J. Appl. Math. & Informatics Vol. **30**(2012), No. 1 - 2, pp. 347 - 352 Website: http://www.kcam.biz

# SOME ISOMORPHIC PROPERTIES OF NEAR-RINGS

YONG UK CHO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we denote that R is a near-ring and G an R-group. We initiate the study of faithful R-group, the substructures of R and G, also quotients of substructure relations between them. Next, we investigate some isomorphic properties of near-rings and R-groups.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 16Y30. *Key words and phrases* : zero symmetric part, constant part, representation, annihilator, monogenic *R*-group and homomorphism.

### 1. Introduction

A near-ring R is an algebraic system  $(R, +, \cdot)$  with two binary operations + and  $\cdot$  such that (R, +) is a group (not necessarily abelian) with neutral element 0,  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semigroup and a(b + c) = ab + ac for all a, b, c in R. We note that obviously, a0 = 0 and a(-b) = -ab for all a, b in R, but in general,  $0a \neq 0$  and  $(-a)b \neq -ab$ .

If R has a unity 1, then R is called *unitary*. An element d in R is called *distributive* if (a + b)d = ad + bd for all a and b in R.

An *ideal* of R is a subset I of R such that (i) (I, +) is a normal subgroup of (R, +), (ii)  $aI \subset I$  for all  $a \in R$ , (iii)  $(I + a)b - ab \subset I$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . If I satisfies (i) and (ii), then it is called a *left ideal* of R. If I satisfies (i) and (iii), then it is called a *left ideal* of R. If I satisfies (i) and (iii), then it is called a *R*.

On the other hand, an R-subgroup of R is a subset H of R such that (i) (H, +) is a subgroup of (R, +), (ii)  $RH \subset H$  and (iii)  $HR \subset H$ . If H satisfies (i) and (ii), then it is called a *left R-subgroup* of R. If H satisfies (i) and (iii), then it is called a *right R-subgroup* of R. In case that (H, +) is normal in above, we say that normal R-subgroup, normal left R-subgroup and normal right R-subgroup instead of R-subgroup, left R-subgroup and right R-subgroup, respectively. Note that the normal left R-subgroups of R are equivalent to the left ideals of R.

Received May 13, 2011. Revised September 7, 2011. Accepted September 17, 2011.

<sup>© 2012</sup> Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

#### Yong Uk Cho

We consider the following substructures of near-rings: Given a near-ring R,  $R_0 = \{a \in R \mid 0a = 0\}$  which is called the zero symmetric part of R,

 $R_c = \{a \in R \mid 0a = a\} = \{a \in R \mid ra = a, \text{ for all } r \in R\} = \{0a \in R \mid a \in R\}$ 

which is called the *constant part* of R, and  $R_d = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is distributive}\}$ which is called the *distributive part* of R.

A non-empty subset S of a near-ring R is said to be a *subnear-ring* of R, if S is a near-ring under the operations of R, equivalently, for all a, b in S,  $a - b \in S$  and  $ab \in S$ . Sometimes, we denote it by S < R.

We note that  $R_0$  and  $R_c$  are subnear-rings of R, but  $R_d$  is not a subnear-ring of R. A near-ring R with the extra axiom 0a = 0 for all  $a \in R$ , that is,  $R = R_0$  is said to be zero symmetric, also, in case  $R = R_c$ , R is called a *constant* near-ring, and in case  $R = R_d$ , R is called a *distributive* near-ring.

Moreover, we note that  $R_0$  is a right ideal of R, but not generally ideal of R, also  $R_c$  is an R-subgroup of R, but in general neither a right nor a left ideal of of R.

Let (G, +) be a group (not necessarily abelian). We may obtain some examples of near-rings as following:

First, if we define multiplication on G as xy = y for all x, y in G, then  $(G, +, \cdot)$  is a near-ring, because (xy)z = z = x(yz) and x(y+z) = y+z = xy+xz, for all x, y, z in G, but in general, 0x = 0 and (x + y)z = xz + yz are not true. These kinds of near-rings are constant near-rings.

Next, in the set

$$M(G) = \{ f \mid f : G \longrightarrow G \}$$

of all the self maps of G, if we define the sum f + g of any two mappings f, gin M(G) by the rule x(f + g) = xf + xg for all  $x \in G$  and the product  $f \cdot g$  by the rule  $x(f \cdot g) = (xf)g$  for all  $x \in G$ , then  $(M(G), +, \cdot)$  becomes a near-ring. It is called the *self map near-ring* on the group G. Also, we can define the substructures of  $(M(G), +, \cdot)$  as following:  $M_0(G) = \{f \in M(G) \mid 0f = 0\}$  and  $M_c(G) = \{f \in M(G) \mid f \text{ is constant}\}.$ 

For the remainder concepts and results on near-rings, we refer to G. Pilz [5].

## 2. Some isomorphic properties of near-rings and R-Groups

Let R and S be two near-rings. Then a mapping f from R to S is called a near-ring homomorphism [5] if (i) (a + b)f = af + bf, (ii) (ab)f = afbf, for all  $a, b \in R$ . Obviously, Rf < S and  $Tf^{-1} = \{a \in R | af \in T\} < R$  for any T < S. As in ring theory, Rf is called the image of f which is denoted by Imf, also,  $\{0\}f^{-1} = \{a \in R | af = 0\}$  is called the kernel of f which is denoted by Kerf.

We can replace homomorphism by monomorphism, epimorphism, isomorphism, endomorphism and automorphism, if these terms have their usual meanings as in ring theory [1].

348

Let R be any near-ring and G an additive group. Then G is called an R-group if there exists a near-ring homomorphism

$$\theta: (R, +, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M(G), +, \cdot).$$

Such a homomorphism  $\theta$  is called a *representation* of R on G. We denote it by  $G_R$ .

We may write that xr (as a scalar product in G) for  $x(r\theta)$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $r \in R$ . If R is unitary, then R-group G is called *unitary*. Thus an R-group is an additive group G satisfying (i) x(a + b) = xa + xb, (ii) x(ab) = (xa)b and (iii) x1 = x (if R has a unity 1), for all  $x \in G$  and  $a, b \in R$ .

Naturally, we can define a new concept of *R*-group: An *R*-group *G* is called *distributive*, in case (x + y)a = xa + ya, for all  $x, y \in G$  and for each  $a \in R$ . For example, every distributive near-ring *R* is a distributive *R*-group.

Evidently, every near-ring R can be given the structure of an R-group (unitary, if R is unitary) by right multiplication in R. Moreover, every group G has a natural M(G)-group structure, from the representation of M(G) on G by applying the  $f \in M(G)$  to the  $x \in G$  as a scalar multiplication xf.

A representation  $\theta$  of R on G is called *faithful* if  $Ker\theta = \{0\}$ , that is,  $\theta$  is a monomorphism, equivalently, xr = 0 implies r = 0, for all  $x \in G$  and  $r \in R$ . In this case, we say that G is called a *faithful R-group*.

For an *R*-group *G*, a subgroup *T* of *G* such that  $TR \subset T$  is called an *R*-subgroup of *G*, a normal subgroup *N* of *G* such that  $NR \subset N$  is called a normal *R*-subgroup of *G*, and an *R*-ideal of *G* is a normal subgroup *N* of *G* such that  $(N + x)a - xa \subset N$  for all  $x \in G$ ,  $a \in R$ . Also, note that the *R*-ideals of  $R_R$  are equivalent to the right ideals of *R*.

Let R be a near-ring and let G be an R-group. If there exists x in G such that G = xR, that is,  $G = \{xr \mid r \in R\}$ , then G is called a *monogenic* R-group and the element x is called a *generator* of G ([5]).

Also, for *R*-groups *G* and *T*, a mapping *f* from *G* to *T* is called an *R*-group homomorphism [5] if (i) (x + y)f = xf + yf, (ii) (xa)f = xfa, for all  $x, y \in G$  and  $a \in R$ . Analogously, we can consider monomorphism, epimorphism, isomorphism, endomorphism and automorphism for *R*-groups.

Now, we consider that the substructures of R and G, also quotients of substructure relations between them.

Let G be an R-group and K,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be nonempty subsets of G. Define

$$(K_1:K_2) := \{ a \in R | K_1 a \subset K_2 \}.$$

We abbreviate that for  $x \in G$ 

$$(\{x\}:K) =: (x:K).$$

Similarly, (K : x) is defined. (K : o) is called the *annihilator* of K in R, denoted it by Ann(K). We say that G is a *faithful R-group* or that R acts *faithfully* on G if  $Ann(G) = \{0\}$ , that is,  $(G : o) = \{0\}$ .

### Yong Uk Cho

**Lemma 2.1** ([3]). Let G be an R-group and  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  subsets of G. Then we have the following conditions:

- (1) If  $K_2$  is a (normal) subgroup of G, then  $(K_1 : K_2)$  is a (normal) subgroup of R.
- (2) If  $K_2$  is an R-subgroup of G, then  $(K_1 : K_2)$  is a right R-subgroup of R.
- (3) If  $K_2$  is an R-ideal of G and  $K_1$  is an R-subgroup of G, then  $(K_1 : K_2)$  is a two-sided ideal of R.

Corollary 2.2 ([3]). Let R be a near-ring and G an R-group.

- (1) For any  $x \in G$ , (x:o) is a right ideal of R.
- (2) For any R-subgroup K of G, (K:o) is a two-sided ideal of R.
- (3) For any subset K of G,  $(K:o) = \bigcap_{x \in K} (x:o)$ .
- (4) If G is faithful, then  $\bigcap_{x \in G} (x : o) = \{0\}.$

From now on, we will consider the isomorphism theorem in near-rings (or, R-groups) which is only mentioned already in [5], we can reprove it more concretely as following.

Let  $f : R \longrightarrow S$  be a near-ring homomorphism. Then certainly,  $f : R^+ \longrightarrow S^+$  be a group homomorphism, where  $R^+ = (R, +)$ , and so as group

$$R^+/Kerf \cong R^+f$$

Putting K := Kerf, (K, +) is a normal subgroup of (R, +) and  $R/K = \{a + K | a \in R\}$ . The addition in R defines an addition in R/K by

(a+K) + (b+K) = (a+b) + K.

This addition is well defined in group theory.

Would it make

$$(a+K)(b+K) = ab+K$$

a well defined binary operation? It is affirmative in the following statement:

**Lemma 2.3** (Isomorphism Theorem). Let K be the kernel of a near-ring homomorphism  $f : R \longrightarrow S$ . Then  $(R/K, +, \cdot) \cong Imf$ .

*Proof.* If (a+K)(b+K) = ab+K is a well defined binary operation, then easily,  $(R/K, +, \cdot)$  is a near-ring.

Suppose that a + K = a' + K and b + K = b' + K. Then there exist  $x, y \in K$  such that a = a' + x and b = b' + y. We need to show that ab + K = a'b' + K or equivalently,  $ab - a'b' \in K$ .

Now, ab = (a' + x)(b' + y) = (a' + x)b' + (a' + x)y. Since (a' + x)y is in K, putting (a' + x)y = k in K, ab = (a' + x)b' + k and  $ab - a'b' = (a' + x)b' + k - a'b' = (a' + x)b' - a'b' + k' \in K$ , for some  $k' \in K$ . Hence, multiplication is well defined.

As groups,  $(R/K, +) \cong (Rf, +)$ , where a mapping  $F : R/K \longrightarrow Rf$  which is defined by (a + K)F = af is the group isomorphism. Now, we have

$$((a+K)(b+K))F = (ab+K)F = abf = afbf = (a+K)F(b+K)F.$$

Consequently, F is a near-ring isomorphism.

### 350

We can obtain the following fundamental theorem in near-ring homomorphism:

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $f : R \longrightarrow S$  be a near-ring epimorphism with the kernel K of f, and let  $\pi : R \longrightarrow R/K$  defined by  $a\pi = a + K$  be the natural epimorphism. Then the isomorphism  $F : R/K \longrightarrow S$  which is defined by (a + K)F = af is unique such that  $\pi F = f$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3, there exists a near-ring isomorphism  $f : R \longrightarrow S$ .

Next, to show that  $\pi F = f$ , let  $a \in R$ , and we get  $a(\pi F) = (a\pi)F = (a + K)F = af$ . Hence,  $\pi F = f$ .

Finally, to show that the "uniqueness", if  $F' : R/K \longrightarrow S$  is a near-ring isomorphism such that  $\pi F' = f$ , then for all  $a + K \in R/K$ , we have

$$(a+K)F' = (a\pi)F' = a(\pi F') = af = (a\pi)F = (a+K)F.$$

Analogously, we can prove the isomorphism theorem and fundamental theorem for R-groups.

**Theorem 2.5.** If R is a near-ring and G an R-group, then R/Ann(G) is isomorphic to a subnear-ring of M(G).

*Proof.* Let  $a \in R$ . We define  $\tau_a : G \longrightarrow G$  by  $x\tau_a = xa$  for each  $x \in G$ . Then  $\tau_a$  is in M(G). Consider the mapping  $\phi : R \longrightarrow M(G)$  defined by  $a\phi = \tau_a$ . Then obviously, we see that  $(a + b)\phi = a\phi + b\phi$  and  $(ab)\phi = a\phi b\phi$ , that is,  $\phi$  is a near-ring homomorphism from R to M(G).

Next, we must show that  $Ker\phi = Ann(G)$ . Indeed, if  $a \in Ker\phi$ , then  $\tau_a = 0$ , which implies that  $Ga = G\tau_a = 0$ , that is,  $a \in Ann(G)$ . On the other hand, if  $a \in Ann(G)$ , then by the definition of Ann(G), Ga = 0 hence  $0 = \tau_a = a\phi$ , this implies that  $a \in Ker\phi$ . Therefore from the isomorphism theorem on Rgroups, the image of R is a near-ring isomorphic to R/Ann(G). Consequently, R/Ann(G) is isomorphic to a subnear-ring of M(G).

Thus we can obtain the following important statement as in ring theory.

**Corollary 2.6.** If G is a faithful R-group, then R is embedded in M(G).

**Theorem 2.7.** Let R be a near-ring and G an R-group. Then we have the following statements:

- Ann(G) is a two-sided ideal of R. Moreover G is a faithful R/Ann(G)group.
- (2) For any  $x \in G$ , we get  $xR \cong R/(x:o)$  as R-groups.

*Proof.* (1) By Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 2.1, Ann(G) is a two-sided ideal of R. We now make G an R/Ann(G)-group by defining, for  $r \in R, r + Ann(G) \in R/Ann(G)$ , the action x(r + Ann(G)) = xr. If r + Ann(G) = r' + Ann(G), then

 $-r' + r \in Ann(G)$  hence x(-r' + r) = 0 for all x in G, that is to say, xr = xr'. This tells us that

$$x(r + Ann(G)) = xr = xr' = x(r' + Ann(G));$$

thus the action of R/Ann(G) on G has been shown to be well defined. The verification of the structure of an R/Ann(G)-group is a routine triviality. Finally, to see that G is a faithful R/Ann(G)-group, we note that if x(r + Ann(G)) = 0 for all  $x \in G$ , then by the definition of R/Ann(G)-group structure, we have xr = 0. Hence  $r \in Ann(G)$ . This says that only the zero element of R/Ann(G) annihilates all of G. Thus G is a faithful R/Ann(G)-group.

(2) For any  $x \in G$ , clearly xR is an R-subgroup of G. The map  $\phi : R \longrightarrow xR$  defined by  $\phi(r) = xr$  is an R-epimorphism, so that from the isomorphism theorem in near-ring theory and the kernel of  $\phi$  is (x : o), we deduce that

$$xR \cong R/(x:o)$$

as *R*-groups.

### References

- 1. F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, (1974).
- 2. Y.U. Cho, Generalized bipotent subcommutative conditions, FJMS. 38 (2) (2010) 265–271.
- 3. Y.U. Cho, *Quotient substructures of R-groups*, J Applied Mathematics and Informatics **28 (1-2)** (2010) 345–349.
- S.J. Mahmood and J.D.P. Meldrum, D.G. near-rings on the infinite dihedral groups, Nearrings and Near-fields, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.(North-Holland), (1987) 151–166.
- 5. G. Pilz, *Near-rings*, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford (1983).

**Y. U. Cho** received his Ph.D at Kyung Pook National University under the direction of Prof. Young Soo Park in 1987. He visited five universities in U.S.A and Japan as a visiting professor, one time, the University of Louisiana at Lafayette in U.S.A (one year 1996.9-1997.8), second time, the Ohio University at Athens in U.S.A (one year 2002.3-2003.3), other times, the Okayama University in Japan (1999 one month, 2004 one month, during summer vacations), fifth time, the Florida Atlantic University at Florida in U.S.A (one year 2008.8-2009.7). Now he is acting members of the editorial board of JP-Journal of Algebra (India) from 2000 until now, East Asian Math Journal from 1999 until 2006, and JAMI from 2008 until now. His research interests focus on the theory of near-rings, in addition to application of ring and module theory.

Department of Mathematics Education, College of Education, Silla University, Pusan 617-736, Korea.

e-mail: yucho@silla.ac.kr