Synthesis of Novel Fluorophores Derived from Pyranylidenemalonitrile[†]

Man Sub Shin, Sang Jin Lee, Sung Min Chin, Seung Hee Lee, D.M. Pore,[†] YoonKook Park, Sueg-Geun Lee,[‡] and Kwang-Jin Hwang*

Department of Bio & Chemical Engineering, Hongik University, Jochiwon, Chungnam 339-701, Korea * E-mail: kjhwang@hongik.ac.kr *Depatment of Chemistry, Shivaji University, Kolhapur 416004, India *Center for Chemical Analysis, Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, P.O. Box 107, Yusung, Daejon 305-606, Korea Received March 16 2011, Accepted March 29, 2011

Key Words : Fluorophores, Pyranylidenemalononitrile, Pyridinylidenemalononitrile

The synthesis and characterization of new fluorophores is receiving increasing attention because of the potential applications of fluorophores as light emitting materials in LED¹⁻³ and fluorometric probes for biomolecules.^{4,5} For this purpose 2-(2,6-dimethyl-pyran-4-ylidene)-malononitrile (DPM) is used as a key intermediate for synthesis of the red emission dyes viz. 4-(dicyanomethylene)-2-methyl-6-(p-dimethylaminostyryl)-4H-pyran(DCM),⁶ 4-(dimethylene-2-methyl-6-[2-(2,3,6, 7-tetrahydro-1H,5H-benzo[ij]quinolizin-8-yl)vinyl]-4H-pyran as well as in the synthesis of the symmetrical DCM derivative, 4-(dicyanomethylene)-2,6-bis(4-dimethylaminostyryl)-4*H*-pyran.⁷ Now a days, these materials have become attractive because of their tunable electronic properties, representing low excitation gap and are suitable for electron or hole transferring in various electronic devices. In an effort to develop a novel fluorescent chromophores useful in lightinvolved electronic devices also applicable in fluorometric diagnosis, we synthesized and identified novel fluorophores **OPM**, **HPM** and **DDP** showing the emissions (λ_{max}) in a range of 410-425 nm. The new chromophores were derived from **DPM** by the reactions with primary or secondary amines with the selectivity in their formations under the different reaction conditions.

Reaction of **DPM** with piperidine (4.5 eq) and CH₃COOH

(1 eq) in toluene afforded a mixture of products *viz* **OPM** and **HPM**. However, the same reaction without any acid under the same reaction conditions resulted in exclusive formation of **HPM** in 70% yield with trace amount of **OPM**. This surprising selectivity was considered to be attributed to amine based conversion of **OPM** to **HPM** by keto-enol tautomerization or direct generation of enol-form adduct (**HPM**). For the mechanistic study of keto-enol tautomerization, **OPM** in CDCl₃ was treated with AcOH or amine base and $C(O)CH_2$ peak was monitored by NMR spectroscopy. Also, **HPM** was treated with AcOH in CDCl₃ and **HPM**'s



NMR peak [(C(OH)=C<u>H</u>] was monitored. When **OPM** in CDCl₃ was treated with 1.5 eq of piperidine, it was converted to **HPM** (ca 25% conversion) in 3 days. In acidic condition, however, the conversion of **OPM** to **HPM** was not observed. Interestingly, **HPM** in acidic solution was converted to **OPM** affording a mixture of **OPM** and **HPM** after 5 days. These results suggested that while **OPM** was considered quite inert in acidic solution, **HPM** was in equilibrium with **OPM**.





[†]This paper is dedicated to Professor Eun Lee on the occasion of his honourable retirement.

Notes

It was not easy to distinguish enol-form (**HPM**) from the 1,2-addition adduct **DPIP** possessing same chemical formula. Based on the NMR spectroscopic methods including DEPT, COSY and g-HSQC, **HPM**'s structure was confirmed and allowed to rule out the formation of **DPIP**. In addition, the same reactions of **DPM** with pyrrolidine under acid free condition gave the similar results giving keto/enol isomers of 1:4 ratio.

After getting the unexpected results by catalyst-free reaction of **DPM** with piperidine, we have diverted our attention towards the primary amine and carried out reaction of **DPM** with 6-amino-hexyl-carbamic acid *tert*-butyl ester (**HMDA-BOC**) in CH₃CN under catalyst-free conditions at reflux temperature. In contrast of our expectation, it also underwent 1,6-addition affording dehydrative cyclization product (**DDP**) as major (Scheme 2). It is worthy of note that 1,2-additions of amines to nitrile group of **DPM** were not observed under acidic as well as acid-free conditions. The formation of **DDP** is considered to be initiated by 1,6-addition of HMDA-BOC to **DPM** then followed by ring closure *via* intra molecular nucleophilic attack of secondary amine and subsequent dehydration.

The absorption and emission spectra for **OPM**, **HPM** and **DDP** are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively. The absorption spectrum of **OPM** (Fig. 1) showed two major bands; one in the range of 250-300 nm ($\lambda_{max} = 273$ nm) and other broad band in the range of 300-375 nm. **HPM** in its



Figure 1. Absorption spectra for OPM, HPM and DDP in ethanol.



Figure 2. Emission spectra for OPM, HPM and DDP in ethanol; excitation wavelengths are 273, 286 and 345 nm, respectively.

absorption spectra showed slight red-shift from those in **OPM**, showing λ_{max} values at 286 nm. In the absorption spectrum of **DDP**, significant change has been observed in comparison with those of **OPM** and **HPM**. Instead of two major absorption bands, only one absorption band (λ_{max}) appeared at 359 nm. The λ_{max} absorption of **DPP** appears in the range of the wavelength where the second highest absorption bands of **OPM** and **HPM** are shown. This result suggested that the chromophores contributing the emissions of **OPM** and **HPM** appeared near 350 nm might be similar to that of **DPP**. All the emissions of **OPM**, **HPM** and **DDP** showed λ_{max} values in the range of 410-430 nm. In addition, **HPM** and **DDP** represented weak emission bands near at 480 nm.

In a summary, we have synthesized a new fluorophores *viz* **OPM**, **HPM** and **DDP** from **DPM** under different experimental conditions. These novel compounds exhibited the characteristic fluorescent emissions in the blue region in ethanol solution.

Experimental Section

DPM (2-(2,6-Dimethyl-pyran-4-ylidene)-malononitrile) and **HMDA-BOC** were prepared by the literature procedures.^{8,9} The UV-visible, photoluminescence spectra were recorded on Shimadzu UV-2101PC spectrophotometer and Varian Cary Eclipse Fluorescence spectrometer, respectively. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 500 MHz or Varian 300 MHz spectrometer. The National Center for Inter-university Facilities at Seoul National University performed all elemental and FAB mass analysis.

Synthesis of OPM and HPM. To a solution of **DPM** (3.0 g, 16.1mmol), piperidine (6.2 g, 72.8 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) was added 1.0 mL of glacial acetic acid at room temperature, then refluxed for 48 hrs. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was evaporated and dried under vacuum. Column chromatography on silica gel (hexane: ethyl acetate = 7:3) gave successively 2-(6-oxo-2-(piperidin-1-yl)hept-2-en-4-ylidene)malononitrile (**OPM**) and 2-(2-hydroxy-6-(piperidin-1-yl)hepta-2,5-dien-4-ylidene) malononitrile (**HPM**) in 55 and 30% yield, respectively.

OPM(keto-form): UV-vis λ_{max} (molar absorption, M⁻¹cm⁻¹) in ethanol 273 nm (1.1 × 10⁴), 339 nm (3.0 × 10³). Fluorescence λ_{max} = 422 nm(in ethanol); ¹H-NMR in CDCl₃(500 MHz): δ 6.43(s, 1H), 3.83(s, 2H), 3.63/3.61(m, 4H), 2.40(s, 3H), 2.29(s, 3H), 1.68(br s, 6H). ¹³C-NMR in CDCl₃(125 MHz), δ 201.5, 160.3, 159.7, 148.2, 116.1, 113.6, 90.8, 48.2, 47.1, 28.8, 24.4, 23.4, 23.0 ppm ; MS(70 eV), m/e = 257(M⁺, 85), 242(96), 228(85) 214(100), 201(57), 186(82), 174(75), 159(79), 147(60) 132(83), 117(23), 104(60), 91(25), 84(85), 77(51), 65(30), 55(56); IR(KBr): 3022(w), 2940(m), 2839 (m), 2200(s), 1720(s), 1583, 1557, 1441, 1357, 1164, 1090, 536, 500 cm⁻¹; Anal. for C₁₅H₁₉N₃O: found C 69.99, H 7.38, N 16.41, calcd. C 70.01, H 7.44, N 16.33.

HPM(enol-form): UV-vis λ_{max} (molar absorption, M⁻¹cm⁻¹) in ethanol 286 nm (5.9 × 10³), 348 nm (3.3 × 10³). Fluorescence λ_{max} = 409 nm, 485 nm(sh) in ethanol; ¹H-NMR in

CDCl₃(500MHz): δ 11.30(br s, 1H), 6.51(s, 1H), 6.03(s, 1H), 3.43/3.40(m, 4H), 2.41(s, 3H), 2.35(s, 3H), 1.77/1.71(m, 6H); ¹³C-NMR in CDCl₃ (125 MHz): δ 161.8, 159.9, 156.2, 147.5, 140.6, 108.4, 104.4, 101.7, 50.2, 24.7, 23.3, 23.1, 17.0; MS(70 eV), *m/e*(%) = 257(M⁺, 46), 240 (7.5), 228(35) 214(59), 201(44), 189(100), 175(44), 159 (13), 146(21) 128(7), 114(9), 101(14), 84(50), 77(10), 56(7); HRMS for M⁺ 257.1528(calcd), 257.1514(obs); IR(KBr): 3399(vw), 3163(w), 2939(m), 2858(w), 2255(m), 1645(s), 1583(s), 1493(w), 909(vs), 763(vs), cm⁻¹; Anal. for C₁₅H₁₉N₃O: found C 70.11, H 7.40, N 16.35, calcd. C 70.01, H 7.44, N 16.33.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 6-(4-(dicyanomethylene)-2,6dimethylpyridin-1(4H)-yl)hexylcarbamate (DDP). To a round bottom flask containing acetonitrile (50 mL), DPM (3.0 g, 16.1 mmol) and HMDA-BOC, (6-amino-hexyl)carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (6.9 g, 32.2 mmol) were added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 hrs and then cooled to room temperature, evaporated and dried under vacuum. Flash column chromatography (methylene chloride/MeOH = 8:2) gave DDP in 80% yield. DDP: UV-vis λ_{max} (molar absorption, M⁻¹cm⁻¹) in ethanol 240 nm (7.6 × 10²), 359 nm (4.0×10^3). Fluorescence λ_{max} in ethanol: 422 nm, 490 nm(sh). ¹H-NMR in CDCl₃ (300 MHz): δ 6.68(s, 2H), 4.54(br s, 1H), 3.88(t, 2H), 3.08-3.14(m, 2H), 2.44(s, 6H), 1.70-2.21(m, 17H); ¹³C-NMR in CDCl₃ (75MHz): δ 154.5, 154.2, 146.0, 117.3, 112.3, 77.6, 47.5, 43.0, 38.5, 28.3, 28.0, 26.8, 24.7, 24.5, 19.1; IR(KBr): 2933(m), 2816(m), 2191(s),

2165(s)1645(s), 1685, 1647, 1174, 847, cm⁻¹; Anal. for $C_{21}H_{30}N_4O_2$: found C 68.21, H 8.21, N 14.99, calcd. C 68.08, H 8.16, N 15.12.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korean Government (2010-2011).

References

- 1. Kimura, E.; Aoki, S. BioMetals 2001, 14, 91.
- Twieg, R.; Wang, H.; Lu, Z.; Kim, S. Y.; Lord, S.; Nishimura, S.; Schuck, P. J.; Willets, K. A.; Moerner, W. E. *Nonlinear Optics Quantum Optics* 2005, *34*, 241.
- 3. Frank, W. Pure Appl. Chem. 2006, 78, 2341.
- Golden, J. P.; Sapsford, K. E. Fluoroimmunoassays Using the NRL Array Biosensor in Methods in Molecular Biology: Biosensors and Biodetection; Rasooly, A., Herold, K. E., Eds.; Humana Press: New York, 2009; 503, Chap 15, p 273.
- (a) Tsukanova, V.; Grainger, D. W.; Salesse, C. *Langmuir* 2002, *18*, 5539. (b) Gege, C.; Oscarson, S.; Schmidt, R. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, *42*, 377. (c) Dufau, I.; Mazarguil, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, *41*, 6063.
- Tang, C.W.; Vanslyke, S. A.; Chen, C. H. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 65, 3610.
- Lim, S.-T.; Chun, M. H.; Lee, K. W.; Shin, D.-M. Optical Materials 2002, 21, 217.
- 8. Kelemen, J.; Wizinger, R. Helvetica Chimica Acta 1962, 45, 1908.
- 9. Chin, S. M. Synthetic Study on Fluorophore Conjugated N-Acetylglucosamine Derivatives; MS thesis, 2002; December, Hongik University.