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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the number of solutions to the equation $(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1$. This equation gives the value of the third power sum equation in case of Niho type exponents and is helpful in finding the distribution of the values $C_{d}(\tau)$. We provide the number of the solutions using the new method.
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## 1. Introduction

Pseudorandom binary sequences of maximal period are widely used in many areas of engineering and sciences due to their randomness but simplicity in their generation. Some well-known applications include Code-Division MultipleAccess(CDMA) mobile communications and stream-cipher system. Especially families of binary sequences with low correlation have important applications in CDMA communication systems and cryptography. Cross-correlation properties of these sequences were studied due to their applications in sequence designs. The cross-correlation between binary sequences lead to difficult problems and is related to exponential sums over finite fields. Cross-correlation functions $C_{d}(\tau)$ of maximal length sequences have been studied for about fifty years [3, 4]. Niho [8], Helleseth [4] and Rosendahl [9] wrote the powerful theses on the topic. In this paper $p$ will be an arbitrary prime. We denote $q=p^{k}$ and $d$ satisfies the Niho condition $d \equiv 1(\bmod q-1)$. We study the number of solutions to the equation $(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1$, where $x_{n} \in G F\left(q^{2}\right)$. Solving this equation gives the value of the third power sum $\sum_{\tau=0}^{p^{n}-2}\left(C_{d}(\tau)+1\right)^{3}$ and is helpful in finding the distribution of the values $C_{d}(\tau)$. In addition, this equation is related to the number of codewords of weight three in certain cyclic codes [7] and nonlinearity

[^0]properties of power functions [1], which is of interest in cryptography. Niho used the result due to Welch to treat the $\sum_{\tau=0}^{p^{n}-2}\left(C_{d}(\tau)+1\right)^{3}$. And Rosendahl's work was mathematical throughout, the emphasis being on equations over finite fields. For the theory of finite fields, we refer to $[2,5,6]$. In this paper we solve the equation $(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1$ using the new method.

## 2. Preliminaries

The cross-correlation function $C_{d}(\tau)$ between the sequences $u(t)$ and $v(t)$, where $v(t)=u(d t)\left(d=1, \ldots, p^{n}-2\right)$, is defined for $\tau=0,1, \ldots, p^{n}-2$ by $C_{d}(\tau)=\sum_{\tau=0}^{p^{n}-2}(-1)^{u(t+\tau)+v(t)}$. Let $x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right)$. In analogy with the usual complex conjugation we define $\bar{x}=x^{q}$. We define the unit circle of $x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right)$ to be the set $S=\left\{x \in G F\left(p^{n}\right) \mid x \bar{x}=1\right\}$. $S$ is the group of $(q+1)$-st roots of unity in $G F\left(q^{2}\right)$. We will use the property of the group $S$ in the proof of next section.

The following theorem is useful in finding the distributions of values $C_{d}(\tau)$. In particular, finding $b$ in (c) is the main study of this paper. This is provided in section 3 .

Theorem $2.1([4,8])$. Let $n=2 k$ and $q=p^{k}$. For some integer $d \quad(d=$ $1, \ldots, p^{n}-2$ ), we have
(a) $\sum_{\tau=0}^{p^{n}-2}\left(C_{d}(\tau)+1\right)=p^{n}$.
(b) $\sum_{\tau_{\bar{n}}^{p^{n}}-2}\left(C_{d}(\tau)+1\right)^{2}=p^{2 n}$.
(c) $\sum_{\tau=0}^{p^{n}-2}\left(C_{d}(\tau)+1\right)^{3}=p^{2 n} b$,
where $b$ is the number of $x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right)$ such that $(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1$.
3. The number of solutions to the equation $(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1$

Lemma 3.1. Let $q=p^{k}$, where $p$ is a prime and let $d \equiv 1(\bmod q-1)$. Then $x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right) \backslash\{0,-1\}$ is a solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1 \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $x^{d-1}=(x+1)^{d-1}=1$ or $x^{d-q}=(x+1)^{d-q}=1$.
Proof. Since $(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\bar{x}+1)^{d}=\left(x^{q}+1\right)^{d}=(x+1)^{q d}=\left\{(x+1)^{d}\right\}^{q}=\left(x^{d}+1\right)^{q}=\bar{x}^{d}+1 . \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x \bar{x}+x+\bar{x}+1)^{d}=(x \bar{x})^{d}+x^{d}+\bar{x}^{d}+1 \tag{3.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x \bar{x} \in G F(q)$ and $x+\bar{x} \in G F(q), x \bar{x}+x+\bar{x}+1 \in G F(q)$ and thus $(x \bar{x}+x+\bar{x}+1)^{d}=x \bar{x}+x+\bar{x}+1$ and $(x \bar{x})^{d}=x \bar{x}$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \bar{x}+x+\bar{x}+1=x \bar{x}+x^{d}+\bar{x}^{d}+1 \tag{3.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+\bar{x}=x^{d}+\bar{x}^{d} . \tag{3.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiply $x^{d-q-1}$ to the both sides of (3.1.5), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{d-q}+x^{d-1}=x^{2 d-q-1}+x^{q d+d-q-1} . \tag{3.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $d \equiv 1(\bmod q-1)$, there exists an integer $s$ such that $d-1=(q-1) s$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{q d+d-q-1}=x^{(q+1)(d-1)}=x^{(q+1)(q-1) s}=1 \tag{3.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2 d-q-1}-x^{d-q}-x^{d-1}+1=\left(x^{d-1}-1\right)\left(x^{d-q}-1\right)=0 . \tag{3.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have $x^{d}=x$ or $x^{d}=x^{q}=\bar{x}$.
(i) $x^{d}=x:(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1=x+1$ and thus $(x+1)^{d-1}=1$.
(ii) $x^{d}=\bar{x}$ : We have $(x+1)^{d}=\bar{x}+1=(x+1)^{q}$. Thus $(x+1)^{d-q}=1$.

Conversely, let $x^{d-1}=(x+1)^{d-1}=1$. Then $(x+1)^{d}=x+1$ and $x^{d}=x$ and thus $(x+1)^{d}=x+1=x^{d}+1$. Therefore $x$ is a solution to (3.1.1). And let $x^{d-q}=(x+1)^{d-q}=1$. Then $(x+1)^{d}=(x+1)^{q}=x^{q}+1=x^{d}+1$. Therefore $x$ is a solution to (3.1.1).

Corollary 3.2. Let $q=p^{k}$, where $p$ is a prime and let $d \equiv 1(\bmod q-1)$. Then $x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right) \backslash\{0,-1\}$ is a solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1 \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{d-1}=1$ or $\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{d+1}=1$.
Proof. By Lemma $3.1 x^{d}=x$ or $x^{d}=\bar{x}$. Also $x+\bar{x}=x^{d}+\bar{x}^{d}$ from (3.1.5).
(i) $x^{d}=x$ : Since $\bar{x}^{d}=\bar{x}$ from (3.1.5), we have

$$
\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{d}=\frac{x^{d}+1}{\bar{x}^{d}+1}=\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}
$$

and thus $\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{d-1}=1$.
(ii) $x^{d}=\bar{x}$ : Since $\bar{x}^{d}=x$ from (3.1.5), we have

$$
\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{d}=\frac{x^{d}+1}{\bar{x}^{d}+1}=\frac{\bar{x}+1}{x+1}
$$

and thus $\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{d+1}=1$.
Lemma 3.3. Let $d=(q-1) s+1$ and $e=(q-1) t+1$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=\operatorname{gcd}(t, q+1), \operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=\operatorname{gcd}(t-1, q+1) . \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right)$ is a solution to (3.1.1) if and only if $x$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+1)^{e}=x^{e}+1 \tag{3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since every $x \in G F(q)$ is a solution to (3.1.1), we may assume that $x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right) \backslash\{0,-1\}$. Let $x$ be a solution to (3.1.1). Then by Lemma $3.1 x^{d-1}=$ $(x+1)^{d-1}=1$ or $x^{d-q}=(x+1)^{d-q}=1$. Since $x^{d}=x, x^{d}=x^{(q-1) s} \cdot x=x$ and thus $x^{(q-1) s}=1$. Since $x^{q^{2}-1}=1$ and
$x^{g c d\left((q-1) t, q^{2}-1\right)}=\left(x^{(q-1)}\right)^{g c d(t, q+1)}=\left(x^{(q-1)}\right)^{g c d(s, q+1)}=x^{g c d\left((q-1) s, q^{2}-1\right)}=1$, $x^{(q-1) t}=1$. Thus $x^{e}=x^{(q-1) t+1}=x^{(q-1) t} \cdot x=x$. Now assume that $x^{d}=\bar{x}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=x^{d-q}=x^{(q-1)(s-1)} \tag{3.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x^{q^{2}-1}=1$ and $x^{g c d\left((q-1)(s-1) s, q^{2}-1\right)}=x^{g c d\left((q-1)(t-1), q^{2}-1\right)}$, $x^{e-q}=x^{(q-1)(t-1)}=1$. Thus $x^{e}=\bar{x}$. Similarly we can prove that $(x+1)^{e}=x+1$ and $(x+1)^{e}=(x+1)^{q}$. Therefore $x^{e-1}=(x+1)^{e-q}=1$. Thus by Lemma 3.1 $x$ is a solution to (3.3.2). The converse proof for $d$ is the same as the proof for $e$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.4. Let $q=p^{k}$ be odd. Assume that $d=(q-1) s+1$. Let $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+$ $1) \cdot \operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=2$. Then $\operatorname{gcd}(d-1, q+1) \mid 4$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(d+1, q+1) \mid 4$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}(d-1, q+1) & =\operatorname{gcd}((q-1) s, q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}((q+1) s-2 s, q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(2 s, q+1) \\
\operatorname{gcd}(d+1, q+1)= & g c d((q-1) s+2, q+1) \\
= & g c d((q+1) s-2 s+2, q+1) \\
= & g c d(2(s-1), q+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=2 \operatorname{andgcd}(s-1, q+1)=2$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(d-1, q+1)=2$ or 4 and $\operatorname{gcd}(d+1, q+1)=2$.
If $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=1 \operatorname{andgcd}(s-1, q+1)=2$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(d-1, q+1)=2$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(d+1, q+1)=2$ or 4 .

Lemma 3.5. Let $x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right) \backslash\{0,-1\}$, where $q=p^{k}$ is odd and let $x \notin G F(q)$ such that $x^{2}=-1$. Then ord $\left((x+1)^{q-1}\right)>2$.

Proof. Since $x^{q} \neq x$,

$$
\left\{(x+1)^{q-1}\right\}^{2}=\left(x^{2}+2 x+1\right)^{q-1}=2^{q-1} x^{q-1}=x^{q-1} \neq 1 .
$$

Thus $\operatorname{ord}\left((x+1)^{q-1}\right)>2$.
Theorem 3.6. Let $q=p^{k}$ be odd. Assume that $d \equiv 1(\bmod q-1)$. And let $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1) \cdot \operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right) \mid(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1\right\}=G F(q) . \tag{3.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We may assume that $x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right) \backslash\{0,-1\}$. By Lemma $3.1 x^{d}=x$ or $x^{d}=\bar{x}$. Let $A$ be the left side of (3.6.1). Since every $x \in G F(q)$ is a solution to (3.1.1), $G F(q) \subset A$. Suppose that $x \in A$ and $x \notin G F(q)$.
(I) $\operatorname{gcd}(d-1, q+1)=\operatorname{gcd}(d+1, q+1)=2$ : Since $\operatorname{gcd}(d \pm 1, q+1)=2$ and $\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1} \in S$ by Corollary 3.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{3.6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $x^{2}+2 x+1=\bar{x}^{2}+2 \bar{x}+1$. Therefore $(x-\bar{x})(x+\bar{x}+2)=0$. Since $x \neq \bar{x}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+\bar{x}=-2 . \tag{3.6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) $x^{d}=x$ : In this case $x^{d-1}=x^{(q-1) s}=1$.

Thus $\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{g c d(s, q+1)}=x^{g c d\left((q-1) s, q^{2}-1\right)}=1$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1) \mid 2, x^{2(q-1)}=1$. Thus $\bar{x}^{2}=x^{2 q}=x^{2(q-1)} \cdot x^{2}=x^{2}$. Therefore $x^{2}-\bar{x}^{2}=(x-\bar{x})(x+\bar{x})=0$. Since $x \notin G F(q), x+\bar{x}=0$. This is a contradiction to (3.6.3).
(ii) $x^{d}=\bar{x}$ : In this case $x^{d-q}=x^{(q-1)(s-1)}=1$. Thus $\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{g c d(s-1, q+1)}=$ $x^{g c d\left((q-1)(s-1), q^{2}-1\right)}=1$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1) \mid 2, x^{2(q-1)}=1$. Thus $\bar{x}^{2}=$ $x^{2 q}=x^{2(q-1)} \cdot x^{2}=x^{2}$. Therefore $x^{2}-\bar{x}^{2}=(x-\bar{x})(x+\bar{x})=0$. Since $x \notin G F(q), x+\bar{x}=0$. This is a contradiction to (3.6.3). Therefore by (i) and (ii) $x \in G F(q)$.
(II) $\operatorname{gcd}(d-1, q+1)=4$ or $\operatorname{gcd}(d+1, q+1)=4$ : Since $\operatorname{gcd}(d-1, q+1)=4$ or $\operatorname{gcd}(d+1, q+1)=4$, by Corollary 3.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{4}=1 \tag{3.6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) $x^{d}=x$ : In this case $x^{d-1}=x^{(q-1) s}=1$.

Thus $\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{g c d(s, q+1)}=x^{g c d\left((q-1) s, q^{2}-1\right)}=1$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1) \mid 2, x^{2(q-1)}=1$. Thus $\bar{x}^{2}=x^{2 q}=x^{2(q-1)} \cdot x^{2}=x^{2}$. Therefore from (3.6.4) we obtain $(x-\bar{x})\left(x^{2}+\right.$ $1)=0$. Since $x \notin G F(q), x^{2}=-1$. Thus $x^{4}=1$.
(a) If $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then $x^{q-1}=1$ and thus $x^{q}=x$, i.e., $x \in G F(q)$. This is a contradiction.
(b) If $q \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$, then $x^{q+1}=1$. Since $(x+1)^{d}=(x+1)^{(q-1) s}(x+1)=x+1$, $(x+1)^{(q-1) s}=1$. Since $\left\{(x+1)^{q-1}\right\}^{q+1}=1,\left\{(x+1)^{q-1}\right\}^{g c d(s, q+1)}=1$. And thus $\left\{(x+1)^{q-1}\right\}^{2}=1$. This means that $\operatorname{ord}\left((x+1)^{q-1}\right) \leq 2$. But by Lemma $3.5 \operatorname{ord}\left((x+1)^{q-1}\right)>2$. This is a contradiction.
(ii) $x^{d}=\bar{x}$ : In this case $x^{d-q}=x^{(q-1)(s-1)}=1$. Thus $\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{g c d(s-1, q+1)}=$ $x^{g c d\left((q-1)(s-1), q^{2}-1\right)}=1$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1) \mid 2, x^{2(q-1)}=1$. Thus $\bar{x}^{2}=x^{2 q}=$ $x^{2(q-1)} \cdot x^{2}=x^{2}$. Therefore from (3.6.4) we obtain $(x-\bar{x})\left(x^{2}+1\right)=0$. Since $x \notin G F(q), x^{2}=-1$. Thus $x^{4}=1$.
(a) If $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then $x^{q-1}=1$. This is a contradiction.
(b) If $q \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$, then $x^{q+1}=1$. Since $(x+1)^{d}=(x+1)^{(q-1)(s-1)}(x+1)^{q}=$ $x^{q}+1,(x+1)^{(q-1)(s-1)}=1$. Since $\left\{(x+1)^{q-1}\right\}^{q+1}=1,\left\{(x+1)^{q-1}\right\}^{g c d(s-1, q+1)}=$

1. And thus $\left\{(x+1)^{q-1}\right\}^{2}=1$. This means that $\operatorname{ord}\left((x+1)^{q-1}\right) \leq 2$. But by Lemma $3.5 \operatorname{ord}\left((x+1)^{q-1}\right)>2$. This is a contradiction. Hence by (I) and (II) $x \in G F(q)$. This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.7. Assume that $d \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{k}-1\right)$. If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d \pm 1,2^{k}+1\right)=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1 \tag{3.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has exactly $2^{k}$ solutions in $G F\left(2^{n}\right)$.
Proof. Since $d \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{k}-1\right)$, every $x \in G F\left(2^{k}\right)$ is a solution to (3.7.1). So we may assume that $x \neq 0,1$ satisfies (3.7.1). Since $x$ is a solution to (3.7.1), $x^{d}=x$ or $x^{d}=\bar{x}$ by Lemma 3.1. Let $x^{d}=x$. Then by Corollary $3.2\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{d-1}=1$. And let $x^{d}=\bar{x}$. Then by Corollary $3.2\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{d+1}=1$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d \pm 1,2^{k}+1\right)=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}=1 . \tag{3.7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\bar{x}=x$. This means that $x \in G F\left(2^{k}\right)$.
Theorem 3.8. Assume that $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)>2$ or $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)>2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right) \mid(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1\right\} \neq G F(q) \tag{3.8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=w>2$. Then $s=w a$ and $q+1=w b$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$. Let $x_{0}=\alpha^{b}$ and $x_{1}=\alpha^{2 b}$. Then $x_{0} \neq 1, x_{1} \neq 1, x_{0} \neq x_{1}, x_{0}^{q-1} \neq$ $x_{1}^{q-1}$ and $x_{0}^{d-1}=x_{1}^{d-1}=1$ because $w>2$. Since $\left(x_{0}^{q-1}\right)^{q+1}=\left(x_{1}^{q-1}\right)^{q+1}=1$, $\left\{x_{0}^{q-1}, x_{1}^{q-1}\right\} \in S$. Also $x_{0}^{q-1} \neq 1$ and $x_{1}^{q-1} \neq 1$. Let $u_{0}=\frac{x_{0} x_{1}^{q}-x_{0} x_{1}}{x_{0}^{q} x_{1}-x_{0} x_{1}^{q}}$ and $u_{1}=$ $\frac{x_{0}^{q} x_{1}-x_{0} x_{1}}{x_{0}^{q} x_{1}-x_{0} x_{1}^{q}}$. Since $x_{0}^{q-1} \neq x_{1}^{q-1}, u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ are well-defined. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0}^{q} & =\left(\frac{x_{0} x_{1}^{q}-x_{0} x_{1}}{\left.x_{q}^{q} x_{1}-x_{0}^{q}\right)^{q}}\right)^{q} \\
& =\frac{x_{0}^{q} x_{1}-x_{0}^{q} x_{1}^{q}}{x_{0} x_{-}^{q}-x_{0}^{q} x_{1}} \\
& =x_{0}^{q-1} \frac{x_{0} x_{1}-x_{0}^{q} x_{1}}{x_{0} x_{1}^{q}-x_{0}^{q} x_{1}} \\
& =x_{0}^{q-1} u_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $x_{0}^{q-1} \neq 1, u_{0}^{q} \neq u_{0}$. Therefore $u_{0} \notin G F(q)$. Similarly we can show that $u_{1}^{q}=x_{1}^{q-1} u_{1}$. Since $x_{1}^{q-1} \neq 1, u_{1} \notin G F(q)$. Also $u_{1}=u_{0}+1$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}^{d-1}=u_{0}^{(q-1) s}=\left(x_{0}^{q-1}\right)^{s}=x_{0}^{d-1}=1 \tag{3.8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{d-1}=u_{1}^{(q-1) s}=\left(x_{1}^{q-1}\right)^{s}=x_{1}^{d-1}=1 \tag{3.8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.8.2) and (3.8.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{0}+1\right)^{d}=u_{1}^{d}=u_{1}=u_{0}+1=u_{0}^{d}+1 \tag{3.8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $u_{0}$ is a solution to (3.8.1) which is not in $G F(q)$. For the case $g c d(s-1, q+$ 1) $>2$ we can prove (3.8.1) using the similar method of the case $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)>$ 2.

Lemma 3.9. Let $q=p^{k}$ for a prime $p$ and $d=(q-1) s+1$.
(a) If $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=p^{i}+1$ for some integer $i \geq 1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(d-1, q+1)=\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)$.
(b) If $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=p^{i}+1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=1$ for some integer $i \geq 1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(d+1, q+1)=\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)$.
Proof. (I) Let $p=2$.
a) $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=p^{i}+1$ : Since $q+1$ is odd,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}(d-1, q+1) & =\operatorname{gcd}((q-1) s, q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}((q+1) s+2 s, q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(2 s, q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

b) $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=p^{i}+1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=1$ : Since $q+1$ is odd,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}(d+1, q+1) & =\operatorname{gcd}((q-1) s+2, q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}((q+1) s-2 s+2, q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(2(s-1), q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, the conditions in (a) and (b) are not necessary.
(II) Let $p$ be an odd prime.

By conditions (a) and (b), $p^{i}+1$ divides $p^{k}+1$. Thus $i \mid k$ and $\frac{k}{i}$ is odd.
Let $p^{k}+1=\left(p^{i}+1\right) \cdot a$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{k}+1=\left(p^{i}+1\right)\left\{\left[\left(p^{i}\right)^{k / i-1}-\left(p^{i}\right)^{k / i-2}\right]+\cdots+\left[\left(p^{i}\right)^{2}-p^{i}\right]+1\right\} . \tag{3.9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by equation (3.9.1) $a$ is odd.
a) $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=p^{i}+1$ :

Since $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=p^{i}+1$, let $s=\left(p^{i}+1\right) b$ where $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}(d-1, q+1) & =\operatorname{gcd}((q-1) s, q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}((q+1) s+2 s, q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(2 s, q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}\left(2 b\left(p^{i}+1\right),\left(p^{i}+1\right) a\right) \\
& =\left(p^{i}+1\right) g c d(2 b, a) \\
& =p^{i}+1 \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

b) $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=p^{i}+1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=1$ :

Since $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=p^{i}+1$, let $s-1=\left(p^{i}+1\right) c$ where $\operatorname{gcd}(a, c)=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}(d+1, q+1) & =\operatorname{gcd}(2(s-1), q+1) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}\left(2 c\left(p^{i}+1\right),\left(p^{i}+1\right) a\right) \\
& =\left(p^{i}+1\right) \operatorname{gcd}(2 c, a) \\
& =p^{i}+1 \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.10. Let $q=p^{k}$ for a prime $p$ and $d=(q-1) s+1$. Assume that for some $i\left(i \mid k\right.$ and $\frac{k}{i}$ :odd ) (i) $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=p^{i}+1$ or (ii) $\operatorname{gcd}(s-1, q+1)=p^{i}+1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(s, q+1)=1$. Then the set of solutions in $G F\left(q^{2}\right)$ to the following equation is $G F(q) \cup G F\left(p^{2 i}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1 \tag{3.10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Clearly every $x \in G F(q)$ is a solution to (3.10.1). So we may assume that $x \notin G F(q)$. Then $x^{q}=\bar{x} \neq x$. Since $x$ is a solution to (3.10.1), $x^{d}=x$ or $x^{d}=\bar{x}$ by Lemma 3.1. By Corollary 3.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{d-1}=1 \text { or }\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{d+1}=1 \tag{3.10.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1} \in S,\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{q+1}=1$. By Lemma 3.9, $\operatorname{gcd}(d-1, q+1)=p^{i}+1$ or $g c d(d+1, q+1)=p^{i}+1$. Thus $\left(\frac{x+1}{\bar{x}+1}\right)^{p^{i}+1}=1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p^{i}+1}+x^{p^{i}}+x+1=\bar{x}^{p^{i}+1}+\bar{x}^{p^{i}}+\bar{x}+1 . \tag{3.10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{q+1}=1$ and $\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{s}=x^{d-1}=1,\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{g c d(s, q+1)}=1$. Thus by hypothesis $\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{p^{i}+1}=1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}^{p^{i}+1}=\left(x^{q}\right)^{p^{i}+1}=\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{p^{i}+1} x^{p^{i}+1}=x^{p^{i}+1} . \tag{3.10.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p^{i}}+x=\bar{x}^{p^{i}}+\bar{x}, \tag{3.10.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p^{i}}-\bar{x}^{p^{i}}=\bar{x}-x . \tag{3.10.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\bar{x} \neq x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p^{i}-1}+x^{p^{i}-2} \bar{x}+x^{p^{i}-3} \bar{x}^{2}+\cdots+x \bar{x}^{p^{i}-2}+\bar{x}^{p^{i}-1}+1=0 . \tag{3.10.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.10.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p^{i}}\left(1+x^{q-1}+x^{2(q-1)}+\cdots+x^{\left(p^{i}-2\right)(q-1)}+x^{\left(p^{i}-1\right)(q-1)}\right)=-x . \tag{3.10.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{p^{2}+1}=1$ by (3.10.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+x^{q-1}+x^{2(q-1)}+\cdots+x^{\left(p^{i}-1\right)(q-1)}+x^{p^{i}(q-1)}=\frac{1-\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{p^{i}+1}}{1-x^{q-1}}=0 . \tag{3.10.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p^{i}} \cdot x^{p^{i}(q-1)}=x . \tag{3.10.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\bar{x}^{p^{i}}=x$. And thus from (3.10.5) we have $x^{p^{i}}=\bar{x}=x^{p^{k}}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p^{2 i}}=\left(x^{p^{i}}\right)^{p^{i}}=\left(x^{p^{i}}\right)^{p^{k}}=\bar{x}^{p^{i}}=x . \tag{3.10.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $x \in G F\left(p^{2 i}\right)$.
Now we show that every $x \in G F\left(p^{2 i}\right)$ is a solution to (3.10.1).
(a) $p^{i}+1 \mid s$ : Since $i \mid k, p^{i}-1$ divides $q-1$. Thus $q-1=\left(p^{i}-1\right) u_{1}$ for some integer $u_{1}$. Since $s=\left(p^{i}+1\right) u_{2}$ for some $u_{2}$,

$$
d-1=(q-1) s=\left(p^{i}-1\right) u_{1}\left(p^{i}+1\right) u_{2}=\left(p^{2 i}-1\right) u_{1} u_{2} .
$$

Thus $d \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2 i}-1\right)$. Hence $x$ is a solution to (3.10.1).
(b) $\left(p^{i}+1\right) \mid(s-1)$ : Since $i \mid k,\left(p^{i}-1\right)$ divides $(q-1)$. Thus $q-1=\left(p^{i}-1\right) u_{3}$ for some integer $u_{3}$. Since $s-1=\left(p^{i}+1\right) u_{4}$ for some $u_{4}$,

$$
d-q=(q-1)(s-1)=\left(p^{2 i}-1\right) u_{3} u_{4} .
$$

Thus $d \equiv q=p^{k}\left(\bmod p^{2 i}-1\right)$. Hence $x$ is a solution to (3.10.1). This completes the proof.

Remark 3.11. Since $i \mid k, G F(q) \cap G F\left(p^{2 i}\right)=G F\left(p^{i}\right)$. Thus the number of solutions to (3.10.1) is $p^{k}+p^{2 i}-p^{i}$.

## 4. Conclusion

The equation $(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1$ gives the value of the third power sum equation in case of Niho type exponents and is helpful in finding the distribution of the values $C_{d}(\tau)$. In this paper we solved the equation $(x+1)^{d}=x^{d}+1$ and provided the number of the solutions by using the new method different to method of Niho.
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