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#### Abstract

Let $D_{0}, D_{1} \subset \bar{R}^{n}$ be non-empty sets and let $\Gamma$ be the family of all closed curves which join $D_{0}$ to $D_{1}$. In this note, we introduce the concept of the mathematical conductance $C(\Gamma)$ of a curve family $\Gamma$ and examine some basic properties of mathematical conductance. And we obtain the inequalities in connection with capacity of condensers.


## 1. Introduction

The mathematical conductance of a curve family is a basic tool in the theory of conformal mappings. The numerical value of the mathematical conductance is known only for a few curve families. Therefore good estimates are of importance. Several estimates are given in the paper ([1], [5], [6], [9]). And in Gehring [3], he has shown that the capacity is related to the mathematical conductance of a family of surfaces that separate the boundary components of a space ring $E$.

Throughout this paper, $n$ is a fixed integer and $n \geq 2$. We denote the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space by $R^{n}$ and its one-point compactification by $\bar{R}^{n}=R^{n} \cup\{\infty\}$. All topological operations are performed with respect to $\bar{R}^{n}$. Balls and spheres centered at $x \in R^{n}$ and with radius $r>0$ are denoted, respectively, by

$$
\begin{gathered}
B^{n}(x, r)=\left\{y \in R^{n}:|y-x|<r\right\} \\
S^{n-1}(x, r)=\partial B^{n}(x, r)=\left\{y \in R^{n}:|y-x|=r\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

We employ the abbreviations

$$
B^{n}(r)=B^{n}(0, r), \quad B^{n}=B^{n}(1)
$$

[^0]$$
S^{n-1}(r)=S^{n-1}(0, r), \quad S^{n-1}=S^{n-1}(1)
$$

As a measure in $R^{n}$ we use the $n$-dimensional $m_{n}$, where the subscript $n$ may be omitted. And we abbreviate $\omega_{n}=m_{n}\left(B^{n}\right)$, where

$$
\omega_{n}=\frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{G\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)},(G: \text { gamma function })
$$

## 2. Mathematical conductance

DEfinition 2.1. Given a family, $\Gamma$, of nonconstant curves $\gamma$ in $\bar{R}^{n}$, we let $\operatorname{bmf}(\Gamma)$ denote the family of Borel measurable functions $\rho: R^{n} \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma} \rho d s \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all locally rectifiable $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We call

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\Gamma)=i n f_{\rho \in b m f(\Gamma)} \int_{R^{n}} \rho^{n} d m \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the mathematical conductance of $\Gamma$.
Example 2.2 ([11]). Let $T$ be the rectangular parallelepiped with two parallel faces $P_{1}, P_{2}$. If $\Gamma$ is the family of curves $\gamma$ joining two parallel faces $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ of area $A$ with distance $d$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\Gamma)=A \cdot d^{1-n} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, choose a Borel measurable functions $\rho \in \operatorname{bmf}(\Gamma)$ and let $\gamma_{y}$ be the vertical segment which join $P_{1}$ and a point $y$ in the base $P_{2}$. Then $\gamma_{y} \in \Gamma$ and

$$
1 \leq\left(\int_{\gamma} \rho d s\right)^{n} \leq d^{n-1} \int_{\gamma_{y}} \rho^{n} d s
$$

This holds for all such $y$ and hence

$$
\int_{T} \rho^{n} d m \geq \int_{P_{2}}\left(\int_{\gamma_{y}} \rho^{n} d s\right) d m_{n-1} \geq A \cdot d^{1-n} .
$$

Since $\rho$ is arbitrary,

$$
C(\Gamma) \geq A \cdot d^{1-n} .
$$

Next, let

$$
\rho=\frac{1}{d}
$$

be inside the parallelepiped $T$ and $\rho=0$ otherwise.

Then $\rho \in \operatorname{bmf}(\Gamma)$ and

$$
C(\Gamma) \leq \int_{T} \rho^{n} d m=A \cdot d^{1-n}
$$

Example 2.3. If $\Gamma$ is the family of curves joining the sphere with center $x_{0}$ and radius $r_{1}$ to the concentric sphere of radius $r_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\Gamma)=n \omega_{n}\left(\log \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right)^{1-n} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choose $\rho \in \operatorname{bmf}(\Gamma)$ and let

$$
\gamma_{e}=\left\{x \mid x=r e, r_{1}<r<r_{2}\right\}
$$

be the radial segment in $\Gamma$ and parallel to the unit vector $e$. Using Hölder's inequality (See [4], theorem 189, P.140) we obtain

$$
1 \leq\left(\int_{\gamma_{e}} \rho d s\right)^{n} \leq\left(\log \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right)^{n-1} \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \rho^{n} r^{n-1} d r
$$

Integrating over all $e$ we obtain by Fubini's theorem in polar coordinates

$$
n \omega_{n} \leq\left(\log \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right)^{n-1} \int_{E^{*}} \rho^{n} d m
$$

where $E^{*}$ is the spherical ring $r_{1}<|x|<r_{2}$. The equality holds for

$$
\rho=\frac{1}{|x| \log \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}}
$$

Thus

$$
C(\Gamma)=n \omega_{n}\left(\log \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right)^{1-n}
$$

Proposition 2.4 ([10]). If each curve $\gamma_{1}$ in a family $\Gamma_{1}$ contains a subcurve $\gamma_{2}$ in a family $\Gamma_{2}$, then

$$
C\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \leq C\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)
$$

In fact, choose a Borel measurable functions $\rho \in \operatorname{bmf}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$ and suppose $\gamma_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}$ is locally rectifiable. Then

$$
\int_{\gamma_{1}} \rho d s \geq \int_{\gamma_{2}} \rho d s
$$

where $\gamma_{2}$ is the subcurve in $\Gamma_{2}$, and $\rho \in \operatorname{bmf}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$. Thus

$$
C\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \leq \int_{R^{n}} \rho^{n} d m
$$

and taking the infimum over all such $\rho$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \leq C\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the set of fewer and longer curves has the smaller mathematical conductance.

Proposition 2.5. For curve family $\Gamma_{j}$,

$$
C\left(\cup_{j} \Gamma_{j}\right) \leq \sum_{j} C\left(\Gamma_{j}\right) .
$$

Proof. We may assume $C\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)<\infty$ for all $j$. Then given $\varepsilon>0$ we can choose a $\rho_{j} \in \operatorname{bmf}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{R^{n}}\left(\rho_{j}\right)^{n} d m \leq C\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)+2^{-j} \varepsilon .
$$

Now let

$$
\rho=\sup _{j} \rho_{j}, \quad \Gamma=\cup_{j} \Gamma_{j} .
$$

Then $\rho: R^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is Borel measurable. Moreover, if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is locally rectifiable, then $\gamma \in \Gamma_{j}$ for some $j$,

$$
\int_{\gamma} \rho d s \geq \int_{\gamma} \rho_{j} d s \geq 1
$$

and hence $\rho \in \operatorname{bmf}(\Gamma)$ by definition 2.1. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
C\left(\cup_{j} \Gamma_{j}\right) & =C(\Gamma) \\
& \leq \int_{R^{n}} \rho^{n} d m \leq \int_{R^{n}} \sum_{j}\left(\rho_{j}\right)^{n} d m \leq \sum_{j} C\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)+\varepsilon . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 2.6 ([1]). If $f: \bar{R}^{n} \rightarrow \bar{R}^{n}$ is a one to one conformal mapping, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(f(\Gamma))=C(\Gamma) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all curve families $\Gamma$ in $\bar{R}^{n}$.
In fact, choose a Borel measurable function $\rho^{\prime} \in \operatorname{bmf}(f(\Gamma))$, let

$$
\rho(x)=\rho^{\prime} \circ f(x)\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|
$$

for $x \in R^{n}-\left\{f^{-1}(\infty)\right\}$, and let $\Gamma_{0}$ be the family of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ which pass through $f^{-1}(\infty)$. Then

$$
C(\Gamma)=C\left(\Gamma-\Gamma_{0}\right), \quad \rho \in \operatorname{bmf}\left(\Gamma-\Gamma_{0}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(\Gamma) \leq \int_{R^{n}} \rho^{n} d m & =\int_{R^{n}}\left(\rho^{\prime} \circ f\right)^{n}\left|f^{\prime}\right| d m \\
& =\int_{R^{n}}\left(\rho^{\prime} \circ f\right)^{n} J(f) d m \\
& =\int_{R^{n}}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{n} d m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the infimum over every such $\rho^{\prime}$ gives

$$
C(\Gamma) \leq C(f(\Gamma))
$$

The opposite inequality follows by repeating the preceding argument with $f$ replaced by $f^{-1}$.

## 3. Capacity of condensers

A condenser is a ring $E \subset \bar{R}^{n}$ whose complement is the union of two distinguished disjoint compact sets $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ in $\bar{R}^{n}$. We write

$$
E=E\left(D_{0}, D_{1}\right)
$$

Thus, ring is a condenser $E=E\left(D_{0}, D_{1}\right)$ where $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ are continua. We call $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ the complementary components of $E$.

Definition $3.1([9])$. We let $d(x, y)$ denote the chordal distance between points $x, y \in \bar{R}^{n}$. That is

$$
d(x, y)=|x-y| \cdot\left[\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x, y \neq \infty
$$

Let $\operatorname{bmf}(E)(\neq \emptyset)$ denote the family of functions $u: \bar{R}^{n} \rightarrow R^{1}$ with the following conditions :
(i) $u$ is continuous in $\bar{R}^{n}$ and $u$ has distribution derivatives in $R^{1}$,
(ii) $u=0$ on $D_{0}, u=1$ on $D_{1}$,
(iii) $u(x)=\min \left\{\frac{d\left(x, D_{0}\right)}{d\left(D_{1}, D_{0}\right)}, 1\right\} \in \operatorname{bmf}(E)$.

We call

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}(E)=\inf _{u \in b m f(E)} \int_{E}|\nabla u|^{n} d m \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the capacity of $E$.

Theorem 3.2. If $E=E\left(D_{0}, D_{1}\right)$ is a condenser and if $\Gamma$ is the family of curves $\gamma$ joining $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ in $E$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C a p(E) \leq C(\Gamma) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choose a bounded continuous Borel measurable function $\rho \in$ $b m f(\Gamma)$ and let

$$
u(x)=\min \left\{1, \inf _{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \rho d s\right\}
$$

for $x \in E$, where the infimum is taken over all locally rectifiable $\gamma$ joining $D_{0}$ to $x$ in $E$. Then $u$ has distribution derivatives and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow D_{0}} u(x)=0, \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow D_{1}} u(x)=1
$$

Hence we can extend $u$ to $\bar{R}^{n}$ so that $u \in \operatorname{bmf}(E)$. Then since $|\nabla u|=\rho$ in $E$,

$$
C a p(E) \leq \int_{E} \rho^{n} d m \leq \int_{R^{n}} \rho^{n} d m
$$

Another smoothing argument shows the infimum over such $\rho$ gives $C(\Gamma)$. Thus

$$
C a p(E) \leq C(\Gamma)
$$
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