# GROUP OF POLYNOMIAL PERMUTATIONS OF $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ 

Kwankyu Lee and Heisook Lee


#### Abstract

The set of all polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ forms a group. We investigate the structure of the group and some related groups, and completely determine the structure of the group of all polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{2}}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $p^{r}$ be a prime power. If a polynomial over the Galois ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ induces a permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$, then it is called a permutation polynomial. For $r=1$, it is well-known that every permutation of the field $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is induced by a polynomial [4]. On the other hand, for $r>1$, not every permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ is induced by a polynomial. Hence the notion of a polynomial permutation, that is, permutation induced by a polynomial is meaningful in this case.

It is easy to see that the set of all polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ is a group. Indeed the set of all polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ is clearly closed under composition and is a finite subset of the symmetric group of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$, and hence forms a subgroup. We investigate the structure of this group and related groups. In particular, we completely determine the structure of the group of all polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{2}}$. Along the way, we review some known results about polynomial permutations and in general polynomial functions of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$, giving simpler proofs than in literature.

Let us consider the set $\mathcal{P}_{p^{r}}$ of all permutation polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}[x]$ and the set $V_{p^{r}}$ of all polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}[x]$ inducing the zero function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$. Let

$$
P_{p^{r}}=\left\{\overline{f(x)} \mid f(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{p^{r}}\right\},
$$

where $\overline{f(x)}=f(x)+V_{p^{r}}$. Then $P_{p^{r}}$ is a monoid under polynomial composition, naturally isomorphic to the group of all polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$. Thus our object of study is $P_{p^{r}}$. We write $f(x) \approx g(x)$ when two polynomials induce the same function on the base ring.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $m$ be a positive integer. Several authors $[3,5,8]$ presented somewhat complicated proofs for the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $m$ be a positive integer. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}[x]$. Then $f(x)$ induces the zero function on $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ if and only if it can be written in the form

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n} m}{\operatorname{gcd}(n!, m)} x^{\underline{n}}, \quad 0 \leq a_{n}<\operatorname{gcd}(n!, m),
$$

where $x^{\underline{n}}$ denotes the falling power $x(x-1) \cdots(x-n+1)$.
Proof. Note that a polynomial can be expressed uniquely as $f(x)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{\underline{n}}$ with $b_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$. So $f(x)$ induces the zero function on $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{k} b_{n} k^{n}=0 \quad \text { for all } k \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $b_{k} k$ ! divides $b_{k} n^{\underline{k}}$ as the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}=n^{\underline{k}} / k$ ! is an integer. Thus a condition equivalent to (1) is for the coefficients $b_{k}$ to satisfy $b_{k} k!=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ for all $k \geq 0$. Since all solutions of the last equation are

$$
b_{k}=\frac{a m}{\operatorname{gcd}(k!, m)}, \quad 0 \leq a<\operatorname{gcd}(k!, m),
$$

we obtain the result.
Corollary 2.2. Every polynomial function on $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ has a unique polynomial representation of the form

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} b_{n} x^{n}, \quad 0 \leq b_{n}<\frac{m}{\operatorname{gcd}(n!, m)} .
$$

Carlitz [1] gave several characterizations of polynomial functions on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$. In particular, his Theorem 3 gives a characterization most interesting to us, but it is proved in an indirect way. We give a constructive proof of the result in a slightly modified form.

Theorem 2.3. A function $\chi$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ is induced by a polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ if and only if there are some functions $\chi_{i}: \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}, 0 \leq i \leq r-1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(c+k p)=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(k p)^{i} \chi_{i}(c) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0 \leq c<p, 0 \leq k<p^{r-1}$. If a polynomial $f(x)$ induces $\chi$, then $f(c)=\chi_{0}(c)$ and $f^{\prime}(c) \equiv \chi_{1}(c)(\bmod p)$ for $0 \leq c<p$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq c<p, 0 \leq k<p^{r-1}$ throughout. Suppose $\chi$ is induced by a polynomial $f(x)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(c+k p)=f(c+k p)=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(k p)^{i} \frac{f^{(i)}(c)}{i!} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k \geq 0$. It is easy to see that $\frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!}$ is in fact a polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore we can take $\chi_{i}$ defined by $\chi_{i}(c)=f^{(i)}(c) / i$ ! for $0 \leq c<p$ and $0 \leq i \leq r-1$.

To prove the converse, let $\chi$ be a function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ satisfying (2). Carlitz's interpolation formula [1] says that for $0 \leq c<p$, the polynomial $L_{c}(x)=\left(1-(x-c)^{p-1}\right)^{p^{r-1}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ satisfies

$$
L_{c}(a)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } a \equiv c & (\bmod p) \\
0 & \text { if } a \not \equiv c & (\bmod p)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$. Now let $f_{i}(x)=\sum_{e=0}^{p-1} \chi_{i}(e) L_{e}(x)$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. Note that $f_{i}(c+k p)=\chi_{i}(c)$. Let $g(x)=x-\sum_{e=0}^{p-1} e L_{e}(x)$. Note that $g(c+k p)=k p$. Finally we define a polynomial $f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} g(x)^{i} f_{i}(x)$. The polynomial $f(x)$ indeed induces $\chi$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ since

$$
f(c+k p)=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} g(c+k p)^{i} f_{i}(c+k p)=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(k p)^{i} \chi_{i}(c)=\chi(c+k p)
$$

Finally suppose a polynomial $f(x)$ induces $\chi$. We have $f(c)=\chi(c)=$ $\chi_{0}(c)$, and $f(c+p) \equiv \chi_{0}(c)+p \chi_{1}(c)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. Hence

$$
f(c+p)-f(c) \equiv p \chi_{1}(c) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

On the other hand by (3),

$$
f(c+p)-f(c) \equiv f(c)+p f^{\prime}(c)-f(c)=p f^{\prime}(c) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

Therefore $p f^{\prime}(c) \equiv p \chi_{1}(c)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$, and hence $f^{\prime}(c) \equiv \chi_{1}(c)(\bmod p)$.

For $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}[x]$, let $\bar{f}(x)$ denote the polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ obtained from $f(x)$ by reducing the coefficients modulo $p$. Keller and Olson [3] observed that the following theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 123 in [2].

Theorem 2.4. Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}[x]$. Then $f(x)$ induces a permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ if and only if $\bar{f}(x)$ induces a permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $\bar{f}^{\prime}(c) \neq 0$ for every $c$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

A characterization of permutation polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{r}}$ by Rivest [7] is a consequence of the above theorem. Using the same result, Keller and Olson [3] and Mullen and Stevens [5] counted the number of polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$. See Theorem 2.7.

Lemma 2.5. For $r \geq 2 p$, $\left(x^{\underline{r}}\right)^{\prime} \approx 0$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. For $p \leq r<2 p$, $\left(x^{\underline{r}}\right)^{\prime} \approx-x \underline{r-p}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Proof. Note that $\left(x^{\underline{r}}\right)^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} x^{i}(x-i-1) \underline{r-1-i}$. If $r \geq 2 p$, then $i \geq p$ or $r-1-i \geq p$ so that $\left(x^{r}\right)^{\prime} \approx 0$. Note that $x^{p}-\left(x^{p}-x\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ because the left side is a polynomial of degree $<p$ vanishing on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Therefore if $p \leq r<2 p$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x^{\underline{r}}\right)^{\prime} & =\left(x^{\underline{p}}(x-p) \underline{r-p}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\left(x^{p}-x\right) x \underline{r-p}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =-x \underline{\underline{r-p}}+\left(x^{p}-x\right)(x \underline{r-p})^{\prime} \approx-x \underline{r-p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $s \geq 2 p$. There are $p!(p-1)^{p} p^{s-2 p}$ number of polynomials $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ of degree $<s$ inducing a permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $f^{\prime}(c) \neq 0$ for every $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Proof. Let $f(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x^{\underline{1}}+a_{2} x^{\underline{2}}+\cdots+a_{s-1} x \underline{s-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) \approx & a_{0}+a_{1} x^{\underline{1}}+a_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{p-1} x \underline{p-1} \\
f^{\prime}(x) \approx & a_{1}+a_{2}\left(x^{\underline{2}}\right)^{\prime}+\cdots+a_{p-1}(x \underline{p-1})^{\prime} \\
& -a_{p}-a_{p+1} x-a_{p+2} x^{\underline{2}}-\cdots-a_{2 p-1} x \underline{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(0) & =\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{p-1}\left(x \frac{p-1}{}\right)^{\prime}\right)_{\mid x=0}-a_{p} \\
f^{\prime}(1) & =\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{p-1}(x \underline{p-1})^{\prime}\right)_{\mid x=1}-a_{p}-a_{p+1} \\
f^{\prime}(2) & =\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{p-1}(x \underline{p-1})^{\prime}\right)_{\mid x=2}-a_{p}-a_{p+1} 2-a_{p+2} 2!, \\
& \vdots \\
f^{\prime}(p-1) & =\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{p-1}(x \underline{p-1})^{\prime}\right)_{\mid x=p-1}-a_{p}-\cdots-a_{2 p-1}(p-1)!.
\end{aligned}
$$

Because there are $p$ ! polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, there are $p$ ! choices of the coefficients $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p-1}$ for $f(x)$ to induce a permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. For $f^{\prime}(x)$ not to vanish on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, there are $p-1$ choices for each coefficient $a_{p}, a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{2 p-1}$. And the coefficient $a_{r}$ for $r \geq 2 p$ can be chosen arbitrarily in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Thus we get the number.

Theorem 2.7. Let $r \geq 2$. The number of polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p!(p-1)^{p} p^{r p^{r}-2 p}}{\prod_{n=0}^{p^{r}-1} \operatorname{gcd}\left(n!, p^{r}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Every polynomial permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ is induced by a polynomial of degree $<p^{r}$. A polynomial $f(x)$ of degree $<p^{r}$ induces a permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ if and only if $\bar{f}(x)$ is one of the $p!(p-1)^{p} p^{p^{r}-2 p}$ number of polynomials satisfying the condition in Theorem 2.4. It follows that there are $p!(p-1)^{p} p^{p^{r}-2 p} \times p^{(r-1) p^{r}}$ number of polynomials $f(x)$ of degree $<p^{r}$ inducing a permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$. But theses polynomials are divided into classes such that $\prod_{n=0}^{p^{r}-1} \operatorname{gcd}\left(n!, p^{r}\right)$ number of polynomials in the same class induce the same function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ by Theorem 2.1.

## 3. The group of basic permutation polynomials

In view of Theorem 2.4, we define a basic permutation polynomial $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ as a permutation polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that its derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$ never vanishes on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{p}$ the set of all basic permutation polynomials.

Lemma 3.1. Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$. Both of $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$ induce the zero function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ if and only if $f(x)=h(x)\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{2}$ with some $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$.

Proof. If $f(x)=h(x)\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{2}$, then $f^{\prime}(x)=h^{\prime}(x)\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{2}-2 h(x)\left(x^{p}-\right.$ $x$ ), and hence $f(x) \approx 0$ and $f^{\prime}(x) \approx 0$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Let us suppose conversely, and write $f(x)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} x \underline{n}$. Then

$$
f(x) \approx a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{\underline{2}}+\cdots+a_{p-1} x \underline{p-1}
$$

As $f(x) \approx 0$, it follows that $a_{0}=a_{1}=\cdots=a_{p-1}=0$. Now by Lemma 2.5,

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{n \geq p} a_{n}\left(x^{\underline{n}}\right)^{\prime} \approx-a_{p}-a_{p+1} x-a_{p+2} x^{\underline{2}}-\cdots-a_{2 p-1} x \underline{p-1}
$$

As $f^{\prime}(x) \approx 0$, we also have $a_{p}=a_{p+1}=\cdots=a_{2 p-1}=0$. Hence
$f(x)=\sum_{n \geq 2 p} x^{\underline{n}}=\sum_{n \geq 2 p} x^{\underline{p}}(x-p)^{\underline{p}}(x-2 p) x \underline{n-2 p}=\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{2} \sum_{n \geq 2 p} x \underline{n-2 p}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $r \geq 2$. If $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}[x]$ induces the zero function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$, then $\bar{f}(x)=h(x)\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{2}$ for some $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$.

Proof. Suppose $f(x) \approx 0$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$. Then by Theorem 2.1, we can write

$$
f(x)=a_{p} p^{r-1} x \underline{p}+a_{p+1} p^{r-1} x \underline{p+1}+\cdots+a_{2 p-1} p^{r-1} x \underline{2 p-1}+\sum_{n \geq 2 p} a_{n} x^{\underline{n}}
$$

Therefore $\bar{f}(x)=\sum_{n \geq 2 p} a_{n} x \underline{n}=\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{2} \sum_{n \geq 2 p} a_{n} x \underline{n-2 p}$.
We define

$$
B_{p}=\left\{\overline{f(x)} \mid f(x) \in \mathcal{B}_{p}\right\}
$$

where $\overline{f(x)}$ denotes the set $\left\{f(x)+h(x)\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{2} \mid h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]\right\}$. By Lemma 3.1, note that $\overline{f(x)}=\overline{g(x)}$ if and only if $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are basic permutation polynomials inducing the same permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and their derivatives also induce the same nonvanishing function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Lemma 3.3. $B_{p}$ is a group under polynomial composition. Let $r \geq 2$. We have a surjective group homomorphism

$$
\varphi: P_{p^{r}} \rightarrow B_{p}
$$

defined by reduction modulo $p$, that is $\overline{f(x)} \mapsto \bar{f}(x)$.
Proof. We first show that polynomial composition gives a well-defined operation on $B_{p}$. Let $\overline{f_{1}(x)}=\overline{g_{1}(x)}$ and $\overline{f_{2}(x)}=\overline{g_{2}(x)}$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x)=g_{1}(x)+h_{1}(x)\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{2} \\
& f_{2}(x)=g_{2}(x)+h_{2}(x)\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $h_{1}(x)$ and $h_{2}(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$. Note that $f_{2} \circ f_{1}(x)$ is in $\mathcal{B}_{p}$ since $f_{2} \circ f_{1}(x)$ induces a permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and

$$
\left(f_{2} \circ f_{1}\right)^{\prime}(x)=f_{2}^{\prime}\left(f_{1}(x)\right) f_{1}^{\prime}(x)
$$

does not vanish on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Similarly $g_{2} \circ g_{1}(x)$ is in $\mathcal{B}_{p}$. Note that $f_{2}\left(f_{1}(x)\right)$ and $g_{2}\left(g_{1}(x)\right)$ induce the same function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, and so do their derivatives $f_{2}^{\prime}\left(f_{1}(x)\right) f_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ and $g_{2}^{\prime}\left(g_{1}(x)\right) g_{1}^{\prime}(x)$. Therefore by Lemma 3.1, there is a polynomial $h(x)$ such that

$$
f_{2} \circ f_{1}(x)-g_{2} \circ g_{1}(x)=h(x)\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{2} .
$$

This verifies that polynomial composition gives a well-defined operation on $B_{p}$. Hence $B_{p}$ is a monoid with identity $\bar{x}$.

By Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.2, the natural map

$$
\varphi: P_{p^{r}} \rightarrow B_{p}
$$

is well-defined and a surjective monoid homomorphism from a group to a monoid. It follows that $B_{p}$ is in fact a group, and $\varphi$ is a group homomorphism.

Through the following series of lemmas, we reveal the structure of the group $B_{p}$ completely. See Theorem 3.7.

Lemma 3.4. We have a surjective group homomorphism

$$
\psi: B_{p} \rightarrow P_{p}
$$

defined by $\overline{f(x)} \mapsto \overline{f(x)}$.
Proof. It is clear that $\psi$ is a well-defined group homomorphism. To see $\psi$ is surjective, observe that if

$$
f(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x^{1}+\cdots+a_{p-1} x \underline{\underline{p-1}}
$$

is a permutation polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, then we can find $a_{p}, a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{2 p-1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that the polynomial

$$
g(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x^{\underline{1}}+\cdots+a_{p-1} x^{\underline{p-1}}+a_{p} x^{\underline{p}}+\cdots+a_{2 p-1} x^{\underline{2 p-1}}
$$

is a basic permutation polynomial. Indeed $a_{p}, a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{2 p-1}$ are chosen successively to satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(0) & =\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{p-1}\left(x^{\underline{p-1}}\right)^{\prime}\right)_{\mid x=0}-a_{p} \neq 0, \\
g^{\prime}(1) & =\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{p-1}\left(x^{\underline{p-1}}\right)^{\prime}\right)_{\mid x=1}-a_{p}-a_{p+1} \neq 0, \\
g^{\prime}(2) & =\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{p-1}(x \underline{\underline{p-1}})^{\prime}\right)_{\mid x=2}-a_{p}-a_{p+1} 2-a_{p+2} 2!\neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\vdots
$$

$g^{\prime}(p-1)=\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{p-1}\left(x^{\underline{p-1}}\right)^{\prime}\right)_{\mid x=p-1}-a_{p}-\cdots-a_{2 p-1}(p-1)!\neq 0$.
Then $g(x) \approx f(x)$, and $\psi(\overline{g(x)})=\overline{f(x)}$.
Let us define

$$
M_{p}=\text { group of all functions from } \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}
$$

under usual pointwise multiplication operation. Note that $M_{p}$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}\right)^{p}, p$-times direct product of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$.

Lemma 3.5. The kernel of $\psi$ is isomorphic to $M_{p}$.
Proof. Define $\lambda: \operatorname{ker} \psi \rightarrow M_{p}$ by mapping $\overline{f(x)}$ to the function $\tau$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ induced by $f^{\prime}(x)$. It is clearly well-defined. To see $\lambda$ is a group homomorphism, observe that for $\overline{f(x)}, \overline{g(x)}$ in ker $\psi$,

$$
(f \circ g)^{\prime}(x)=f^{\prime}(g(x)) g^{\prime}(x) \approx f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x)
$$

because $g(x)$ induces the identity permutation on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, and hence $\lambda(\overline{f \circ g(x)})$ $=\lambda(\overline{f(x)}) \lambda(\overline{g(x)})$. Injectivity is clear. Finally to show that $\lambda$ is surjective, let $\tau$ be a function in $M_{p}$. Let $f(x)=x+h(x)\left(x^{p}-x\right)$ where $h(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $<p$ we now determine. Since $f^{\prime}(x) \approx 1-h(x)$, we need to have $h(c)=1-\tau(c)$ for every $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. There is a unique polynomial $h(x)$ of degree $<p$ satisfying this condition. With this $h(x)$, we have $\overline{f(x)} \mapsto \tau$.

Lemma 3.6. The exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \psi \longrightarrow B_{p} \xrightarrow{\psi} P_{p} \longrightarrow 1
$$

splits. Hence $B_{p}$ is the semidirect product of $P_{p}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \psi$.
Proof. We now define a homomorphism $\rho: P_{p} \rightarrow B_{p}$ such that $\psi \circ \rho$ is the identity on $P_{p}$. Let $\overline{g(x)} \in P_{p}$. Let $f(x)=g(x)+\left(g^{\prime}(x)-1\right)\left(x^{p}-x\right)$. Then $f(x) \approx g(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=1+g^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(x^{p}-x\right) \approx 1$. Therefore $f(x)$ is a basic permutation polynomial. Thus we define $\rho: P_{p} \rightarrow B_{p}$ by $\overline{g(x)} \mapsto \overline{f(x)}$. Then $\rho: P_{p} \rightarrow B_{p}$ is a well-defined group homomorphism.

Suppose $\rho(\overline{g(x)})=\overline{f(x)}$ with $\overline{g(x)} \in P_{p}$. Then by the definition of $\underline{\rho, f(x)}$ and $g(x)$ induce the same function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Therefore $\psi(\overline{f(x)})=$ $\overline{g(x)}$. Hence $\psi \circ \rho$ is the identity on $P_{p}$.

In Lemma 3.5, we saw ker $\psi$ is isomorphic to $M_{p}$ that is $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}\right)^{p}$. Recall that $P_{p}$ is isomorphic to

$$
S_{p}=\text { symmetric group of } p \text { letters, }
$$

because every permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is induced by a polynomial. Thus we obtain the following theorem that determines the structure of the group $B_{p}$.

Theorem 3.7. $B_{p}$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $M_{p} \rtimes_{\alpha} S_{p}$ where $\alpha: S_{p} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{p}\right)$ is described by $\alpha(\sigma)(\tau)=\tau \circ \sigma$ for each $\sigma \in S_{p}$, $\tau \in M_{p}$.

## 4. Group of polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$

From now on, we will regard the elements of $P_{p^{r}}$ as functions on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ rather than equivalence classes of polynomials.

Let $r \geq 2$. We now show that there is a natural copy of $B_{p}$ inside of $P_{p^{r}}$. Let $\overline{f(x)} \in B_{p}$. Let $\sigma$ be the permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ that $f(x)$ induces. Let $\tau$ be the nonvanishing function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ that $f^{\prime}(x)$ induces. We then define a permutation $\chi_{f}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{f}(a)=\sigma(c)+k p \tau(c) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a=c+k p$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$. It is easy to see that $\chi_{f}$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$. By Theorem 2.3, it is then indeed a polynomial permutation. Define the $\operatorname{map} \xi: B_{p} \rightarrow P_{p^{r}}$ by $\overline{f(x)} \mapsto \chi_{f}$.

Lemma 4.1. The map $\xi: B_{p} \rightarrow P_{p^{r}}$ is an injective group homomorphism.

Proof. Let $\overline{f_{1}(x)}, \overline{f_{2}(x)}$ be in $B_{p}$. Suppose $f_{1}(x), f_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ induce $\sigma_{1}, \tau_{1}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, respectively and $f_{2}(x), f_{2}^{\prime}(x)$ induce $\sigma_{2}, \tau_{2}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, respectively. Then $f_{1} \circ f_{2}(x)$ induces $\sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. and $\left(f_{1} \circ f_{2}\right)^{\prime}(x)=f_{1}^{\prime}\left(f_{2}(x)\right) f_{2}^{\prime}(x)$ induces $\left(\tau_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}\right) \tau_{2}$. Observe that for every $a=c+k p$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{f_{1}} \circ \chi_{f_{2}}(a) & =\chi_{f_{1}}\left(\sigma_{2}(c)+k p \tau_{2}(c)\right) \\
& =\sigma_{1}\left(\sigma_{2}(c)\right)+k p \tau_{2}(c) \tau_{1}\left(\sigma_{2}(c)\right) \\
& =\sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}(c)+k p\left(\tau_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}\right)(c) \tau_{2}(c) \\
& =\chi_{f_{1} \circ f_{2}}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\xi$ is a group homomorphism. If $\chi_{f}$ is the identity permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$, then $\sigma(c)=c$ and $\tau(c)=1$ for $0 \leq c<p$, so $\overline{f(x)}$ is the identity of $B_{p}$. Hence $\xi$ is injective.

Lemma 4.2. The exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \varphi \longrightarrow P_{p^{r}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} B_{p} \longrightarrow 1
$$

splits. Hence $P_{p^{r}}$ is the semidirect product of $B_{p}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$.
Proof. Let us show that the composition $\varphi \circ \xi$ is the identity on $B_{p}$. Let $\overline{f(x)}$ be in $B_{p}$. Let $\chi_{f}$ be the permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ defined by (5). Suppose a polynomial $g(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}[x]$ induces $\chi_{f}$. Then by Theorem 2.3, $\bar{g}(x)$ and $\bar{g}^{\prime}(x)$ induce $\sigma$ and $\tau$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Hence $\varphi\left(\chi_{f}\right)=\overline{f(x)}$.

The following theorem characterizes the polynomial permutations in $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$. Let $\iota$ denote the identity permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$.

Lemma 4.3. A permutation $\chi$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ is in $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ if and only if $\chi=\iota+\mu$ where $\mu$ is a polynomial function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ satisfying $\mu(c) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $\mu(c+p) \equiv \mu(c)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ for $0 \leq c<p$. The condition for $\mu$ is equivalent to that $\mu$ is induced by a polynomial $f(x)$ satisfying $f(c) \equiv f^{\prime}(c) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod p)$ for $0 \leq c<p$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq c<p$ and $0 \leq k<p^{r-1}$ throughout. Suppose $\chi \in$ $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$. Then $\chi$ is induced by a polynomial $f(x)$ satisfying $f(c) \equiv c$ $(\bmod p)$ and $f^{\prime}(c) \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Since $\chi$ is a polynomial function, by Theorem 2.3, there exist $\chi_{i}: \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ such that

$$
\chi(c+k p)=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(k p)^{i} \chi_{i}(c)
$$

and $f(c)=\chi_{0}(c)$ and $f^{\prime}(c) \equiv \chi_{1}(c)(\bmod p)$. It follows that $\chi_{0}(c) \equiv c$ $(\bmod p)$ and $\chi_{1}(c) \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. So we can write $\chi_{0}(c)=c+p \tilde{\chi}_{0}(c)$ and $\chi_{1}(c)=1+p \tilde{\chi}_{1}(c)$. Then

$$
\chi(c+k p)=c+p \tilde{\chi}_{0}(c)+k p\left(1+p \tilde{\chi}_{1}(c)\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{r-1}(k p)^{i} \chi_{i}(c)
$$

If we define $\mu$ by

$$
\mu(c+k p)=\tilde{\chi}_{0}(c) p+(k p) \tilde{\chi}_{1}(c) p+\sum_{i=2}^{r-1}(k p)^{i} \chi_{i}(c)
$$

then $\chi=\iota+\mu$ and $\mu$ is a polynomial function by Theorem 2.3 satisfying $\mu(c) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $\mu(c+p) \equiv \tilde{\chi}_{0}(c) p=\mu(c)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$.

The converse is proved by reversing the above argument. The equivalent condition for $\mu$ follows by Theorem 2.3.

Let $r=2$. In this case, the structure of $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is particularly simple. Let

$$
T_{p}=\text { group of all functions } \gamma: \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

with usual pointwise addition operation. Note that $T_{p}$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{p}$, p-times direct product of the additive cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Lemma 4.4. The subgroup $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ of $P_{p^{2}}$ is isomorphic to $T_{p}$.
Proof. Let $0 \leq c, k<p$ throughout. By Lemma 4.3, $\chi \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi$ if and only if $\chi=\iota+\mu$ where $\mu$ satisfies $\mu(c+k p)=\tilde{\mu}_{0}(c) p$ with an arbitrary function $\tilde{\mu}_{0}$ from $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. In other words, $\chi \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi$ if and only if $\chi(c+k p)=c+k p+p \gamma(c)$ with an an arbitrary function $\gamma$ from $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. If $\chi_{1}(c+k p)=c+k p+p \gamma_{1}(c)$ and $\chi_{2}(c)=c+k p+p \gamma_{2}(c)$, then $\chi_{2} \circ \chi_{1}(c+k p)=\chi_{2}\left(c+k p+p \gamma_{1}(c)\right)=c+k p+p \gamma_{1}(c)+p \gamma_{2}(c)=$ $c+k p+p\left(\gamma_{1}(c)+\gamma_{2}(c)\right)$. This shows that $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is isomorphic to the additive group $T_{p}$.

Theorem 4.5. The group of polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{2}}$ is isomorphic to

$$
T_{p} \rtimes_{\beta}\left(M_{p} \rtimes_{\alpha} S_{p}\right),
$$

where $\beta: M_{p} \rtimes_{\alpha} S_{p} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(T_{p}\right)$ is given by $\beta(\tau, \sigma)(\gamma)=(\gamma \tau) \circ \sigma^{-1}$.
It follows that the order of the group $P_{p^{2}}$ is $p^{p}(p-1)^{p} p$ !, which is verified by Theorem 2.7. Moreover from Theorem 4.5, we see that a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $P_{p^{2}}$ of order $p^{p+1}$ is the same with that of the Sylow $p$-subgroup of the symmetric group $S_{p^{2}}$, namely the wreath product of the additive group $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with itself.

## 5. Remarks

We could determine the structure of $P_{p^{2}}$ because of the simple structure of $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ in the case $r=2$. However for $r>2$ cases, the structure of $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ seems to be more complicated, and we could not resolve it yet. This remains as a future work.

Starting with [6], Nöbauer had studied polynomial permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$, from the same point of view with ours. However, it seems that there is no duplication among his and our works.
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