Identification of a New Hexenoic Acid Glycoside and the RSV Principles from Vitis vinifera cv. Muscat of Alexandria

Ha Rim Jeon,^a Jung Hyun Park,^a Sol Kim, Unwoo Kang, Joo-Won Nam, Nam Sook Lee,[†] Jun Chang, Hwa Jeong Lee,^{*} and Eun-Kyoung Seo^{*}

College of Pharmacy, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea

*E-mail: yuny@ewha.ac.kr (E.-K. S.); hwalee@ewha.ac.kr (H. J. L.)

†Department of Life Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea

Received December 3, 2012, Accepted March 26, 2013

Key Words: Vitis vinifera cv. Muscat of Alexandria, Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), Vitaceae

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is the most common worldwide cause of lower respiratory tract infections (LRI) in infants, elderly people, and immune-compromised individuals. RSV can be reinfected and previous infection does not prevent subsequent re-infection, but there is no effective vaccine against RSV. Ribavirin has been approved as an antiviral agent against RSV infection, however, its efficacy and clinical benefits are still controversial. RSV

Vitis vinifera L. cv. Muscat of Alexandria (Vitaceae) is one of the cultivars of *V. vinifera*. There have been several phytochemical reports on this cultivar including flavonoid, stilbenoid,10 and monoterpenes11 with biological activities of antioxidant, ¹² anti-inflammation, ¹³ and antiproliferation. ¹⁴ In the present study, the EtOAc extracts of the combined stems and leaves of V. vinifera cv. Muscat of Alexandria showed activity (IC₅₀ = $0.644 \mu g/mL$) during the screening to discover new anti-RSV agents from higher plants. To the best of our knowledge, this cultivar was never previously reported as anti-RSV agents. Thus, it was subjected to detailed phytochemical investigation, affording a new compound 1 together with four known glycosides 2-5 which were isolated from this cultivar for the first time (Fig. 1). Herein, the structure identifications of the isolates are reported as well as the evaluation on their anti-RSV activity.

Compound **1** isolated from the combined stems and leaves of *V. vinifera* cv. Muscat of Alexandria, was obtained as a translucent and amorphous solid. The molecular formula of **1** was deduced to be $C_{12}H_{20}O_7$ by HR-ESI-MS, which exhibited a molecular ion peak at m/z 299.1098 ([M+Na]⁺). The IR spectrum indicated the presence of hydroxyl group(s) at 3373 cm⁻¹ and conjugated C=O group(s) at 1724 cm⁻¹. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of **1**, the protons of an olefinic functionality appeared at δ_H 5.89 and 7.09 with a 15.8 Hz of coupling constant, indicating their *trans*-configuration. Is In the ¹³C-NMR spectrum, a signal at δ_C 166.7 revealed the presence of an esteric C=O group in **1**. The DEPT 135° spectrum demonstrated two methylene groups at δ_C 35.3 (C-4) and 22.4 (C-5), along with one methyl group at δ_C 14.0 (C-6). Additionally, the ¹H, ¹H-COSY correlations of H-6/H-5,

H-5/H-4, H-4/H-3, and H-3/H-2 and the HMBC correlations of H-3/C-1 and H-2/C-1 clearly confirmed the structure of a hexenoic acid moiety in 1. The glucose moiety appeared at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.52 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz)/ $\delta_{\rm C}$ 95.8 (C-1'), 3.83 (1H, dd, J =12.1, 2.0 Hz) & 3.67 (1H, dd, J = 12.1, 4.6 Hz)/62.4 (C-6'), 3.45-3.34 (4H, m)/78.9 (C-5'), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 78.1 (C-3'), 74.0 (C-2'), and 71.1 (C-4'). The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR signals of the glucose were similar to those of the known compound, tiglic acid 1-O-β-D-glucopyranoside. The ester linkage between the anomeric carbon, C-1' of the glucose moiety and C-1 of the hexenoic acid moiety was deduced by the HMBC cross peak of H-1'/C-1. The β configuration of glucose was concluded from the J value (J = 7.6 Hz) of the anomeric proton signal. ¹⁶ Further detailed analysis of ¹H, ¹H-COSY, NOESY, HSQC, and HMBC data (Fig. 2) allowed unambiguous assignments for all ¹H and ¹³C NMR signals of **1**. As a result, compound 1 was elucidated as the new compound, (E)-2-hexenoic acid 1-*O*-β-D-glucopyranoside.

Compounds **2-5** were identified as the known flavonoid glycosides, kaempferol 3-*O*-β-D-glucuronopyranosyl methyl ester, ¹⁷ kaempferol 3-*O*-β-D-glucuronopyranoside, ¹⁸ quercetin

Figure 1. Structures of **1-5** from the stems and leaves of *V. vinifera* cv. Muscat of Alexandria.

Figure 2. Important 1 H, 1 H-COSY (\longrightarrow), 1 H, 1 H-NOESY (----) and 1 H, 13 C-HMBC (\rightarrow) Correlations of **1**.

^aThese authors contributed equally to this work.

1907

Table 1. Antiviral Activities of Compounds **1-5** against Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) as Propagated in HEp-2 Cells

No.	Name	$IC_{50} \left[\mu M\right]^a$
1	(E)-2-hexenoic acid 1-O-β-D-glucopyranoside	>100
2	kaempferol 3- <i>O</i> -β-D-glucuronopyranosyl methyl ester	2.7
3	kaempferol 3-O-β-D-glucuronopyranoside	>100
4	quercetin 3- <i>O</i> -β-D-glucuronopyranosyl methyl ester	54.9
5	naringenin 7- O - β -D-glucopyranoside Ribavirin b	10.0 48.8

^aDrug concentration required to inhibit RSV activity by 50%. ^bPositive control.

3-O-β-D-glucuronopyranosyl methyl ester,¹⁹ and naringenin 7-O-β-D-glucopyranoside,^{20,21} respectively, by comparison of their physical and spectroscopic data with those in the literature.¹⁷⁻²¹ To the best of our knowledge, **2-5** were isolated from V. *vinifera* cv. Muscat of Alexandria for the first time. Moreover, this is the first report on compound **2** from the family Vitaceae.

Although there were several previous reports on the RSV inhibitory activity of flavonoids including quercetin. 22,23 studies of flavonoid glycosides relevant to RSV inhibitory activity have not been done so much. Thus we performed plaque assay to evaluate the inhibitory effects of the compounds 1-5 on the RSV propagated HEp-2 cells.^{24,25} The antiviral drug, ribavirin²⁶ was used as a positive control (IC₅₀ = $48.8 \mu M$). As a result, 2 and 5 showed potent anti-RSV activities with IC₅₀ values of 2.7 and 10.0 µM, respectively. Compound 4 exhibited moderate activity (IC₅₀ = 54.9 μ M). The isolates 1 and 3 did not show inhibitory activity against the RSV (Table 1). The only structural difference between the potent compound 2 and the inactive compound 3 was the presence of a methyl ester in 2. This result indicated that the presence of the methyl ester seems to be important for the RSV activity. However, further study with more various derivatives is necessary to conclude the structure activity relationship.

Experimental

General Method. Column chromatography (CC): Silica gel (230-400 mesh; Merck, Germany) and YMC RP-18 gel (ODS-A, 12 nm, S-150 μm; YMC, Kyoto). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC): Silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ and RP-18 F_{254s} silica gel plates (Merck, Germany); spot observation under UV light and visualization by spraying with 10% aq. H₂SO₄ solution, followed by heating at 120 °C for 5 min. HPLC: Preparative HPLC Acme 9000 (Young Lin, Republic of Korea) equipped with 5-ODS-H column (S-5 μm, 250 mm × 20 mm; Chemco, Japan); *t*_R in min. Optical rotations: JASCO P-1010 polarimeter. Circular dichroism (CD): JASCO J-715 CD/ORD spectropolarimeter. UV spectra: Hitachi U-3000 spectrophotometer. IR spectra: Bio-Rad FTS 135 FT-IR spectrometer. 1D and 2D NMR spectra: Varian Unity INOVA 400

MHz FT-NMR spectrometer, at 400 and 100 MHz, resp.; δ in ppm rel. to Me₄Si (TMS), *J* in Hz. HR-ESI-MS: Agilent 6220 Accurate-Mass TOF LC/MS system; in m/z.

Plant Material. The stems and leaves of *V. vinifera* cv. Muscat of Alexandria were collected from the Oha Farm in Ansung, South Korea, in September 2010 and were identified by one of the authors, Nam Sook Lee. A voucher specimen (No. EA312) was deposited at the Natural Product Chemistry Laboratory, College of Pharmacy, Ewha Womans University, Korea.

Extraction and Isolation. The stems and leaves of V. vinifera cv. Muscat of Alexandria (2.66 kg) were extracted with MeOH (28 L \times 3) for 24 h by percolation. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford a MeOH extract (103.12 g). The residue was suspended in water (2 L), and partitioned with *n*-hexane (1.5 L \times 7), EtOAc (1.5 L \times 4), and *n*-BuOH (1.5 L \times 4), sequentially. The EtOAc extract (19.18 g) was separated by silica gel (200 g) liquid column chromatography using gradient mixtures of n-hexane-acetone-MeOH $(19:1:0 \rightarrow \text{MeOH } 100\% \text{ v/v})$ as the mobile phase to give 20 fractions (Frs. 1-20). Fr. 16 and Fr. 17 was combined (1.62) g) and then fractionated by CC (ODS-A, 100 g; H₂O-MeOH = $2:1 \rightarrow 1:2$) leading to 15 fractions (Frs. 16.1-16.15). Fr. 16.4 (90.05 mg) was subjected to CC (Sephadex LH-20; MeOH 100%) affording two subfractions (Frs. 16.4.1-16.4.2). Compound 1 (t_R 22 min, 18.2 mg, 0.00068% w/w) was obtained from subfraction Fr. 16.4.1 (60.95 mg) after purification by prep. HPLC (5-ODS-H, 20×250 mm, 5 μ m, 2 mL/min; MeOH-H₂O = 2:3). Fr. 16.11 (11.2 mg) was purified by CC (Sephadex LH-20; MeOH 100%) to afford 2 (6.63 mg, 0.00025% w/w). Fr. 20 was chromatographed over CC (ODS-A, 100 g; MeOH-H₂O = $3:7 \rightarrow 1:1$) to provide 11 fractions (Frs. 20.1-20.11). Fr. 20.7 (158.10 mg) was subjected to further CC (Sephadex LH-20; MeOH 100%), to produce compound 3 (28.26 mg, 0.00106% w/w). Fr. 18 was fractionated by CC (SiO₂, 200g; CHCl₃-MeOH = 99:1 → 7:1), leading to 10 fractions (Frs. 18.1-18.10). A mixture of Fr. 18.10 (1.26 g) and Fr. 19 (1.08 g) was subjected to CC (ODS-A, 100 g; MeOH-H₂O = $3:7 \rightarrow 1:1$) to provide 14 fractions (Frs. 18.10.1-18.10.14). Compound 4 (9.55 mg, 0.00036% w/w) was obtained from Fr. 18.10.10 upon purification by CC (Sephadex LH-20; MeOH 100%). Fr. 18.10.8 (198.8 mg) was chromatographed on a sephadex LH-20 column with MeOH to provide 8 subfractions (Frs. 18.10.8.1-18.10.8.8). TLC analysis of Fr. 18.10.8.2 and Fr. 18.10.8.5 indicated the presence of only one compound in both cases. Each fraction was dried under reduced pressure, and afforded 5 (13.23 mg, 0.00050% w/w).

(*E*)-2-Hexenoic acid 1-*O*-β-D-glucopyranoside (1): Translucent, amorphous solid. [α]_D²⁵: -21.0 (c 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) nm: 235 (3.25); IR (KBr) ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 3373, 1724, 1650, 1074; ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) δ 7.09 (1H, dt, J = 15.8, 7.3 Hz, H-3), 5.89 (1H, dt, J = 15.8, 1.6 Hz, H-2), 5.52 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H-1'), 3.83 (1H, dd, J = 12.1, 2.0 Hz, H-6'b), 3.67 (1H, dd, J = 12.1, 4.6 Hz, H-6'a), 3.45-3.34 (4H, m, H-2', 3', 4' and 5'), 2.23 (2H, qd, J = 7.3, 1.6 Hz, H-4), 1.51 (2H, sext, J = 7.3 Hz, H-5), 0.95 (3H, t, J

= 7.3 Hz, H-6); 13 C-NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) δ 166.7 (C-1), 152.7 (C-3), 121.8 (C-2), 95.8 (C-1'), 78.9 (C-5'), 78.1 (C-3'), 74.0 (C-2'), 71.1 (C-4'), 62.4 (C-6'), 35.3 (C-4), 22.4 (C-5), 14.0 (C-6); HRESIMS m/z = 299.1098 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for $C_{12}H_{20}NaO_7$: 299.1101).

Kaempferol 3-*O***-β-D-glucuronopyranosyl Methyl Ester** (2): Pale yellow powder. [α]_D²⁵: -38.0 (c 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) nm: 350 (2.00), 265 (2.40); IR (KBr) ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 3254, 1734, 1606; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: data are in agreement with literature values; ¹⁷ HRESIMS m/z = 477.1034 [M+H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₂H₂₁O₁₂: 477.1028).

Kaempferol 3-*O***-β-D-glucuronopyranoside (3):** Pale yellow powder. [α]_D²⁵: –28.8 (c 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) nm: 350 (1.79), 265 (2.26); IR (KBr) ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 3302, 1655, 1650, 1179; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: data are in agreement with literature values; ¹⁸ HRESIMS m/z = 463.0879 [M+H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₁H₁₉O₁₂: 463.0871).

Quercetin 3-*O*-β-D-glucuronopyranosyl Methyl Ester (4): Yellow powder. $[\alpha]_D^{25}$: -48.0 (*c* 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) nm: 360 (2.37), 255 (2.90); IR (KBr) ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 3254, 1654, 1605; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: data are in agreement with literature values; ¹⁹ HRESIMS m/z = 493.0982 [M+H]⁺ (calcd for $C_{22}H_{21}O_{13}$: 493.0977).

Naringenin-7-*O*-β-D-glucopyranoside (5): Yellow powder. $[\alpha]_D^{25}$: –29.0 (c 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) nm: 280 (1.54), 230 (2.32); CD (c 0.2, MeOH) nm: 284 (–14.8), 328 (+2.1);²⁰ IR (KBr) ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 3346, 1644, 1075; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: data are in agreement with literature values;²¹ HRESIMS m/z = 435.1290 [M+H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₁H₂₃O₁₀: 435.1286).

Plaque Assay Method. This experiment was carried out with a slight modification of the method reported by Kim et al.²⁴ HEp-2 cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 500,000 cells per well. When the cells reached 95% confluence, culture media were removed and 800 µL of serumfree MEM media (Gibco, USA) was added to each well. Total 100 µL of diluted viral suspension (200 PFU) and 100 μL of serially diluted compounds 1-5 (0.05, 0.5, 5 and 50 µg/mL) were added to each well. The plates were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C with shaking every 15 min. The virus-mixed samples were removed from each well, and then the cells were overlaid with 0.6% agarose (Lonza, Switzerland) in MEM media containing 10% FBS (Wisent, Canada). After incubation for 96 h at 37 °C, the cell monolayers were stained by neutral red (Sigma, USA) to visualize the viral plaques. The inhibitory effects of the compounds on RSV were evaluated by the reduction of plaque numbers compared to the control (without compounds 1-5). Ribavirin, an antiviral drug, was used as a positive control.

Acknowledgments. This research was funded by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry

of Education, Science and Technology (MEST) (2011-0003682). This work was also supported in part by the Ewha Global Top5 Grant 2013 of Ewha Womans University, and in part by the NCRC program of NRF/MEST (Grant No. 2012-0000952) through the Center for Cell Signaling & Drug Discovery Research, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, Korea.

Supporting Information. The NMR spectral data of compound **1** are available as supporting information.

References

- 1. Tsutsumi, H. Uirusu 2005, 55, 77.
- Falsey, A. R.; Hennessey, P. A.; Formica, M. A.; Cox, C.; Walsh, E. E. N. Engl. J. Med. 2005, 352, 1749.
- De Graaff, P. M. A.; De Jong, E. C.; Van Capel, T. M.; Van Dijk, M. E. A.; Roholl, P. J. M.; Boes, J.; Luytjes, W.; Kimpen, J. L. L.; Van Bleek, G. M. J. Immunol. 2005, 175, 5904.
- Dakhama, A.; Park, J.-W.; Taube, C.; Joetham, A.; Balhorn, A.; Miyahara, N.; Takeda, K.; Gelfand, E. W. *J. Immunol.* 2005, 175, 1876.
- Hall, C. B.; Walsh, E. E.; Long, C. E.; Schnabel, K. C. J. Infect. Dis. 1991, 163, 693.
- 6. Salkind, A. R.; Roberts Jr., N. J. Vaccine 1992, 10, 519.
- Ventre, K.; Randolph, A. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2004, 4, CD000181.
- 8. Prince, G. A. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs 2001, 10, 297.
- Borsa, D.; Barbagallo, M. G.; Lorenzo, R. D.; Stefano, R. D. Riv. Vitic. Enol. 2002, 55, 23.
- Yoo, M.-A.; Kim, J.-S.; Chung, H.-K.; Park, W.-J.; Kang, M.-H. Food Sci. Biotechnol. 2007, 16, 884.
- Williams, P. J.; Strauss, C. R.; Wilson, B. *Phytochemistry* 1980, 19, 1137.
- Shalashvili, A.; Zambakhidze, N.; Ugrekhelidze, D.; Parlar, H.; Leupold, G.; Kvesitadze, G.; Simonishvili, S. *Adv. Food Sci.* 2002, 24, 24.
- Comalada, M.; Camuesco, D.; Sierra, S.; Ballester, I.; Xaus, J.; Galvez, J.; Zarzuelo, A. Eur. J. Immunol. 2005, 35, 584.
- Qian, Y.-P.; Cai, Y.-J.; Fan, G.-J.; Wei, Q.-Y.; Yang, J.; Zheng, L.-F.; Li, X.-Z.; Fang, J.-G.; Zhou, B. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 1963.
- Pavia, D. L.; Lampman, G. M.; Kriz, G. S. Introduction to Spectroscopy, 3rd ed.; Thomson Learning: London, U. K., 2001; p 140.
- Alesiani, D.; Canini, A.; D'Abrosca, B.; Della Greca, M.; Fiorentino, A.; Mastellone, C.; Monaco, P.; Pacifico, S. Food Chem. 2009, 118, 199.
- Jung, H. A.; Kim, J. E.; Chung, H. Y.; Choi, J. S. Arch. Pharm. Res. 2003, 26, 279.
- 18. Dini, I.; Tenore, G. C.; Dini, A. Food Chem. 2003, 84, 163.
- 19. Jerga, C.; Merfort, I.; Willuhn, G. Planta Med. 1990, 56, 413.
- 20. Gaffield, W. Tetrahedron 1970, 26, 4093.
- Sang, S.; Lapsley, K.; Jeong, W.-S.; Lachance, P. A.; Ho, C.-T.; Rosen, R. T. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 50, 2459.
- 22. Kaul, T. N.; Middleton, E., Jr.; Ogra, P. L. J. Med. Virol. 1985, 15,
- Li, Y.; Wang, H.; Fan, Z.; Su, M.; Xue, J.; Li, T.; Cen, Y. Nat. Prod. Res. Dev. 2009, 21, 740.
- 24. Kim, S.; Jang, J. E.; Yu, J. R.; Chang, J. Vaccine 2010, 28, 3801.
- 25. McKimm-Breschkin, J. L. J. Virol. Methods 2004, 120, 113.
- 26. De Clercq, E. J. Clin. Virol. 2004, 30, 115.