Partially Dehydrated Fully Zn²⁺-exchanged Zeolite Y (FAU, Si/Al = 1.70) and Its Structure

Sung Man Seo¹, Young Hun Kim², Seok Hee Lee³*, and Woo Taik Lim¹*

¹Department of Applied Chemistry, Andong National University, Andong 760-749, Korea ²Department of Environmental Engineering, Andong National University, Andong 760-749, Korea ³Department of Science Education, Busan National University of Education, Busan 611-736, Korea

The crystal structure of partially dehydrated fully Zn^{2+} -exchanged zeolite Y was determined by X-ray diffraction techniques in the cubic space group $Fd\overline{3}m$ at 294(1) K and refined to the final error indices $R_1/wR_2 = 0.035/0.119$ for $|Zn_{35.5}(H_2O)_{13}|[Si_{121}Al_{71}O_{384}]$ -FAU. About 35.5 Zn^{2+} ions per unit cell are found at six distinct positions; sites I, I', a second I', II', II, and a second II. In sodalite cavities, the 11 water molecules coordinate to Zn(I'b) and/or Zn(II') ions; each of two H₂O bonds to a Zn(IIb) in supercages. Two different Zn^{2+} positions near 6-oxygen ring are due to their Si-Al ordering in tetrahedral site by Si/Al ratio leading to the different kinds of 6-rings.

Key words: Zinc, Zeolite Y, Structure, Ion exchange, Dehydrated

Introduction

The effects of distribution and coordination geometry of cations in the zeolites have received a great deal of attention over the last decades for their catalytic properties. Especially, zinc (Zn) has been used in industries as hydrocarbon catalyst (Chu, 1978; Rhee et al., 1983; Gairbekov et al., 1989) for the separation of hydrogen sulfide from fossil fuels (Brooks, 1990), isomerization of basic alkane (Brownscombe, 1991), hydrosulfurization of alcohols (Ziolek, 1992), decomposition of nitromethane (Blower et al., 1993), and conversion of butane into aromatics (Kumar et al., 1996).

The extensive hydrolysis of hydrated cation and over-exchange were observed in two structural studies of Zn^{2+} -exchanged zeolites X from an aqueous solution (Bae et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2000). A single crystal of dehydrated Zn^{2+} -exchanged zeolite X was prepared by flow method using 0.05 M Zn(NO₃)₂ for 48 h at 353 K, followed by vacuum dehydration at 673 K and 1×10^{-5} Torr (Bae et al., 1999). In the structure of $|Zn_{46}(HAIO_4)_8H_8|$ [Si₁₀₀Al₉₂O₃₈₄]-FAU (Si/Al = 1.09), over-exchange, framework dealumination, charge valance of framework,



and loss of long-range Si/Al ordering were seen; 56 Zn^{2+} ions were found per unit cell and eight nonframework aluminate ions were found at the center of sodalite cavities. Lee and Kim also studied a dehydrated Zn^{2+} -exchanged zeolite X, $|Zn_{46}(ZnO)_8|[Si_{100}Al_{92}O_{384}]$ -FAU (Si/Al = 1.09), by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Lee et al., 2000). The over-exchange of $Zn(OH)_2$ had occurred in sodalite cavity; 54 Zn^{2+} ions were found per unit cell, eight more than needed to balance the negative charge of the zeolite framework.

The single-crystal structure of fully dehydrated Zn^{2+} -exchanged zeolite Y (FAU, Si/Al = 1.70), dehydrated at 673 K and 1 × 10⁻⁶ Torr, were determined by single-crystal synchrotron X-ray diffraction techniques by Seo et al. (Seo et al., 2011). In the structure of $|Zn_{35.5}|[Si_{121}Al_{71}O_{384}]$ -FAU, about 35.5 Zn^{2+} ions per unit cell were found at an unusually large number of crystallographic distinct positions, six.

This study was done to determine the structure of partially dehydrated fully Zn^{2+} -exchanged zeolite Y and to investigate the distribution and coordination geometry of cations and water molecules in the framework.

Materials and Methods

Large clear colorless octahedral single crystals of sodium zeolite Y, $|Na_{71}|[Si_{121}Al_{71}O_{384}]$ -FAU (Si/Al = 1.70), with a diameter up to 0.20 mm were prepared by

Lim et al. (Lim et al., 2007). To prepare Zn^{2+} -exchanged zeolite Y (Zn-Y), 0.1 g of hydrated Na-Y was mixed with 10 mL of 0.05 M Zn(NO₃)₂ (Aldrich, 99.999%) in 15-mL conical tube and then the mixture was stirred for 4 h at 294 K (Seo et al., 2011). The ion-exchange procedure was repeated 5 times with the fresh Zn(NO₃)₂ solution. The product was dried at 323 K for 1 day. One of these, a hydrated Zn²⁺-exchanged zeolite Y crystal, was lodged in a fine Pyrex capillary and dehydrated at 673 K and 1 × 10⁻⁶ Torr for 1 h.

Synchrotron X-ray diffraction data was collected for the crystal at 294(1) K using an ADSC Quantum210 detector at Beamline 4A MXW at the Pohang Light Source. Crystal evaluation and data collection were done with a detector-to-crystal distance of 60 mm. Preliminary cell constants and an orientation matrix were determined from 72 sets of frames collected at a scan interval of 5° with an exposure time of 1 s per frame. The basic scale file was prepared using the HKL2000 program (Otwinowski et al., 1997). The reflections were successfully indexed by the automated indexing routine of the DENZO program (Otwinowski et al., 1997). The diffraction data were harvested by collecting 72 sets of frames with 5° scans with an exposure time of 1 s per frame. These highly redundant data sets were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and a very small correction for crystal decay was applied. The space group $Fd\overline{3}m$, standard for zeolite Y, was determined by the XPREP program (Bruker-AXS, 2001). A summary of the experimental and crystallographic data is presented in Table 1.

Full-matrix least-squares refinement using SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997) was done on F^2 using all data for crystal. The refinement was initiated with the atomic parameters of the framework atoms [(Si,Al), O(1), O(2), O(3), and O(4)] in fully dehydrated |Zn_{35.5}|[Si₁₂₁Al₇₁O₃₈₄]-FAU (Seo et al., 2011). The initial refinement used anisotropic thermal parameters and converged to the high error indices $R_1/wR_2 = 0.37/0.81$. All shifts in the final cycles of refinement were less than 0.1% of their corresponding estimated standard deviations. The final error indices are given in Table 1. Structural parameters

Table 1. Summary o	f experimental :	and crystal	lographic data
--------------------	------------------	-------------	----------------

	$ Zn_{35.5}(H_2O)_{13} [Si_{121}Al_{71}O_{384}]$ -FAU	
Crystal cross-section (mm)	0.20	
Ion exchange T (K)	294	
Ion exchange for Zn^{2+} (mL)	50	
Crystal color after dehydration	colorless	
Data collection T (K)	294(1)	
Space group, Z	$Fd\overline{3}m$, 1	
X-ray source	Pohang Light Source (PLS) (Beamline 4A MXW)	
Wavelength (Å)	0.77000	
Unit cell constant, a (Å)	24.7670(3)	
2θ range in data collection (deg)	60.52	
Total reflections harvested	63,485	
No. of unique reflections, m	899	
No. of reflections with $F_{\rm o} > 4\sigma(F_{\rm o})$	843	
No. of variables, s	64	
Data/parameters, m/s	14.1	
Weighting parameters, a/b	0.040/114.1	
Final error indices		
$R_1/wR_2 (F_o > 4\sigma(F_o))^a$	0.0350/0.1186	
R_1/wR_2 (all intensities) ^b	0.0366/0.1240	
Goodness-of-fit ^c	1.343	

 ${}^{a}R_{1} = \Sigma |F_{o}|/\Sigma F_{o}$ and $wR_{2} = [\Sigma w (F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}/\Sigma w (F_{o}^{2})^{2}]^{1/2}$; R_{1} and wR_{2} are calculated using only the reflections for which $F_{o} > 4\sigma(F_{o})$. ${}^{b}R_{1}$ and wR_{2} are calculated using all unique reflections measured. ^cGoodness-of-fit = $(\Sigma w (F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}/(m-s))^{1/2}$, where *m* is the number of unique reflections and *s* is the number of variables, respectively.

^cOccupancy Wyckoff Cation $^{b}U_{11}$ or U_{iso} atom у z U_{22} U_{33} U_{23} U_{13} U_{12} х position site $fixed^d$ initial varied $|Zn_{35.5}(H_2O)_{13}|[Si_{121}Al_{71}O_{384}]\text{-}FAU$ Si,Al 192(i) -520(1) 1238(1) 365(1) 182(4) 134(4) 139(4) -24(2) 6(2) -14(2) 192 O(1) -1026(1) 0 1026(1) 398(11) 311(16) 398(11) -107(9) -83(14) -106(9) 96(h) 96 -10(1) 1477(1) 336(10) 211(13) 169(13) O(2) 96(g) -10(1) 336(10) 41(9) 41(9) 96 -256(1) 706(1) 471(19) 319(10) 319(10) 128(13) 133(11) O(3) 96(g) 706(1) 133(11) 96 O(4) 96(g) 780(1) 780(1) 3193(1) 260(9) 260(9) 296(15) -5(8) -5(8) 112(11) 96 0 0 0 485(28) 485(28) 485(28) 54(22) 54(22) 54(22) 3.1(1) Zn(I) 16(c) I 3 Zn(I'a) 32(e) ľ 416(8) 416(8) 416(8) 406(65) 406(65) 406(65) 261(60) 261(60) 261(60) 4.5(4) 5 Zn(I'b) 287(11) 32(e) ľ 592(2) 592(2) 592(2) 287(11) 287(11) -1(12)-1(12)-1(12) 10.7(4) 11 Zn(II') 32(e) II' 2008(3) 2008(3) 2008(3) 131(29) 131(29) 131(29) 20(26) 20(26) 20(26) 7.3(12) 7.5 Zn(IIa) 32(e) Π 2176(99) 2176(99) 2176(99) 578(1191) 578(1191) 578(1191) 445(1180) 445(1180) 445(1180) 1.7(17) 2 Zn(IIb) 32(e) Π 2359(6) 2359(6) 2359(6) 408(24) 408(24) 408(24) 65(40) 65(40) 65(40) 7.2(11) 7 15.0(11) O(5) 96(g) 1383(13) 1670(10) 1670(10) 890(132) 11 O(6) 32(e) 2886(54) 2886(54) 2886(54) 1699(1176) 2.4(10) 2 $\Sigma Zn^{2+} = 34.5(11)$ 35.5

Table 2. Positional, thermal, and occupancy parameters^a

^{*a*}Positional parameters × 10⁴ and thermal parameters × 10⁴ are given. Numbers in parentheses are the estimated standard deviations in the units of the least significant figure given for the corresponding parameter. ^{*b*}The anisotropic temperature factor is $\exp[-2\pi^2 a^2(U_{11}h^2 + U_{22}k^2 + U_{33}l^2 + 2U_{23}kl + 2U_{13}hl + 2U_{12}hk]]$. ^{*c*}Occupancy factors are given as the number of atoms or ions per unit cell. ^{*d*}These integral values were used only in the presentation of this work, to facilitate readability.

Table 3. Selected interatomic distances (A	Ĺ) and angles (deg)"
--	---	----------------	-------

	Distances		Angles
(Si,Al)-O(1)	1.6312(12)	O(1)-(Si,Al)-O(2)	112.65(12)
(Si,Al)-O(2)	1.6773(12)	O(1)-(Si,Al)-O(3)	108.81(15)
(Si,Al)-O(3)	1.6956(15)	O(1)-(Si,Al)-O(4)	112.56(14)
(Si,Al)-O(4)	1.6322(10)	O(2)-(Si,Al)-O(3)	104.98(14)
Mean (Si,Al)	1.6591	O(2)-(Si,Al)-O(4)	106.74(13)
		O(3)-(Si,Al)-O(4)	110.85(15)
Zn(I)-O(3)	2.552(3)		
Zn(I'a)-O(3)	1.949(4)	(Si,Al)-O(1)-(Si,Al)	143.25(23)
Zn(I'b)-O(3)	2.138(5)	(Si,Al)-O(2)-(Si,Al)	135.28(19)
Zn(I'b)-O(5)	1.98(3)	(Si,Al)-O(3)-(Si,Al)	128.58(19)
Zn(II')-O(2)	2.195(5)	(Si,Al)-O(4)-(Si,Al)	148.50(20)
Zn(II')-O(5)	2.07(3)		
Zn(IIa)-O(2)	2.098(7)	O(3)-Zn(I)-O(3)	82.60(12), 97.40(12)
Zn(IIb)-O(2)	2.249(9)	O(3)-Zn(I'a)-O(3)	119.55(22)
Zn(IIb)-O(6)	2.26(23)	O(3)-Zn(I'b)-O(3)	104.0(3)
		O(3)-Zn(I'b)-O(5)	97.7(6), 144.4(10)
		O(5)-Zn(I'b)-O(5)	51.1(17)
		O(2)-Zn(II')-O(2)	110.9(3)
		O(2)-Zn(II')-O(5)	87.9(9), 116.89(5)
		O(2)-Zn(IIa)-O(2)	119.8(7)
		O(2)-Zn(IIb)-O(2)	107.1(6)
		O(2)-Zn(IIb)-O(6)	111.7(6)

^aThe numbers in parentheses are the estimated standard deviations in the units of the least significant digit given for the corresponding parameter. are given in Table 2, and selected interatomic distances and angles are given in Table 3.

Results and Discussion

The framework structure of zeolite Y (FAU) is characterized by the double 6-ring (D6R, hexagonal prism), sodalite cavity (a cubooctahedron), and supercage (see Fig. 1). Each unit cell has 8 supercage, 8 sodalite cavities, 16 D6Rs, 16 12-rings, and 32 S6Rs (single 6-rings). The exchangeable cations, which balance the negative charge of the zeolite Y framework, usually occupy some or all of the sites shown with Roman numerals in Fig. 1. The maximum occupancies at the cation sites I, I', II', II, and III are 16, 32, 32, 32, and 48, respectively. Site III' in zeolite Y studied using space group $Fd\bar{3}m$ is a 192-fold position. Further description is available (Breck, 1974; Van Bekkum et al., 2001).

In the structure of $|Zn_{35.5}(H_2O)_{13}|[Si_{121}Al_{71}O_{384}]$ -FAU, about 35.5 Zn²⁺ ions are found at six distinct positions. Three Zn²⁺ ions per unit cell at Zn(I) occupy site I (at the center of the D6Rs, see Fig. 2). Each coordinates to six O(3) framework oxygens of its D6R at 2.552(3) Å much longer than the sum of the corresponding conventional



Fig. 1. Stylized drawing of the framework structure of zeolite Y. Near the center of the each line segment is an oxygen atom. The nonequivalent oxygen atoms are indicated by the numbers 1 to 4. There is no evidence in this work of any ordering of the silicon and aluminum atoms among the tetrahedral positions, although it is expected that Loewenstein's rule (Loewenstein, 1954) would be obeyed. Extraframework cation positions are labeled with Roman numerals.

ionic radii, 0.74 + 1.32 = 2.06 Å (Ibers et al., 1974). At two site-I' positions, 5 and 11 Zn^{2+} ions occupy the Zn(I'a) and Zn(I'b) positions, respectively (see Fig. 2). Each of these Zn^{2+} ions coordinates to three framework O(3) oxygens at 1.949(4) and 2.138(5) Å and is recessed by 0.13 and 0.89 Å, respectively, into the sodalite cavity from their plane. The latter distance is somewhat longer than the sum of conventional ionic radii of Zn^{2+} and O^{2-} because Zn(I'b) coordinates further to a nonframework oxygen, O(5), a water molecule, at 1.98(3) Å (see Fig. 2). The 7.5 Zn^{2+} ions per unit cell at Zn(II') are located at site II' (opposite S6Rs in the sodalite cavity). Each coordinates near trigonally to the three O(2) oxygens of its S6R at 2.195(5) Å and also bonds to a O(5) nonframework oxygen, water molecule, at 2.07(3) Å. Therefore, the 7.5 O(5) oxygens bridge between Zn(I'b) and Zn(II').

At site-II positions (opposite S6Rs in the supercage), 2 and 7 Zn^{2+} ions are found at Zn(IIa) and Zn(IIb), respectively (see Fig. 3). Each of these bonds to three O(2) oxygen atoms at 2.098(7) and 2.249(9) Å and extends by 0.09 and 0.83 Å, respectively, into the supercage from their plane (Table 3). In addition, each of two Zn(IIb) bonds to a nonframework oxygen at O(6), a water molecule, at 2.26(23) Å, somewhat longer than the sum of the conventional ionic radii. The O(2)-Zn(IIa)-O(2) bond angle, 119.8(7)°, indicates that



Fig. 2. Stereoview of representative a double 6-rins (D6Rs) and a sodalite cavity in partially dehydrated $|Zn_{35.5}(H_2O)_{13}|$ [Si₁₂₁Al₇₁O₃₈₄]-FAU. Of the 16 hexagonal prisms per unit cell, three Zn²⁺ ions are occupied. The zeolite Y framework is drawn with heavy bonds. The coordination of the exchangeable cations to oxygens of the zeolite framework is indicated by light bonds. Ellipsoids of 25% probability are shown.



Fig. 3. Stereoview of a representative supercage in partially dehydrated |Zn_{35.5}(H₂O)₁₃|[Si₁₂₁Al₇₁O₃₈₄]-FAU. See the caption to Fig. 2 for other details.

Zn(IIa) lies in the plane of its S6R; the O(2)-Zn(IIb)-O(2) angle, $107.1(6)^{\circ}$, suggests that Zn(IIb) is tetrahedral with a fourth ligand (present as H₂O).

When the structures of partially dehydrated $|Zn_{35.5}(H_2O)_{13}|$ [Si₁₂₁Al₇₁O₃₈₄]-FAU and fully dehydrated |Zn_{35.5}|[Si₁₂₁ Al₇₁O₃₈₄]-FAU (Seo et al., 2011) are compared, the distribution of Zn^{2+} ions per unit cell are almost similar; about 35.5 Zn^{2+} ions are found at six equipoints. Zn^{2+} ions occupy two different equipoints at sites I' and II in both crystals. This can be explained by the 6-ring diversity to be expected in zeolite Y. Calculations have shown that S6Rs containing four Si and two Al atoms, with the Al atoms in meta and in para positions, predominate (Peterson, 1999). S6Rs with three Al atoms are second in population, and S6Rs with one and perhaps zero Al atoms are far less likely to be present. Zn^{2+} ions should occupy different positions in these rings; this disorder has partially been absorbed in the Zn²⁺ anisotropic thermal parameters in least-squares refinement.

Acknowledgement

We gratefully acknowledge the support of beamline 4A MXW at the Pohang Light Source, Korea, for their diffraction and computing facilities. The study was supported by the Education Research Institute, Busan National University of Education in 2013.

References

- Bae, D., S. Zhen, K. Seff. 1999. Structure of dehydrated Zn²⁺-exchanged zeolite X. Overexchange, framework dealumination and reorganization, stoichiometric retention of monomeric tetrahedral aluminate. J. Phys. Chem. B. 103: 5631-5636.
- Blower, C.J., T.D. Smith. 1993. The gas-phase decomposition of nitromethane over metal ion-exchanged sodium Y zeolite and sodium X zeolite. Zeolites. 13: 394-398.
- Brooks, C. 1990. Desulfurization over metal zeolites. Sep. Sci. Technol. 25: 1817-1828.
- Breck, D.W. 1974. Zeolite molecular sieves. John Wiley & Sons, New York. p. 93.
- Brownscombe, T.F. 1991. Basic alkaline earth metal-zeolite compositions. US Patent 5053372.
- Bruker-AXS (ver. 6.12), XPREP. 2001. Program for the automatic space group determination. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Chu, P. 1978. Aromatization of ethane. US Patent 4120910.

- Gairbekov, T.M., M.I. Takaeva, A.K. Manovyan, I.L. Aleksandrova. 1989. Aromatization of gasoline on zinc-modified zeolite-containing catalysts. Chem. Technol. Fuels Oils. 25: 473-475.
- Kumar, N., L.E. Lindfors, R. Byggningsbacka. 1996. Synthesis and

characterization of H-ZSM-22, Zn-H-ZSM-22 and Ga-H-ZSM-22 zeolite catalysts and their catalytic activity in the aromatization of n-butane. Appl. Catal. A. 139: 189-199.

- Lee, S.H., Y. Kim. 2000. Two crystal structures of dehydrated Zn²⁺-exchanged zeolite X: Zn₄₆Si₁₀₀Al₉₂O₃₈₄·8ZnO and Zn₁₃Tl₆₆Si₁₀₀ Al₉₂O₃₈₄·2ZnO. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 21: 180-186.
- Lim, W.T., S.M. Seo, G.H. Kim, H.S. Lee, K. Seff. 2007. Six single-crystal structures showing the dehydration, deamination, dealumination, and decomposition of NH₄⁺-exchanged zeolite Y (FAU) with increasing evacuation temperature. Identification of a Lewis acid site. J. Phys. Chem. C. 111: 18294-18306.
- Loewenstein, W. 1954. The distribution of aluminum in the tetrahedral of silicates and aluminates. Am. Mineral. 39: 92-96.
- Otwinowski, Z., W. Minor. 1997. Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. Methods Enzymol. 276: 307-326.
- Peterson, B.K. 1999. A simulated annealing method for determining atomic distributions from NMR data: silicon and aluminum in faujasite. J. Phys. Chem. B. 103: 3145-3150.
- Rhee, K.H., F.R. Brown, D.H. Finseth, J.M. Stencel. 1983. Infrared studies on the acidity of metal impregnated ZSM-5. Zeolites. 3:344-347.
- Seo, S.M., H.S. Kim, M. Park, W.T. Lim. 2011. Synthesis and structural refinement of fully dehydrated fully Zn²⁺-exchanged zeolite Y (FAU), |Zn_{35.5}|[Si₁₂₁Al₇₁O₃₈₄]-FAU. J. Porous Mater. 18:47-56.
- Sheldrick, G.M. 1997. SHELXL97, Program for the refinement of crystal structure. University of Gottingen, Germany.
- Van Bekkum, H., E.M. Flanigen, P.A. Jacobs, J.C. Jansen. 2001. Introduction to zeolite science and practice. Elsevior. p. 44.
- Ziólek, M., K. Nowińska, K. Lecksowska. 1992. Reactions of alcohols with hydrogen sulfide over zeolites: Part V. The role of Brönsted acid sites in thiols formation-A comparative study of zeolites and heteropoly acids. Zeolites. 12: 710-715.