultivated before the university took it.

The forests of Chittagong University are fall under the tropical wet Evergreen forests and the tropical semi evergreen forests (Anon 2011). The university authority first initiated a small scale plantation program in 1973. Except for roadside plantation, the progress was slow till 1982. Then an afforestation program was taken by the then IFCU in 1982. After this plantation program IFESCU started year wise plantation activities in different places in the campus area (Anon 2011). Almost all the tree species are planted as a part of afforestation programme (Akter et al. 2011). Alam and Pasha (1999) conducted a study on the angiosperm plant diversity of Chittagong University Campus through repeated field trips in different seasons during the last 20 years. An extensive survey and collection of angiosperm flora were made throughout the area. A total of 665 species under 404 genera and 126 families are presented, of which 550 species belonged to dicotyledons and 115 species to Monocotyledons. The plants were analyzed according to their habit types. The number of tree species was 103 in dicotyledons and 4 in monocotyledons. Present study finds some authentic information about the growing sock of economically important tree species habituated in

the campus.

Materials and Methods

Study site

The Study was conducted in the campus of the University of Chittagong. The campus of Chittagong University is located at Fatehpur, a village under Hathajari thana in the sadar north subdivision of Chittagong District. The Chittagong University campus lies between about 22° 27'30" and 22°29'0" North latitudes and 91°46'30" and 91°47'45" East longitudes. The campus lies some 12 miles north of the Chittagong town itself, about 2 miles south-west of the Chittagong-Rangamati road and a little closer to the Chittagong-Nazirhat railway branch lines (Islam et al. 1979).

Sampling and design of plots

The plot was determined through Gareth's method (Gareth 1991). For sampling tree species 10x10 m plot were determined. Complete Randomized Block Design (CRBD) was followed for taking samples from the sample plots (Akter et al. 2011). The plot were laid out in the field through measuring tape and peg were used in each corner of the plot, within plot diameter at breast height (1.3 m) were measured by using diameter tape. Total height was measured using Spiegel Relaskope. Wood sample from main bole of the trees were collected by using wood borer.

Volume calculation

After collection of field data, data were compiled and proceed with the help of computer. The basal area per tree and volume per tree was calculated by the formula given by Chaturvedi and Khanna (1982):

$$\text{Basal area per tree} = 3.14 \times D^2 / 4 \dots\dots\dots (I)$$

Where, D=Diameter at breast height in meter

$$\text{Volume (V)} = \text{Basal area} \times H \dots\dots\dots (II)$$

Where, H=Total height in meter

Then from the basal area and volume of individual tree,

total basal area of each species were calculated and then converted to the basal area per hectare and volume per hectare for each species.

Biomass estimation

As the study was conducted in the forest and individuals of 33 tree species were measured in the sampling plot. It was not possible to cut all the trees and estimating biomass of trees. Some models were developed by Brown (1997), Luckman et al. (1997), Negi et al. (1988) and Brown et al. (1989). In the present study Models of Brown et al. (1989) was used to determine above ground biomass because this method is the most suitable method for tropical forest (Alves et al. 1997; Brown 1997; Schroeder et al. 1997; Miah et al. 2011; Ullah and Al-Amin, 2012). This is the simplest method of estimating forest tree biomass in the tropics as it requires only tree diameter at breast height, total height and wood specific gravity. While other models or regression equations require sectional diameter, this simply deals with diameter at breast height.

This models is as follows

$$Y = \exp. \{-2.4090 + 0.9522 \ln (D^2HS)\} \dots\dots\dots (III)$$

Where, Exp. = [.....] means "raised to the power of [.....]"

Y = Above ground biomass in kg

H = Height of the trees in meter.

D = Diameter at breast height (1.3 m) in cm.

S = Wood density in units of tonne/m³ for a specific species (Brown 1997; Sattar et al. 1999).

Using these models above ground biomass of each trees were estimated. From above ground biomass of each individuals mean biomass of each species were calculated and each individual biomass was converted to tonne/ha for each species and mean was calculated and added each individuals of a species to get total above ground biomass of each species.

Below ground biomass was calculated considering 15% of the above ground biomass (MacDicken 1997; IPCC 2003; Miah et al. 2011). Below ground biomass was calculated for each individual. Above and below ground biomass of trees were added to get total biomass of trees.

Organic carbon measurement

This method is known as Loss on Ignition Method (postulated by Allen et al. 1986; supported by Alamgir and Al-Amin 2007 and Ullah and Al-Amin 2012). During field work sample from each tree was collected. After taking the fresh weight of samples using electric balance, samples were dried at 65°C in the oven for 48 hours and dried weight of each sample was taken. Dried samples were grind into fine powder. Then porcelain crucibles were washed with 6N HCL and distilled water and dried in an oven at 65°C for 1 hour. Oven dried grind samples are taken (1.00 g) in pre-weighted crucibles. The crucibles are placed in the furnace. Then the furnace was adjusted at 550°C, heating was increased slowly and after reaching at 550°C, ignition was continued for 1 hour. The crucibles were cooled slowly keeping them inside the furnace. After cooling, the crucibles with ash were weighted and percentage of organic carbon was calculated. This method is supported by Alamgir and Al-Amin (2007); Ullah and Al-Amin (2012) and Miah et al. (2011) in international peer reviewed journal articles and books. The formula and symbolic calculations are given below which were formulated by Allen et al. (1986) is given below:

$$\text{Ash (\%)} = (W3 - W1) / (W2 - W1) \times 100 \dots\dots\dots (IV)$$

$$\text{C (\%)} = (100 - \% \text{ Ash}) \times 0.58 \dots\dots\dots (V)$$

[Considering 58% carbon in ash free timber material] (Alamgir and Al-Amin 2007).

Where,

C = Organic carbon;

W1 = Weight of crucibles;

W2 = Weight of sample + Crucibles;

W3 = Weight of ash + Crucibles

Using above procedure and formula, carbon percentage was calculated for each tree. This is a reliable method where IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change of the UN) has dependent on biomass for estimating organic carbon content of living tree (IPCC 2003).

Table 1. Volume of economically important tree species in the study area in unit area

SL. no.	Species name	Mean DBH (cm)	Mean height (m)	Mean basal area (m ² /ha)	Volume (m ³ /ha)
1	<i>Acacia auriculiformis</i>	21.32	14.42	0.5	48.1
2	<i>Acacia catechu</i>	18.56	13.58	0.16	4.1
3	<i>Acacia mangium</i>	18.99	12.77	0.33	17.1
4	<i>Albizia chinensis</i>	12.08	8.98	0.41	0.2
5	<i>Albizia lebbeck</i>	19.83	12.02	0.26	6.5
6	<i>Albizia odoratissima</i>	30.04	15.5	0.82	10.5
7	<i>Albizia richardiana</i>	26.34	17.37	3.2	42.2
8	<i>Albizia richardiana</i>	32.28	16	1.32	19.5
9	<i>Anthocephalus chinensis</i>	16.55	17.5	2.15	37.7
10	<i>Aphanamixis polystachya</i>	16.06	11.25	1.06	27.2
11	<i>Artocarpus chaplasha</i>	20.58	14.35	2.19	38.9
12	<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>	23.51	10.48	1.65	17.3
13	<i>Artocarpus lakoocha</i>	20.52	6.54	0.89	5.8
14	<i>Cassia fistula</i>	16.3	12.3	1.58	19.4
15	<i>Cassia nodosa</i>	13	10.2	1.02	10.4
16	<i>Cassia siamea</i>	24.1	13.32	0.49	19.0
17	<i>Casuarina equisetifolia</i>	18.05	15.6	3.93	40.0
18	<i>Chickrassia tabularis</i>	18.01	12.59	1.96	20.1
19	<i>Dalbargia sissoo</i>	10	10	0.12	1.1
20	<i>Dipterocarpus turbinatus</i>	18.29	14.38	4.76	115.6
21	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	29.01	17.02	1.18	10.6
22	<i>Gmelina arborea</i>	19.03	12.88	0.32	7.8
23	<i>Hopea odorata</i>	11	8.83	1.16	25.3
24	<i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i>	14.95	11.55	3.81	66.9
25	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	14	15.5	1.05	16.3
26	<i>Melaleuca leucodendron</i>	23.58	17.09	0.54	29.3
27	<i>Michelia champaca</i>	22.53	13.25	1.56	20.7
28	<i>Pinus</i> spp.	22.31	15.48	3.45	54.3
29	<i>Shorea robusta</i>	24.99	16.69	1.48	41.3
30	<i>Sweetenia macrophylla</i>	18.64	12.37	2.41	24.8
31	<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	18.33	9.5	0.58	5.5
32	<i>Syzygium grande</i>	19.6	12.37	2.04	20.3
33	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	14.37	11.31	2.08	18.0

Results and Discussion

Volume

Results depict that highest timber volume was found in *Dipterocarpus turbinatus* (115.6 m³/ha) followed by *Lagerstroemia speciosa* (66.9 m³/ha), *Pinus* spp. (54.3 m³/ha). Volume of exotic tree species (*Acacia auriculiformis*, *Casuarina equisetifolia*, *Lagerstroemia speciosa*, *Pinus* spp. etc.) showed higher volumetric growth than indigenous forest tree species (*Acacia catechu*, *Albizia chinensis*, *Albizia lebbeck*, *Albizia odoratissima*, *Albizia richardiana*, *Artocarpus lakoocha*, *Dalbargia sissoo*, *Gmelina arborea*, *Syzygium cumini* etc.).

This may be due slow growth rate, in abundant distribution of the indigenous species in plantations. One exception is *Dipterocarpus turbinatus* which showed highest volume in its plantations sites. On an average 25.51 m³/ha volume has been gathered in the campus plantations. Table 1 shows volume per hectre area of thirty three economically important tree species found in the study area.

Biomass

While volume was low for indigenous species per hectre basis, biomass of trees per unit hectre is it is higher than exotic tree species. Highest biomass content was existed in

Table 2. Above ground, below ground and total biomass of tree species in study area

SL no.	Scientific name	Above ground biomass (tonne/ha)	Below ground biomass (tonne/ha)	Total biomass (tonne/ha)
1	<i>Acacia auriculiformis</i>	98	48	146
2	<i>Acacia catechu</i>	102	26	128
3	<i>Acacia mangium</i>	201	50	251
4	<i>Albizia chinensis</i>	187	28	215
5	<i>Albizia lebbeck</i>	320	45	365
6	<i>Albizia odoratissima</i>	99	24	123
7	<i>Albizia procera</i>	265	46	311
8	<i>Albizia richardiana</i>	245	75	320
9	<i>Anthocephalus chinensis</i>	189	42	231
10	<i>Aphanamixis polystachya</i>	282	42	324
11	<i>Artocarpus chaplasha</i>	278	52	330
12	<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>	124	19	143
13	<i>Artocarpus lakoocha</i>	254	42	296
14	<i>Cassia fistula</i>	81	22	103
15	<i>Cassia nodosa</i>	179	27	206
16	<i>Cassia siamia</i>	191	39	230
17	<i>Casuarina equisetifolia</i>	189	40	229
18	<i>Chickrassia tabularis</i>	268	52	320
19	<i>Dalbergia sissoo</i>	70	50	120
20	<i>Dipterocarpus turbinatus</i>	283	32	315
21	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	104	25	129
22	<i>Gmelina arborea</i>	157	18	175
23	<i>Hopea odorata</i>	129	25	154
24	<i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i>	254	50	304
25	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	121	23	144
26	<i>Melaleuca leucodendron</i>	45	28	73
27	<i>Michelia champaca</i>	129	39	168
28	<i>Pinus</i> spp.	189	58	247
29	<i>Shorea robusta</i>	388	30	418
30	<i>Swietenia macrophylla</i>	102	55	157
31	<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	89	41	130
32	<i>Syzygium grande</i>	171	41	212
33	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	285	35	320

Shorea robusta followed by *Albizia lebbeck*, *Artocarpus chaplasha*, *Aphanamixis polystachya*, *Albizia richardiana*, *Chickrassia tabularis* etc. all of which are pure indigenous species for Bangladesh. On an average 222.33 tonne/ha biomass has been stored in the campus. Table 2 shows how much dry matter content (biomass) can be stored in different planted tree species in the campus area.

While calculating biomass content in the forest of Chittagong hilly regions of Bangladesh, Miah et al. (2011) found that the average highest biomass carbon content (145 tC ha⁻¹) was in the *Aphanamixis polystachya* stands, and the

lowest (43 tC ha⁻¹) was in the *Swietenia mahagoni* stands. Results found that, 8-year-old *Acacia auriculiformis* stand had the highest (173 tC ha⁻¹) biomass carbon contents followed by 12-year-old *A. polystachya* stand (166 tC ha⁻¹). Lowest (55.20 tC ha⁻¹) carbon contents were found in the 6-year-old *D. turbinatus* stand. Average biomass content was found 183 t/ha.

Ullah and Al-Amin (2012) found biomass content in Tankawati natural forest of Bangladesh in 2009 to be 209.85 tha⁻¹, which was slightly lower than our result (222.33 tha⁻¹).

Table 3. Above ground, below ground and total organic carbon of tree species in study area

SL no.	Scientific name	Mean above ground organic carbon (tonne/ha)	Mean below ground organic carbon (tonne/ha)	Mean organic carbon (tonne/ha)
1	<i>Acacia auriculiformis</i>	56	9	65
2	<i>Acacia catechu</i>	41	7	48
3	<i>Acacia mangium</i>	140	20	160
4	<i>Albizia chinensis</i>	99	25	124
5	<i>Albizia lebbeck</i>	170	24	194
6	<i>Albizia odoratissima</i>	62	14	76
7	<i>Albizia procera</i>	149	20	169
8	<i>Albizia richardiana</i>	1.40	25	165
9	<i>Anthocephalus chinensis</i>	81	12	93
10	<i>Aphanamixis polystachya</i>	102	16	118
11	<i>Artocarpus chaplasha</i>	150	28	178
12	<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>	61	8	69
13	<i>Artocarpus lakoocha</i>	97	38	135
14	<i>Cassia fistula</i>	55	7	62
15	<i>Cassia nodosa</i>	101	14	115
16	<i>Cassia siamia</i>	83	5	88
17	<i>Casuarina equisetifolia</i>	39	6	45
18	<i>Chickrassia tabularis</i>	158	20	178
19	<i>Dalbergia sissoo</i>	34	18	52
20	<i>Dipterocarpus turbinatus</i>	160	24	184
21	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	48	17	65
22	<i>Gmelina arborea</i>	49	5	54
23	<i>Hopea odorata</i>	82	11	93
24	<i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i>	111	18	129
25	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	71	2	73
26	<i>Melaleuca leucodendron</i>	38	16	54
27	<i>Michelia champaca</i>	79	11	90
28	<i>Pinus</i> spp.	98	24	122
29	<i>Shorea robusta</i>	127	17	144
30	<i>Swietenia macrophylla</i>	89	8	97
31	<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	54	1	55
32	<i>Syzygium grande</i>	73	21	94
33	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	140	19	159

Alamgir and Al-Amin (2007) found that mean total biomass was highest in *Ficus bengalensis* (6.21 tonne/tree) and lowest in *Pterocarpus indicus* (0.05 tonne/tree) in Chittagong (South) Forest Division. Another study conducted by Ullah (2010) concluded that in Tankawati Natural Forest of Bangladesh total ground biomass was highest in *Ficus bengalensis* (3,580.28 kg/tree) and lowest in *Albizia falcataria* (38.68 kg/tree).

Organic carbon stock

The mean organic carbon (tonne/ha) in thirty three tree

species studied is summarized in Table 3. Results show that some species like *Albizia lebbeck*, *Dipterocarpus turbinatus*, *Chickrassia tabularis*, *Artocarpus chaplasha*, *Albizia procera*, *Albizia richardiana* etc. can sequester more than 150 tonne organic carbon per hectare area. All these species are purely indigenous. Therefore, they have the ability to store more carbon and act as sink than exotic species in plantations. However, on an average 107.48 tonne/ha organic carbon has been stored in the campus. This figure is very much impressive and the site might be considered as a potential carbon sequestration zone of the country. Table 3 shows the

mean organic carbon stored per hectare area in the plantations of thirty three economically important species.

Studying plantations in the Chittagong hilly regions, Miah et al. (2011) found good reserve of forest trees. Study found an average of 92 t/ha organic carbon content in hill forest of Chittagong.

Study conducted by Chavan and Rasal (2010) found that mean above ground, mean below ground and mean organic carbon stock in *Albizia lebbek* was 1.18, 0.17 and 1.35 tonne/tree respectively. And in *Cassia fistula* it was 0.55, 0.08 and 0.63 tonne/tree and in *Dalbergia sissoo* 0.46, 0.06 and 0.53 tonne/tree correspondingly.

Akter et al. (2011) found that mean organic carbon (kg/tree) was highest for *Shorea robusta* (185.03 kg/tree) while for *Hopea odorata* and *Dipterocarpus turbinatus* it was 167.51 kg/tree and 142.64 kg/tree respectively in Dipterocarpaceae plantations of Chittagong University campus. Above ground, below ground and total biomass organic carbon (tonne/hm²) was highest in *Dipterocarpus turbinatus* (7.9, 1.18 and 9.08 tonne/km²) in Chittagong (South) Forest Division (Alamgir and Al-Amin 2007). Total carbon sequestration in Schima-Castanopsis forest, Nepal is 178.52 tonne/ha (Shrestha 2009). The weighted mean carbon concentration of *Pinus pinaster* reached 53.6% in the shoots and 51.7% in the roots. The carbon content in the pine stand was 74 tonne carbon per hectare (Bert and Danjon 2005). Well-stocked forests typically sequester carbon at a maximum rate between age 10 and 20-30 years. As an indication, at age 30 years about 200 to 520 tonnes CO₂ equivalent (one tonne of C=3.67 tonnes of CO₂ equivalent), are sequestered per ha in forests with productivity ranging from low to high. The sequestration rate slows gradually at about 80 to 100+ years of age, and then flattens out as growth is balanced by decay (Johnson and Coburn 2009). Pine, oak, fir and pine-oak forest of Mexico presented the highest total carbon stocks with values ranging between 220.7 and 266.9 Mg C/ha; degraded forest contained 169.2 Mg C/ha; plantation 142 Mg C/ha; avocado orchards reported 156.1 Mg C/ha; scrublands 121 Mg C/ha; grasslands 90.8 Mg C/ha and agriculture 82.7 Mg C/ha (Ordóñez et al. 2008).

Ullah and Al-Amin (2012) found biomass tree organic carbon to be 110.94 t · ha⁻¹ in a purely natural forest where no plantations were ever held, which shows less than the

University plantation. Thus, plantations tree species gather higher organic carbon than pure natural stand.

Conclusion

The study concludes by estimating growing stock of thirty three economically important plantation tree species in the Chittagong University Campus. Growing stock in three parameters viz. Volume, Biomass and Organic Carbon Stock has been calculated and found to be impressive for establishing and conserving the area as ecologically important. The campus might be considered as a good growing forest rich in growing stock. These forest plantations might be used as carbon forest to trade carbon in future. The study may be helpful to estimate growing stocks in these three parameters in other plantations of Bangladesh as a generic methodology. It will facilitate to get a more complete understanding of the organic carbon sequestration potential of different types of forests and plantations of Bangladesh. Study revealed that, plantations areas of Chittagong University campus can be a model for conducting research in forestry related studies which will help graduates to complete their projects easily without going elsewhere.

References

- Akter S, Al-Amin M, Rahman MS. 2011. Carbon sequestration potential of dipterocarps at chittagong university plantations in Bangladesh. SAARC For 1: 103-112.
- Alam MA, Pasha MK. 1999. A floristic account of chittagong university campus. Chittagong Univ J Sci 23: 81-99.
- Alamgir M, Al-Amin M. 2007. Organic carbon storage in trees within different geositions of Chittagong (South) forest division, Bangladesh. J For Res 18:174-180.
- Allen SE, Grimshaw HM, Rowland AP. 1986. Chemical analysis. In: Methods in Plant Ecology (Moore PD, Chapman SB, eds). Blackwell Scientific Publications, Boston, pp 285-344.
- Alves DS, Soares JVS, Amaral EMK, Mello SAS, Almeida O, Fernandes S, Silveria AM. 1997. Biomass of primary and secondary vegetation in Rondonia, Western Brazilian Amazon. Global Change Biol 3: 451-462.
- Anon. 2002. Forest management plan. Management plan proposal for chittagong university campus for the period of 2002-2003 to 2012-2013. Institute of Forestry and Environmental Sciences. University of Chittagong. pp 131.
- Anon. 2011. Integrated forest management plan for chittagong

- university campus for the period 2010-2011 to 2020-2021. Institute of forestry and environmental sciences. University of Chittagong. pp 142.
- Bert D, Danjon F. 2005. Carbon concentration variations in the roots, stem and crown of mature *Pinus pinaster* (Ait.). For Ecol Manage 222: 279-295.
- Brown S. 1996. Present and potential roles of forests in global change debate. Unasylva 47: 3-10.
- Brown S. 1997. Estimating biomass changes of tropical forests: a primer, FAO Forestry Paper 134 edn. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO UN), Rome, Italy.
- Brown S, Gillespie AJ, Lugo AE. 1989. Biomass estimation methods for tropical forests with applications to forest inventory data. For Sci 35: 881-902.
- Chaturvedi AN, Khanna SL. 1982. Forest mensuration. International Book Distributors, Dehra Dun, India, pp 406.
- Chavan BL, Rasal GB. 2010. Sequestered standing carbon stock in selective tree species grown in University campus at Aurangabad, Maharashtra. Int J Eng Sci Te 2: 3003-3007.
- Davis KP. 1966. Forest management: regulation and valuation. 2nd. McGraw-Hill Book Company, USA.
- Gareth W. 1991. Techniques and field work in ecology. Collins educational publishers, Hammersmith, London, pp 125.
- IPCC. 2003. Good practice guidance for land use, land-use change and forestry. Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Japan.
- Islam ATMT, Chowdhury MS, Hoque AKMM, Malek SA. 1979. Detailed Soil Survey of Chittagong University Campus, Hathazari, Chittagong, pp 13.
- Johnson I, Coburn R. 2009. Trees for carbon sequestration. Climate in Primary Industries, Primefact 981. Forest Science Centre, West Pennant Hills, Australia. www.dpionsw.gov.au
- Luckman A, Baker J, Mora T, Corina-da-Costa F, Frery CA. 1997. A study of the relationships between radar backscatter and regeneration tropical forest biomass for space borne SAR instruments. Remote Sens Environ 60: 1-13.
- MacDicken KG. 1997. A guide to monitoring carbon storage in forestry and agroforestry projects. Winrock International Institute for Agricultural Development, Arlington, VA 22209, USA.
- Miah MD, Shin MY, Koike M. 2011. Forests to climate change mitigation: clean development mechanism in Bangladesh. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, ISBN 978-3-642-13252-0.
- Negi JDS, Sharma SC, Sharma DC. 1988. Comparative assessment of methods for estimating biomass in forest ecosystem. Indian For 114: 136-146.
- Ordonez JAB, Jong BHJ, Oliva FG, Avina FL, Perez JV, Guerrero G, Martinez R, Masera O. 2008. Carbon content in vegetation, litter and soil under 10 different land use and land cover classes in the Central Highlands of Michoacan, Mexico. For Ecol Manage 255: 2074-2084.
- Prakash R. 1986. Forest Management. M/S. International Book Distributors, Rajpur Road, Dehra Dun-248001, India, pp 256.
- Rawat V, Singh D, Kumar P. 2003. Climate change and its impact on forest biodiversity. Indian For 129: 787-798.
- Sattar MA, Bhattacharjee DK, Kabir MF. 1999. Physical and mechanical properties and uses of timbers of Bangladesh. Seasoning and Timber Physics Division, Bangladesh Forest Research Institute (BFRI), Chittagong, Bangladesh.
- Schroeder P, Brown S, Birdsey JMR, Cieszewski C. 1997. Biomass estimation for temperate broadleaf forests of the US using inventory data. For Sci 43: 424-434.
- Shrestha BP. 2009. Carbon Sequestration in Schima-Castanopsis Forest: A case study from Palpa District. The Greenery- a Journal of Environment and Biodiversity 7: 34-40.
- Ullah MR, Al-Amin M. 2012. Above- and below-ground carbon stock estimation in a natural forest of Bangladesh. J For Sci 58: 372-379.
- Ullah MR. 2010. Species composition, carbon stock and soil macronutrients in natural and man made forests in chittagong. M.S. unpublished Thesis paper. Institute of Forestry and Environmental Sciences, University of Chittagong, Bangladesh, pp 89.