# $\mathrm{C}_{21}$ Steroidal Glycosides from the Root of Cynanchum paniculatum 
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Cynanchum paniculatum (Bunge) Kitag. (Asclepiadaceae) grows in areas of Asia, such as China and Korea, and has been used in Chinese traditional medicine for treating snake bites and chronic bronchitis. ${ }^{1}$ The root of this plant has been used as a diuretic in Korean folk medicine. ${ }^{2}$ Steroidal glycosides ${ }^{3}$ and phenolic compounds were reported in $C$. paniculatum and showed neuroprotective activity and analgesic effect. ${ }^{4-7}$ The EtOH extract of C. paniculatum shows protective activity for treating herpes simplex encephalitis. ${ }^{8}$ In our continuing efforts to study the secondary metabolites of natural plant sources, the MeOH extract of C. paniculatum was investigated, and two new steroidal glycosides (1 and 2) and five known ones (3-7) (Figure 1) were isolated. The structures of the new isolated compounds were determined based on spectroscopic analyses $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\right.$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, DEPT, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, HMQC, HMBC, and ROESY).

Compound 1 was obtained as an amorphous gum. The molecular formula was established as $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{O}_{15}$ as evidenced from the $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 815.3830$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{NaO}_{15}$ : 815.3830) in the HR-FABMS. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ showed the presence of five methyl groups [ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.47(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz})$ and $1.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ ], two oxygenated methines $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.78\right.$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $5.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ], one oxygenated methylene $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz})\right.$ and $4.42(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ], two olefinic protons $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J\right.$ $=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $6.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})]$, and three anomeric protons [ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.19$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and $5.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})]$ signals. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed a total of 41 carbon signals, of which 21 carbons were assigned to the aglycone


Figure 1. Chemical structures of new compounds 1 and 2.
and the remaining 20 carbons were assigned to the sugar moieties. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR and DEPT spectra of the aglycone showed two carbonyl carbons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 167.5$ and 179.3), four olefinic carbons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 119.8,129.9,140.1$ and 146.8), two oxygenated methine carbons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 76.9$ and 77.3), one oxygenated methylene carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 71.3$ ), and one acetalic carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 113.3$ ) signals. From these data, $\mathbf{1}$ was presumed to be of 15,20:18,20-diepoxy-13,14:14,15-disecopregnanetype steroid skeleton. ${ }^{9,10}$ Comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the aglycone of $\mathbf{1}$ with those of the aglycone of atratoglaucosides B indicated that the aglycone of $\mathbf{1}$ was the same as that of atratoglaucosides B. ${ }^{11}$ Besides, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ displayed the presence of three sugars; two oleandropyranose $\left\{\left[\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.8,37.9,57.4,71.7\right.\right.$, $79.2,83.2$ and $98.1 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.47,2.44,3.50-3.61(3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54$ and $4.74]$ and $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.6,35.8,57.0,69.0,76.9,78.8\right.$ and $100.3 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 1.47, 2.44, 3.50-3.61 (3H), 3.53 and 5.19$]\}^{12,13}$ and a digitoxopyranose $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.6,38.9,69.5,78.9,83.4\right.$ and 98.5 ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.59,1.76,3.50-3.61(3 \mathrm{H})$ and 5.52$] .{ }^{13}$ The sugar configurations were determined through the $J$ values of the anomeric protons to be $\alpha$-oleandropyranose ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.74$, dd, $J=2.0,10.0$ Hz ), ${ }^{12-14} \beta$-digitoxopyranose ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.52$, dd, $J=2.0,10.0$ Hz ), ${ }^{13,14}$ and $\beta$-oleandropyranose ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.19$, dd, $J=3.0,5.0$ Hz ). ${ }^{14,15}$ The sugar sequence of $\mathbf{1}$ was determined by HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{H}-1$ "/C-4' and $\mathrm{H}-1$ "'/C-4" (Figure 2). Comparing these data with those of amplexicoside B isolated from Cynanchum amplexicaule, ${ }^{12}$ the sugar parts of $\mathbf{1}$ were confirmed to be $\alpha$-oleandropyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\beta$-digitoxopyrano-syl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\beta$-oleandropyranoside and its location was determined to be $\mathrm{C}-3$ by the $\mathrm{H}-1 / / \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{HMBC}$ correlation. The relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1}$ was presumed to be similar with that of aglycone of atratoglaucosides $B^{11}$ based on the NMR data, and reconfirmed by ROESY correlations of $\mathrm{H}-19 / \mathrm{H}-8, \mathrm{H}-12 / \mathrm{H}-9$ and $\mathrm{H}-17$, and $\mathrm{H}-16 / \mathrm{H}-17$ and $\mathrm{H}-21$ (Figure 2). Thus, the structure of 1 was established as stauntogenin 3- $O$ - $\alpha$-oleandropyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\beta$-digitoxopyranosyl$(1 \rightarrow 4)-\beta$-oleandropyranoside.

Compound 2 was obtained as an amorphous gum. The molecular formula was $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{15}$ as evidenced from the $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ion peak at $m / z 817.3984$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{NaO}_{15}$ : 817.3986) in the HR-FABMS. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 2 showed five methyl groups $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=\right.$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.47(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5)$ and $1.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ ], two oxygenated methines [ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, one oxygenated methylene [ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, and $4.35(1 \mathrm{H}$,
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Figure 2. Key HMBC and ROESY correlations of compounds 1 and 2.
br s)], two olefinic protons [ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $6.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})]$, and three anomeric protons $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.75(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J=2.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and $5.43(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=2.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})]$ signals. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed 41 carbon signals, of which 21 carbons were assigned to the aglycone and the remaining 20 carbons were assigned to the sugar moieties. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and DEPT spectra of the aglycone showed one carbonyl carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 175.6$ ), four olefinic carbons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 118.9,120.5,140.4$, and 144.6), three oxygenated methine carbons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 76.4,82.0$, and 92.3 ), one oxygenated methylene carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 67.0$ ), and one acetalic carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 119.7$ ) signals. From these data, 2 was also indicated to have a $15,20: 18,20$-diepoxy-13,14:14, 15 -disecopregnane skeleton. ${ }^{9,10}$ Comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the aglycone of $\mathbf{2}$ with those of the aglycone of $\quad(3 \beta, 8 \beta, 9 \alpha \cdot 16 \alpha, 17 \alpha)-14,16 \beta: 15,20 \alpha: 18.20 \beta$-triepoxy$16 \beta: 17 \alpha$-dihydroxy-14-oxo-13,14:14,15-disecopregna- 5,13 (18)-dian-3-yl $\alpha$-cymaropyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\alpha$-digitoxopyran-syl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\alpha$-oleandropyranoside showed that the aglycone of 2 was the same as that of $(3 \beta, 8 \beta, 9 \alpha \cdot 16 \alpha, 17 \alpha)-14,16 \beta$ : $15,20 \alpha$ :18.20 - -triepoxy- $16 \beta: 17 \alpha$-dihydroxy-14-oxo13,14: 14,15-disecopregna-5,13(18)-dian-3-yl $\alpha$-cymaropyranosyl$(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - $\alpha$-digitoxopyransyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\alpha$-oleandropyranoside. ${ }^{12-14}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of $\mathbf{2}$ displayed three sugars; a cymaropyranose [ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.3,38.4,56.7,67.1,72.7,82.0$ and $98.3 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.47,2.39,3.50,3.53,3.72,4.47$ and 5.07$],{ }^{12} \mathrm{a}$ digitoxopyranose $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.5,38.7,67.8,69.1,80.7\right.$ and 98.4 ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.38,1.90,3.43,4.09,4.43$ and 5.43$],{ }^{14}$ and a oleandropyranose $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.7,37.9,57.3,71.6,79.2,83.0\right.$ and 98.1 ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.47,2.39,3.52,3.52-3.55(2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54$ and 4.79$] .{ }^{15}$ The configurations of the sugars were determined through the $J$ values of the anomeric protons to be $\alpha$-cymaropyranose ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 5.07 , dd, $J=3.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) ${ }^{12-14} \alpha$-digitoxopyranose ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.43$, dd, $J=2.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), ${ }^{10,11}$ and $\beta$-oleandropyranose ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.75$, dd, $J=2.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). ${ }^{14-16}$ The sugar sequence of $\mathbf{2}$ was identified by the $\mathrm{H}-1$ "/C-4' and $\mathrm{H}-1$ ""/C-4" HMBC correlations (Figure 2). Comparing these data with those of cynatratoside B isolated from Cynanchum atratum, ${ }^{11}$ the sugar parts of 2
were confirmed to be $\alpha$-cymaropyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\beta$-digit-oxopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\beta$-oleandropyranoside and its location was determined at $\mathrm{C}-3$ by the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{HMBC}$ correlation. The relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{2}$ was presumed to be similar with that of the aglycone of $(3 \beta, 8 \beta, 9 \alpha .16 \alpha, 17 \alpha)-14,16 \beta: 15$, $20 \alpha: 18.20 \beta$-triepoxy- $16 \beta: 17 \alpha$-dihydroxy-14-oxo-13,14:14, 15-disecopregna-5,13(18)-dian-3-yl $\alpha$-cymaropyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow 4$ )- $\alpha$-digitoxopyransyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\alpha$-oleandropyranoside based on NMR data, and reconfirmed by $\mathrm{H}-19 / \mathrm{H}-8$ and $\mathrm{H}-16 / \mathrm{H}-21$ ROESY correlations (Figure 2). Acid hydrolysis of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 was attempted at several conditions $(0.05 \mathrm{~N}, 1 \mathrm{~N}$ and 2 N HCl , and 1 N and $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), but all trials failed. Steroidal glycosides containing oleandropyranose, cymaropyranose and digitoxopyranose could not be hydrolyzed, and many reports ${ }^{16-18}$ have been published without hydrolysis. Thus, the structure of 2 was established as $(3 \beta, 8 \beta, 9 \alpha .16 \alpha, 17 \alpha)$ $14,16 \beta: 15,20 \alpha: 18.20 \beta$-triepoxy- $16 \beta: 17 \alpha$-dihydroxy-14-oxo-13,14:14,15-disecopregna-5,13(18)-dian-3-yl $\alpha$-cymaro-pyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - $\beta$-digitoxopyransyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - $\beta$-oleandropyranoside.

Compounds 3-7 were identified by comparing the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, and MS spectra with the literature to be $(3 \beta, 8 \beta$, $9 \alpha .16 \alpha, 17 \alpha)-14,16 \beta: 15,20 \alpha: 18.20 \beta$-triepoxy-16 $17 \alpha$-di-hydroxy-14-oxo-13,14:14,15-disecopregna-5,13(18)-dian-3yl $\alpha$-D-oleandropyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\alpha$-D-digitoxopyranosyl$(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - $\alpha$-L-cymaropyranoside (3), ${ }^{18}(3 \beta, 8 \beta, 9 \alpha .16 \alpha, 17 \alpha)$ $14,16 \beta: 15,20 \alpha: 18.20 \beta$-triepoxy-16 $17 \alpha$-dihydroxy-14-oxo-13,14:14,15-disecopregna-5,13(18)-dian-3-yl $\alpha$-D-oleandro-pyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - $\alpha$-D-digitoxopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\alpha$-D-oleandropyranoside (4), ${ }^{20}$ cynapanoside A (5), ${ }^{20}$ cynatratoside B (6), ${ }^{19}$ and cynatratoside $\mathrm{C}(7) .{ }^{19}$

## Experimental Section

Plant Materials. The roots of C. paniculatum were collected in Taebaek City, Korea during June 2011, and the plant was identified by one of the authors (K. R. Lee). A voucher specimen (SKKU-NPL 1103) of the plant was
deposited at the herbarium of the School of Pharmacy at Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea.
Extraction and Isolation. The roots of $C$. paniculatum ( 3.6 kg ) were extracted with $80 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at room temperature and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a MeOH extract ( 750 g ), which was suspended in water $(800 \mathrm{~mL})$ and solvent-partitioned to give $n$ hexane ( 75 g ), $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{EtOAc}(9 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{BuOH}(60 \mathrm{~g})$ fractions. The $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~g})$ fraction was separated over a silica gel column (230-400 mesh, 500 g ) with $n$-hexane: EtOAc: MeOH (3:1:0.5). Seven crude fractions (fr. A-G) were collected based on a thin-layer chromatography analysis. Fr. C $(4 \mathrm{~g})$ was chromatographed further on a RP-C $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ silica gel (230-400 mesh, 150 g ) and eluted with a gradient solvent system of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 2,4: 1,9: 1$, and $1: 0)$ to give seven subfractions (fr. C1-C7). Fr. C3 ( 700 mg ) was separated on a silica gel column with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}(60: 1)$ to give three subfractions (fr. C31-C33). Fr. C31 ( 60 mg ) was purified by silica gel column preparative high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}(60: 1)$ at a flow rate of $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ (Alltech Econosil ${ }^{\circledR}$ Silica $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column; $250 \times$ $10 \mathrm{~mm} ; 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size, Shodex RI-101 refractive index detector) to yield $\mathbf{1}\left(5 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=20.0 \mathrm{~min}\right)$. Fr. C33 ( 80 mg ) was purified by preparative reversed-phase HPLC with $80 \%$ MeOH at a flow rate of $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ (Econosil RP-18 $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column; $250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm} ; 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size; Shodex refractive
index detector) to yield $2\left(6 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=16.5 \mathrm{~min}\right)$. Fr. B1 ( 1 g ) was separated on a silica gel column (230-400 mesh, 40 g ) with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}(60: 1)$ and further separated by preparative reversed-phase HPLC using a solvent of $80 \%$ MeOH at a flow rate of $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ (Econosil RP-18 $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column; $250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$; $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size; Shodex refractive index detector) to yield $3\left(6 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=18.3 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ and 4 ( 65 $\left.\mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=23.0 \mathrm{~min}\right)$. Fr. C1 $(1 \mathrm{~g})$ was separated on a silica gel column (230-400 mesh, 40 g ) with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}(50: 1)$ and further separated by preparative reversed-phase HPLC using $70 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ to yield $5\left(5 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=18.3 \mathrm{~min}\right), 6\left(9 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=\right.$ $23.0 \mathrm{~min})$, and $7\left(30 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=28.0 \mathrm{~min}\right)$.

Stauntogenin 3-O- $\alpha$-oleandropyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\beta$-digit-oxopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\beta$-oleandropyranoside (1): Amorphous gum, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-16.5(c 0.40, \mathrm{MeOH})$; $\mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}$ $(\log \varepsilon): 238$ (10.5) nm; IR (KBr) $v_{\max }: 3418,3079,3030$, $1641,1583,1216,1148,1068,1031 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 500\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ see Table 1; HRFABMS $m / z 815.3830[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{NaO}_{15}$, 815.3830).
$(3 \beta, 8 \beta, 9 \alpha .16 \alpha, 17 \alpha)-14,16 \beta: 15,20 \alpha: 18.20 \beta$-Triepoxy-16 $;$ 17 $\alpha$-dihydroxy-14-oxo-13,14:14,15-disecopregna-5,13(18)-dian-3-yl $\alpha$-cymaropyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\beta$-digitoxopyransyl(1 $\rightarrow 4$ )- $\beta$-oleandropyranoside (2): Amorphous gum, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}$ -25.6 (c 0.40, MeOH); IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }}: 3415,3060,3034$, 1643, 1587, 1216, 1148, 1068, $1032 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 500\right.$

Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectral data of $\mathbf{1}^{a}$

| Position | Aglycone |  | Position | Sugar |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\delta_{\text {c }}$ |  | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\delta_{C}$ |
| 1a | $1.07 \mathrm{dd}(3.0,13.0)$ | 37.3 t | 1'(Ole) | 4.74 dd (2.0, 10.0) | 98.1 d |
| 1b | 1.76 ddd (4.0, 4.5, 13.0) |  | $2 '$ | 2.44 m | 37.9 t |
| 2a | 2.05 m | 30.0 t | $3 '$ | $3.50-3.61 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {b }}$ | 79.2 d |
| 2b | 1.65 m |  | $4^{\prime}$ | $3.50-3.61 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {b }}$ | 83.2 d |
| 3 | 3.78 m | 77.3 t | $5 '$ | $3.50-3.61 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {b }}$ | 71.7 d |
| 4a | 2.52 m | 38.4 t | $6^{\prime}$ | 1.47 d (6.0) | 18.8 d |
| 4b | 2.49 m |  | $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3.54 s | 57.4 t |
| 5 |  | 140.1 s | 1"(Dig) | $5.52 \mathrm{dd}(2.0,10.0)$ | 98.5 d |
| 6 | 5.27 t (2.5) | 119.8 d | 2" | 1.76 d (10.0) | 38.9 t |
| 7a | 2.36 m | 30.1 t | $3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.50-3.61 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {b }}$ | 78.9 d |
| 7b | 2.07 m |  | 4" | $3.50-3.61 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {b }}$ | 83.4 d |
| 8 | 2.52 m | 40.9 d | $5 "$ | $3.50-3.61 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {b }}$ | 69.5 d |
| 9 | 2.05 m | 52.2 d | $6 "$ | 1.59 d (6.0) | 18.6 q |
| 10 |  | 37.9 s | $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ (Ole) | 5.19 d (3.5) | 100.3 d |
| 11a | 2.37 m | 27.1 t | 2'' | 2.44 m | 35.8 t |
| 11b | 4.10 d (12.0) |  | 3'" | $3.50-3.61 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {b }}$ | 78.8 d |
| 12 | $6.12 \mathrm{dd}(2.0,8.5)$ | 146.8 d | 4'" | $3.50-3.61 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {b }}$ | 76.9 d |
| 13 |  | 129.9 s | $5{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.50-3.61 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {b }}$ | 69.0 d |
| 14 |  | 179.3 s | 6'" | 1.47 d (6.0) | 18.6 q |
| 15a | $4.41 \mathrm{dd}(5.0,10.0)$ | 71.3 t | - $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3.53 s | 57.0 q |
| 15b | $4.05 \mathrm{dd}(5.0,10.5)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 5.73 ddd (5.0, 7.0, 8.0) | 76.9 d |  |  |  |
| 17 | 3.54 m | 54.5 d |  |  |  |
| 18 |  | 167.5 s |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1.09 s | 20.0 q |  |  |  |
| 20 |  | 113.3 s |  |  |  |
| 21 | 1.66 s | 23.4 q |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectral data of $\mathbf{2}^{a}$

| Position | Aglycone |  | Position | Sugar |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{\text {H }}$ | $\delta_{\text {c }}$ |  | $\delta_{\text {H }}$ | $\delta_{\text {c }}$ |
| 1a | 0.88 d (10.0) | 36.3 t | 1'(Ole) | $4.75 \mathrm{dd}(2.0,10.0)$ | 98.1 d |
| 1b | 1.82 m |  | $2^{\prime}$ | 2.39 m | 37.9 t |
| 2 a | 2.06 m | 29.8 t | $3 '$ | 3.54 m | 79.2 d |
| 2b | 1.07 m |  | $4^{\prime}$ | $3.52-3.55 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {b }}$ | 83.0 d |
| 3 | 3.71 m | 76.4 d | $5 '$ | $3.52-3.55 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {b }}$ | 71.6 d |
| 4a | 2.50 m | 38.8 t | $6{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.47 d (8.5) | 18.7 q |
| 4b | 2.32 t (13.5) |  | $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3.50 s | 57.3 q |
| 5 |  | 140.4 s | 1"(Dig) | $5.43 \mathrm{dd}(2.0,10.0)$ | 98.4 d |
| 6 | 5.38 dd (2.5, 9.5) | 120.5 d | 2 " | 1.90 ( $t$-like) | 38.7 t |
| 7a | 2.65 t (14.5) | 28.3 t | 3" | 4.43 m | 69.1 d |
| 7b | 2.12 t (19.0) |  | $4 "$ | 3.43 dd (2.0, 9.0) | 80.7 d |
| 8 | 2.49 t (12.0) | 38.4 d | 5" | 4.09 m | 67.8 d |
| 9 | 2.39 t (7.5) | 38.8 d | $6 "$ | 1.38 d (6.5) | 18.5 q |
| 10 |  | 40.5 s | 1"(Cym) | $5.07 \mathrm{dd}(3.0,5.0)$ | 98.3 d |
| 11a | 2.52 m | 20.0 s | 2'" | 2.39 m | 38.4 t |
| 11b | 1.86 t (10.0) |  | $3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 3.72 m | 72.7 d |
| 12 a | 2.12 brs | 30.7 t | $4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 3.53 m | 82.0 d |
| 12 b | 1.52 m |  | 5'" | 4.47 m | 67.1 d |
| 13 |  | 118.9 s | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1.47 d (8.5) | 18.3 q |
| 14 |  | 175.6 s | $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3.50 s | 56.7 q |
| 15 a | 4.35 br s | 67.0 t |  |  |  |
| 15 b | 4.06 m |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 5.97 t (9.0) | 82.0 d |  |  |  |
| 17 |  | 92.3 s |  |  |  |
| 18 | 6.61 s | 144.6 d |  |  |  |
| 19 | 0.82 s | 17.7 q |  |  |  |
| 20 |  | 119.7 s |  |  |  |
| 21 | 1.71 s | 20.4 q |  |  |  |

${ }^{a 1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ run at $500 \mathrm{MHz}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, proton coupling constants $(J)$ in Hz are given in parentheses. ${ }^{b}$ Overlapped signals.

MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ see Table 2; HRFABMS $m / z 817.3984[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{NaO}_{15}$, 817.3986).
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