Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **26** (2011), No. 3, pp. 373–384 DOI 10.4134/CKMS.2011.26.3.373

## GENERALIZED IDEAL ELEMENTS IN *le-***GENIGROUPS**

Kostaq Hila and Edmond Pisha

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce and give some characterizations of (m, n)-regular le- $\Gamma$ -semigroup in terms of (m, n)-ideal elements and (m, n)-quasi-ideal elements. Also, we give some characterizations of subidempotent (m, n)-ideal elements in terms of  $r_{\alpha}$ - and  $l_{\alpha}$ - closed elements.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In 1981, Sen [19] introduced the concept and notion of the  $\Gamma$ -semigroup as a generalization of semigroup and of ternary semigroup. Many classical notions and results of the theory of semigroups have been extended and generalized to  $\Gamma$ -semigroups. We [1, 2, 5] introduced and gave several other properties and characterizations in le- $\Gamma$ -semigroups in general and regular le- $\Gamma$ -semigroups in particular. The concept of generalized ideals and (m, n)-ideals elements in semigroups have been introduced by Lajos in [14] as a generalization of one-sided (left or right) ideals in semigroups and it was studied by several authors such as [7], [10], [11], [15], [16], [17], [18] and others. Kehayopulu [8, 9] generalized this concept and several results related in *poe*-and *le*-semigroups. In this paper we extend these concepts and results in le- $\Gamma$ -semigroups. During this paper we introduce and give some characterizations of (m, n)-regular *le*- $\Gamma$ -semigroup in terms of (m, n)-ideal elements and (m, n)-quasi-ideal elements. Also, we give some characterizations of subidempotent (m, n)-ideal elements in terms of  $r_{\alpha}$ - and  $l_{\alpha}$ - closed elements with respect to appropriate elements.

We introduce below necessary notions and present a few auxiliary results that will be used throughout the paper.

In 1986, Sen and Saha [20] defined  $\Gamma$ -semigroup as a generalization of semigroup and ternary semigroup as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** Let M and  $\Gamma$  be two non-empty sets. Denote by the letters of the English alphabet the elements of M and with the letters of the Greek alphabet the elements of  $\Gamma$ . Then M is called a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup if

©2011 The Korean Mathematical Society

Received February 17, 2010.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F99, 06F05, 20M10.

Key words and phrases.  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup, poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup,  $\lor e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup, (m, n)-ideal element, (m, n)-regular le- $\Gamma$ -semigroup, (m, n)-quasi-ideal element, bi-ideal elements.

- (1)  $a\gamma b \in M$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .
- (2)  $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .
- (3) If  $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 \in M, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  such that  $m_1 = m_3, \gamma_1 = \gamma_2$  and  $m_2 = m_4$ , then  $m_1\gamma_1m_2 = m_3\gamma_2m_4$ .

**Example 1.2.** Let M be a semigroup and  $\Gamma$  be any non-empty set. If we define  $a\gamma b = ab$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then M is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**Example 1.3.** Let M be a set of all negative rational numbers. Obviously M is not a semigroup under usual product of rational numbers. Let  $\Gamma = \{-\frac{1}{p} : p \text{ is prime}\}$ . Let  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Now if  $a\alpha b$  is equal to the usual product of rational numbers  $a, \alpha, b$ , then  $a\alpha b \in M$  and  $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$ . Hence M is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**Example 1.4.** Let  $M = \{-i, 0, i\}$  and  $\Gamma = M$ . Then M is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup under the multiplication over complex numbers while M is not a semigroup under complex number multiplication.

These examples show that every semigroup is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $\Gamma$ -semigroups are a generalization of semigroups.

A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup M is called a *commutative*  $\Gamma$ -semigroup if for all  $a, b \in M$ and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $a\gamma b = b\gamma a$ . A nonempty subset K of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup M is called a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of M if for all  $a, b \in K$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $a\gamma b \in K$ .

**Example 1.5.** Let M = [0, 1] and  $\Gamma = \{\frac{1}{n} | n \text{ is a positive integer} \}$ . Then M is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup under usual multiplication. Let K = [0, 1/2]. We have that K is a nonempty subset of M and  $a\gamma b \in K$  for all  $a, b \in K$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then K is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of M.

Different examples can be found in [1, 3, 4, 19, 20].

**Definition 1.6.** A po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup (: ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup) is an ordered set M at the same time a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup such that for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ 

$$a \le b \Rightarrow a\gamma c \le b\gamma c, c\gamma a \le c\gamma b.$$

A poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup is a po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup M with a greatest element "e" (i.e.,  $e \geq a, \forall a \in M$ ).

In a po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup M, a is called a right (resp. left) ideal element if  $a\alpha b \leq a$  (resp.  $b\alpha a \leq a$ ) for all  $b \in M$  and for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . And a is called an ideal element if it is both a right and left ideal element. In a poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup M, a is called right (resp. left) ideal element if  $a\alpha e \leq a$  (resp.  $e\alpha a \leq a$ ) for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Examples of ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups can be found in [3, 4, 6].

For nonempty subsets A and B of M and a nonempty subset  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Gamma$ , let  $A\Gamma'B = \{a\gamma b : a \in A, b \in B \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma'\}$ . If  $A = \{a\}$ , then we also write  $\{a\}\Gamma'B$  as  $a\Gamma'B$ , and similarly if  $B = \{b\}$  or  $\Gamma' = \{\gamma\}$ .

Let T be a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of M. For  $A \subseteq T$  we denote

$$(A]_T = \{ t \in T | t \le a \text{ for some } a \in A \}, \\ [A]_T = \{ t \in T | t \ge a \text{ for some } a \in A \}.$$

An element a of a po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup M is called *regular* if there exists  $b \in M$  such that  $a \leq a\alpha b\beta a$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . A po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup M is called *regular* if every element of M is regular. The following are equivalent:

- (1) For every  $A \subseteq M, A \subseteq (A\Gamma M\Gamma A]$ ,
- (2) For every element  $a \in M, a \in (a\Gamma M\Gamma a]$ .

An element a of a poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup is called a quasi-ideal element if  $e\alpha a \wedge a\alpha e$ exists and  $a\alpha e \wedge e\alpha a \leq a$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . We denote by q(a) the quasi-ideal element of M generated by a, i.e., the least quasi-ideal element of M containing a. We say that  $a \in M$  is a *bi-ideal element* of M if and only if  $a\alpha e\beta a \leq a$ ,  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 1.7.** Let M be a semilattice under  $\vee$  with a greatest element e and at the same time a *po*- $\Gamma$ -semigroup such that for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ 

$$a\gamma(b\lor c) = a\gamma b\lor a\gamma c$$

and

$$(a \lor b)\gamma c = a\gamma c \lor b\gamma c.$$

Then M is called a  $\lor e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

A  $\lor e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup which is also a lattice is called an *le*- $\Gamma$ -*semigroup*. The usual order relation  $\leq$  on M is defined in the following way

$$a \le b \Leftrightarrow a \lor b = b.$$

Then we can show that for any  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $a \leq b$  implies  $a\gamma c \leq b\gamma c$ and  $c\gamma a \leq c\gamma b$ .

**Example 1.8** ([1]). Let  $(X, \leq)$  and  $(Y, \leq)$  be two finite chains. Let M be the set of all isotone mappings from X into Y and  $\Gamma$  be the set of all isotone mappings from Y into X. Let  $f, g \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . We define  $f \alpha g$  to denote the usual mapping composition of  $f, \alpha$  and g. Then M is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. For  $f, g \in M$ , the mappings  $f \lor g$  and  $f \land g$  are defined by letting, for each  $a \in X$ 

$$(f \lor g)(a) = \max\{f(a), g(a)\}, \ (f \land g)(a) = \min\{f(a), g(a)\}$$

(the maximum and minimum are considered with respect to the order  $\leq$  in X and Y). The greatest element e is the mapping that sends every  $a \in X$  to the greatest element of finite chains  $(Y, \leq)$ . Then M is an le- $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**Example 1.9** ([1]). Let M be a *po*- $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Let  $M_1$  be the set of all ideals of M. Then  $(M_1, \subseteq, \cap, \cup)$  is an *le*- $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**Example 1.10** ([1]). Let M be a po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Let  $M_1 = P(M)$  be the set of all subsets of M and  $\Gamma_1 = P(\Gamma)$  the set of all subsets of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $M_1$  is a

po- $\Gamma_1$ -semigroup if

$$A\Lambda B = \begin{cases} (A](\Lambda](B) = (A\Lambda B) & \text{if } A, B \in M_1 \setminus \{\emptyset\}, \Lambda \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \{\emptyset\} \\ \emptyset & \text{if } A = \emptyset \text{ or } B = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(M_1, \subseteq, \cap, \cup)$  is an le- $\Gamma_1$ -semigroup.

**Example 1.11.** Let G be a group,  $I, \wedge$  two index sets and  $\Gamma$  the collection of some  $\wedge \times I$  matrices over  $G^o = G \cup \{0\}$ , the group with zero. Let  $\mu^o$  be the set of all elements  $(a)_{i\lambda}$  where  $i \in I, \lambda \in \wedge$  and  $(a)_{i\lambda}$  the  $I \times \wedge$  matrix over  $G^o$  having a in the *i*-th row and  $\lambda$ -th column, its remaining entries being zero. The expression  $(0)_{i\lambda}$  will be used to denote the zero matrix. For any  $(a)_{i\lambda}, (b)_{j\mu}, (c)_{k\nu} \in \mu^o$  and  $\alpha = (p_{\lambda i}), \beta = (q_{\lambda i}) \in \Gamma$  we define  $(a)_{i\lambda}\alpha(b)_{j\mu} = (ap_{\lambda j}b)_{i\mu}$ . Then it is easy verified that  $[(a)_{i\lambda}\alpha(b)_{j\mu}]\beta(c)_{k\nu} = (a)_{i\lambda}\alpha[(b)_{j\mu}\beta(c)_{k\nu}]$ . Thus  $\mu^o$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. We call  $\Gamma$  the sandwich matrix set and  $\mu^o$  the Rees  $I \times \wedge$  matrix  $\Gamma$ -semigroup over  $G^o$  with sandwich matrix set  $\Gamma$  and denote it by  $\mu^o(G: I, \wedge, \Gamma)$ . In [6] we deal with lattice-ordered Rees matrix  $\Gamma$ -semigroups.

If M is a  $\forall e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then every map  $\varphi : M \to M$  is called a topology on M [1]. A topology  $\varphi$  on M is said to be an:

- (1) S-topology on M if and only if  $a_1, a_2 \in M$ , with  $a_1 \leq a_2$  implies  $\varphi(a_1) \leq \varphi(a_2)$ .
- (2) *I*-topology on *M* if and only if  $a \in M$ , implies  $a \leq \varphi(a)$ .
- (3) U-topolgy on M if and only if  $\varphi(\varphi(a)) = \varphi(a)$  for every  $a \in M$ .

An element  $a \in M$  is called a closed element of M related to a topology  $\varphi$  (or  $\varphi$ -closed) if and only if  $\varphi(a) = a$ . The set of all closed element of M related to  $\varphi$  will be denoted by  $F_{\varphi}$ .

In a  $\lor e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup M, we define two mappings  $r_{\alpha}$  and  $l_{\beta}$  for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  as follows:

$$r_{\alpha}: M \to M, r_{\alpha}(a) = a\alpha e \lor a,$$
$$l_{\beta}: M \to M, l_{\beta}(a) = e\beta a \lor a$$

for all  $a \in M$ .

It is clear that  $r_{\alpha}$  and  $l_{\beta}$ , for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , are *I*- and *S*-topologies on *M*. If *M* is an *le*- $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then  $r_{\alpha}$  and  $l_{\beta}$  are *U*-topologies on *M*.

For other definitions and terminologies not given in this paper, the reader is referred to [1], [19], [20].

## 2. On (m, n)-ideal elements in le- $\Gamma$ -semigroups

Let M be a  $\lor e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Definition 2.1.** An element  $a \in M$  is called an (m, n)-ideal element of M if

$$(a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots \gamma_{m-1} a)\alpha e\beta(a\rho_1 a\rho_2 a \dots \rho_{n-1} a) \le a$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and for some  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{m-1}, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \in \Gamma$ .

 $a^0$  is defined such that  $a^0\gamma b = b\gamma a^0 = b$  ( $b \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma$ ).

For m = 0, n = 1 (resp. m = 1, n = 0) Definition 2.1 give us the trivial case of left (resp. right)-ideal elements. It is clear that the right (resp. left)-ideal elements are (m, 0) (resp. (0, n))-ideal elements for every  $m \ge 1$  (resp.  $n \ge 1$ ). An le- $\Gamma$ -semigroup is called *subidempotent* if  $a\alpha a \le a$  for all  $a \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

In the sequel of the paper, for the sake of simplicity, we denote  $a^m =$ 

 $a\gamma_1a\gamma_2a\ldots\gamma_{m-1}a$  for some  $\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_{m-1}\in\Gamma$  and for some  $m\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ .

It can be easily proved the following statements:

- (1) Let M be a poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup and a, b two (m, n)-ideal elements of M. Then the intersection  $a \wedge b$  if exists is an (m, n)-ideal element of M.
- (2) Let M be a poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Then the k-power  $(k \ge 1)$  of an (m, n)-ideal element is also an (m, n)-ideal element.
- (3) Let M be a poe-Γ-semigroup. If a is an (m, n)-ideal element of M (m, n ≥ 1), then for every b ∈ M, α ∈ Γ such that aαb ≤ a (resp. bαa ≤ a), the products aαb and bαa are (m, n)-ideal elements of M. For m = n = 1 we have: If a is a bi-ideal element, then aγb and bγa, γ ∈ Γ, are bi-ideal elements, for all b ∈ M. Clearly, ∀α, β, γ ∈ Γ,

$$(a\gamma b)\alpha e\beta(a\gamma b) = (a\gamma b)\alpha(e\beta a)\gamma b \leq (a\gamma b)\alpha e\gamma b = a\gamma(b\alpha e\gamma b) \leq a\gamma b,$$

similarly  $(b\gamma a)\alpha e\beta(b\gamma a) \leq b\gamma a$ .

(4) Let M be a  $\lor e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup and a, b two left (resp. right)-ideal elements of M. Then the union  $a \lor b$  is a subidempotent (m, n)-ideal element,  $\forall m \ge 0, \forall n \ge 1$  (resp.  $n \ge 0, m \ge 1$ ).

In the following,  $\langle a \rangle_{(m,n)}$  will be denoted the principal (m,n)-ideal element of M generated by a, i.e., the least  $\langle m, n \rangle$ -ideal element of M containing a and by  $I_{(m,n)}$  the set of all (m, n)-ideal elements of M.

**Definition 2.2.** Let M be a  $\vee e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . M is called (m, n)-regular if

$$a \le (a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots \gamma_{m-1} a)\alpha e\beta(a\rho_1 a\rho_2 a \dots \rho_{n-1} a)$$

for all  $a \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and for some  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{m-1}, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let M be a  $\lor e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then the following hold true:

- (1)  $(a \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^k)^m \gamma e = a^m \gamma e, \forall a \in M, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma.$
- (2)  $e\gamma(a \lor a^k \alpha e\beta a^n)^n = e\gamma a^n, \forall a \in M, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma.$
- (3)  $\langle a \rangle_{(m,n)} = a \vee a^m \alpha e \beta a^n, \forall a \in M, \alpha, \beta, \in \Gamma.$

*Proof.* We prove the first two conditions in case  $m, n \ge 1$ , since the case m = 0 or n = 0 is obvious. For  $a \in M, \alpha, \beta, \rho \in \Gamma$ , we have

(1)  $(a \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^k)^m \gamma e = ((a \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^k) \rho)^{m-1} (a \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^k) \gamma e = ((a \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^k) \rho)^{m-1} ((a \gamma e \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^k \gamma e) = ((a \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^k) \rho)^{m-1} a \gamma e = ((a \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^k) \rho)^{m-2} (a \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^k) \rho a \gamma e = ((a \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^k) \rho)^{m-2} (a \rho a \gamma e \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^{k+1} \gamma e) = ((a \lor a^m \alpha e \beta a^k) \rho)^{m-2} a^2 \gamma e = \cdots = a^m \gamma e.$ 

The proof of (2) is analogues to that of (1).

(3) Let  $m, n \geq 0, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Then,  $(a \vee a^m \alpha e \beta a^n)^m \alpha e \beta (a \vee a^m \alpha e \beta a^n)^n = a^m \alpha e \beta a^n$  (by (1) and (2)), so that  $a \vee a^m \alpha e \beta a^n \in I_{(m,n)}$ . Now, if b is an (m, n)-ideal element of M containing a, then  $a \vee a^m \alpha e \beta a^n \leq b$ . This finishes the proof.

**Theorem 2.4.**  $A \lor e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup M is (m, n)-regular if and only if

(\*) 
$$a^m \alpha e \beta a^n = a, \ \forall a \in I_{(m,n)}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  This statement is obvious.

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $a \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since  $\langle a \rangle_{(m,n)} \in I_{(m,n)}$ , we have:

 $(\langle a \rangle_{(m,n)})^m \alpha e \beta (\langle a \rangle_{(m,n)})^n = \langle a \rangle_{(m,n)}$ 

But,  $(\langle a \rangle_{(m,n)})^m \alpha e = a^m \alpha e$  (by Lemma 2.3(3),(1)), and  $e\beta(\langle a \rangle_{(m,n)})^n = e\beta a^n$  (by Lemma 2.3(3),(2)).

Thus,  $a \leq a^m \alpha e \beta a^n$  and M is (m, n)-regular.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let M be a subidempotent le- $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Then M is (m, n)-regular if and only if

(\*\*) 
$$a \wedge b = a^m \alpha b \wedge a\beta b^n, \ \forall a \in I_{(m,0)}, b \in I_{(0,n)}, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let M be a (m, n)-regular le- $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Let  $a \in I_{(m,0)}$  and  $b \in I_{(0,n)}$ . Then,  $a^m \alpha e \leq a$  and  $e\beta b^n \leq b$ , hence  $a^m \alpha b \wedge a\beta b^n \leq a^m \alpha e \wedge e\beta b^n \leq a \wedge b$ . On the other hand,  $a \wedge b \leq (a \wedge b)^m \alpha e\beta (a \wedge b)^n \leq a^m \alpha e\beta b^n \leq a^m \alpha b$ , similarly,  $a \wedge b \leq a\beta b^n$ , hence  $a \wedge b \leq a^m \alpha b \wedge a\beta b^n$ .

(⇐) Since M is a (0,0)-regular le- $\Gamma$ -semigroup, the the statement is true for m = n = 0.

Let  $m \neq 0, n = 0$ . If  $a \in I_{(m,0)}$ , then since e is a (0,0)-ideal element of M, we have by (\*\*), that  $a = a^m \alpha e, \alpha \in \Gamma$ , so that M is (m, 0)-regular (Theorem 2.4). The proof in the case  $m = 0, n \neq 0$  is analogues.

Now, let  $m \neq 0, n \neq 0$ . Then, M has the property:

$$(***) a \wedge b \leq a\alpha b, \ \forall a \in I_{(m,0)}, \ \forall b \in I_{(0,n)}, \ \forall \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Indeed: let  $a \in I_{(m,0)}$ . Then  $\forall \alpha \in \Gamma$ ,

$$a \wedge b = a^m \alpha b \wedge a \alpha b^n \leq a \alpha b \ (m \geq 1, n \geq 1).$$

Now, let  $a \in M$ . Since  $\langle a \rangle_{(m,0)} \in I_{(m,0)}$  and e is a (0, n)-ideal element of M, we have by (\*\*), that  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a \rangle_{(m,0)} &= (\langle a \rangle_{(m,0)})^m \alpha e \wedge \langle a \rangle_{(m,0)} \beta e^n \\ &\leq (\langle a \rangle_{(m,0)})^m \alpha e = a^m \alpha e \text{ (by Lemma 2.3(3),(1)),} \end{aligned}$$

thus  $\langle a \rangle_{(m,0)} = a^m \alpha e$ . Similarly,  $\langle a \rangle_{(0,n)} = e \beta a^n$ . On the other hand,

$$a \leq \langle a \rangle_{(m,0)} \land \langle a \rangle_{(0,n)} \leq \langle a \rangle_{(m,0)} \gamma \langle a \rangle_{(0,n)}, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma(\text{by}(***))$$
$$= a^m \alpha e^2 \beta a^n \leq a^m \alpha e \beta a^n.$$

Therefore, M is (m, n)-regular, and this finishes the proof.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let M be a poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $m + n \ge 1$ . Let be the following statements for  $a \in M, \rho \in \Gamma$ :

- (1)  $\exists a_i \in F_{r_\rho}^{(a_{i-1})}, i = 1, 2, ..., m \text{ and} \\ \exists b_j \in F_{l_\rho}^{(b_{j-1})}, j = 1, 2, ..., n \text{ where} \\ a_0 = e, b_0 = a_m \text{ and } b_n = a \text{ (resp. } b_0 = e, a_0 = b_n \text{ and } a_m = a). \\ (2) a \text{ is a subidempotent } (m, n) \text{-ideal element of } M. \end{cases}$
- (2) u is a sublactification (m, n)-lacat element of m.

Then, (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). In particular, if M is a  $\lor e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2).

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). In fact *a* is subidempotent, and for  $\alpha, \beta, \rho \in \Gamma$ , we have:

$$b_{n}^{m}\alpha e\beta b_{n}^{n} = (b_{n}\rho)^{m-1}b_{n}\alpha e\beta b_{n}^{n} \leq (b_{n}\rho)^{m-1}a_{1}\alpha e\beta b_{n}^{n}$$

$$\leq (b_{n}\rho)^{m-1}a_{1}\beta b_{n}^{n} = (b_{n}\rho)^{m-2}b_{n}\rho a_{1}\beta b_{n}^{n} \leq (b_{n}\rho)^{m-2}a_{2}\rho a_{1}\beta b_{n}^{n}$$

$$\leq (b_{n}\rho)^{m-2}a_{2}\beta b_{n}^{n} \leq \cdots \leq (b_{n}\rho)^{m-(m-1)}a_{m-1}\beta b_{n}^{n}$$

$$= b_{n}\rho a_{m-1}\beta b_{n}^{n} \leq a_{m}\rho a_{m-1}\beta b_{n}^{n} \leq a_{m}\beta b_{n}^{n}$$

$$= a_{m}\beta b_{n}\rho b_{n}^{n-1} \leq a_{m}\beta b_{1}\rho b_{n}^{n-1} \leq b_{1}\rho b_{n}^{n-1}$$

$$= b_{1}\rho b_{n}\rho b_{n}^{n-2} \leq b_{1}\rho b_{2}\rho b_{n}^{n-2} \leq b_{2}\rho b_{n}^{n-2}$$

$$\leq \cdots \leq b_{n-1}\rho b_{n}^{n-(n-1)} = b_{n-1}\rho b_{n} \leq b_{n}.$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let M be a  $\lor e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup and let a be a subidempotent  $\langle m, n \rangle$ -ideal element of M. We put:

$$a_i = \langle a \rangle_{(i,0)}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ and } b_j = \langle a \rangle_{(m,j)}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.3(3), we have  $\forall \alpha, \rho \in \Gamma$ ,

$$a_i = \langle a \rangle_{(i,0)} = a \lor a^i \alpha e = a \lor (a\rho)^{i-1} a \alpha e \le a \lor a^{i-1} \alpha e$$
$$= \langle a \rangle_{(i-1,0)} = a_{i-1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and  $\forall \delta, \gamma, \rho \in \Gamma$ 

$$b_j = \langle a \rangle_{(m,j)} = a \lor a^m \delta e \gamma a^j = a \lor a^m \delta e \gamma a \rho a^{j-1} \le a \lor a^m \delta e \gamma a^{j-1} = \langle a \rangle_{(m,j-1)} = b_{j-1}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Also,  $a_0 = e, b_0 = a_m$  and  $b_n = a$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{split} a_i \rho a_{i-1} &= \langle a \rangle_{(i,0)} \rho \langle a \rangle_{(i-1,0)} = (a \lor a^i \alpha e) \rho(a \lor a^{i-1} \alpha e) \\ &= a^2 \lor a^i \alpha e \rho a \lor a^i \alpha e \lor a^i \alpha e \rho a^{i-1} \alpha e \le a \lor a^i \alpha e \\ &= \langle a \rangle_{(i,0)} = a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m \end{split}$$

that is,

$$a_i \in F_{r_o}^{(a_{i-1})}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Also,  $\forall \delta, \gamma, \rho \in \Gamma$ 

 $\langle a \rangle_{(m,j-1)} \rho \langle a \rangle_{(m,j)}$  $= (a \lor a^m \delta e \gamma a^{j-1}) \rho (a \lor a^m \delta e \gamma a^j)$  $= a^2 \lor a^m \delta e \gamma a^j \lor a^{m+1} \delta e \gamma a^j \lor a^m \delta e \gamma a^{j-1+m} \delta e \gamma a^j$  $\leq a \lor a^m \delta e \gamma a^j = \langle a \rangle_{(m,j)}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$ 

Therefore,

$$b_{i-1}\rho b_i \le b_i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

that is,

$$b_j \in F_{l_o}^{(b_{j-1})}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

The other case can be proved similarly. In that case, for  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  we put:

$$b_j = \langle a \rangle_{(0,j)}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and

$$a_i = \langle a \rangle_{(i,n)}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Let M be a poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup. An element  $a \in M$  is called  $r_{\alpha}l_{\alpha}$ -closed,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , if there exists a right-ideal element  $b \in M$  such that a is  $l_{\alpha}$ -closed with respect to b. Similarly, a is called  $l_{\alpha}r_{\alpha}$ -closed, if there exists a left-ideal element b with  $a \in F_{r_{\alpha}}^{(b)}$ .

**Corollary 2.7.** Let M be a poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Then all  $r_{\alpha}l_{\alpha}$ -closed and  $l_{\alpha}r_{\alpha}$ closed elements are subidempotent bi-ideal elements. In particular, if M is a  $\lor e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup, the preceding three classes of elements are the same.

# 3. On (m, n)-quasi-ideal elements in le- $\Gamma$ -semigroups

**Definition 3.1.** Let M be a *poe*- $\Gamma$ -semigroup. An element q of M is called an (m, n)-quasi-ideal element of M if  $q^m \alpha e \wedge e\beta q^n$  exists and  $q^m \alpha e \wedge e\beta q^n \leq q$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Remark 3.2. Every quasi-ideal element q of a poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup M is an (m, n)quasi-ideal element of M for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $q^m \alpha e \wedge e\beta q^n$  exists. For  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , every (m, n)-quasi-ideal element is an (m, n)-ideal element of M. If  $\{q_i; i \in I\}$  is a nonempty family of (m, n)-quasi-ideal elements of M, then  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} q_i$  is an (m, n)-quasi-ideal element if  $(\bigwedge_{i \in I} q_i) \alpha e \wedge e\alpha(\bigwedge_{i \in I} q_i), \forall \alpha \in \Gamma$ exists.

*Remark* 3.3. Quasi-ideal elements are subidempotent. In *poe*- $\Gamma$ -semigroups, quasi-ideal elements are subidempotent bi-ideal elements. In  $\forall e\Gamma$ -semigroups, quasi-ideal elements are  $r_{\alpha}l_{\alpha}$  (resp.  $l_{\alpha}r_{\alpha}$ )-closed. In regular *le*- $\Gamma$ -semigroups, the converse of the last statement also holds (by Corollary 2.7 above and Corollary 2.3 [1]).

Let we denote by  $Q_{(m,n)}$  the set of all (m, n)-quasi-ideal elements of M. In distributive le- $\Gamma$ -semigroups [2], the quasi-ideal elements are exactly the intersections of the left- and right- ideal elements. The following theorem shows that the analogues property is true for the (m, n)-quasi-ideal elements, too.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let M be a distributive  $le \cdot \Gamma$ -semigroup. Then, an element q is an (m, n)-quasi-ideal element of M if and only if there exists an (m, 0)-ideal element a and a (0, n)-ideal element b of M such that

$$q = a \wedge b.$$

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $a \in I_{(m,0)}$  and  $b \in I_{(0,n)}$ . Then, since  $a, b \in Q_{(m,n)}$ , we have  $a \wedge b \in Q_{(m,n)}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $q \in Q_{(m,n)}$ . Then, by Lemma 2.2(3), we have

$$q = q \lor (q^m \alpha e \land e\beta q^n) = (q \lor q^m \alpha e) \land (q \lor e\beta q^n)$$
$$= \langle q \rangle_{(m,0)} \land \langle q \rangle_{(0,n)}$$

where  $\langle q \rangle_{(m,0)} \in I_{(m,0)}$  and  $\langle q \rangle_{(0,n)} \in I_{(0,n)}$ .

It is clear that (m, 0) (resp. (0, n))-ideal elements and (m, 0) (resp. (0, n))quasi-ideal elements are the same. So, the following theorem is true:

**Theorem 3.5.** Let M be a distributive  $le-\Gamma$ -semigroup. Then, an element q is an (m, n)-quasi-ideal element of M if and only if there exists an (m, 0)-quasi-ideal element a and a (0, n)-quasi-ideal element b of M such that

$$q = a \wedge b.$$

Since the *poe*- $\Gamma$ -semigroups are semilattices under  $\wedge$ , we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let M be a poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Then the element

 $q = a \wedge b$ 

where a is an (m,0)-ideal element and b an (0,n)-ideal element of M, is a (m,n)-quasi-ideal element of M.

We denote by  $(a)_{(m,n)}$  the (m,n)-quasi-ideal element of M generated by  $a \in M$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Let M be an le- $\Gamma$ -semigoup,  $a \in M, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho \in \Gamma$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The following hold true:

- (1)  $(a \lor (a^m \alpha e \land e \beta a^n))^m \gamma e \le a^m \gamma e.$
- (2)  $e\gamma(a \lor (a^m \alpha e \land e\beta a^n))^m \le e\gamma a^n$ .
- (3)  $(a)_{(m,n)}$  exists and  $(a)_{(m,n)} = a \vee (a^m \alpha e \wedge e\beta a^n).$

*Proof.* (1) Since for m = 0 it is clear. Let  $m \ge 1$ . Then we have

 $(a \lor (a^{m} \alpha e \land e\beta a^{n}))^{m} \gamma e$   $= (a \lor (a^{m} \alpha e \land e\beta a^{n}))^{m-1} \rho (a \lor (a^{m} \alpha e \land e\beta a^{n})) \gamma e$   $\leq (a \lor (a^{m} \alpha e \land e\beta a^{n}))^{m-1} \rho (a \gamma e \lor (a^{m} \alpha e^{2} \land e\beta a^{n} \gamma e))$   $= (a \lor (a^{m} \alpha e \land e\beta a^{n}))^{m-1} \rho a \gamma e$   $= (a \lor (a^{m} \alpha e \land e\beta a^{n}))^{m-2} \rho (a \lor (a^{m} \alpha e \land e\beta a^{n})) \rho a \gamma e$   $\leq (a \lor (a^{m} \alpha e \land e\beta a^{n}))^{m-2} \rho (a^{2} \gamma e \lor (a^{m} \alpha e\rho a \gamma e \land e\beta a^{n+1} \gamma e))$   $= (a \lor (a^{m} \alpha e \land e\beta a^{n}))^{m-2} \rho a^{2} \gamma e$   $\vdots$   $\leq a^{m} \gamma e.$ 

(2) It is proved similarly.

(3) Let  $m, n \ge 0$ . From (1) and (2) we have  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ 

$$(a \lor (a^m \alpha e \land e\beta a^n))^m \alpha e \land e\beta (a \lor (a^m \alpha e \land e\beta a^n))^n \le a^m \alpha e \land e\beta a^n$$

hence  $a \vee (a^m \alpha e \wedge e \beta a^n)$  is an (m, n)-quasi-ideal element of M containing a. Now, if b is an (m, n)-quasi-ideal element of M such that  $b \geq a$ , then  $a \vee (a^m \alpha e \wedge e \beta a^n) \leq b$ .

Remark 3.8. In general in le- $\Gamma$ -semigroups,  $\langle a \rangle_{(m,n)} \leq (a)_{(m,n)}$ . In particular,  $\langle a \rangle_{(m,0)} = (a)_{(m,0)}$  and  $\langle a \rangle_{(0,n)} = (a)_{(0,n)}$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** Let M be an le- $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) M is (m, n)-regular.
- (2)  $a^m \alpha e \beta a^n = a, \forall a \in I_{(m,n)}, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$
- (3)  $q^m \alpha e \beta q^n = q, \forall q \in Q_{(m,n)}, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$
- (4)  $(\langle a \rangle_{(m,n)})^m \alpha e \beta(\langle a \rangle_{(m,n)})^n = \langle a \rangle_{(m,n)}, \, \forall a \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$
- (5)  $((a)_{(m,n)})^m \alpha e \beta((a)_{(m,n)})^n = (a)_{(m,n)}, \forall a \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ . It is obvious by Theorem 2.4.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . It is obvious by Remark 3.2.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let  $a \in M$ . By Theorem 3.5 it follows that the element  $\langle a \rangle_{(m,0)} \land \langle a \rangle_{(0,n)}$  is an (m,n)-quasi-ideal element of M. Thus, by (3) and Lemma 2.3,

$$a \leq \langle a \rangle_{(m,0)} \wedge \langle a \rangle_{(0,n)} = (\langle a \rangle_{(m,0)} \wedge \langle a \rangle_{(0,n)})^m \alpha e \beta (\langle a \rangle_{(m,0)} \wedge \langle a \rangle_{(0,n)})^n \leq (\langle a \rangle_{(m,0)})^m \alpha e \beta (\langle a \rangle_{(0,n)})^n = a^m \alpha e \beta a^n.$$

 $(2) \Rightarrow (4)$ . It is clear.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). If  $a \in I_{(m,n)}$ , then  $\langle a \rangle_{(m,n)} = a$  and by 4), we have  $a^m \alpha e \beta a^n = a$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). The proof is similar with the previous case of (m, n)-ideal elements.

*Remark* 3.10. From all above, it is clear that the implications  $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$  hold in *poe*- $\Gamma$ -semigroups, and the equivalence  $(2) \Leftrightarrow (4)$  in  $\forall e$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroups in general.

Remark 3.11. In regular poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroups, we have  $I_{(m,n)} = Q_{(m,n)}$ . For every element a of a poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroup M and  $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \rho \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} a^{m}\alpha e \wedge e\beta a^{n} & \leq & (a^{m}\alpha e \wedge e\beta a^{n})\delta e\rho(a^{m}\alpha e \wedge e\beta a^{n}) \leq (a^{m}\alpha e)\delta e\rho(e\beta a^{n}) \\ & \leq & a^{m}\alpha e\beta a^{n} \leq a^{m}\alpha e \wedge e\beta a^{n}. \end{array}$$

So, in regular poe- $\Gamma$ -semigroups, we have  $a^m \alpha e \beta a^n = a^m \alpha e \wedge e \beta a^n$ .

#### References

- K. Hila, Characterizations on regular le-Γ-semigroups, Math. Sci. Res. J. 10 (2006), no. 5, 121–130.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, Some elements and Green's relation H in le-Γ-semigroups, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 21 (2006), no. 2, 153–161.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, Filters in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **41** (2011), no. 1, 189–203.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, On quasi-prime, weakly quasi-prime left ideals in ordered Γ-semigroups, Math. Slovaca 60 (2010), no. 2, 195–212.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, On some classes of le-Γ-semigroups, Algebras Groups Geom. 24 (2007), no. 4, 485–496.
- [6] K. Hila and E. Pisha, On lattice-ordered Rees matrix  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi, Mat., accepted, to appear.
- [7] K. Iseki, On (m, n)-antiideals in semigroup, Proc. Japan Acad. 38 (1962), 316–317.
- [8] N. Kehayopulu, On (m,n)-regular le-semigroups, Math. Balkanica 5 (1975), no. 27, 152–154.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, Generalized ideal elements in poe-semigroups, Semigroup Forum 25 (1982), no. 3-4, 213–222.
- [10] D. Krgovic, On (m, n)-regular semigroups, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 18(32) (1975), 107–110.
- [11] D. N. Krgovic and S. Lajos, Notes on semigroups II, Karl Marx Univ. Econ., Dept. Math., Budapest 1976.
- [12] Y. I. Kwon On minimal quasi-ideal elements in poe-Γ-semigroups, Far East J. Math. Sci (FJMS) 14 (2004), no. 3, 385–392.
- [13] Y. I. Kwon and S. K. Lee, Some special elements in ordered Γ-semigroups, Kyungpook Math. J. 35 (1996), no. 3, 679–685.
- [14] S. Lajos, On generalized ideals in semigroups (In Hungarian), Matematikai Lapok 10 (351) (1959).
- [15] \_\_\_\_\_, Generalized ideals in semigroups, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged 22 (1961), 217–222.
- [16] \_\_\_\_\_, Notes on (m, n)-ideals I, Proc. Japan Acad. **39** (1963), 419–421.
- [17] \_\_\_\_\_, Notes on (m, n)-ideals II, Proc. Japan Acad. 40 (1964), 631–632.
- [18] \_\_\_\_\_, On (m, n)-ideals of semigroups, Second Hungarian Math. Congress, vol. I (1960), 42–44.
- [19] M. K. Sen, On Γ-semigroups, Algebra and its applications (New Delhi, 1981), 301–308, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 91, Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [20] M. K. Sen and N. K. Saha, On Γ-semigroup. I, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 78 (1986), no. 3, 180–186.

### KOSTAQ HILA AND EDMOND PISHA

Kostaq Hila Department of Mathematics and Computer Science University of Gjirokastra Gjirokastra 6001, Albania *E-mail address*: kostaq.hila@yahoo.com

Edmond Pisha Department of Mathematics Faculty of Natural Sciences University of Tirana Tirana, Albania *E-mail address*: pishamondi@yahoo.com