A Note on the Fuzzy Linear Maps over the Fuzzy Quotient Spaces

Chang Bum Kim*

Department of Mathematics, Kookmin University, Seoul 136-702, South Korea

Abstract

In this paper we study various properties of the fuzzy linear maps over the fuzzy quotient spaces. In particular we obtain some exact sequences of the fuzzy linear maps over the fuzzy quotient spaces.

Key Words: Fuzzy *R*-module, Fuzzy linear map(Fuzzy *R*-map), Fuzzy weak isomorphism, Quasi-monic, Epic, Fuzzy quotient spaces.

1. Introduction

Fuzzy modules were introduced by Negoita and Ralescu [1]. Katsaras and Liu [2], and Lowen [3] have developed the theory of fuzzy vector spaces.

Fu-Zhen Pan [4] investigated fuzzy vector spaces for the following purposes; to establish a fundamental frame of fuzzy vector space by virtue of homological algebra and modular theory, and to stretch it out to study general fuzzy modules.

In fact, fuzzy vector spaces are the simplest kind of fuzzy free modules. The theory of fuzzy modules has been a virgin field for a long time.

Recently, many authors presented the same research on fuzzy modules, properties of fuzzy finitely generated modules and fuzzy quotient modules, etc.

In particular, Fu-Zhen Pan [5] and Kim [6] investigated the properties of the sequence of fuzzy linear maps and studied the situations in connection with two exact sequences of fuzzy linear maps.

In this paper, we study various properties of fuzzy linear maps over fuzzy quotient spaces.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review some definitions and some results which will be used in the later sections. Throughout this paper, we assume that all modules are equipped with the same underlying commutative ring R. **Definition 2.1** ([5]). A *R*-module *M* together with a function χ from *M* into [0, 1] is called a fuzzy *R*-module if it satisfies the following conditions;

- 1. $\chi(a+b) \ge \min\{\chi(a), \chi(b)\}$
- 2. $\chi(-a) = \chi(a)$
- 3. $\chi(0) = 1$
- 4. $\chi(ra) \ge \chi(a)$,

for any $a, b \in M$ and $r \in R$, denoted by (M, χ) or χ_M .

Definition 2.2 ([5]). Let χ_M, η_N be any two fuzzy R-modules, then $\tilde{f} : \chi_M \longrightarrow \eta_N$ is called a fuzzy linear map (or fuzzy R-map) if there exists a linear map $f : M \longrightarrow N$ such that $\eta(f(a)) \ge \chi(a)$ for all $a \in M$.

Remark 2.3. Let χ_M, η_N be any two fuzzy *R*-modules. Then $\tilde{f} : \chi_M \longrightarrow \eta_N$ is called a fuzzy strong linear map if there exists a linear map $f : M \longrightarrow N$ such that $\eta(f(a)) = \chi(a)$ for all $a \in M$.

Definition 2.4 ([5]). Let $\tilde{f} : \chi_V \longrightarrow \eta_W$ be a fuzzy linear map. \tilde{f} is called a fuzzy weak isomorphism if f is an isomorphism, denoted $\chi_V \simeq_W^f \eta_W$.

Definition 2.5 ([5]). For a fuzzy linear map $\tilde{f} : \chi_M \longrightarrow \eta_N, \eta_{Imf}$ is called the image of \tilde{f} denoted by $\eta_{Im\tilde{f}}$. Further, χ_{M_0} , where $M_0 = \{m \in M \mid \eta(f(m)) = 1\}$ is called the Kernel of \tilde{f} denoted by $\chi_{Ker\tilde{f}}$.

Theorem 2.6 ([5]). Let $\tilde{f} : \chi_M \longrightarrow \eta_N$ be a fuzzy linear map, then $\chi_{Ker\tilde{f}}$ is a fuzzy subspace of χ_M and $\eta_{Im\tilde{f}}$ is a fuzzy subspace of η_N .

Remark 2.7. For any fuzzy linear map $\tilde{f} : \chi_M \longrightarrow \eta_N$,

$$\chi_{Kerf} \leq \chi_{Kerf}$$
 and $\eta_{Imf} = \eta_{Imf}$

Manuscript received Feb. 21, 2012; revised Jun. 15, 2012; accepted Jun. 18, 2012.

^{*}Corresponding author: Chang Bum Kim(cbkim@kookmin.ac.kr) This work was supported by the research program 2012 of Kookmin University in Korea.

[©] The Korean Institute of Intelligent Systems. All rights reserved.

Definition 2.8 ([5]). A fuzzy linear map $\tilde{f} : \chi_M \longrightarrow \eta_N$ is called epic (or monic) iff $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is epic (or monic).

Definition 2.9 ([5]). A fuzzy linear map $\tilde{f} : \chi_M \longrightarrow \eta_N$ is called quasi-monic iff $\chi_{Ker\tilde{f}} = \chi_{M'}$, where $M' = \{m \in M \mid \chi(m) = 1\}$.

Remark 2.10. Obviously, when $\chi_{Ker\tilde{f}} = \{0\}$, quasimonic is just ordinary monic.

Definition 2.11 ([5]). Two fuzzy maps

$$\chi_M \stackrel{\tilde{f}}{\to} \eta_N \stackrel{\tilde{g}}{\to} \rho_V$$

are exact at η_N iff $\eta_{Im \tilde{f}} = \eta_{Ker \tilde{g}}$.

Remark 2.12. By the induction, from Definition 2-11, we can define an exact sequence of fuzzy linear maps.

Theorem 2.13 ([5]). A fuzzy *R*-module χ_M is called a fuzzy singular *R*-module iff $\chi(m) = 1$ for all $m \in M$, denoted by 1.

Theorem 2.14 ([5]). An exact sequence

$$1 \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} \chi_M \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \eta_N \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} \rho_V \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} 1$$

where the two 1's are the appropriate singular fuzzy Rmodules and \tilde{i}, \tilde{j} are the fuzzy identity map and an epic map, respectively, is called a short exact sequence of fuzzy linear maps.

Theorem 2.15 ([5]). Given a short exact sequence of fuzzy linear maps,

$$1 \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} \chi_M \xrightarrow{f} \eta_N \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} \rho_V \xrightarrow{j} 1$$
1. $Im\tilde{i} = Ker\tilde{f} = \chi_{M'}.$
2. $Im\tilde{f} = Ker\tilde{g} \ge \eta_{N'}.$

3. \tilde{q} is epic,

where
$$M' = \{m \in M \mid \chi(m) = 1\}$$
 and $N' = \{n \in N \mid \eta(n) = 1\}.$

Proposition 2.16 ([3]). For any fuzzy linear map \tilde{f} : $\chi_M \longrightarrow \eta_N$,

 $\chi_{M'} \subseteq \chi_{Ker\tilde{f}},$ where $M' = \{m \in M \mid \chi(m) = 1\}.$

Definition 2.17 ([8]). By the coimage Coim h and the cokernel Coker h of a homomorphism $h : X \longrightarrow Y$, we mean the quotient modules

$$Coim h = X/Kerh, Coker h = Y/Imh.$$

3. Fuzzy linear maps over fuzzy quotient spaces

In this section, we study various properties of fuzzy linear maps over fuzzy quotient spaces.

Let χ_W be an arbitrary fuzzy subspace of a fuzzy vector space χ_V . Then a map $\bar{\chi} : V/W \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ given by

$$\bar{\chi}(u+W) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \in W\\ \inf\{\chi(v') \mid v' \in v+W\} & \text{if } v \notin W \end{cases}$$

can determine the fuzzy quotient space $(V/W, \bar{\chi})$, denoted $\chi_{V/W}$ or $\bar{\chi}_{V/W}$ (See [5]).

Theorem 3.1. Consider the following diagram of fuzzy *R*-maps of *R*-modules :

where the rows are exact and the squares are commutative. If $\tilde{\beta}$ is quasi-monic, then the map

$$f^*: \bar{\chi}_{Coim(\tilde{\alpha})} \longrightarrow \bar{\eta}_{Coim(\tilde{\beta})}$$

defined by

$$\tilde{f^*}(m + Ker\tilde{\alpha}) = f(m) + Ker\tilde{\beta}$$

is a fuzzy linear map.

Proof. First we prove that \tilde{f}^* is well-defined. Let $m + Ker\tilde{\alpha} = m' + Ker\tilde{\alpha}$. Then

$$m = m' + x(x \in Ker\tilde{\alpha}).$$

Thus

$$f(m) + Ker\tilde{\beta} = f(m') + f(x) + Ker\tilde{\beta}.$$

Since

$$\nu\beta f(x) = \nu f_1 \alpha(x) \ge \mu \alpha(x) = 1,$$

we have

$$\nu\beta f(x) = 1.$$

$$f(x) \in Ker\tilde{\beta}.$$

Thus

So

$$f(m) + Ker\tilde{\beta} = f(m') + Ker\tilde{\beta}.$$

Thus \tilde{f}^* is well-defined. On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{f^*}[(m+Ker\tilde{\alpha}) &+ (m'+Ker\tilde{\alpha})] \\ &= \tilde{f^*}(m+m'+Ker\tilde{\alpha}) \\ &= f(m+m')+Ker\tilde{\beta} \\ &= f(m)+f(m')+Ker\tilde{\beta} \\ &= (f(m)+Ker\tilde{\beta})+(f(m')+Ker\tilde{\beta}) \\ &= \tilde{f^*}(m+Ker\tilde{\alpha})+\tilde{f^*}(m'+Ker\tilde{\alpha}). \end{split}$$

For any $r \in R$,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{f}^*(r(m+Ker\tilde{\alpha})) &= \tilde{f}^*(rm+Ker\tilde{\alpha}) \\ &= f(rm)+Ker\tilde{\beta} \\ &= rf(m)+Ker\tilde{\beta} \\ &= r[f(m)+Ker\tilde{\beta}] \\ &= r\tilde{f}^*(m+Ker\tilde{\alpha}). \end{split}$$

Thus \tilde{f}^* is a linear map. To prove that \tilde{f}^* is a fuzzy linear map, we must show that

$$\bar{\eta}f^*(m + Ker\tilde{\alpha}) \ge \bar{\chi}(m + Ker\tilde{\alpha})$$

for all $m + Ker\tilde{\alpha} \in \bar{\chi}_{Coim\tilde{\alpha}}$. Let $m + Ker\tilde{\alpha}$ be any element of $\bar{\chi}_{Coim\tilde{\alpha}}$. If $m \in Ker\tilde{\alpha}$, then

$$\bar{\eta}f^*(m + Ker\tilde{\alpha}) = \bar{\eta}f^*(0)$$

$$= \bar{\eta}(0)$$

$$= 1$$

$$\geq \bar{\chi}(m + Ker\tilde{\alpha}).$$

Let $m \notin Ker\tilde{\alpha}$. If $f(m) \in Ker\tilde{\beta}$, then

$$\begin{split} \bar{\eta}\tilde{f^*}(m+Ker\tilde{\alpha}) &= \bar{\eta}(f(m)+Ker\tilde{\beta}) \\ &= 1 \\ \geq & \bar{\chi}(m+Ker\tilde{\alpha}). \end{split}$$

If $f(m) \notin Ker\tilde{\beta}$, then

$$\begin{split} \bar{\eta}\tilde{f}^*(m &+ Ker\tilde{\alpha}) \\ &= \bar{\eta}(f(m) + Ker\tilde{\beta}) \\ &= \inf\{\eta(x) \mid x \in f(m) + Ker\tilde{\beta}\} \\ &= \inf\{\eta(f(m) + y) \mid y \in Ker\tilde{\beta}\} \\ &\geq \inf\{\min\{\eta f(m), \eta(y)\} \mid y \in Ker\tilde{\beta}\} \\ &\geq \inf\{\min\{\chi(m), \eta(y)\} \mid y \in Ker\tilde{\beta}\} \\ &= \chi(m) \qquad [\tilde{\beta} \text{ is quasi-monic}] \\ &\geq \bar{\chi}(m + Ker\tilde{\alpha}). \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.2. Consider the following diagram of fuzzy *R*-maps of *R*-modules :

where the rows are exact and the squares are commutative. If $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are quasi-monic, then the following sequence

$$\bar{\chi}_{Coim(\alpha)} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}^*} \bar{\eta}_{Coim(\beta)} \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}^*} \bar{\rho}_{Coim(\gamma)}$$

is exact.

 $\hat{\beta}$) **Proof.** By Theorem 3.1, we can construct the fuzzy lin-). ear map

$$g^*: \bar{\eta}_{Coim(\tilde{\beta})} \longrightarrow \bar{\rho}_{Coim(\tilde{\gamma})}$$

defined by

$$\tilde{g^*}(n + Ker\tilde{\beta}) = g(n) + Ker\tilde{\gamma}$$

To prove that the given sequence in Theorem 3.2 is exact, we must show that

$$\bar{\eta}_{Im\tilde{f^*}} = \bar{\eta}_{Ker\tilde{g^*}}$$

Let $n + Ker\tilde{\beta}$ be any element of $Im\tilde{f^*}$. Then there exists $m + Ker\tilde{\alpha} \in Coim(\tilde{\alpha})$ such that

$$\tilde{f^*}(m + Ker\tilde{\alpha}) = n + Ker\tilde{\beta}.$$

Thus $f(m) + Ker\tilde{\beta} = n + Ker\tilde{\beta}$ and thus $n = f(m) + b(b \in Ker\tilde{\beta})$. Since $\tilde{\beta}$ is quasi-monic, $b \in N' = \{x \mid \eta(x) = 1\}$. So $\eta(b) = 1$ and so $\rho g(b) = 1$. If $n \in Ker\tilde{\beta}$, then

$$\bar{\rho}\tilde{g^*}(n + Ker\tilde{\beta}) = \bar{\rho}\tilde{g^*}(0)$$
$$= \bar{\rho}(0)$$
$$= 1.$$

Let $n \notin Ker\tilde{\beta}$. If $g(n) \in Ker\tilde{\gamma}$, then

$$\bar{\rho}g^*(n + Ker\beta) = \bar{\rho}(g(n) + Ker\tilde{\gamma})$$
$$= \bar{\rho}(0)$$
$$= 1.$$

Let $g(n) \notin Ker\tilde{\gamma}$. If $z \in Ker\tilde{\gamma}$, then $\mathcal{E}\gamma(z) = 1 = \rho(z)$, since $\tilde{\gamma}$ is quasi-monic. Also since $Im\tilde{f} = Ker\tilde{g}$ and $f(m) \in Im\tilde{f}$, $f(m) \in Ker\tilde{g}$. So $\rho gf(m) = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{split} \bar{\rho}\tilde{g}^{*}(n + Ker\tilde{\beta}) &= \bar{\rho}(g(n) + Ker\tilde{\gamma}) \\ &= \inf\{\rho(x) \mid x \in g(n) + Ker\tilde{\gamma}\} \\ &= \inf\{\rho(g(n) + z) \mid z \in Ker\tilde{\gamma}\} \\ &\geq \inf\{\min\{\rho g(n), \rho(z)\} \mid z \in Ker\tilde{\gamma}\} \\ &= \rho g(n) \\ &= 1, \end{split}$$

since $\rho g(n) = \rho g(f(m) + b) = \rho(gf(m) + g(b)) \ge \min\{\rho gf(m), \rho g(b)\} = 1$. In any case, we have $\bar{\rho}\tilde{g}^*(n + Ker\tilde{\beta}) = 1$. Thus $n + Ker\tilde{\beta} \in Ker\tilde{g}^*$. Hence

$$\bar{\eta}_{Im\tilde{f^*}} \subseteq \bar{\eta}_{Ker\tilde{g^*}}.$$

Conversely, if $n + Ker\tilde{\beta} \in \bar{\eta}_{Ker\tilde{q^*}}$, then

$$\bar{\rho}\tilde{g^*}(n + Ker\tilde{\beta}) = \bar{\rho}(g(n) + Ker\tilde{\gamma})$$

$$= 1$$

Thus $g(n) \in Ker\tilde{\gamma}$. For if $g(n) \notin Ker\tilde{\gamma}$, then

$$\begin{split} 1 &= \bar{\rho}\tilde{g^*}(n + Ker\tilde{\beta}) &= \bar{\rho}(g(n) + Ker\tilde{\gamma}) \\ &= \inf\{\rho(g(n) + z) \mid z \in Ker\tilde{\gamma}\}. \end{split}$$

Thus $\rho g(n) = 1$. Since $\mathcal{E}\gamma g(n) \ge \rho g(n) = 1$, $\mathcal{E}\gamma g(n) = 1$ and thus $g(n) \in Ker\tilde{\gamma}$. This is a contradiction. Thus $g(n) \in Ker\tilde{\gamma}$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}$ is quasi-monic, we have $\rho g(n) = 1$. Thus $n \in Ker\tilde{g}$. Since $Ker\tilde{g} = Im\tilde{f}$, there exists $m \in \chi_M$ such that f(m) = n. Thus

$$\tilde{f}^*(m + Ker\tilde{\alpha}) = f(m) + Ker\tilde{\beta} = n + Ker\tilde{\beta}.$$

Thus $n + Ker\tilde{\beta} \in \bar{\eta}_{Im\tilde{f}^*}$. Hence

$$\bar{\eta}_{Ker\tilde{g^*}} \subseteq \bar{\eta}_{Im\tilde{f^*}}.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3. Consider the following diagram of fuzzy *R*-maps of *R*-modules :

where the rows are exact and the squares are commutative. If \tilde{f} is epic, then the map

$$f_1^*: \bar{\mu}_{Coker(\tilde{\alpha})} \longrightarrow \bar{\nu}_{Coker(\tilde{\beta})}$$

defined by

$$\tilde{f_1}^*(v + Im\tilde{\alpha}) = f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta}$$

is a fuzzy linear map.

Proof. First we prove that f_1^{*} is well-defined. Let $v + Im\tilde{\alpha} = v' + Im\tilde{\alpha}$. Then

$$v = v' + x(x \in Im\tilde{\alpha}).$$

Thus

$$f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta} = f_1(v'+x) + Im\tilde{\beta}$$

= $f_1(v') + f_1(x) + Im\tilde{\beta}$

Since $x\in Im\tilde{\alpha},$ there exists $m\in M$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}(m)=x.$ Thus

$$f_1(x) = f_1 \alpha(m) = \beta f(m).$$

So

$$f_1(x) \in Im\beta.$$

Thus $f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta} = f_1(v') + Im\tilde{\beta}$. Thus $\tilde{f_1}^*$ is well-defined. On the other hand,

$$f_1^*[(v + Im\tilde{\alpha})] + (v' + Im\tilde{\alpha})]$$

$$= \tilde{f_1}^*(v + v' + Im\tilde{\alpha})$$

$$= f_1(v + v') + Im\tilde{\beta}$$

$$= f_1(v) + f_1(v') + Im\tilde{\beta}$$

$$= (f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta}) + (f_1(v') + Im\tilde{\beta})$$

$$= \tilde{f_1}^*(v + Im\tilde{\alpha}) + \tilde{f_1}^*(v' + Im\tilde{\alpha}).$$

For any $r \in R$,

$$f_1^{*}(r(v+Im\tilde{\alpha})) = f_1^{*}(rv+Im\tilde{\alpha})$$

$$= f_1(rv) + Im\tilde{\beta}$$

$$= rf_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta}$$

$$= r[f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta}]$$

$$= r\tilde{f}_1^{*}(v+Im\tilde{\alpha}).$$

Thus $\tilde{f_1}^*$ is a linear map. To prove that $\tilde{f_1}^*$ is a fuzzy linear map, we must show that

$$\bar{\nu}f_1^*(v + Im\tilde{\alpha}) \ge \bar{\mu}(v + Im\tilde{\alpha})$$

for all $v + Im\tilde{\alpha} \in \bar{\mu}_{Coker\tilde{\alpha}}$. Let $v + Im\tilde{\alpha}$ be any element of $\bar{\mu}_{Coker\tilde{\alpha}}$. Then

$$\bar{\nu}f_1^{*}(v+Im\tilde{\alpha}) = \bar{\nu}(f_1(v)+Im\tilde{\beta}).$$

If $f_1(v) \in Im\tilde{\beta}$, then

$$\bar{\nu}f_1^{**}(v + Im\tilde{\alpha}) = \bar{\nu}(f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta})$$
$$= \bar{\nu}(0)$$
$$= 1$$
$$\geq \bar{\mu}(v + Im\tilde{\alpha}).$$

If $f_1(v) \notin Im\tilde{\beta}$, then

$$\begin{split} \bar{\nu}\tilde{f_1}^*(v &+ Im\tilde{\alpha}) \\ &= \bar{\nu}(f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta}) \\ &= \inf\{\nu(x) \mid x \in f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta}\} \\ &= \inf\{\nu(f_1(v) + y) \mid y \in Im\tilde{\beta}\} \\ &= \inf\{\nu(f_1(v) + \beta(u)) \mid u \in N\} \\ &= \inf\{\nu(f_1(v) + \beta f(m)) \mid m \in M\} \ [\tilde{f} \text{ is epic}] \\ &= \inf\{\nu(f_1(v) + f_1\alpha(m)) \mid m \in M\} \\ &= \inf\{\nu(f_1(v + \alpha(m))) \mid m \in M\} \\ &= \inf\{\mu(v + \alpha(m)) \mid m \in M\} \\ &= \inf\{\mu(v + \alpha(m)) \mid m \in M\} \\ &= \inf\{\mu(v + z) \mid z \in Im\tilde{\alpha}\} \\ &= \inf\{\mu(x) \mid x \in v + Im\tilde{\alpha}\} \\ &= \bar{\mu}(v + Im\tilde{\alpha}). \end{split}$$

Hence $\tilde{f_1}^*$ is a fuzzy linear map.

Theorem 3.4. Consider the following diagram of fuzzy *R*-maps of *R*-modules :

where the rows are exact and the squares are commutative. If \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} are epic, then the following sequence

$$\bar{\mu}_{Coker(\tilde{\alpha})} \xrightarrow{f_1^{\tilde{\ast}}} \bar{\nu}_{Coker(\tilde{\beta})} \xrightarrow{g_1^{\tilde{\ast}}} \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{Coker(\tilde{\gamma})}$$

is exact.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, we can define the fuzzy linear map

$$g_1^*: \bar{\nu}_{Coker(\tilde{\beta})} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{Coker(\tilde{\gamma})}$$

by

$$\tilde{g_1^*}(n + Im\tilde{\beta}) = g_1(n) + Im\tilde{\gamma}$$

To prove that the given sequence in Theorem 3.4 is exact, we must show that

$$\bar{\nu}_{Imf_1^{\tilde{*}}} = \bar{\nu}_{Kerg_1^{\tilde{*}}}.$$

Let $p + Im\tilde{\beta} \in \bar{\nu}_{Kerg\tilde{1}^*}$, then

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}g_1^{\tilde{*}}(p + Im\tilde{\beta}) = \bar{\mathcal{E}}(g_1(p) + Im\tilde{\gamma})$$
$$= 1$$

If $g_1(p) \in Im\tilde{\gamma}$, then there exists $w \in W$ such that $\gamma(w) = g_1(p)$. Since \tilde{g} is epic, there exists $n \in N$ such that g(n) = w. Thus

$$g_1(p) = \gamma g(n) = g_1 \beta(n).$$

Thus $p - \beta(n) \in Ker\tilde{g_1}$. Since $Ker\tilde{g_1} = Im\tilde{f_1}$, there exists $v \in V$ such that $f_1(v) = p - \beta(n)$. Thus

$$\begin{split} f_1^{**}(v + Im\tilde{\alpha}) &= f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta} \\ &= p - \beta(n) + Im\tilde{\beta} \\ &= p + Im\tilde{\beta}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$p + Im\beta \in \bar{\nu}_{Imf_1^*}$$

If $g_1(p) \notin Im\tilde{\gamma}$, then

$$1 = \bar{\mathcal{E}}g_1^{-*}(p + Im\tilde{\beta})$$

= $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(g_1(p) + Im\tilde{\gamma})$
= $\inf\{\mathcal{E}(g_1(p) + z) \mid z \in Im\tilde{\gamma}\}$
 $\leq \mathcal{E}g_1(p).$

Thus $\mathcal{E}g_1(p) = 1$. So $p \in Ker\tilde{g_1}$. Since $Ker\tilde{g_1} = Im\tilde{f_1}$, there exists $v \in V$ such that $f_1(v) = p$. Thus

$$\tilde{f_1^*}(v + Im\tilde{\alpha}) = f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta} = p + Im\tilde{\beta}.$$

Hence

and hence

$$\nu + Im\tilde{\beta} \in \bar{\nu}_{Imf\tilde{1}^*}$$

$$\bar{\nu}_{Kerg_1^{**}} \subseteq \bar{\nu}_{Imf_1^{**}}.$$

Conversely let $p + Im\tilde{\beta}$ be any element of $\bar{\nu}_{Im\tilde{f_1}*}$. Then there exists $v + Im\tilde{\alpha} \in \bar{\mu}_{Coker(\tilde{\alpha})}$ such that

$$\tilde{f_1}^*(v + Im\tilde{\alpha}) = f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta} = p + Im\tilde{\beta}.$$

Thus $f_1(v) - p \in Im\tilde{\beta}$. So there exists $n \in N$ such that $\beta(n) = f_1(v) - p$. If $g_1(p) \in Im\tilde{\gamma}$, then

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}g_{1}^{*}(p + Im\tilde{\beta}) = \bar{\mathcal{E}}(g_{1}(p) + Im\tilde{\gamma}) \\
= \bar{\mathcal{E}}(0) \\
= 1.$$

Let $g_1(p) \notin Im\tilde{\gamma}$. Since $f_1(v) \in Im\tilde{f}_1 = Ker\tilde{g}_1$, $\mathcal{E}g_1f_1(v) = 1$. Also Since $f_1\alpha(m) \in Im\tilde{f}_1 = Ker\tilde{g}_1$, $\mathcal{E}g_1f_1\alpha(m) = 1$ for all $m \in M$. Thus we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathcal{E}}\tilde{g_1}^*(p+Im\tilde{\beta}) &= \bar{\mathcal{E}}\tilde{g_1}^*(f_1(v)-\beta(n)+Im\tilde{\beta}) \\ &= \bar{\mathcal{E}}\tilde{g_1}^*(f_1(v)+Im\tilde{\beta}) \\ &= \bar{\mathcal{E}}(g_1f_1(v)+Im\tilde{\gamma}) \\ &= \inf\{\mathcal{E}(g_1f_1(v)+z) \mid z \in Im\tilde{\gamma}\} \\ &= \inf\{\mathcal{E}(g_1f_1(v)+\gamma(w)) \mid w \in W\} \\ &\geq \inf\{\mathcal{E}(g_1f_1(v),\mathcal{E}\gamma(w)\} \mid w \in W\} \\ &= \inf\{\mathcal{E}\gamma(w) \mid w \in W\} \\ &= \inf\{\mathcal{E}\gamma(w) \mid w \in W\} \\ &= \inf\{\mathcal{E}\gamma g(n) \mid n \in N\} \ [\tilde{g} \text{ is epic}] \\ &= \inf\{\mathcal{E}\gamma gf(m) \mid m \in M\} \ [\tilde{f} \text{ is epic}] \\ &= \inf\{\mathcal{E}g_1\beta f(m) \mid m \in M\} \\ &= \inf\{\mathcal{E}g_1f_1\alpha(m) \mid m \in M\} \\ &= 1. \end{split}$$

Thus

and thus

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}\tilde{g_1}^*(p+Im\hat{\beta})=1$$

$$p + Im\tilde{\beta} \in \bar{\nu}_{Ker\tilde{g}_1^*}$$

Hence

This completes the proof.

$$\bar{\nu}_{Imf_1^{**}} \subseteq \bar{\nu}_{Kerg_1^{**}}.$$

Lemma 3.5. Consider the following diagram of fuzzy *R*-maps of *R*-modules :

where the rows are exact and the squares are commutative. If \tilde{g} is epic, then for each $w \in \rho_{Ker\tilde{\gamma}}$, there exist $n \in N$ and $v \in V$ such that

$$g(n) = w$$
 and $f_1(v) = \beta(n)$.

Proof. Let w be any element of $\rho_{Ker\tilde{\gamma}}$. Then $\mathcal{E}\gamma(w) =$ 1. Since \tilde{g} is epic, there exists $n \in N$ such that g(n) = w. Thus

$$\mathcal{E}g_1\beta(n) = \mathcal{E}\gamma g(n)$$
$$= \mathcal{E}\gamma(w)$$
$$= 1.$$

Thus

Since $Ker\tilde{g_1} = Im\tilde{f_1}$, we have

$$\beta(n) \in Im\tilde{f}_1.$$

 $\beta(n) \in Ker\tilde{g_1}.$

Hence there exists $v \in V$ such that $f_1(v) = \beta(n)$. \square

Lemma 3.6. Consider the following diagram of fuzzy Rmaps of *R*-modules :

where the rows are exact and the squares are commutative. If f and \tilde{g} are epic, then

Proof. 1. Let $p \in Im\beta$. Then there exists $n \in \eta_N$ such that $\beta(n) = p$. Since \hat{f} is epic, there exists $m \in \chi_M$ such that f(m) = n. Thus

$$p = \beta f(m) = f_1 \alpha(m).$$

So $p \in Im \hat{f}_1$. Hence $Im \hat{\beta} \subseteq Im \hat{f}_1$.

2. Let $v \in Im\tilde{\alpha}$. Then there exists $m \in \chi_M$ such that $\alpha(m) = v$. Thus

$$f_1(v) = f_1 \alpha(m) = \beta f(m).$$

Hence

$$\tilde{f}_1(Im\tilde{\alpha}) \subseteq Im\tilde{\beta}.$$

On the other hand, let $p \in Im\tilde{\beta}$. Then there exists $n \in N$ such that $\beta(n) = p$. Since \hat{f} is epic, there exists $m \in M$ such that f(m) = n. Thus $f_1\alpha(m) = \beta f(m) = \beta(n) =$ p. Hence

$$p \in f_1(Im\alpha).$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.7. Consider the following diagram of fuzzy
$$R$$
-maps of R -modules :

where the rows are exact and the squares are commutative. If \hat{f} and \tilde{g} are epic, and if \hat{f}_1 is monic, then the map

$$h: \rho_{Ker\tilde{\gamma}} \longrightarrow \bar{\mu}_{Coker\tilde{\alpha}}$$

defined by

$$\tilde{h}(w) = v + Im\tilde{\alpha},$$

where g(n) = w, $f_1(v) = \beta(n)$ are given by Lemma 3.5, is a zero fuzzy linear map and $\hat{h}(w)$ does not depend on the choice of n and v.

Proof. Let w be any element of $\rho_{Ker\tilde{\gamma}}$ and let g(n) = $w, g(n') = w, \beta(n) = f_1((v) \text{ and } \beta(n') = f_1(v') \ (n, n' \in \mathcal{S}_1)$ N and $v, v' \in V$). Then $h(w) = v + Im\tilde{\alpha}$ and h(w) = $v' + Im\tilde{\alpha}$, and also g(n - n') = 0 and so $n - n' \in Ker\tilde{g}$. Since $Ker\tilde{g} = Im\tilde{f}, n - n' \in Im\tilde{f}$. Thus there exists $m \in M$ such that f(m) = n - n'. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(m) &= \beta f(m) \\ &= \beta(n-n') \\ &= f_1(v-v'). \end{aligned}$$

Since f_1 is monic, $\alpha(m) = v - v'$. Thus

 f_1

$$v + Im\tilde{\alpha} = v' + \alpha(m) + Im\tilde{\alpha}$$

= $v' + Im\tilde{\alpha}$.

Let w be any element of $\rho_{Ker\tilde{\gamma}}$ and let $\tilde{h}(w) = v + Im\tilde{\alpha}$. Then there exist $n \in N$ and $v \in V$ such that g(n) = w and $\beta(n) = f_1(v)$. Since f is epic, there exists $m \in M$ such that f(m) = n. Thus

$$f_1 \alpha(m) = \beta f(m) \\ = \beta(n).$$

Thus

$$h(w) = \alpha(m) + Im\tilde{\alpha} = Im\tilde{\alpha} = 0$$

by definition of \tilde{h} . Hence \tilde{h} is a zero map.

Theorem 3.8 ([7]). Consider the following diagram of fuzzy *R*-maps of *R*-modules :

where the rows are exact and the squares are commutative. If $\tilde{f}_1 : \mu_V \longrightarrow \nu_P$ is quasi-monic, then the sequence of fuzzy *R*-maps,

$$\chi_{Ker\tilde{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f^*}} \eta_{Ker\tilde{\beta}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{g^*}} \rho_{Ker\tilde{\gamma}}$$

is exact at $\eta_{Ker\tilde{\beta}}$.

From Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.8, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9. Consider the following diagram of fuzzy *R*-maps of *R*-modules :

where the rows are exact and the squares are commutative. If \tilde{f}_1 is monic and if \tilde{g}_1 is quasi-monic, and if \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} are epic, then the sequence of fuzzy *R*-maps,

$$\begin{split} \chi_{Ker\tilde{\alpha}} & \xrightarrow{f^*} \eta_{Ker\tilde{\beta}} \xrightarrow{g^*} \rho_{Ker\tilde{\gamma}} \\ & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} \bar{\mu}_{Coker\tilde{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f_1}^*} \bar{\nu}_{Coker(\beta)} \xrightarrow{g_1^{\tilde{*}}} \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{Coker(\tilde{\gamma})} \end{split}$$

is exact.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.8, we must show that

(1)
$$\rho_{Im\tilde{g^*}} = \rho_{Ker\tilde{h}}$$
 and (2) $\bar{\mu}_{Im\tilde{h}} = \bar{\mu}_{Ker\tilde{f_1^*}}$.

(1). Let x be any element of $\rho_{Im\tilde{g^*}}.$ Then there exists $y\in\eta_{Ker\tilde{\beta}}$ such that

$$\tilde{g^*}(y) = g(y) = x.$$

Since \tilde{h} is a zero map,

$$\bar{\mu}\hat{h}(x) = \bar{\mu}(0) = 1.$$

Thus $x \in \rho_{Ker\tilde{h}}.$ Hence

$$\rho_{Im\tilde{g^*}} \subseteq \rho_{Ker\tilde{h}}.$$

Conversely let x be any element of $\rho_{Ker\tilde{h}}$. Then

$$\bar{\mu}\tilde{h}(x) = 1.$$

Since $x \in \rho_{Ker\tilde{\gamma}}$,

$$\mathcal{E}\gamma(x) = 1.$$

Since \tilde{g} is epic, there exists $y \in \eta_N$ such that g(y) = x. Since

$$\mathcal{E}g_1\beta(y) = \mathcal{E}\gamma g(y) = 1,$$

we have

$$\beta(y) \in \nu_{Ker\tilde{g_1}}.$$

Since \tilde{g}_1 is quasi-monic, $\nu_{Ker\tilde{g}_1} = \nu_{P'}$, where $P' = \{x \mid \nu(x) = 1\}$. Thus

 $y\in\eta_{Ker\tilde{\beta}}$

 $x \in \rho_{Im\tilde{g^*}}.$

 $\nu\beta(y) = 1.$

and g(y) = x. Thus

Hence

So

$$\rho_{Ker\tilde{h}} \subseteq \rho_{Im\tilde{g^*}}.$$

This completes the proof of (1). (2). To prove (2), we must show that

$$\bar{\mu}_{Kerf_1^{*}} = \{0\},$$

since \tilde{h} is a zero map. Let $v+Im\tilde{\alpha}$ be any element of $\bar{\mu}_{Kerf_1^{-*}}.$ Then

$$\bar{\nu}\tilde{f_1}^*(v+Im\tilde{\alpha}) = \bar{\nu}(f_1(v)+Im\tilde{\beta})$$
$$= 1.$$

If $f_1(v) \in Im\tilde{\beta}$, then there exists $n \in N$ such that $\beta(n) = f_1(v)$. Since \tilde{f} is epic, there exists $m \in M$ such that f(m) = n. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (v) &= & \beta(n) \\ &= & \beta f(m) \\ &= & f_1 \alpha(m) \end{aligned}$$

Since \tilde{f}_1 is monic, $v = \alpha(m)$. Thus $v + Im\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha(m) + Im\tilde{\alpha} = Im\tilde{\alpha} = 0$. If $f_1(v) \notin Im\tilde{\beta}$, then

$$1 = \bar{\nu} \tilde{f_1}^* (\nu + Im\tilde{\alpha})$$

 f_1

$$= \bar{\nu}(f_1(v) + Im\tilde{\beta})$$

= $\inf\{\nu(f_1(v) + z) \mid z \in Im\tilde{\beta}\}$
 $\leq \nu f_1(v).$

Thus

Thus

$$\nu f_1(v) = 1.$$

$$v \in Ker \tilde{f}_1.$$

Since \tilde{f}_1 is monic, we have v = 0. Hence

$$v + Im\tilde{\alpha} = Im\tilde{\alpha} = 0.$$

In any case, we have

$$v + Im\tilde{\alpha} = Im\tilde{\alpha} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\bar{\mu}_{Kerf_1^{*}} = \{0\}.$$

This completes the proof of (2).

International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems, vol. 12, no. 2, June 2012

References

- C. V. Negoita and D. A. Ralescu, "Applications of Fuzzy Sets to System Analysis," *Birkhäuser, Basel*, Chap. 1, pp. 18–24, 1975.
- [2] A. K. Katsaras and D. B. Liu, "Fuzzy Vector Spaces and Fuzzy Topological Vector Spaces," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 58, pp. 135–146, 1977.
- [3] R. Lowen, "Convex Fuzzy Sets," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 3, pp. 291–310, 1980.
- [4] F. Z. Pan, "Fuzzy Finitely Gernerated Modules," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 21, pp. 105–113, 1987.
- [5] F. Z. Pan, "Exact Sequences of Fuzzy Linear Maps," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 27, pp. 317–325, 1988.
- [6] C. B. Kim, "The Fuzzy Linear Maps," J. of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 511–513, 2005.

- [7] C. B. Kim, "A note on the Fuzzy Linear Maps," J. of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 506–511, 2011.
- [8] S. T. Hu, "Introduction to Homological Algebra," *Holden-day, Inc. San Francisco*, 1968.
- [9] N. G. Northcott, "An Introduction to Homological Algebra," *Cambridge Univ. press, London*, 1960.
- [10] J. J. Rotman, "An Introduction to Homological Algebra," *Academic press, New York*, 1972.

Chang Bum Kim

Professor of Kookmin University Research Area : Fuzzy Mathematics, Fuzzy Algebra E-mail : cbkim@kookmin.ac.kr