

ON PROJECTIVELY FLAT FINSLER SPACE WITH AN APPROXIMATE INFINITE SERIES (α, β) -METRIC

IL-YONG LEE

ABSTRACT. We introduced a Finsler space F^n with an approximate infinite series (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta) = \beta \sum_{k=0}^r \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^k$, where $\alpha < \beta$ and investigated it with respect to Berwald space ([12]) and Douglas space ([13]). The present paper is devoted to finding the condition that is projectively flat on a Finsler space F^n with an approximate infinite series (α, β) -metric above.

1. Introduction

A Finsler metric function L in a differentiable manifold M^n is called an (α, β) -metric, if L is a positively homogeneous function of degree one of a Riemannian metric $\alpha = (a_{ij}y^i y^j)^{1/2}$ and a non-vanishing 1-form $\beta = b_i y^i$ on M^n . An infinite series (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta) = \beta^2/(\beta - \alpha)$ is expressed as an infinite series form, where $\alpha < \beta$. We introduced an approximate infinite series (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta) = \beta \sum_{k=0}^r \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^k$ as the r -th finite series (α, β) -metric form and investigated it in [12] and [13].

A change $L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ of a Finsler metric on a same underlying manifold M^n is called *projective*, if any geodesic in (M^n, L) remains to be a geodesic in (M^n, \bar{L}) and vice versa. A Finsler space is called *projective flat* if it is projective to a locally Minkowski space. The condition for a Finsler space with (α, β) -metric to be projectively flat was studied by M. Matsumoto [7]. Aikou, Hashiguchi and Yamauchi [2] give interesting results on the projective flatness of Matsumoto space.

The purpose of the present paper is to find condition that is projectively flat on a Finsler space with an approximate infinite series (α, β) -metric.

Received February 14, 2011; Accepted November 17, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53B40.

Key words and phrases. Finsler space, projectively flat, infinite series (α, β) -metric, approximate infinite series (α, β) -metric, homogeneous polynomials in (y^i) of degree r .

This paper was supported by Kyungsung University Research Grant in 2011.

2. Preliminaries

In a Finsler space (M^n, L) , the metric

$$L(\alpha, \beta) = \beta \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^r \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^k \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

is called an *approximate infinite series* (α, β) -metric. The infinite series (α, β) -metric is expressed as

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \beta \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^r \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^k \right\} = \frac{\beta^2}{\beta - \alpha}$$

for $\alpha < \beta$ in (2.1). If $r = 0$, then $L = \beta$ is a non-vanishing 1-form. If $r = 1$, then $L = \alpha + \beta$ is a Randers metric. The condition for a Randers space to be projectively flat was given by Hashiguchi-Ichijō [4], and M. Matsumoto [7]. Therefore in this paper, we suppose that $r > 1$.

Let $\gamma_j^i k$ be the Christoffel symbols with respect to α and denote by $(;)$ the covariant differentiation with respect to $\gamma_j^i k$. From the differential 1-form $\beta(x, y) = b_i(x)y^i$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} 2r_{ij} &= b_{i;j} + b_{j;i}, & 2s_{ij} &= b_{i;j} - b_{j;i} = (\partial_j b_i - \partial_i b_j), \\ s_j^i &= a^{ir} s_{rj}, & b^i &= a^{ir} b_r, & b^2 &= a^{rs} b_r b_s. \end{aligned}$$

We shall denote the homogeneous polynomials in (y^i) of degree r by $hp(r)$ for brevity and the subscription 0 means contraction by y^i , for instance, $\mu_0 = \mu_i y^i$. In the following we denote $L_\alpha = \partial_\alpha L$, $L_\beta = \partial_\beta L$, $L_{\alpha\alpha} = \partial_\alpha \partial_\alpha L$.

Now the following Matsumoto's theorem [7] is well-known.

Theorem 2.1. *A Finsler space (M^n, L) with an (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$ is projectively flat if and only if for any point of space M^n there exist local coordinate neighborhoods containing the point such that $\gamma_j^i k$ satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\gamma_0^i{}_0 - \gamma_{000} y^i / \alpha^2) / 2 + (\alpha L_\beta / L_\alpha) s_0^i \\ &+ (L_{\alpha\alpha} / L_\alpha) (C + \alpha r_{00} / 2\beta) (\alpha^2 b^i / \beta - y^i) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where C is given by

$$C + (\alpha^2 L_\beta / \beta L_\alpha) s_0 + (\alpha L_{\alpha\alpha} / \beta^2 L_\alpha) (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2) (C + \alpha r_{00} / 2\beta) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

The equation (2.3) is rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &(C + \alpha r_{00} / 2\beta) \{ 1 + (\alpha L_{\alpha\alpha} / \beta^2 L_\alpha) (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2) \} \\ &- (\alpha / 2\beta) \{ r_{00} - (2\alpha L_\beta / L_\alpha) s_0 \} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

that is,

$$C + \alpha r_{00} / 2\beta = \frac{\alpha \beta (r_{00} L_\alpha - 2\alpha L_\beta s_0)}{2\{\beta^2 L_\alpha + \alpha L_{\alpha\alpha} (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)\}}.$$

Therefore (2.2) leads us to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{L_\alpha(\alpha^2\gamma_0^i{}_0 - \gamma_{000}y^i) + 2\alpha^3L_\beta s^i{}_0\}\{\beta^2L_\alpha + \alpha L_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)\} \\ & + \alpha^3L_{\alpha\alpha}(r_{00}L_\alpha - 2\alpha L_\beta s_0)(\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

We shall state the following lemma for later:

Lemma 2.2. ([3]) *If $\alpha^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$, that is, $a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j$ contains $b_i(x)y^i$ as a factor, then the dimension is equal to two and b^2 vanishes. In this case we have $\delta = d_i(x)y^i$ satisfying $\alpha^2 = \beta\delta$ and $d_i b^i = 2$.*

3. Projectively flat space

In the present section, we find the condition that a Finsler space F^n with the r -th approximate infinite series (α, β) -metric (2.1) be projectively flat. In the n -dimensional Finsler space F^n with the approximate infinite series (α, β) -metric (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_\alpha &= \sum_{k=0}^r k \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{k-1}, \quad L_\beta = -\sum_{k=0}^r (k-1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^k, \\ L_{\alpha\alpha} &= \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^r k(k-1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{k-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Here, by means of (2.5) and (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^r k \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{k-1} (\alpha^2\gamma_0^i{}_0 - \gamma_{000}y^i) - 2\alpha^3s^i{}_0 \sum_{k=0}^r (k-1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^k \right\} \\ & \times \left\{ \beta^2 \sum_{k=0}^r k \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{k-1} + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2) \sum_{k=0}^r k(k-1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{k-1} \right\} \\ & + \alpha^2 \sum_{k=0}^r k(k-1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{k-1} \left\{ r_{00} \sum_{k=0}^r k \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{k-1} \right. \\ & \left. + 2\alpha s_0 \sum_{k=0}^r (k-1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^k \right\} \times (\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

We shall divide our consideration in two cases of which r is even or odd.

(1) Case of $r = 2h$, where h is a positive integer.

When $r = 2h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^r k \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{k-1} &= \frac{\beta}{\beta^{2h}} \sum_{k=0}^{2h} k \alpha^{k-1} \beta^{2h-k}, \\
\sum_{k=0}^r (k-1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^k &= \frac{1}{\beta^{2h}} \sum_{k=0}^{2h} (k-1) \alpha^k \beta^{2h-k}, \\
\sum_{k=0}^r k(k-1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{k-1} &= \frac{1}{\beta^{2h-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2h} k(k-1) \alpha^{k-1} \beta^{2h-k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Separating the rational and irrational parts in y^i with respect to (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{2h} k \alpha^{k-1} \beta^{2h-k} &= \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} (2k+1) \alpha^{2k} \beta^{2h-2k-1} + \alpha \sum_{k=1}^h 2k \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k} \\
&= M + \alpha K, \\
\sum_{k=0}^{2h} (k-1) \alpha^k \beta^{2h-k} &= \sum_{k=0}^h (2k-1) \alpha^{2k} \beta^{2h-2k} \\
&\quad + \alpha^3 \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} 2k \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k-1} \\
&= L + \alpha^3 N, \\
\sum_{k=0}^{2h} k(k-1) \alpha^{k-1} \beta^{2h-k} &= \alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} (2k+1) 2k \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k-1} \\
&\quad + \alpha \sum_{k=1}^h 2k(2k-1) \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k} \\
&= \alpha^2 Q + \alpha P,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K &= \sum_{k=1}^h 2k \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k}, & L &= \sum_{k=0}^h (2k-1) \alpha^{2k} \beta^{2h-2k}, \\
M &= \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} (2k+1) \alpha^{2k} \beta^{2h-2k-1}, & N &= \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} 2k \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k-1}, \\
P &= \sum_{k=1}^h 2k(2k-1) \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k}, & Q &= \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} (2k+1) 2k \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.3) and (3.4) into (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha^2\gamma_0^i{}_0 - \gamma_{000}y^i)\beta[\beta^2(M^2 + 2\alpha KM + \alpha^2K^2) + \alpha(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)\{MP \\ & + \alpha(KP + MQ) + \alpha^2KQ\}] - 2\alpha^3s^i{}_0\{\beta^2(LM + \alpha KL + \alpha^3MN + \alpha^4KN) \\ & + \alpha(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(LP + \alpha LQ + \alpha^3NP + \alpha^4NQ)\} + (\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i)\alpha^2[\beta\alpha r_{00}\{MP \\ & + \alpha(KP + MQ) + \alpha^2KQ\} + 2\alpha^2s_0(LP + \alpha LQ + \alpha^3NP + \alpha^4NQ)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The above is rewritten in the form

$$A + \alpha B = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (\alpha^2\gamma_0^i{}_0 - \gamma_{000}y^i)\{\beta^3(M^2 + \alpha^2K^2) + \beta\alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(MQ + KP)\} \\ &\quad - 2\alpha^4s^i{}_0\{\beta^2(KL + \alpha^2MN) + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(LP + \alpha^4NQ)\} \\ &\quad + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i)\{\beta\alpha^2r_{00}(MQ + KP) + 2\alpha^2s_0(LP + \alpha^4NQ)\}, \\ B &= (\alpha^2\gamma_0^i{}_0 - \gamma_{000}y^i)\{2\beta^3KM + \beta(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(MP + \alpha^2KQ)\} \\ &\quad - 2\alpha^2s^i{}_0\{\beta^2(LM + \alpha^4KN) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(LQ + \alpha^2NP)\} \\ &\quad + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i)\{\beta r_{00}(MP + \alpha^2KQ) + 2\alpha^2s_0(LQ + \alpha^2NP)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since A, B are rational parts and α is an irrational part in y^i , we have $A = 0$ and $B = 0$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha^2\gamma_0^i{}_0 - \gamma_{000}y^i)\{\beta^3(M^2 + \alpha^2K^2) + \beta\alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(MQ + KP)\} \\ & - 2\alpha^4s^i{}_0\{\beta^2(KL + \alpha^2MN) + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(LP + \alpha^4NQ)\} \\ & + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i)\{\beta\alpha^2r_{00}(MQ + KP) + 2\alpha^2s_0(LP + \alpha^4NQ)\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha^2\gamma_0^i{}_0 - \gamma_{000}y^i)\{2\beta^3KM + \beta(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(MP + \alpha^2KQ)\} \\ & - 2\alpha^2s^i{}_0\{\beta^2(LM + \alpha^4KN) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(LQ + \alpha^2NP)\} \\ & + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i)\{\beta r_{00}(MP + \alpha^2KQ) + 2\alpha^2s_0(LQ + \alpha^2NP)\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Eliminating $(\alpha^2\gamma_0^i{}_0 - \gamma_{000}y^i)$ from (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2s^i{}_0[\alpha^2\{2\beta^2KM + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(MP + \alpha^2KQ)\} \\ & \quad \times \{\beta^2(KL + \alpha^2MN) + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(LP + \alpha^4NQ)\} \\ & \quad - \{\beta^2(M^2 + \alpha^2K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(MQ + KP)\} \\ & \quad \times \{\beta^2(LM + \alpha^4KN) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(LQ + \alpha^2NP)\}] \\ & - (\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i)[\{2\beta^2KM + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(MP + \alpha^2KQ)\} \\ & \quad \times \{\beta\alpha^2r_{00}(MQ + KP) + 2\alpha^2s_0(LP + \alpha^4NQ)\} \\ & \quad - \{\beta^2(M^2 + \alpha^2K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(MQ + KP)\} \\ & \quad \times \{\beta r_{00}(MP + \alpha^2KQ) + 2\alpha^2s_0(LQ + \alpha^2NP)\}] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Transvecting (3.7) by b_i , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2s_0 \left[\alpha^2 \{ 2\beta^2 KM + (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(MP + \alpha^2 KQ) \} (KL + \alpha^2 MN) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \{ \beta^2(M^2 + \alpha^2 K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(MQ + KP) \} (LM + \alpha^4 KN) \right] \quad (3.8) \\ & \quad - \beta r_{00} (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2) \{ 2\alpha^2 KM(MQ + KP) - (M^2 + \alpha^2 K^2)(MP + \alpha^2 KQ) \} \\ & \quad = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the term of (3.8) which seemingly does not contain α^2 is $2(\beta s_0 - r_{00})\beta^{8h-2}$. Therefore there exists $hp(8h-2) : V_{8h-2}$ such that

$$2(\beta s_0 - r_{00})\beta^{8h-2} = \alpha^2 V_{8h-2}. \quad (3.9)$$

We suppose that $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$ due to Lemma 2.2. From (3.9) there exists a function $k = k(x)$ satisfying $V_{8h-2} = k\beta^{8h-2}$, which leads to

$$2(\beta s_0 - r_{00}) = k\alpha^2. \quad (3.10)$$

Substituting (3.10) into (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & k(x) \left[\alpha^2 \{ 2\beta^2 KM + (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(MP + \alpha^2 KQ) \} (KL + \alpha^2 MN) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \{ \beta^2(M^2 + \alpha^2 K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(MQ + KP) \} (LM + \alpha^4 KN) \right] \\ & \quad + r_{00} \left\{ 2 \left[\{ 2\beta^2 KM + (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(MP + \alpha^2 KQ) \} (KL + \alpha^2 MN) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \beta^2(K^2 LM + \alpha^2 KM^2 N + \alpha^4 K^3 N) - (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(MQ + KP) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. (LM + \alpha^4 KN) \right] - 2\beta^2(\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)KM(MQ + KP) + \beta^2 b^2 [M^3 P \\ & \quad + \alpha^2 \{ KM(MQ + KP) + \alpha^2 K^3 Q \}] - \beta^4 \{ KM(MQ + KP) \\ & \quad \left. + \alpha^2 K^3 Q \} - \beta^2 M^3 (2L_1 + \beta^2 P_1) \right\} = 0, \quad (3.11) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^h (2k-1) \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k}, \\ P_1 &= \sum_{k=2}^h 2k(2k-1) \alpha^{2k-4} \beta^{2h-2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the term of (3.11) which seemingly does not contain α^2 is $\beta^{8h-3} \{ k\beta^2 + 2(b^2 - 7)r_{00} \}$. Thus there exists $hp(8h-3) : V_{8h-3}$ such that

$$\beta^{8h-3} \{ k\beta^2 + 2(b^2 - 7)r_{00} \} = \alpha^2 V_{8h-3}. \quad (3.12)$$

From (3.12) there exists a function $h = h(x)$ satisfying $V_{8h-3} = h(x)\beta^{8h-3}$, and hence

$$k(x)\beta^2 + 2(b^2 - 7)r_{00} = h(x)\alpha^2. \quad (3.13)$$

Since $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$, we obtain $k(x) = 0$, which leads to

$$r_{00} = \frac{h(x)}{2(b^2 - 7)}\alpha^2, \quad (3.14)$$

where we assume $b^2 \neq 7$.

Substituting $k(x) = 0$ and (3.14) into (3.10), we have

$$\beta s_0 = \frac{h(x)}{2(b^2 - 7)}\alpha^2,$$

which leads to $s_0 = 0$ by virtue of $h(x) = 0$, and hence $r_{00} = 0$ from (3.14), that is, $s_j = 0$ and $r_{ij} = 0$.

Substituting $s_0 = 0$ and $r_{00} = 0$ into (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & s^i_0 [\alpha^2 \{2\beta^2 KM + (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(MP + \alpha^2 KQ)\} \\ & \times \{\beta^2(KL + \alpha^2 MN) + (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(LP + \alpha^4 NQ)\} \\ & - \{\beta^2(M^2 + \alpha^2 K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(MQ + KP)\} \\ & \times \{\beta^2(LM + \alpha^4 KN) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(LQ + \alpha^2 NP)\}] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Hence the term of (3.15) which seemingly does not contain α^2 is $\beta^{8h+1}s^i_0$. Then there exists $hp(8h) : V_{8h}$ such that

$$\beta^{8h+1}s^i_0 = \alpha^2 V_{8h}. \quad (3.16)$$

From $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$, there exists from (3.16) a function $\rho = \rho(x)$ satisfying $V_{8h} = \rho(x)\beta^{8h}$, and hence

$$\beta s^i_0 = \rho(x)\alpha^2,$$

which leads to $s^i_0 = 0$ by virtue of $\rho(x) = 0$, that is, $s_{ij} = 0$.

Consequently we have $r_{ij} = 0$ and $s_{ij} = 0$, that is, $b_{i;j} = 0$ is obtained.

Next, substituting $s_0 = 0$, $r_{00} = 0$ and $s^i_0 = 0$ into (3.5) we have

$$(\alpha^2 \gamma_0^i - \gamma_{000} y^i) \{\beta^2(M^2 + \alpha^2 K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(MQ + KP)\} = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Thus the term of (3.17) which seemingly does not contain α^2 is $-\gamma_{000} y^i \beta^{4h}$. Therefore there exists $hp(1) : \mu_0 = \mu_i(x)y^i$ such that

$$\gamma_{000} = \mu_0 \alpha^2. \quad (3.18)$$

Substituting (3.18) into (3.17), we have

$$(\gamma_0^i - \mu_0 y^i)D = 0,$$

where

$$D = \beta^2(M^2 + \alpha^2 K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(MQ + KP). \quad (3.19)$$

From (3.19) if $D = 0$, then the term of $D = 0$ which seemingly does not contain α^2 is β^{4h} . In this case, there exists $hp(4h-2) : V_{4h-2}$ such that $\beta^{4h} = \alpha^2 V_{4h-2}$. Hence we have $V_{4h-2} = 0$, which leads to a contradiction, that is, $D \neq 0$. Therefore we obtain $\gamma_0^i = \mu_0 y^i$, that is,

$$2\gamma_j^i = \mu_j \delta_k^i + \mu_k \delta_j^i, \quad (3.20)$$

which shows that the associated Riemannian space is projectively flat.

Conversely it is easy to see that (3.2) is a consequence of $b_{i;j} = 0$ and (3.20).

(2) Case of $r = 2h + 1$, where h is a positive integer.

When $r = 2h + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^r k \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{k-1} &= \frac{1}{\beta^{2h}} \sum_{k=0}^{2h+1} k \alpha^{k-1} \beta^{2h-k+1}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^r (k-1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^k &= \frac{1}{\beta^{2h+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2h+1} (k-1) \alpha^k \beta^{2h-k+1}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^r k(k-1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{k-1} &= \frac{1}{\beta^{2h}} \sum_{k=0}^{2h+1} k(k-1) \alpha^{k-1} \beta^{2h-k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Separating the rational and irrational parts in y^i with respect to (3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2h+1} k \alpha^{k-1} \beta^{2h-k+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^h (2k+1) \alpha^{2k} \beta^{2h-2k} \\ &\quad + \alpha \sum_{k=1}^h 2k \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k+1} \\ &= O + \alpha \beta K, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{2h+1} (k-1) \alpha^k \beta^{2h-k+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^h (2k-1) \alpha^{2k} \beta^{2h-2k+1} \\ &\quad + \alpha^3 \sum_{k=1}^h 2k \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k} \\ &= \beta L + \alpha^3 K, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{2h+1} k(k-1) \alpha^{k-1} \beta^{2h-k+1} &= \alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^h (2k+1) 2k \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k} \\ &\quad + \alpha \left(\sum_{k=1}^h 2k(2k-1) \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k+1} \right) \\ &= \alpha^2 R + \alpha \beta P, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} O &= \sum_{k=0}^h (2k+1) \alpha^{2k} \beta^{2h-2k}, \\ R &= \sum_{k=1}^h (2k+1) 2k \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.21) and (3.22) into (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\beta(\alpha^2\gamma_0^i - \gamma_{000}y^i)(O + \alpha\beta K) - 2\alpha^3s_0^i(BL + \alpha^3K)\} \\ & \times \{\beta^2(O + \alpha\beta K) + \alpha(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(\alpha R + \beta P)\} \\ & + \alpha^3(\alpha R + \beta P)\{\beta r_{00}(O + \alpha\beta K) + 2\alpha s_0(\beta L + \alpha^3K)\} \\ & \times (\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Separating the rational and irrational parts in y^i , we obtain

$$A' + \alpha B' = 0,$$

that is, $A' = 0$ and $B' = 0$ because α is an irrational part in y^i , where

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= \beta(\alpha^2\gamma_0^i - \gamma_{000}y^i)\{\beta^2(O^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(OR + \beta^2KP)\} \\ & - 2\alpha^4s_0^i\{\beta^2(\beta^2LK + \alpha^2KO) + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(\beta^2LP + \alpha^4KR)\} \\ & + \alpha^4\{\beta r_{00}(OR + \beta^2KP) + 2s_0(\alpha^4KR + \beta^2LP)\}(\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B' &= \beta(\alpha^2\gamma_0^i - \gamma_{000}y^i)\{2\beta^2KO + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(OP + \alpha^2KR)\} \\ & - 2\alpha^2s_0^i\{\beta^2(LO + \alpha^4K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(LR + \alpha^2KP)\} \\ & + \alpha^2\{\beta r_{00}(\alpha^2KR + OP) + 2\alpha^2s_0(LR + \alpha^2KP)\}(\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

From (3.24) we have $-\gamma_{000}y^i\beta^{4h+3} = \alpha^2W_{4h+5}$, where W_{4h+5} is a $hp(4h+5)$. Therefore there exists $hp(1) : v_0$ satisfying

$$\gamma_{000} = v_0\alpha^2. \quad (3.26)$$

Next, eliminating $(\alpha^2\gamma_0^i - \gamma_{000}y^i)$ from (3.24) and (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2s_0^i[\alpha^2\{\beta^2(\beta^2LK + \alpha^2KO) + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(\beta^2LP + \alpha^4KR)\} \\ & \times \{2\beta^2KO + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(OP + \alpha^2KR)\} \\ & - \{\beta^2(LO + \alpha^4K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(LR + \alpha^2KP)\} \\ & \times \{\beta^2(O^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(OR + \beta^2KP)\}] \\ & - (\alpha^2b^i - \beta y^i)[\alpha^2\{\beta r_{00}(OR + \beta^2KP) + 2s_0(\alpha^4KR + \beta^2LP)\} \\ & \times \{2\beta^2KO + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(OP + \alpha^2KR)\} \\ & - \{\beta r_{00}(\alpha^2KR + OP) + 2\alpha^2s_0(LR + \alpha^2KP)\} \\ & \times \{\beta^2(O^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(OR + \beta^2KP)\}] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Transvecting (3.27) by b_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2s_0[\alpha^2(\beta^2LK + \alpha^2KO)\{2\beta^2KO + (\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(OP + \alpha^2KR)\} \\ & - (LO + \alpha^4K^2)\{\beta^2(O^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)(OR + \beta^2KP)\}] \\ & - \beta(\alpha^2b^2 - \beta^2)r_{00}\{2\alpha^2KO(OR + \beta^2KP) - (\alpha^2KR + OP)(O^2 \\ & + \alpha^2\beta^2K^2)\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

The terms of (3.28) which does not contain α^2 are found in $2\beta^{8h+1}(\beta s_0 - r_{00})$. Thus there exists $hp(8h+1) : W_{8h+1}$ such that

$$2\beta^{8h+1}(\beta s_0 - r_{00}) = \alpha^2 W_{8h+1}. \quad (3.29)$$

We suppose that $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$ owing to Lemma 2.2. Therefore there exists from (3.29) a function $f = f(x)$ satisfying $W_{8h+1} = f\beta^{8h+1}$, which leads to

$$2(\beta s_0 - r_{00}) = f(x)\alpha^2. \quad (3.30)$$

Substituting (3.30) into (3.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x)\alpha^2 [\alpha^2(\beta^2 LK + \alpha^2 KO)\{2\beta^2 KO + (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(OP + \alpha^2 KR)\} \\ & - (LO + \alpha^4 K^2)\{\beta^2(O^2 + \alpha^2 \beta^2 K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(OR + \beta^2 KP)\}] \\ & + r_{00} [2\alpha^2(\beta^2 LK + \alpha^2 KO)\{2\beta^2 KO + (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(OP + \alpha^2 KR)\} \\ & - 2\alpha^2 \beta^2 (\alpha^2 K^2 O^2 + \beta^2 K^2 LO + \alpha^4 \beta^2 K^4) - 2\alpha^2 (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(LO \\ & + \alpha^4 K^2)(OR + \beta^2 KP) - 2\alpha^2 \beta^2 (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2) KO(OR + \beta^2 KP) \\ & + \alpha^2 \beta^2 b^2 O^3 P + \alpha^4 \beta^2 b^2 (KO^2 R + \alpha^2 \beta^2 K^3 R + \beta^2 K^2 OP) \\ & - \alpha^2 \beta^4 (KO^2 R + \alpha^2 \beta^2 K^3 R + \beta^2 K^2 OP) - \beta^2 O^3 (2L + \beta^2 P)] \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

The term of (3.31) which does not contain α^2 is $-\beta^2 O^3 (2L + \beta^2 P)$, but the above term can find α^2 , that is,

$$-\beta^2 O^3 (2L + \beta^2 P) = -\alpha^2 \beta^2 O^3 (2L_1 + \beta^2 P_1), \quad (3.32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^h (2k-1) \alpha^{2k-2} \beta^{2h-2k}, \\ P_1 &= \sum_{k=2}^h 2k(2k-1) \alpha^{2k-4} \beta^{2h-2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.32) into (3.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x) [\alpha^2(\beta^2 LK + \alpha^2 KO)\{2\beta^2 KO + (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(OP + \alpha^2 KR)\} \\ & - (LO + \alpha^4 K^2)\{\beta^2(O^2 + \alpha^2 \beta^2 K^2) + \alpha^2(\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(OR + \beta^2 KP)\}] \\ & + r_{00} [2(\beta^2 LK + \alpha^2 KO)\{2\beta^2 KO + (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(OP + \alpha^2 KR)\} \\ & - 2\beta^2 (\alpha^2 K^2 O^2 + \beta^2 K^2 LO + \alpha^4 \beta^2 K^4) - 2(\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2)(LO \\ & + \alpha^4 K^2)(OR + \beta^2 KP) - 2\beta^2 (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2) KO(OR + \beta^2 KP) \\ & + \beta^2 b^2 O^3 P + \alpha^2 \beta^2 b^2 (KO^2 R + \alpha^2 \beta^2 K^3 R + \beta^2 K^2 OP) \\ & - \beta^4 (KO^2 R + \alpha^2 \beta^2 K^3 R + \beta^2 K^2 OP) - \beta^2 O^3 (2L_1 + \beta^2 P_1)] \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Thus the term of (3.33) which seemingly does not contain α^2 is included in the form: $\beta^{8h}\{f(x)\beta^2 + 2(b^2 - 7)r_{00}\}$. Therefore there exists $hp(8h) : W_{8h}$ such that

$$\beta^{8h}\{f(x)\beta^2 + 2(b^2 - 7)r_{00}\} = \alpha^2 W_{8h}. \quad (3.34)$$

In this case, there exists from (3.34) a function $g = g(x)$ satisfying $W_{8h} = g(x)\beta^{8h}$, which takes the follow of form

$$f(x)\beta^2 + 2(b^2 - 7)r_{00} = g(x)\alpha^2.$$

From $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$, it follows that $f(x)$ must vanish and hence we have

$$r_{00} = \frac{g(x)}{2(b^2 - 7)}\alpha^2, \quad (3.35)$$

where we assume $b^2 \neq 7$. Substituting $f(x) = 0$ and (3.35) into (3.30), we have

$$\beta s_0 = \frac{g(x)}{2(b^2 - 7)}\alpha^2,$$

which leads to $s_0 = 0$ and $r_{00} = 0$, that is, $s_j = 0$ and $r_{ij} = 0$. Substituting $s_0 = 0$ and $r_{00} = 0$ into (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & s^i_0 [\alpha^2 \{ \beta^2 (\beta^2 LK + \alpha^2 KO) + (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2) (\beta^2 LP + \alpha^4 KR) \} \\ & \{ 2\beta^2 KO + (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2) (OP + \alpha^2 KR) \} - \{ \beta^2 (LO + \alpha^4 K^2) \\ & + \alpha^2 (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2) (LR + \alpha^2 KP) \} \{ \beta^2 (O^2 + \alpha^2 \beta^2 K^2) \\ & + \alpha^2 (\alpha^2 b^2 - \beta^2) (OR + \beta^2 KP) \}] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Thus the term of (3.36) which seemingly does not contain α^2 is $\beta^{8h+4}s^i_0$. Then there exists $hp(8h+3) : W_{8h+3}$ such that

$$s^i_0 \beta^{8h+4} = \alpha^2 W_{8h+3}. \quad (3.37)$$

From $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$, there exists from (3.37) a function $h = h(x)$ satisfying $W_{8h+3} = h\beta^{8h+3}$, and hence

$$\beta s^i_0 = h(x)\alpha^2,$$

which leads to $s^i_0 = 0$, that is, $s_{ij} = 0$ by virtue of $h(x) = 0$.

Consequently we obtain $r_{ij} = 0$ and $s_{ij} = 0$, that is, $b_{i;j} = 0$ is obtained. Substituting $s_0 = 0$, $r_{00} = 0$, $s^i_0 = 0$ and (3.26) into (3.23), we have

$$\gamma_0^i = \mu_0 y^i,$$

which leads to

$$2\gamma_j^i = \mu_j \delta_k^i + \mu_k \delta_j^i, \quad (3.38)$$

which shows that the associated Riemannian space is projectively flat.

Conversely, it is easy to see that (3.2) is a consequence of $b_{i;j} = 0$ and (3.38).

Consequently we obtain the same results from both case of $r = 2h$ and case of $r = 2h + 1$.

Hence we have the following

Theorem 3.1. *A Finsler space F^n ($n > 2$) with an approximate infinite (α, β) -metric (2.1) provided $b^2 \neq 7$ is projectively flat if and only if $b_{i;j} = 0$ is satisfied, and the associated Riemannian space (M^n, α) is projectively flat if and only if $2\gamma_j^i{}_k = \mu_j\delta_k^i + \mu_k\delta_j^i$ is obtained. Then F^n is a Berwald space.*

References

- [1] P. L. Antonelli, R. Ingarden and M. Matsumoto, *The theory of sprays and Finsler spaces with applications in physics and biology*, Kluwer QAcad. Publ., Netherlands, 1993.
- [2] T. Aikou, M. Hashiguchi and K. Yamauchi, *On Matsumoto's Finsler space with time measure*, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ. (Math. Phys. Chem.) **23** (1990), 1–12.
- [3] S. Bácsó and M. Matsumoto, *Projective changes between Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric*, Tensor N. S. **55** (1994), 252–257.
- [4] M. Hashiguchi and Y. Ichijyō, *Randers spaces with rectilinear geodesics*, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ. (Math. Phys. Chem.) **13** (1980), 33–40.
- [5] M. Matsumoto, *Projective changes of Finsler metrics and projectively flat Finsler spaces*, Tensor N. S. **34** (1980), 303–315.
- [6] ———, *A slope of a mountain is a Finsler surface with respect to time measure*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **29** (1989), 17–25.
- [7] ———, *Projectively flat Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric*, Rep. on Math. Phys. **30** (1991), 15–20.
- [8] ———, *Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric of Douglas type*, Tensor, N. S. **60** (1998), 123–134.
- [9] ———, *Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces*, Kaiseisha Press, Saikawa, Ōtsu, Japan, 1986.
- [10] H. S. Park, I. Y. Lee, H. Y. Park and B. D. Kim, *On projectively flat Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **14** (1999), no. 2, 373–383.
- [11] H. S. Park, I. Y. Lee and C. K. park, *Finsler space with the genreal approximate Matsumoto metric*, Indian J. pure and appl. Math. **34** (2002), no. 1, 59–77.
- [12] I. Y. Lee, *On Berwald space with an approximate infinite series (α, β) -metric*, FJMS **29** (2008), Issue 3, 701–710.
- [13] I. Y. Lee, *On Douglas space with an approximate infinite series (α, β) -metric*, J. of the Chungcheong Math. Soc. **22** (2009), no. 4, 699–716.

IL-YONG LEE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGSUNG UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 608-736, KOREA

E-mail address: iylee@ks.ac.kr