

## On $BN$ -algebras

CHANG BUM KIM<sup>†</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Kookmin University, Seoul, 136-702, Korea*  
*e-mail: cbkim@kookmin.ac.kr*

HEE SIK KIM\*

*Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul, 133-791, Korea*  
*e-mail: heekim@hanyang.ac.kr*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a  $BN$ -algebra, and we prove that a  $BN$ -algebra is 0-commutative, and an algebra  $X$  is a  $BN$ -algebra if and only if it is a 0-commutative  $BF$ -algebra. And we introduce a quotient  $BN$ -algebra, and we investigate some relations between  $BN$ -algebras and several algebras.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of  $B$ -algebra was introduced by J. Neggers and H. S. Kim ([7]). They defined a  $B$ -algebra as an algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  (i.e., a non-empty set with a binary operation  $*$  and a constant  $0$ ) satisfying the following axioms:

$$(B1) \quad x * x = 0,$$

$$(B2) \quad x * 0 = x,$$

$$(B) \quad (x * y) * z = x * [z * (0 * y)]$$

for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Recently, C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim ([3]) defined a  $BG$ -algebra, which is a generalization of  $B$ -algebra. An algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is called a  $BG$ -algebra if it satisfies  $(B1)$ ,  $(B2)$ , and

$$(BG) \quad x = (x * y) * (0 * y)$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ . Also they introduced a  $BM$ -algebra. An algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is called a  $BM$ -algebra ([4]) if it satisfies  $(B2)$  and

---

\* Corresponding Author.

Received November 2, 2010; accepted July 30, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35.

Key words and phrases:  $BN$ -algebra,  $B$ -algebra,  $BF$ -algebra, Quotient  $BN$ -algebra,  $BN_1$ -algebra.

<sup>†</sup> This paper was supported by Kookmin University Research Fund, 2011-2012.

$$(BM) \quad (z * x) * (z * y) = y * x$$

for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim ([2]) introduced the notion of a *BH*-algebra which is a generalization of *BCK/BCI/BCH*-algebras. An algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2,0)$  is called a *BH-algebra* if it satisfies  $(B1)$ ,  $(B2)$ , and

$$(BH) \quad x * y = y * x = 0 \text{ implies } x = y$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ .

In [12], A. Walendziak introduced *BF/BF<sub>1</sub>/BF<sub>2</sub>*-algebra. An algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2,0)$  is a *BF-algebra* if it satisfies  $(B1)$ ,  $(B2)$  and

$$(BF) \quad 0 * (x * y) = y * x.$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ . A *BF*-algebra is called a *BF<sub>1</sub>-algebra* (resp., a *BF<sub>2</sub>-algebra*) if it satisfies  $(BG)$  (resp.,  $(BH)$ ). In this paper, we define a *BN*-algebra and investigate some relations between *BN*-algebras and several algebras, i.e., *B*-algebras, *BM*-algebras, *BF*-algebras, Coxeter-algebras, etc..

## 2. *BN*-algebras

In this section, we define a *BN*-algebra and investigate some relations between *BN*-algebras and other algebras.

**Definition 2.1.** A *BN-algebra* is an algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2,0)$  satisfying  $(B1)$ ,  $(B2)$ , and the following axiom:

$$(BN) \quad (x * y) * z = (0 * z) * (y * x)$$

for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2\}$  be a set. If we define a binary operation “ $*$ ” on  $X$  as follows:

$*$	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	1	0	1
2	2	1	0

then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a *BN*-algebra.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a *BN*-algebra, then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a *BF*-algebra.*

*Proof.* If we let  $z := 0$  in  $(BN)$ , we obtain  $x * y = 0 * (y * x)$ . Hence  $(X, *, 0)$  is a *BF*-algebra.  $\square$

**Remark.** The converse of Theorem 2.3 does not hold in general.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a set with the following table:

$*$	0	1	2	3
0	0	2	1	3
1	1	0	1	2
2	2	2	0	2
3	3	1	1	0

Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BF$ -algebra, but not a  $BN$ -algebra, since  $(3 * 1) * 3 = 2 \neq (0 * 3) * (1 * 3) = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BN$ -algebra, then*

- (i)  $0 * (0 * x) = x$ ,
- (ii)  $y * x = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$ ,
- (iii)  $(0 * x) * y = (0 * y) * x$ ,
- (iv)  $x * y = 0 \implies y * x = 0$ ,
- (v)  $0 * x = 0 * y \implies x = y$ ,
- (vi)  $(x * z) * (y * z) = (z * y) * (z * x)$ ,

for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

*Proof.* (i). If we let  $y := 0, z := 0$  in  $(BN)$ , then  $(x * 0) * 0 = (0 * 0) * (0 * x)$ . By applying  $(B1)$  and  $(B2)$ , we obtain  $0 * (0 * x) = x$ .

(ii). By  $(B2)$  and  $(BN)$ ,  $y * x = (y * 0) * x = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$ .

(iii). By applying  $(B2)$  and  $(BN)$ , we obtain  $(0 * x) * y = (0 * y) * x$ .

(iv). By Theorem 2.3,  $0 = 0 * 0 = 0 * (x * y) = y * x$ .

(v). If  $0 * x = 0 * y$ , then by (i) we have  $x = 0 * (0 * x) = 0 * (0 * y) = y$ .

(vi).  $(x * z) * (y * z) = (0 * (y * z)) * (z * x) = (z * y) * (z * x)$  by  $(BN)$  and Theorem 2.3. □

**Definition 2.6.** An algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  is said to be  $0$ -commutative if  $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BN$ -algebra, then it is  $0$ -commutative.*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  and  $y$  be any elements of  $X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 x * (0 * y) &= [(0 * (0 * x)) * (0 * y)] && \text{[Theorem 2.5(i)]} \\
 &= [0 * (0 * y)] * [(0 * x) * 0] && \text{[(BN)]} \\
 &= y * (0 * x). && \text{[Theorem 2.5(i) and (B2)]} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.8.** *If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $0$ -commutative  $BF$ -algebra, then it is a  $BN$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z$  be any elements of  $X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (0 * z) * (y * x) &= (0 * z) * (0 * (x * y)) && \text{[(BF)]} \\
 &= (x * y) * (0 * (0 * z)) && \text{[0-commutative]} \\
 &= (x * y) * z && \text{[(BF) and (B2)].}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BN$ -algebra.  $\square$

Using Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.9.**  $(X, *, 0)$  is a 0-commutative  $BF$ -algebra if and only if it is a  $BN$ -algebra.

**Proposition 2.10**([4]). If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra, then

$$(0 * x) * (0 * y) = y * x$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ .

**Proposition 2.11**([11]). If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra, then

$$0 * (x * y) = y * x$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ .

**Corollary 2.12.** If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra, then it is a  $BN$ -algebra.

*Proof.* It follows immediately from Theorem 2.9 and Proposition 2.11.  $\square$

**Remark.** The converse of Corollary 2.12 does not hold in general.

**Example 2.13.** Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2\}$  be a set with the table given in Example 2.2. Then it is easy to show that  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BN$ -algebra, but not a  $B$ -algebra, since  $(1 * 1) * 2 = 2 \neq 1 * [2 * (0 * 1)] = 0$ .

The condition, 0-commutativity, is very necessary for  $B$ -algebras to be  $BN$ -algebras. Consider the following example.

**Example 2.14.** Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  be a set with the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	2	1	3	4	5
1	1	0	2	5	3	4
2	2	1	0	4	5	3
3	3	5	4	0	1	2
4	4	3	5	2	0	1
5	5	4	3	1	2	0

Then it is easy to show that  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra, but it is not 0-commutative, since  $1 * (0 * 3) = 1 * 3 = 5 \neq 3 * (0 * 1) = 3 * 2 = 4$ . Moreover, we know that  $(X, *, 0)$  is not a  $BN$ -algebra, since  $(2 * 3) * 5 = 1 \neq (0 * 5) * (3 * 2) = 2$ .

Every abelian group determines a  $BN$ -algebra. Consider the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.15.** *Let  $(X; \circ, 0)$  be an abelian group. If we define  $x * y := x \circ y^{-1}, \forall x, y \in X$ , then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a BN-algebra.*

*Proof.* We see that  $x * x = x \circ x^{-1} = 0$  and  $x * 0 = x \circ 0^{-1} = x \circ 0 = x$ . For any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x * y) * z &= (x \circ y^{-1}) \circ z^{-1} \\
 &= z^{-1} \circ (x \circ y^{-1}) \\
 &= z^{-1} \circ (y^{-1} \circ x) \\
 &= z^{-1} \circ (x^{-1} \circ y)^{-1} \\
 &= z^{-1} * (x^{-1} \circ y) \\
 &= (0 * z) * (y \circ x^{-1}) \\
 &= (0 * z) * (y * x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BN-algebra.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.16.** *Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be an algebra with  $0 * (0 * x) = x$  for any  $x \in X$ . Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is 0-commutative if and only if  $(0 * x) * (0 * y) = y * x$  for any  $x, y \in X$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(X, *, 0)$  is 0-commutative, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (0 * x) * (0 * y) &= y * (0 * (0 * x)) \quad [X: 0\text{-commutative}] \\
 &= y * x
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ . Conversely, if  $(0 * x) * (0 * y) = y * x$  for any  $x, y \in X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 x * (0 * y) &= (0 * (0 * x)) * (0 * y) \\
 &= y * (0 * x),
 \end{aligned}$$

proving the theorem.  $\square$

**Definition 2.17.** An algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  is said to have a *condition (D)* if  $(x * y) * z = x * (z * y)$  for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.18.** *If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BN-algebra with the condition (D), then*

- (i)  $0 * x = x$ ,
- (ii)  $x * y = y * x$

for any  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* (i). If we let  $x := 0, z := 0$  in (D), then  $0 * y = 0 * (0 * y) = y$  by Theorem 2.5(i). (ii).  $x * y = x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x) = y * x$  by Theorem 2.7 and (i).  $\square$

**Example 2.19.** Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a set with the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1	0	3	2	5	4	7	6
2	2	3	0	1	6	7	4	5
3	3	2	1	0	7	6	5	4
4	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3
5	5	4	7	6	1	0	3	2
6	6	7	4	5	2	3	0	1
7	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a *BN*-algebra with the condition (D).

**Theorem 2.20.** *If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BN-algebra with the condition (D), then it is a B-algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z$  be any elements of  $X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x * (z * (0 * y)) &= x * (z * y) \quad [\text{Theorem 2.18(i)}] \\ &= (x * y) * z. \quad [(D)] \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(X, *, 0)$  is a *B*-algebra.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.21.** *Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a BN-algebra with the condition (D), then  $(X, *, 0)$  is an abelian group.*

*Proof.* Since  $(X, *, 0)$  is a *BN*-algebra,  $x * x = 0$  for any  $x \in X$ . We may regard  $x$  as its own inverse, i.e.,  $x^{-1} = x$ . By applying (B2) and Theorem 2.18(i), we obtain  $x * 0 = 0 * x = x$ , i.e., 0 is the identity element for  $A$ . Since  $(x * y) * z = x * (z * y) = x * (y * z)$  by Theorem 2.18(ii), the associative law holds. Theorem 2.18(ii) shows that  $(X, *, 0)$  is an abelian group.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.22.** *If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BN-algebra with the condition (D), then it is a BH-algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$ . Then, by Theorem 2.18, we have  $x = x * 0 = x * (y * x) = (x * x) * y = 0 * y = y$ . Hence  $(X, *, 0)$  is a *BH*-algebra.  $\square$

**Definition 2.23**([5]). A *Coxeter algebra* is a non-empty set  $X$  with a constant 0 and a binary operation "\*" satisfying the axioms (B1), (B2), and (As)  $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$  for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

It is known that a Coxeter algebra is a special type of abelian groups (see [5]).

**Proposition 2.24**([5]). *If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a Coxeter algebra, then*

- (i)  $0 * x = x$ ,
- (ii)  $x * y = y * x$

for any  $x, y \in X$ .

**Proposition 2.25.** *Every Coxeter algebra is a  $BN$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a Coxeter algebra. Given  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $(0 * z) * (y * x) = (y * x) * (0 * z) = (x * y) * z$ , by Proposition 2.24. Hence  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BN$ -algebra.  $\square$

**Remark.** The converse of Theorem 2.25 does not hold in general.

**Example 2.26.** Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a set with the following table:

$*$	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	1	1
2	2	1	0	1
3	3	1	1	0

Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BN$ -algebra, but not a Coxeter algebra, since  $(1 * 1) * 2 = 2 \neq 1 * (1 * 2) = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.27.** *If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BN$ -algebra with the condition (D) if and only if it is a Coxeter algebra.*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (x * y) * z &= x * (z * y) \quad [(D)] \\ &= x * (y * z) \quad [\text{Theorem 2.18(ii)}] \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(X, *, 0)$  is a Coxeter algebra.

Conversely, assume that  $(X, *, 0)$  is a Coxeter algebra. By Theorem 2.15, it is enough to show the condition (D). For any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (x * y) * z &= x * (y * z) \quad [X: \text{Coxeter algebra}] \\ &= x * (z * y) \quad [\text{Proposition 2.24(ii)}] \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim ([4]) introduced and investigated  $BM$ -algebras. A  $BM$ -algebra is a non-empty set  $X$  with a constant 0 and a binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfying the following axioms:

(B2)  $x * 0 = x$

(BM)  $(z * x) * (z * y) = y * x$

for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

It is known that the concept of a  $BM$ -algebra is equivalent to the concept of a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra (see [4]).

By Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 of [4],  $(X, *, 0)$  is a Coxeter algebra if and only if  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BM$ -algebra with  $0 * x = x$  for any  $x \in X$ . So we have following

the result.

**Corollary 2.28.**  $(X, *, 0)$  is a *BN-algebra* with the condition (D) if and only if  $(X, *, 0)$  is a *BM-algebra* with  $0 * x = x$  for any  $x \in X$ .

By applying Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.12 and the results of [4] mentioned above, we obtain the following relation:

*The class of Coxeter algebras  $\subset$  the class of BM-algebras  $\subset$  the class of BN-algebras  $\subset$  the class of BF-algebras.*

### 3. Quotient *BN-algebras*

In this section, we construct the quotient *BN-algebra* and investigate their properties.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a *BN-algebra* and let  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq X$ .  $S$  is said to be a *subalgebra* of  $X$  if  $x * y \in S$  for any  $x, y \in S$ .  $S$  is said to be *normal* of  $X$  if  $(x * a) * (y * b) \in S$ , whenever  $x * y, a * b \in S$ .

**Example 3.2.** In Example 2.26,  $S := \{0, 3\}$  is a normal subset of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Every normal subset  $S$  of a *BN-algebra*  $(X, *, 0)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

*Proof.* If  $x, y \in S$ , then  $x * 0, y * 0 \in S$ . Since  $S$  is normal,  $x * y = (x * y) * (0 * 0) \in S$ . Thus  $S$  is a subalgebra of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $S$  be a normal subalgebra of a *BN-algebra*  $(X, *, 0)$ . If  $x * y \in S$ , then  $y * x \in S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x * y \in S$ . Since  $y * y = 0 \in S$  and  $S$  is normal,  $y * x = (y * x) * (y * y) \in S$ .  $\square$

We construct a quotient *BN-algebra* using the notion of normal subalgebra as follows. Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a *BN-algebra* and let  $S$  be a normal subalgebra of  $X$ . Define a relation  $\sim_S$  on  $X$  by  $x \sim_S y$  if and only if  $x * y \in S$ , where  $x, y \in X$ . Then it is easy to show that  $\sim_S$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ . Denote the equivalence class containing  $x$  by  $[x]_S$ , i.e.,  $[x]_S := \{y \in X \mid x \sim_S y\}$  and let  $X/S := \{[x]_S \mid x \in X\}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $S$  be a normal subalgebra of a *BN-algebra*  $(X, *, 0)$ . Then  $X/S$  is a *BN-algebra*.

*Proof.* If we define  $[x]_S * [y]_S = [x * y]_S$ , then the operation “ $*$ ” is well-defined, since if  $x \sim_S p$  and  $y \sim_S q$ , then  $x * p \in S$  and  $y * q \in S$  implies  $(x * y) * (p * q) \in S$  by normality of  $S$ . So  $x * y \sim_S p * q$  and so  $[x * y]_S = [p * q]_S$ . Note that  $[0]_S = \{x \in X \mid x \sim_S 0\} = \{x \in X \mid x * 0 \in S\} = \{x \in X \mid x \in S\} = S$ . Checking remaining axioms is trivial and we omit the proof.  $\square$

The *BN-algebra*  $X/S$  discussed in Theorem 3.5 is called the *quotient BN-*



algebra of  $X$  by  $S$ .

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  and  $(Y, *, 0)$  be BN-algebras. A mapping  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *homomorphism* from  $X$  into  $Y$  if  $\phi(x*y) = \phi(x)*\phi(y)$  for any  $x, y \in X$ . Observe that  $\phi(0_X) = 0_Y$ . Indeed,  $\phi(0_X) = \phi(x*x) = \phi(x)*\phi(x) = 0_Y$ . We denote by  $Ker\phi$  the subset  $\{x \in X \mid \phi(x) = 0_Y\}$  of  $X$ . (It is called the *kernel* of the homomorphism). The proof of Theorem 3.6 follows from the Homomorphism Theorem for Algebras ([6, pp. 28-29]), and we omit the proof.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $S$  be a normal subalgebra of a BN-algebra  $X$ . Then the mapping  $\gamma : X \rightarrow X/S$  given by  $\gamma(x) := [x]_S$  is an epimorphism of BN-algebras and  $Ker\gamma = S$ .*

**Definition 3.7.** A BN-algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  is said to be a  $BN_1$ -algebra if it satisfies the condition:

$$(BN_1) \quad x = (x * y) * y$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ .

**Example 3.8.** Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$  be a set as in Example 2.19. Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BN_1$ -algebra.

**Proposition 3.9.** *If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BN_1$ -algebra, then it is a BG-algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a  $BN_1$ -algebra. If we let  $y := x$  in  $(BN_1)$ , then  $x = (x * x) * x = 0 * x$  for any  $x \in A$ . Thus we obtain

$$(x * y) * (0 * y) = (x * y) * y = x.$$

Hence  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BG-algebra.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a  $BN_1$ -algebra. If  $x * y = 0$ , then  $x = y$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $x = 0 * x$  for any  $x \in X$  by the proof of Proposition 3.9, we obtain  $x = (x * y) * y = 0 * y = y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a homomorphism from a BN-algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  into a  $BN_1$ -algebra  $(Y, *, 0)$ . Then the kernel  $Ker\phi$  of  $\phi$  is a normal subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $0_X \in Ker\phi$ ,  $Ker\phi \neq \emptyset$ . If  $x, y \in Ker\phi$ , then

$$\phi(x * y) = \phi(x) * \phi(y) = 0_B * 0_B = 0_B,$$

i.e.,  $x * y \in Ker\phi$ . Hence  $Ker\phi$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ . Let  $x * y, a * b \in Ker\phi$ . Then  $\phi(x * y) = \phi(x) * \phi(y) = 0_B$  and  $\phi(a * b) = \phi(a) * \phi(b) = 0_Y$ . Since  $Y$  is a  $BN_1$ -algebra, by Corollary 3.10,  $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$  and  $\phi(a) = \phi(b)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((x * a) * (y * b)) &= \phi(x * a) * \phi(y * b) \\ &= (\phi(x) * \phi(a)) * (\phi(y) * \phi(b)) \\ &= (\phi(x) * \phi(a)) * (\phi(x) * \phi(a)) \\ &= 0_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(x * a) * (y * b) \in \text{Ker}\phi$ . Hence  $\text{Ker}\phi$  is a normal subalgebra of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a homomorphism from a  $BN$ -algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  into a  $BN_1$ -algebra  $(Y, *, 0)$ . Then  $X/\text{Ker}\phi \simeq \text{Im}\phi$ . In particular, if  $\phi$  is surjective, then  $X/\text{Ker}\phi \simeq Y$ .*

**Acknowledgements** The authors are grateful to the referee's valuable suggestions and help.

## References

- [1] J. R. Cho and H. S. Kim, *On B-algebras and quasigroups*, Quasigroups and related systems, **8**(2001), 1-6.
- [2] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, *On BH-algebra*, Sci. Math., **1**(1998), 347-354.
- [3] C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim, *On BG-algebras*, Demo. Math., **41**(2008), 497-505.
- [4] C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim, *On BM-algebras*, Sci. Math. Japonicae **63**(2006), 421-427.
- [5] H. S. Kim, Y. H. Kim and J. Neggers, *Coxeters and pre-Coxeter algebras in Smarandache setting*, Honam Math. J., **26**(2004), 251-272.
- [6] R. McKenzie, G. F. McNulty and W. Taylor, *Algebras, Lattices, Varieties*, Vol. **1**, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, Monterey, California, 1987.
- [7] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *On B-algebras*, Mate. Vesnik, **54**(2002), 21-29.
- [8] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *A fundamental theorem of B-homomorphism for B-algebras*, Intern. Math. J., **2**(2002), 207-214.
- [9] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *On  $\beta$ -algebras*, Math. Slovaca, **52**(2002), 517-530.
- [10] A. Walendziak, *A note on normal subalgebras in B-algebras*, Sci. Math. Japonicae, Online e-2005, (2005),49-53.
- [11] A. Walendziak, *Some axiomatizations of B-algebras*, Math Slovaca, **56**(2006), 301-306.
- [12] A. Walendziak, *On BF-algebras*, Math Slovaca, **57**(2007), 119-128.
- [13] X. Zhang and R. Ye, *BZ-algebra and group*, J. Math. Phy. Sci., **29**(1995), 223-233.