

Properties of fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute Mappings in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces

Seok Jong Lee and Jin Tae Kim

Department of Mathematics, Chungbuk National University,
Cheongju 361-763, Korea

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense, which is a generalization of the concept of fuzzy semi-irresolute mappings introduced by S. Malakar. The characterizations for the fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute mappings are obtained by terms of semi-interior, semi- θ -interior, semi-clopen, and regular semi-open.

Keywords : fuzzy (r, s) -semi-cluster point, fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [1]. Chang [2] defined fuzzy topological spaces. These spaces and its generalizations are later studied by several authors, one of which, developed by Šostak [3], used the idea of degree of openness. This type of generalization of fuzzy topological spaces was later rephrased by Chattopadhyay and his colleagues [4], and by Ramadan [5].

As a generalization of fuzzy sets, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov [6]. Recently, Çoker and his colleagues [7, 8] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Using the idea of degree of openness and degree of nonopenness, Çoker and Demirci [9] defined intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense as a generalization of smooth topological spaces and intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. S. Malakar [10] introduced the concept of fuzzy semi-irresolute mappings, and Seong Hoon Cho and Jae Keun Park [11] established some other properties of fuzzy semi-irresolute mappings on Chang's fuzzy topological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense. The characterizations for the fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute mappings are obtained by terms of semi-interior, semi- θ -interior, semi-clopen, and regular semi-open.

Definition 1.1. ([9]) Let X be a nonempty set. An *intuitionistic fuzzy topology in Šostak's sense* (SoIFTS for short)

$\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ on X is a mapping $\mathcal{T} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\mathcal{T}_1(\underline{0}) = \mathcal{T}_1(\underline{1}) = 1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2(\underline{0}) = \mathcal{T}_2(\underline{1}) = 0$.
- (2) $\mathcal{T}_1(A \cap B) \geq \mathcal{T}_1(A) \wedge \mathcal{T}_1(B)$ and $\mathcal{T}_2(A \cap B) \leq \mathcal{T}_2(A) \vee \mathcal{T}_2(B)$.
- (3) $\mathcal{T}_1(\bigcup A_i) \geq \bigwedge \mathcal{T}_1(A_i)$ and $\mathcal{T}_2(\bigcup A_i) \leq \bigvee \mathcal{T}_2(A_i)$.

Then $(X, \mathcal{T}) = (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is said to be an *intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Šostak's sense* (SoIFTS for short). Also, we call $\mathcal{T}_1(A)$ a *gradation of openness* of A and $\mathcal{T}_2(A)$ a *gradation of nonopenness* of A .

Theorem 1.2. ([12]) (1) The fuzzy (r, s) -closure of a fuzzy (r, s) -open set is fuzzy (r, s) -regular closed for each $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$.

(2) The fuzzy (r, s) -interior of a fuzzy (r, s) -closed set is fuzzy (r, s) -regular open for each $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$.

Definition 1.3. ([12]) Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then f is called a *fuzzy (r, s) -irresolute* mapping if $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set in X for each fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set B in Y .

Definition 1.4. ([10]) A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *fuzzy semi-irresolute* iff for any fuzzy singleton x_α in X and for any fuzzy semi-open set V in Y containing $f(x_\alpha)$, there exists a fuzzy semi-open set U in X containing x_α such that $f(U) \leq \text{scl}(V)$.

For the nonstandard definitions and notations we refer to [13, 14, 15, 12, 16].

2. Fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute Mappings

Now, we define the notion of fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense, and investigate some of their characteristic properties.

Definition 2.1. Let A and B be intuitionistic fuzzy sets in a SoIFTS (X, \mathcal{T}) and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then

- (1) B is called a *fuzzy (r, s) -quasi-neighborhood* of A if there is a fuzzy (r, s) -open set C in X such that $AqC \subseteq B$.
- (2) A is said to be a *fuzzy (r, s) -quasi-semineighborhood* of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ if there is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set B in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}qB \subseteq A$. The family of all fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen quasi-semineighborhoods of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ will be denoted by $N_S^Q(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$.
- (3) $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is said to be a *fuzzy (r, s) -semi-cluster point* of A if for each $B \in N_S^Q(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$, BqA .
- (4) $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is said to be a *fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -cluster point* of A if for each $B \in N_S^Q(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$, $\text{scl}(B, r, s)qA$.
- (5) The *fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -closure* of A , denoted by $\text{scl}_\theta(A, r, s)$, is the union of all fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -cluster points of A .
- (6) A is called a *fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -closed set* if $A = \text{scl}_\theta(A, r, s)$.
- (7) A set is called *fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -open* if it is the complement of a fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -closed set.

Theorem 2.2. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then $\text{scl}(A, r, s)$ is the union of all fuzzy (r, s) -semi-cluster points of A .

Proof. Let $\text{SCP}(A, r, s)$ is the union of all fuzzy (r, s) -semi-cluster points of A . Suppose that there is an intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in \text{scl}(A, r, s)$ and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin \text{SCP}(A, r, s)$. Then there is a $B \in N_S^Q(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$ such that $B\tilde{q}A$. Then there exists a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set C in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}qC \subseteq B$. Since $C \subseteq B$, we have $C\tilde{q}A$, and hence $A \subseteq C^c$. Since C^c is fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed, we obtain $\text{scl}(A, r, s) \subseteq C^c$. As $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin C^c$, we obtain $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin \text{scl}(A, r, s)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\text{scl}(A, r, s) \subseteq \text{SCP}(A, r, s)$.

Conversely, assume that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin \text{scl}(A, r, s)$. Then there is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed set B such that $A \subseteq B$ and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin B$. Then $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}qB^c$, B^c is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen, and $B^c\tilde{q}A$. Thus $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin \text{SCP}(A, r, s)$. Hence $\text{SCP}(A, r, s) \subseteq \text{scl}(A, r, s)$. \square

By the above theorem, we see that $\text{scl}(A, r, s) \subseteq \text{scl}_\theta(A, r, s)$ for any intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X .

Definition 2.3. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then f is said to be *fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute* if for each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X and each fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set B in Y with $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \in B$, there is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set A in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and $f(A) \subseteq \text{scl}(B, r, s)$.

Remark 2.4. It is clear that every fuzzy (r, s) -irresolute mapping is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute. But the following example shows that the converse need not be true.

Example 2.5. Let $X = \{x\}$ and let A_1 and A_2 be intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X defined as

$$A_1(x) = (0.7, 0.2), \quad A_2(x) = (0.6, 0.3).$$

Define $\mathcal{T} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ and $\mathcal{U} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ by

$$\mathcal{T}(A) = (\mathcal{T}_1(A), \mathcal{T}_2(A)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } A = A_1, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}(A) = (\mathcal{U}_1(A), \mathcal{U}_2(A)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } A = A_2, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{U} are SoIFTS on X . Consider a mapping $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{U})$ defined by $f(x) = x$. Then A_2 is a fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -semiopen set in (X, \mathcal{U}) . Note $\text{scl}(B, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) = \underline{1}$ in (X, \mathcal{U}) . Thus f is a fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -semi-irresolute mapping. But f is not fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -irresolute, because $f^{-1}(A_2) = A_2$ is not a fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -semiopen set in (X, \mathcal{T}) .

Lemma 2.6. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then for any fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set A in X , $\text{scl}(A, r, s) = \text{scl}_\theta(A, r, s)$.

Proof. Clearly $\text{scl}(A, r, s) \subseteq \text{scl}_\theta(A, r, s)$. Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin \text{scl}(A, r, s)$. Then there is a $B \in N_S^Q(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$ such that $B\tilde{q}A$. This means $B \subseteq A^c$, and hence $\text{scl}(B, r, s) \subseteq \text{scl}(A^c, r, s) = A^c$. Thus $\text{scl}(B, r, s)\tilde{q}A$, and so $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin \text{scl}_\theta(A, r, s)$. Hence $\text{scl}_\theta(A, r, s) \subseteq \text{scl}(A, r, s)$. \square

Corollary 2.7. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. If A is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen in X , then A is a fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -closed set.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, we have $A = \text{scl}(A, r, s) = \text{scl}_\theta(A, r, s)$. Thus A is fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -closed. \square

Lemma 2.8. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. If B is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen in X such that $A\tilde{q}B$, then $\text{scl}(A, r, s)\tilde{q}B$.

Proof. Since $A\tilde{q}B$, we have $A \subseteq B^c$, and hence $\text{scl}(A, r, s) \subseteq \text{scl}(B^c, r, s) = B^c$. Thus $\text{scl}(A, r, s)\tilde{q}B$. \square

Lemma 2.9. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and A and B intuitionistic fuzzy sets in Y . If $A\tilde{q}B$, then $f^{-1}(A)\tilde{q}f^{-1}(B)$.

Proof. Suppose that $f^{-1}(A)qf^{-1}(B)$. Then there is an $x \in X$ such that $\mu_A(f(x)) > \gamma_B(f(x))$ or $\gamma_A(f(x)) < \mu_B(f(x))$. Thus AqB , which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 2.10. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SolIFTS X to a SolIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolutive.
- (2) For each fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set B in Y ,

$$f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{sint}(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s)), r, s).$$

- (3) For each fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set B in Y ,

$$\text{scl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s)).$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let B be a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set in Y and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in f^{-1}(B)$. Then $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \in B$. Since f is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolutive, there is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set A in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and $f(A) \subseteq \text{scl}(B, r, s)$. Thus $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A \subseteq \text{sint}(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s)), r, s)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(B) &= \bigcup \{x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \mid x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in f^{-1}(B)\} \\ &\subseteq \text{sint}(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s)), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let B be a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set in Y . If $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s))$, then $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \notin \text{scl}(B, r, s)$. Hence there is a $C \in N_S^q(f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}))$ such that $C\tilde{q}B$. By Lemma 2.8, we obtain $\text{scl}(C, r, s)\tilde{q}B$. Since $C \in N_S^q(f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}))$, we have $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}qf^{-1}(C)$. By (2), we obtain $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq \text{sint}(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(C, r, s)), r, s)$, and hence

$$x_{(\alpha, \beta)}q\text{sint}(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(C, r, s)), r, s).$$

Since $\text{scl}(C, r, s)\tilde{q}B$, by Lemma 2.9, we have $f^{-1}(\text{scl}(C, r, s))\tilde{q}f^{-1}(B)$, and so

$$\text{sint}(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(C, r, s)), r, s)\tilde{q}f^{-1}(B).$$

Thus $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin \text{scl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)$. Therefore $\text{scl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s))$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in X and B a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set in Y with $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \in B$. Then $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in f^{-1}(B)$, and hence $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}\tilde{q}f^{-1}(B^c) =$

$f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B^c, r, s))$. By (3), we obtain $\text{scl}(f^{-1}(\text{sint}(B^c, r, s)), r, s)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}(\text{sint}(B^c, r, s), r, s)) \\ &\subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B^c, r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}\tilde{q}\text{scl}(f^{-1}(\text{sint}(B^c, r, s)), r, s)$, and thus

$$x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in \text{scl}(f^{-1}(\text{sint}(B^c, r, s)), r, s)^c.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \text{scl}(f^{-1}(\text{sint}(B^c, r, s)), r, s)^c \\ &= \text{sint}(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s)), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Then A is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen in X , $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$, and

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= f(\text{sint}(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s)), r, s)) \\ &\subseteq f(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s))) \\ &\subseteq \text{scl}(B, r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore f is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolutive. \square

Lemma 2.11. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SolIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. If A is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen, then $\text{scl}(A, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\text{scl}(A, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen. Since A is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen, we have $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A, r, s), r, s) \supseteq A$. Hence

$$\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{scl}(A, r, s), r, s), r, s) \supseteq A.$$

Since $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{scl}(A, r, s), r, s), r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed, we obtain

$$\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{scl}(A, r, s), r, s), r, s) \supseteq \text{scl}(A, r, s).$$

Therefore $\text{scl}(A, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen. \square

Theorem 2.12. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SolIFTS X to a SolIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolutive.
- (2) For each fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen set B in Y , $f^{-1}(B)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen in X .
- (3) For each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X and each fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set B in Y containing $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$, there is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set A in X containing $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ such that $f(\text{scl}(A, r, s)) \subseteq \text{scl}(B, r, s)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let B be a fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen set in Y . Since f is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolutive, by Theorem 2.10,

$$f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{sint}(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s)), r, s) = \text{sint}(f^{-1}(B), r, s).$$

Hence $f^{-1}(B)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen in X . Similarly, by Theorem 2.10, we have

$$\text{scl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s)) = f^{-1}(B).$$

Thus $f^{-1}(B)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in X and B a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set in Y with $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \in B$. Then $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s))$. Put $A = f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s))$. Then by (2), A is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen in X , $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$, and

$$f(A) = f(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s))) \subseteq \text{scl}(B, r, s).$$

Hence f is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in X and B a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set in Y with $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \in B$. Then $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s))$. Put $A = f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s))$. Then by (2), A is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen in X . Also, $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{scl}(A, r, s)) = f(A) &= f(f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s))) \\ &\subseteq \text{scl}(B, r, s). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Trivial. □

Definition 2.13. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is said to be *fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen* if there is a fuzzy (r, s) -regular open set B in X such that $B \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}(B, r, s)$.

It is clear that every fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen. However, the following example shows that the converse need not be true.

Example 2.14. Let $X = \{x\}$ and let A_1 and A_2 be intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X defined as

$$A_1(x) = (0.5, 0.4), \quad A_2(x) = (0.6, 0.2).$$

Define $\mathcal{T} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ by

$$\mathcal{T}(A) = (\mathcal{T}_1(A), \mathcal{T}_2(A)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } A = A_1, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{T} is SoIFT on X . It is easy to show that A_2 is fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -semiopen in X . Note that

$$\text{int}(\text{cl}(A_1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}), \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) = \text{int}(\underline{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) = \underline{1} \neq A_1.$$

Hence the only fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -regular open sets in X are $\underline{0}$ and $\underline{1}$. Thus A_2 is not a fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -regular semiopen set in X .

Definition 2.15. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the *fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -interior* is defined by $\text{sint}_\theta(A, r, s) = \text{scl}_\theta(A^c, r, s)^c$.

Lemma 2.16. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then

$$(1) \text{sint}_\theta(A^c, r, s) = \text{scl}_\theta(A, r, s)^c.$$

$$(2) \text{scl}_\theta(A^c, r, s) = \text{sint}_\theta(A, r, s)^c.$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Theorem 2.17. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is a fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -open set if and only if $A = \text{sint}_\theta(A, r, s)$.

Proof. Straightforward. □

Lemma 2.18. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) A is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen.

(2) A^c is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set in X . Then there is a fuzzy (r, s) -regular open set B in X such that $B \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}(B, r, s)$. Since B is fuzzy (r, s) -open, $\text{cl}(B, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -regular closed. Since

$$\text{int}(B^c, r, s) \subseteq A^c \subseteq B^c = \text{cl}(\text{int}(B^c, r, s), r, s),$$

A^c is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Similar to the above. □

Lemma 2.19. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) A is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen.

(2) $A = \text{scl}(\text{sint}(A, r, s), r, s)$.

(3) A is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since A is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen, we have $A = \text{sint}(A, r, s)$. By Lemma 2.18, A^c is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen. Thus A is fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed, and hence $A = \text{scl}(\text{sint}(A, r, s), r, s)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $A = \text{scl}(\text{sint}(A, r, s), r, s)$. Put $B = \text{sint}(A, r, s)$. Then $B \subseteq A \subseteq \text{scl}(B, r, s)$. Since B is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen, we have

$$B \subseteq A \subseteq \text{scl}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(B, r, s), r, s), r, s),$$

and hence

$$\text{sint}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(B^c, r, s), r, s), r, s) \subseteq A^c \subseteq B^c = \text{scl}(A^c, r, s).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{int}(\text{cl}(B^c, r, s), r, s) \\ &= \text{sint}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(B^c, r, s), r, s), r, s) \\ &\subseteq A^c \subseteq \text{scl}(A^c, r, s) \subseteq \text{cl}(A^c, r, s) \\ &\subseteq \text{cl}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A^c, r, s), r, s), r, s) \\ &= \text{cl}(\text{int}(A^c, r, s), r, s) \\ &\subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{scl}(A^c, r, s), r, s), r, s), r, s) \\ &= \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(B^c, r, s), r, s), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{int}(\text{cl}(B^c, r, s), r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -regular open, A^c is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen. Hence by Lemma 2.18, A is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set. Then A is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen. Also, A^c is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen, and hence A^c is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen. Thus A is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Since A is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen, we obtain

$$A = \text{scl}(\text{sint}(A, r, s), r, s).$$

□

Lemma 2.20. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SolFSTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen if and only if A is a fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -clopen set.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let A be fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen. Then A is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen, and by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$A = \text{scl}(A, r, s) = \text{scl}_\theta(A, r, s).$$

Hence A is fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -closed. Since A^c is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen, we obtain

$$A^c = \text{scl}(A^c, r, s) = \text{scl}_\theta(A^c, r, s).$$

Thus A^c is fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -closed. Hence A is a fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -open set.

(\Leftarrow) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -clopen set. Then A is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen. Thus by Lemma 2.19, A is a fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set. □

Lemma 2.21. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SolFSTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. If A is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set in X , then $\text{scl}(A, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen in X .

Proof. By Lemma 2.11, $\text{scl}(A, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen. Hence by Lemma 2.19, $\text{scl}(A, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen in X . □

Theorem 2.22. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SolFSTS X to a SolFSTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute.
- (2) For each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X and each fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set B in Y containing $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$, there is a fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set A in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and $f(A) \subseteq B$.
- (3) For each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X and each fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set B in Y containing $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$, there is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set A in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and $f(\text{scl}(A, r, s)) \subseteq B$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in X and B a fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set containing $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$. Then B is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set in Y containing $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$. By Theorem 2.12, there is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set A such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and $f(\text{scl}(A, r, s)) \subseteq \text{scl}(B, r, s) = B$. Let $C = \text{scl}(A, r, s)$. Then C is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in C$, and $f(C) \subseteq B$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in X and B a fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set in Y containing $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$. By (2), there is a fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set A in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and $f(A) \subseteq B$. Since A is fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed, we have $f(A) = f(\text{scl}(A, r, s)) \subseteq B$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in X and B a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set in Y containing $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$. Then $\text{scl}(B, r, s)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set containing $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$. By (3), there is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set A in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and

$$f(\text{scl}(A, r, s)) \subseteq \text{scl}(B, r, s).$$

Thus f is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute. □

Theorem 2.23. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SolFSTS X to a SolFSTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-irresolute.
- (2) For each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X and each fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -clopen set B containing $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$, there is a fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -clopen set A such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and $f(A) \subseteq B$.
- (3) For each fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set B in Y , $f^{-1}(B)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen in X .

(4) For each fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set B in Y ,

$$f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{sint}_\theta(f^{-1}(\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s)), r, s).$$

(5) For each fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed set B in Y ,

$$\text{scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(\text{sint}_\theta(B, r, s)), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(B).$$

(6) For each fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set B in Y ,

$$\text{scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(B), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s)).$$

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) It follows from Lemma 2.20 and Theorem 2.22.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Let B be a fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set in Y . By Theorem 2.10,

$$\text{scl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s)) = f^{-1}(B),$$

and hence $f^{-1}(B)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed. Similarly, $f^{-1}(B)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen. Therefore $f^{-1}(B)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen in X .

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let B be a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set in Y . Then $\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s) = \text{scl}(B, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen. By (3), $f^{-1}(\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s))$ is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen. By Lemma 2.20, $f^{-1}(\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s))$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -clopen. Since $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s))$, we have

$$f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{sint}_\theta(f^{-1}(\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s)), r, s).$$

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Let B be a fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed set in Y . Then B^c is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen. By (4), we have

$$f^{-1}(B^c) \subseteq \text{sint}_\theta(f^{-1}(\text{scl}_\theta(B^c, r, s)), r, s).$$

Thus by Lemma 2.16, $\text{scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(\text{sint}_\theta(B, r, s)), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$.

(5) \Rightarrow (6) Let B be a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set in Y . Then $\text{scl}(B, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen, and hence $\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -clopen. By (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(B), r, s) &\subseteq \text{scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s)), r, s) \\ &= \text{scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(\text{sint}_\theta(\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s), r, s)), r, s) \\ &\subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s)) = f^{-1}(\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

(6) \Rightarrow (3) Let B be a fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set in Y . Then B is fuzzy (r, s) -semi-clopen. By (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(B), r, s) &\subseteq f^{-1}(\text{scl}_\theta(B, r, s)) \\ &= f^{-1}(\text{scl}(B, r, s)) = f^{-1}(B), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $f^{-1}(B) = \text{scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(B), r, s)$. Thus $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -closed set in X . Since B is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen, B^c is a fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen set. Hence $f^{-1}(B^c)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -closed in X . Thus $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semi- θ -open set in X . Therefore $f^{-1}(B)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -regular semiopen.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) It follows from Lemma 2.19 and Theorem 2.12. \square

References

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Information and Control*, vol. 8, pp. 338–353, 1965.
- [2] C. L. Chang, "Fuzzy topological spaces," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 24, pp. 182–190, 1968.
- [3] A. P. Šostak, "On a fuzzy topological structure," *Suppl. Rend. Circ. Matem. Janos Palermo, Sr. II*, vol. 11, pp. 89–103, 1985.
- [4] K. C. Chattopadhyay, R. N. Hazra, and S. K. Samanta, "Gradation of openness : Fuzzy topology," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 49, pp. 237–242, 1992.
- [5] A. A. Ramadan, "Smooth topological spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 48, pp. 371–375, 1992.
- [6] K. T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 20, pp. 87–96, 1986.
- [7] D. Çoker, "An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 88, pp. 81–89, 1997.
- [8] H. Gürçay, D. Çoker, and A. H. Eş, "On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces," *J. Fuzzy Math.*, vol. 5, pp. 365–378, 1997.
- [9] D. Çoker and M. Demirci, "An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense," *BUSEFAL*, vol. 67, pp. 67–76, 1996.
- [10] S. Malakar, "On fuzzy semi-irresolute and strongly irresolute functions," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 45, pp. 239–244, 1992.
- [11] S. H. Cho and J. K. Park, "A note on fuzzy semi-irresolute and strongly irresolute functions," *Commun. Korean math. Soc.*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 355–366, 2003.

- [12] E. P. Lee and S. H. Kim, "Fuzzy strongly (r, s) -semicontinuous, fuzzy strongly (r, s) -semiopen, fuzzy strongly (r, s) -semiclosed mappings," *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 120–126, 2007.
- [13] D. Çoker and M. Demirci, "On intuitionistic fuzzy points," *Notes IFS*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 79–84, 1995.
- [14] I. M. Hanafy, "On fuzzy γ -open sets and fuzzy γ -continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces," *J. Fuzzy Math.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 9–19, 2002.
- [15] E. P. Lee, "Semiopen sets on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense," *J. Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 14, pp. 234–238, 2004.
- [16] S. O. Lee and E. P. Lee, "Fuzzy strongly (r, s) -semiopen sets," *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 299–303, 2006.
-

Seok Jong Lee

Professor of Chungbuk National University
Research Area: fuzzy topology, general topology.
E-mail : sjl@cbnu.ac.kr

Jin Tae Kim

Research Area: fuzzy topology, general topology.
E-mail : kjtmath@hanmail.net