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#### Abstract

A kinetic study is reported for nucleophilic substitution reactions of benzyl 4-pyridyl carbonate $\mathbf{6}$ with a series of alicyclic secondary amines in MeCN. The plot of pseudo-first-order rate constant ( $k_{\mathrm{obsd}}$ ) vs. [amine] curves upward, which is typical for reactions reported previously to proceed through a stepwise mechanism with two intermediates (i.e., a zwitterionic tetrahedral intermediate $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and its deprotonated form $\mathrm{T}^{-}$). Dissection of $k_{\mathrm{obs}}$ into the second- and third-order rate constants (i.e., $K k_{2}$ and $K k_{3}$, respectively) reveals that $K k_{3}$ is significantly larger than $K k_{2}$, indicating that the reactions proceed mainly through the deprotonation pathway (i.e., the $k_{3}$ process) in a high [amine] region. This contrasts to the recent report that the corresponding aminolysis of benzyl 2-pyridyl carbonate 5 proceeds through a forced concerted mechanism. An intramolecular H-bonding interaction was suggested to force the reactions of $\mathbf{5}$ to proceed through a concerted mechanism, since it could accelerate the rate of leaving-group expulsion (i.e., an increase in $k_{2}$ ). However, such H -bonding interaction, which could increase $k_{2}$, is structurally impossible for the reactions of $\mathbf{6}$. Thus, presence or absence of an intramolecular H -bonding interaction has been suggested to be responsible for the contrasting reaction mechanisms (i.e., a forced concerted mechanism for the reaction of 5 vs . a stepwise mechanism with $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$ as intermediates for that of $\mathbf{6}$ ).
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## Introduction

Nucleophilic substitution reactions of esters with amines have intensively been studied due to their importance in biological processes as well as in synthetic applications. ${ }^{1-10}$ As shown in Scheme 1, aminolysis of esters has been reported to proceed through a stepwise mechanism with a zwitterionic tetrahedral intermediate $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$or through a concerted pathway depending on the reaction conditions (e.g., the nature of the electrophilic centers, the basicity of the incoming amine and the leaving group, and the type of solvents). ${ }^{1-10}$
Aminolysis of 4-nitrophenyl benzoate $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has been suggested to proceed through a stepwise mechanism with $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$ as an intermediate, in which expulsion of the leaving group occurs in the rate-determining step (RDS) on the basis of a


Scheme 1
linear Brønsted-type plot with $\beta_{\text {nuc }}=0.81 .^{6}$ In contrast, the corresponding reactions in MeCN has been concluded to proceed through a concerted mechanism due to instability of $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$in the aprotic solvent, ${ }^{7}$ indicating that the nature of solvents is an important factor to determine reaction mechanisms. On the other hand, we have shown that the reactions of $O$-4-nitrophenyl thionobenzoate 2 with amines proceed through two intermediates (i.e., $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and its deprotonated form $\mathrm{T}^{-}$) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as well as in $\mathrm{MeCN},{ }^{8}$ while aminolyses of aryl diphenylphosphinates (3) and diphenylphosphinothioates (4) have been concluded to proceed through a concerted mechanism, ${ }^{9}$ implying that the nature of the electrophilic center also determines the reaction mechanism.


We have recently reported that reactions of benzyl 2pyridyl carbonate 5 with a series of alicyclic secondary amines proceed through a concerted mechanism in MeCN , although the reactions were predicted to proceed through a stepwise manner with a stabilized intermediate as modeled by I. ${ }^{10}$ This is because I is similar to the stable complexes II and III which were previously proposed for the reactions of 5 with alkali metal ethoxides $\operatorname{EtOM}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K})^{11}$ or with
other organometallic reagents (e.g., Grignard reagents, cupric bromide or lithium dialkylcuprate). ${ }^{12,13}$


One might suggest solvent effect is responsible for the concerted mechanism since the ionic species I would be highly unstable in the aprotic solvent. However, this argument (i.e., solvent effect) is little persuasive, since the corresponding reactions of 5 in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were reported to proceed also through a concerted mechanism. ${ }^{14}$ Thus, we have concluded that an enhanced leaving-group ability through the H -bonding interaction shown in I forces the reactions to proceed through a concerted mechanism. ${ }^{10}$


We have now extended our study to the reactions of benzyl 4-pyridyl carbonate 6 with a series of alicyclic secondary amines in MeCN to examine the preceding argument that the H -bonding interaction in I forces the reactions of 5 to proceed through a concerted mechanism since such H-bonding interaction is not possible for the reaction of $\mathbf{6}$. We wish to report that the effect of changing the leaving group from 2-pyridyloxide to 4-pyridyloxide (i.e., $\mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{6}$ ) on reactivity and reaction mechanism is indeed significant.

## Results and Discussion

The kinetic study was performed under pseudo-first-order conditions with the concentration of amines in excess over the substrate concentration. All the reactions obeyed firstorder kinetics over $90 \%$ of the total reaction. Pseudo-firstorder rate constants ( $k_{\mathrm{obsd}}$ ) were calculated from the equation $\ln \left(A_{\infty}-A_{\mathrm{t}}\right)=-k_{\mathrm{obsd}} t+C$. It is estimated from replicate runs that the uncertainty in the rate constants is less than $\pm 3 \%$. The $k_{\text {obsd }}$ values with the reaction conditions are summarized in Tables S1-S5 in the Supporting Information.
As shown in Figure 1, the plot of $k_{\text {obsd }} v s$. [amine] for the


Figure 1. Plot of $k_{\text {obsd }} v s$. [amine] for the reaction of benzyl 4pyridyl carbonate 6 with piperidine in MeCN at $25.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
reactions of $\mathbf{6}$ with piperidine in MeCN curves upward as a function of increasing amine concentration. Similarly curved plots are obtained for the reactions with the other amines employed in this study (see Figures S1a-S4a in the supporting Information).

Effect of Modification of Nucleofuge on Reaction Mechanism. The upward curvature shown in Figure 1 is typical for aminolysis of esters reported previously to proceed through $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$as intermediates. ${ }^{1-5,8}$ Accordingly, one can suggest that the current aminolysis of $\mathbf{6}$ proceeds through a stepwise mechanism as shown in Scheme 2, in which a second amine molecule deprotonates from $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$as a general base catalyst.

Aminolysis of esters possessing a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ bond as an electrophilic center (e.g., 2 and its derivatives) has often been reported to proceed through a stepwise mechanism with $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$as intermediates. ${ }^{8,15,16}$ In contrast, aminolysis of esters with a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond as an electrophilic center (e.g., 1 and 5) has generally been reported to proceed without the deprotonation process. ${ }^{1-7}$ In fact, the aminolysis of 5 has been concluded to proceed through a concerted mechanism on the basis of a linear Brønsted-type plot with $\beta_{\text {nuc }}=0.57 .{ }^{10}$ Thus, the finding that aminolysis of $\mathbf{6}$ proceeds through a stepwise mechanism with two intermediates even in the aprotic solvent is quite interesting, although it possesses a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond as an electrophilic center.

Dissection of $\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {obsd }}$ into $\boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{3}}$. To support the


Scheme 2
above argument that the aminolysis of $\mathbf{6}$ proceeds through the two intermediates $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$as shown in Scheme 2, the $k_{\text {obsd }}$ values have been dissected into the second-order rate constants ( $K k_{2}$ ) and the third-order rate constants $\left(K k_{3}\right)$. One can express the pseudo-first-order rate constant ( $k_{\mathrm{obsd}}$ ) for the reactions of 6 as Eq. (1) on the basis of the kinetic results and the mechanism proposed in Scheme 2. Equation (1) can be simplified as Eq. (2) under the assumption, $k_{-1} \gg k_{2}+$ $k_{3}$ [amine]. Thus, one might expect that the plot of $k_{\text {obsd }} /$ [amine] $v s$. [amine] is linear if the above assumption is valid.
$k_{\text {obsd }}=\left(k_{1} k_{2}[\right.$ amine $\left.]+k_{1} k_{3}[\text { amine }]^{2}\right) /\left(k_{-1}+k_{2}+k_{3}[\right.$ amine $\left.]\right)(1)$
$k_{\text {obsd }} /[$ amine $]=K k_{2}+K k_{3}[$ amine $]$, where $K=k_{1} / k_{-1}$
In fact, as shown in Figure 2, the plot of $k_{\mathrm{obsd}} /[$ amine $] v s$. [amine] is linear for the reaction with piperidine up to $c a .0 .1$ M . The corresponding plots for the reactions with the other amines are also linear (see Figures S1b-S4b in the Supporting Information), indicating that the current reactions proceed through $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$as shown in Scheme 2 and the assumption (i.e., $k_{-1} \gg k_{2}+k_{3}$ [amine]) is valid. Accordingly, the $K k_{2}$ and $K k_{3}$ values were calculated from the intercept and the slope of the linear plots of $k_{\text {obsd }} /[a m i n e] ~ v s$. [amine], respectively and are summarized in Table 1 together with the second-order rate constants $k_{\mathrm{N}}$ reported recently for the corresponding reactions of $\mathbf{5}$ for comparison. ${ }^{10}$
As shown in Table 1, the $K k_{3}$ value for a given amine is much larger than the corresponding $K k_{2}$ value (e.g., for reaction of 6 with piperidine, $K k_{2}=0.00230 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $K k_{3}$ $\left.=1.05 \mathrm{M}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. It is evident that the contribution of the $K k_{3}$ [amine] ${ }^{2}$ term to the $k_{\text {obsd }}$ value becomes more significant as the concentration of the incoming amine increases. This explains the reason why the plot of $k_{\text {obsd }} v s$. [amine] curves significantly upward. Accordingly, one can suggest that the reactions of 6 with all the amines employed in this study proceed mainly through the $k_{3}$ process in a high amine concentration region.
Table 1 also shows that $K k_{2}$ and $K k_{3}$ increase as the basicity of amines increases. The effect of amine basicity on $K k_{2}$ and $K k_{3}$ is illustrated in Figures 1(a) and (b). The Brønsted-type plots exhibit excellent linear correlations when $K k_{2}, K k_{3}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ were corrected statistically with $p$ and $q$ (i.e., $p=2$, while $q=1$ except $q=2$ for the $K k_{2}$ of piperazine and $q=4$ for the $K k_{3}$ of piperazine). ${ }^{18}$ The slopes of the linear Brønsted-type plots (i.e., $\beta_{\text {nuc }}$ ) are 0.66 and 0.82


Figure 2. Plot of $k_{\text {obsd }} /[a m i n e]$ vs. [amine] for the reaction of benzyl 4-pyridyl carbonate 6 with piperidine in MeCN at $25.0 \pm$ $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
for $K k_{2}$ and $K k_{3}$, respectively, indicating that $K k_{2}$ is less sensitive to the amine basicity than $K k_{3}$ in the current reaction system. The $\beta_{\text {nuc }}$ value of 0.82 is typical for reactions reported previously to proceed through a stepwise mechanism (e.g., $\beta_{\text {nuc }}=0.8 \pm 0.1$ ). However, the $\beta_{\text {nuc }}$ value of 0.66 is slightly smaller than the lower limit of $\beta_{\mathrm{nuc}}$ value for aminolysis of esters reported to proceed through a stepwise mechanism with breakdown of $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$being the RDS.

Factors Governing Presence/Absence of Deprotonation Process. Castro et al. have reported that reactions of thiono esters (e.g., $O$-phenyl thionoacetate and $O$-aryl $O$-4-nitrophenyl thionocarbonates) with weakly basic amines (e.g., piperazinium ion and $N$-formylpiperazine) proceed through $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$in aqueous solution, while the corresponding reactions with strongly basic amines (e.g., piperidine and piperazine) proceed without the deprotonation process from $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$. ${ }^{15}$ Thus, basicity of the attacking amine has been proposed to be a crucial factor that selects the mechanistic pathway. ${ }^{15}$ On the other hand, Lee et al. have reported that reactions of aryl dithiobenzoates with a series of aniline and benzylamine derivatives proceed only through $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$in $\mathrm{MeCN} .{ }^{16}$ They have reported that the deprotonation process from $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$, which has often been observed for the reactions performed in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, is absent in the aprotic solvent even for reactions with weakly basic anilines. ${ }^{16}$ Accordingly, the nature of the medium has been suggested to be also an important determinant of the presence/absence of the deprotonation process

Table 1. Summary of rate constants for nucleophilic substitution reactions of benzyl 2-pyridyl carbonate 5 and benzyl 4-pyridyl carbonate $\mathbf{6}$ with alicyclic secondary amines in MeCN at $25.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{a}$

|  | Amines | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 5 | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $k_{\mathrm{N}} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $10^{3} \mathrm{Kkz}_{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $K k_{3} / \mathrm{M}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| 1 | piperidine | 18.8 | 15.2 | 2.30 | 1.05 |
| 2 | 3-methylpiperidine | 18.6 | 13.4 | 2.39 | 0.848 |
| 3 | piperazine | 18.5 | 14.2 | 2.30 | 1.48 |
| 4 | 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine | 17.6 | 2.99 | 0.420 | 0.145 |
| 5 | morpholine | 16.6 | 0.940 | 0.0937 | 0.0171 |

${ }^{a}$ The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ data were taken from ref. $17 .{ }^{b}$ The kinetic data for reactions of $\mathbf{5}$ were taken from ref. 10.


Figure 3. Brønsted-type plots for the reactions of benzyl 4-pyridyl carbonate 6 with alicyclic secondary amines in MeCN at $25.0 \pm 0.1$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \log K k_{2} v s . \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of amine (a) and $\log K k_{3} v s . \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of amine (b). The identity of the points is given in Table 1.
(i.e., $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}^{-}$). ${ }^{16}$

However, we have shown that the reaction of $O$-4-nitrophenyl thionobenzoate 2 with secondary amines (either cyclic or acyclic) proceeds through $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$in MeCN as well as in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, indicating that the nature of solvents is not an important factor to determine the reaction mechanism. ${ }^{8}$ We have also shown that reactions of $O$-Y-substituted phenyl thionobenzoates ( 2 and its derivatives) with primary amines proceed through a stepwise mechanism with one or two intermediates depending on the basicity of the incoming amine and the nucleofuge (i.e., the reaction proceeds through $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$when the leaving Y-substituted phenoxide is less basic than the incoming amine but through $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$when the leaving group is more basic than the incoming amine). ${ }^{8}$

One can find from the aminolyses mentioned above that the reactions with weakly basic amines or aminolyses of substrates possessing a strongly basic nucleofuge proceed through $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$. It is apparent that reactions with weakly basic amines would increase $k_{-1}$, while those of substrates possessing a strongly basic leaving group would decrease $k_{2}$. Accordingly, one might suggest that reactions proceeding through $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$would result in a small $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratio by decreasing $k_{2}$ and/or by increasing $k_{-1}$.

Aminolysis of $\mathbf{5}$ in MeCN was expected to proceed through an intermediate as modeled by I, since it can be stabilized through an intramolecular H-bonding interaction. ${ }^{10}$ However, the reactions of 5 have been concluded to proceed through a concerted mechanism on the basis of a linear Brønsted-type plot with $\beta_{\text {nuc }}=0.57 .{ }^{10}$ We have suggested that the intramolecular H -bonding interaction accelerates the rate of leaving-group expulsion (i.e., an increase in $k_{2}$ ), which forces the reactions to proceed through a concerted mechanism. ${ }^{10}$ It is evident that the intramolecular H -bonding interaction shown in model I for the reactions of 5 is not possible for the reactions of 6 . Accordingly, one might expect that the $k_{2}$ (or the $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratio) would be much smaller for the reactions of 6 than for those of $\mathbf{5}$. This idea is consistent with the fact that the $k_{\mathrm{N}}$ for the reactions of 5 is much larger than the $K k_{2}$ for those of 6, although 4-pyridyloxide in 6 is $c a .0 .4 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ units
less basic and a better nucleofuge than 2-pyridyloxide in 5. ${ }^{19}$

## Conclusions

The current study has allowed us to conclude the following: (1) The plots of $k_{\text {obsd }} v s$. [amine] curve upward, indicating that the reactions of 6 proceed through two intermediates $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$. (2) Dissection of $k_{\text {obsd }}$ into $K k_{2}$ and $K k_{3}$ reveals that $K k_{3}$ is significantly larger than $K k_{2}$, implying that the reactions proceed mainly through the $k_{3}$ process in a high [amine] region. (3) It is common that the reactions reported previously to proceed through $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$show a small $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratio by decreasing $k_{2}$ and/or by increasing $k_{-1}$. (4) Although 4-pyridyloxide in 6 is a weaker base and a better nucleofuge than 2-pyridyloxide in 5 , the $K k_{2}$ for the reactions of $\mathbf{6}$ is much smaller than the $k_{\mathrm{N}}$ for the corresponding reactions of 5. This is because the intramolecula H -bonding interaction, which was suggested to increase the $k_{2}$ for the reactions of 5 , is absent for the reactions of 6. (5) Aminolysis of 6 would result in a small $k_{2}$ (or a small $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratio), which causes the reaction to proceed through $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{T}^{-}$.

## Experimental Section

Materials. Substrate 6 was synthesized from the reaction of 4-hydroxypyridine with benzyl chloroformate in methylene chloride, which was generated from the reaction of phosgene and benzyl alcohol as reported previously. ${ }^{20}$ The crude products were purified by recrystallization and their purity was checked by their melting points and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. Amines and other chemicals were of the highest quality available. MeCN was distilled over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and stored under nitrogen.

Kinetics. Kinetic study was performed using a UV-vis spectrophotometer equipped with a constant-temperature circulating bath. All the reactions were carried out under pseudo-first-order conditions in which the amine concentration was at least 20 times greater than the substrate concentration. Typically, the reaction was initiated by adding $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a 0.01 M of substrate stock solution in MeCN by a $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ syringe to a 10 mm UV cell containing 2.50 mL of MeCN and the amine nucleophile. The reactions were followed by monitoring the appearance of the leaving 4pyridyloxide at 275 nm . Reactions were followed generally for $9-10$ half-lives and $k_{\text {obsd }}$ were calculated using the equation, $\ln \left(A_{\infty}-A_{t}\right)$ vs. $t$.

Product Analysis. 4-Pyridyloxide was liberated quantitatively and identified as one of the reaction products by comparison of the UV-Vis spectra after completion of the reactions with those of the authentic samples under the reaction conditions.
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