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#### Abstract

The nucleophilic substitution reactions of dipropyl chlorothiophosphate (3) with substituted anilines $\left(\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and deuterated anilines $\left(\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{ND}_{2}\right)$ are investigated kinetically in acetonitrile at $55.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The obtained deuterium kinetic isotope effects (DKIEs; $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) are primary normal ( $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}=1.11-1.35$ ). A concerted mechanism involving predominant frontside nucleophilic attack is proposed on the basis of the primary normal DKIEs and selectivity parameters. Hydrogen bonded, four-center-type transition state is proposed. The steric effects of the two ligands on the anilinolysis rates of various substrates are discussed.
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## Introduction

The nucleophilic substitution reactions at tetracoordinated phosphorus with anilines have been studied extensively by this lab. ${ }^{1}$ Continuing the studies on the phosphoryl and thiophosphoryl transfer reactions, the reactions of dipropyl chlorothiophosphate (3) with substituted anilines $\left(\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and deuterated anilines $\left(\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{ND}_{2}\right)$ are investigated kinetically in acetonitrile (MeCN) at $55.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 1). The aim of this work is to gain further information into the phosphoryl and thiophosphoryl transfer reactions, as well as to compare with the reaction mechanism and deuterium kinetic isotope effects (DKIEs; $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) on the anilinolyses of $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S})$ Cl-type chlorothiophosphates: dimethyl [1: $\left.(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}\right],{ }^{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ diethyl $\left[2:(\mathrm{EtO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}\right],{ }^{1 \mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{Y}$-aryl ethyl [4: $\left.(\mathrm{EtO})\left(\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]$, ${ }^{\text {if }}$ and Y-aryl phenyl [5: $\left.(\mathrm{PhO})\left(\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{\text {1c }}$ chlorothiophosphates. The numbering of the substrates of $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ follows the sequence of the size of the two ligands, $\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The anilinolysis, ${ }^{1}$ ethanolysis ${ }^{2}$ and hydrolysis ${ }^{3}$ rates of various $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{S}$ substrates are discussed on the basis of the steric effects of the two ligands. Henceforth, for convenience in expressing the substrates, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ are denoted as $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, respectively.
The B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) geometry, bond angles, and natural bond order (NBO) charges of $\mathbf{3}$ in the gas phase ${ }^{4}$ are shown in Figure 1. The MO theoretical structure shows that


Scheme 1. The anilinolysis of dipropyl chlorothiophosphate (3) in MeCN at $55.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 1. The B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) geometry of dipropyl chlorothiophosphate (3) in the gas phase.
the two oxygens, sulfur, and chlorine have somewhat distorted tetrahedral geometry with the phosphorus atom at the center. The ground state (GS) structure of $\mathbf{3}$ does not have symmetry plane although it has two same ligands.

## Results and Discussion

The observed pseudo-first-order rate constants ( $k_{\mathrm{obsd}}$ ) were found to follow eq. (1) for all the reactions under pseudo-first-order conditions with a large excess of aniline nucleophile. The $k_{0}$ values were negligible ( $k_{0} \approx 0$ ) in MeCN. The second-order rate constants $\left(k_{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{D})}\right)$ were determined for at least five concentrations of anilines. The linear plots of eq. (1) suggest that there are no base-catalysis or noticeable side reactions and that the overall reaction is described by Scheme 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{obsd}}=k_{0}+k_{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{D})}\left[\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{D}}$ values are summarized in Table 1, together with the DKIEs $\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ and the Hammett $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ and Brönsted $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ selectivity parameters. The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{X})$ values of the X anilines in water were used to obtain the Brönsted $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ values in MeCN , and this procedure was justified experimentally and theoretically. ${ }^{5}$ The values of $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{X})$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ of the deuterated X -anilines are assumed to be identical to those of

Table 1. The Second-Order Rate Constants $\left(k_{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{D})} \times 10^{4} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, Selectivity Parameters ( $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ ), ${ }^{a}$ and DKIEs $\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ of the Reactions of Dipropyl Chlorothiophosphate (3) with $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ in MeCN at $55.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| X | $k_{\mathrm{H}} \times 10^{4}$ | $k_{\mathrm{D}} \times 10^{4}$ | $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $23.9 \pm 0.1^{b}$ | $21.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.11 \pm 0.01^{g}$ |
| $4-\mathrm{Me}$ | $11.2 \pm 0.1$ | $10.0 \pm 0.1$ | $1.12 \pm 0.02$ |
| $3-\mathrm{Me}$ | $4.70 \pm 0.03$ | $4.05 \pm 0.01$ | $1.16 \pm 0.01$ |
| H | $3.00 \pm 0.01$ | $2.55 \pm 0.02$ | $1.18 \pm 0.01$ |
| $3-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $1.23 \pm 0.01$ | $1.02 \pm 0.01$ | $1.21 \pm 0.02$ |
| $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $0.544 \pm 0.003$ | $0.413 \pm 0.001$ | $1.32 \pm 0.01$ |
| $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $0.204 \pm 0.001$ | $0.151 \pm 0.001$ | $1.35 \pm 0.01$ |
| $-\rho_{\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{H} \text { and })}$ | $3.23 \pm 0.02^{c}$ | $3.37 \pm 0.02^{e}$ |  |
| $\beta_{\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{H} \text { and })}$ | $1.14 \pm 0.04^{d}$ | $1.19 \pm 0.04^{f}$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ The $\sigma$ values were taken from ref. 7. The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of X-anilines in water were taken from ref. 8. ${ }^{b}$ Standard deviation. ${ }^{c}$ Correlation coefficient, $\mathrm{r}=0.999 .{ }^{d} \mathrm{r}=0.999 .{ }^{e} \mathrm{r}=0.999 .{ }^{{ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{r}}=0.999 .{ }^{g}$ Standard error $\{=1 /$ $\left.k_{\mathrm{D}}\left[\left(\Delta k_{\mathrm{H}}\right)^{2}+\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}\right)^{2} \times\left(\Delta k_{\mathrm{D}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}$ from ref. 9.
the X -anilines. Perrin and coworkers reported that the basicities of $\beta$-deuterated analogs of benzylamine, $N, N$ dimethylaniline and methylamine increase roughly by 0.02 $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ units per deuterium, and that these effects are additive. ${ }^{6}$ Thus, the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{X})$ values of deuterated X -anilines may be slightly greater than those of X -anilines, however, the difference is too small to be taken into account. Figures 2 and 3 show the Hammett $\left(\log k_{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{D})}\right.$ vs $\left.\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ and Brönsted $\left[\log k_{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{D})}\right.$ vs $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{X})$ ] plots, respectively, for substituent X variations in the nucleophiles. The stronger nucleophile leads to the faster rate as observed in a typical nucleophilic substitution reaction. The primary normal DKIEs $\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}>1\right)$ are observed with all the nucleophiles. The values of DKIEs invariably increase as the nucleophile changes from the strongly to weakly basic anilines; from $\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{MeO}\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}=1.11\right)$ to X $=3-\mathrm{Cl}\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}=1.35\right)$.

The second-order rate constants $\left(k_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ with unsubstituted aniline, NBO charges at the reaction center P atom [B3LYP/ $6-311+G(d, p)$ level of theory] in the gas phase, ${ }^{4}$ summations of the Taft's steric constants of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}\left[\Sigma E_{\mathrm{S}}=E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)+\right.$ $\left.E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)\right]{ }^{10}$ Brönsted coefficients $\left(\beta_{\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{H})}\right)$, cross-interaction constants ( $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ ), ${ }^{11}$ and DKIEs $\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ of the reactions of $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ with $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ in MeCN at $55.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are summarized in Table 2.
When the magnitude of the positive charge of the reaction


Figure 2. The Hammett plots $\left(\log k_{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{D})} v s \sigma_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ of the reactions of dipropyl chlorothiophosphate (3) with $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ in MeCN at $55.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3. The Brönsted plots $\left[\log k_{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{D})}\right.$ vs $\left.\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{X})\right]$ of the reactions of dipropyl chlorothiophosphate (3) with $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ in MeCN at $55.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
center P atom (or the inductive effects of the two ligands) is the major factor to determine the anilinolysis rate, the sequence of the anilinolysis rates should be $\mathbf{5}<\mathbf{1} \approx \mathbf{4}<\mathbf{2} \approx 3$. However, the observed sequence is $\mathbf{1 > 2}>\mathbf{3} \approx \mathbf{4}>\mathbf{5}$, giving the rate ratio of $9.9(\mathbf{1}): 5.1(\mathbf{2}): 3.0(\mathbf{3}): 2.8(\mathbf{4}): 1(\mathbf{5})$. These results are not consistent with expectations for the positive

Table 2. Summary of Second-Order Rate Constants $\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} \times 10^{3} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, NBO Charges at the Reaction Center P Atom, Summations of the Taft's Steric Constants of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}\left[\Sigma E_{\mathrm{S}}=E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)+E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)\right]$, Brönsted Coefficients $\left(\beta_{\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{H})}\right)$, Cross-Interaction Constants $\left(\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}\right)$, and DKIEs $\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ for the Reactions of $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ with $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ in MeCN at $55.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Substrate | $10^{3} k_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{a}$ | charge at P | $-\Sigma E_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\beta_{\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{H})}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ | $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ | ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: S(MeO, MeO) | 1.09 | 1.687 | 0.00 | 0.993 | - | 0.945-1.06 | 1 g |
| 2: S(EtO, EtO) | 0.512 | 1.701 | 0.14 | 0.977 | - | 1.01-1.10 | 1 g |
| 3: S(PrO, PrO) | 0.300 | 1.702 | 0.72 | 1.14 | - | 1.11-1.35 | this work |
| 4: S(EtO, $\left.\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | $0.280^{\text {c }}$ | $1.687^{\text {c }}$ | $2.55^{\text {c }}$ | 1.10-1.19 | -0.28 | 1.06-1.27 | 1 f |
| 5: $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | $0.101^{c}$ | $1.661^{c}$ | $4.96{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.34-1.41 | -0.22 | 1.11-1.33 | 1 c |

${ }^{a}$ The values with unsubstituted aniline. ${ }^{b}$ Note that the value of $\Sigma E_{\mathrm{S}}$ is not $E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}\right)+E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ but $E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)+E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ since the data of Taft's steric constants of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}$ are not available. ${ }^{c}$ The values with $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}$.


Figure 4. The plot of $\log k_{\mathrm{H}} v s \Sigma E_{\mathrm{S}}$, according to Taft eq. (2), for the reactions of $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ in MeCN at $55.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The number of substrate and two ligands are displayed next to the corresponding point. The slope of $\delta=0.16(\mathrm{r}=0.902)$ with $\mathbf{1 - 5}, \delta$ $=0.18(\mathrm{r}=0.959)$ with $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}$, and $\mathbf{5}$, and $\delta=0.21(\mathrm{r}=0.998)$ with $\mathbf{1 , 4}$, and $\mathbf{5}$ are obtained.
charge at the reaction center P atom, suggesting that the inductive effects of the two ligands do not play any role to decide the reactivity of anilinolysis of $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cl}-$ type substrates. The sequence of the anilinolysis rates of 1-5 is inversely proportional to the size of the two ligands; the greater the size of the two ligands, the rate becomes slower. These results indicate that the steric effects of the two ligands play an important role to determine the reactivity of the chlorothiophosphates. The Taft's eq. (2) can be used to rationalize the steric effect on the reaction rate where $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ is the second-order rate constant with unsubstituted aniline in MeCN at $55.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, E_{\mathrm{S}}$ is the Taft's steric constant $\left[E_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{R})=\right.$ $0(\mathrm{Me}) ;-0.07(\mathrm{Et}) ;-0.36(\mathrm{Pr}) ;-2.48(\mathrm{Ph})], \Sigma E_{\mathrm{S}}$ is the summation of the steric constants of the two ligands, and $\delta$ is the sensitivity coefficient. ${ }^{10}$ Figure 4 shows the plot of $\log k_{\mathrm{H}}$ with unsubstituted aniline $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ against the summation of the Taft's steric constants of the two ligands of the reactions of five chlorothiophosphates (1-5) in MeCN at $55.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, giving the sensitivity coefficients of $\delta=0.16(\mathrm{r}=$ 0.902 ) with five substrates of $\mathbf{1 - 5}, \delta=0.18(\mathrm{r}=0.959)$ with
four substrates of $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}$, and $\mathbf{5}$, and $\delta=0.21(\mathrm{r}=0.998)$ with three substrates of $\mathbf{1 , 4}$, and 5 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log k_{\mathrm{H}}=\delta \Sigma E_{\mathrm{S}}+\mathrm{C} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sensitivity coefficients of $\delta$ for some phosphoryl and thiophosphoryl transfer reactions in a given solvent are summarized in Table 3. The relative reactivities of the reaction system I-VII are predominantly dependent upon the steric effects over the inductive effects of the ligands. Herein, it should be noted that the value of $\Sigma E_{\mathrm{S}}$ is not $E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}\right)+$ $E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ but $E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)+E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ for the reaction systems of II ( $\mathbf{I I}^{\prime}, \mathbf{I I}^{\prime \prime}$ ) and IV (IV'), since the data of Taft's steric constants of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}$ are not available. Despite the greater size of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}$ than that of its corresponding $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$, the steric effects of the two ligands on the anilinolysis rates (reaction systems of $\left.\mathbf{I - I V} \mathbf{V}^{\prime}\right)$ are smaller in $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{O}\right)$ than in $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ where $\mathrm{A}=$ O or S : e.g., $k_{\mathrm{H}}[\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})] / k_{\mathrm{H}}[\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Ph})]=4,520^{1 \mathrm{d,i}}$ while $k_{\mathrm{H}}[\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{MeO})] / k_{\mathrm{H}}[\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{PhO})]=4.8 ;{ }^{1 \mathrm{lag}} k_{\mathrm{H}}[\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})] /$ $k_{\mathrm{H}}[\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Ph})]=16^{1 \text { e.j }}$ while $k_{\mathrm{H}}[\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{MeO})] / k_{\mathrm{H}}[\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{PhO})]$ $=11 .^{1 c, g}$ This means that the intervening oxygen atom between the reaction center phosphorus atom and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ reduces the steric congestion when the nucleophile attacks the reaction center P atom in the TS.

In the $P=S$ system of $\mathbf{I}$, the anilinolysis rates of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Et})$ and $\mathrm{S}(i-\mathrm{Pr}, i-\mathrm{Pr})$ are exceptionally slow to be rationalized by the conventional stereoelectronic effects. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{u}}$ In the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{S}$ system of II, the anilinolysis rate of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{EtO}, \mathrm{EtO})$ is somewhat slow ${ }^{1 g}$ and that of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{PrO}, \operatorname{PrO})$ is much slow to be rationalized by the conventional stereoelectronic effects, as seen in Figure 4 and Table 3. In the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ system of III, the anilinolysis rate of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Et})$ is somewhat slow and that of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{cHex}, \mathrm{cHex})$ is exceptionally slow to be rationalized by the conventional stereoelectronic effects. ${ }^{11,0}$ In the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ system of IV, the anilinolysis rate of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{EtO}, \mathrm{EtO})$ is somewhat slow and that of $\mathrm{O}(i-\mathrm{PrO}, i-\mathrm{PrO})$ is exceptionally slow to be rationalized by the conventional stereoelectronic effects. ${ }^{1 g, r}$ These results might indicate that: (i) the steric effects of ethyl, propyl, $i$-propyl, and cyclo-hexyl ligands are greater than the reference reaction of Taft's eq. or (ii) another additional factor plays an important role to decide the anilinolysis rate. Thus, further systematic studies are essen-

Table 3. Steric Effects of the Two ligands on the Rates of the Reaction Systems of I-VII

| no | Reaction system | Solvent | $\delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Ph})+$ anilines | MeCN | 0.24 |
| II | $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{MeO}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{EtO}, \mathrm{PhO}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{PhO})+$ anilines | MeCN | $0.21(\mathrm{r}=0.998)$ |
| II' | $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{MeO}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{EtO}, \mathrm{EtO}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{EtO}, \mathrm{PhO}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{PhO})+$ anilines | MeCN | $0.18(r=0.959)$ |
| II' | $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{MeO}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{EtO}, \mathrm{EtO}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{PrO}, \mathrm{PrO}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{EtO}, \mathrm{PhO}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{PhO})+$ anilines | MeCN | 0.16 (r=0.902) |
| III | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me}), \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}), \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Ph})+$ anilines | MeCN | $0.74(\mathrm{r}=0.999)$ |
| III' | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me}), \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Et}), \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}), \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Ph})+$ anilines | MeCN | 0.57 (r=0.895) |
| IV | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{MeO}), \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{EtO}, \mathrm{PhO}), \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{PhO})+$ anilines | MeCN | $0.14(\mathrm{r}=0.999)$ |
| IV ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{MeO}), \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{EtO}, \mathrm{EtO}), \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{EtO}, \mathrm{PhO}), \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{PhO})+$ anilines | MeCN | $0.12(\mathrm{r}=0.967)$ |
| V | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{MePhP}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}+$ anilines | DMSO | $0.02(\mathrm{r}=0.954)$ |
| VI | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{MePhP}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}+$ ethanol | EtOH | 0.48 (r=0.953) |
| VII | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}+$ water | water | 0.35 |

tial to clarify the structure and reactivity relationship. In the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ system of $\mathbf{V}$, the sensitivity coefficient of $\delta$ is considerably small, however, it is evident that the steric effects are the predominant factor to determine the anilinolysis rate over the inductive effects of the two ligands. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~m}}$ In the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ systems of VI and VII, the ethanolysis and hydrolysis rates are also strongly influenced by the steric effects over the inductive effects of the two ligands. ${ }^{2,3}$ It is worthy of note that the steric effects of the two ligands are no longer dominant factor to determine the pyridinolysis rates of both $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{S}$ systems. ${ }^{12}$ Regarding the steric effects of the two ligands, the horizontal approach of the aniline ring should cause excessive steric hindrance in contrast to a much less steric effects in the vertical approach of the pyridine ring. ${ }^{10,12 a}$
The DKIEs are one of the strong tools to clarify the reaction mechanism. The DKIEs have provided a useful means to determine the TS structures in the nucleophilic substitution reactions, and how the reactants, especially through changes in substituents, alter the TS structures. Incorporation of deuterium in the nucleophile has an advantage in that the $\alpha$-DKIEs reflect only the degree of bond formation. When partial deprotonation of the aniline occurs in a rate-limiting step by hydrogen bonding, the $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ values are greater than unity, primary normal $\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}>1.0\right) .{ }^{13}$ The greater the extent of the hydrogen bond, the value of $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ becomes greater. In contrast, the DKIEs can only be secondary inverse ( $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}<1.0$ ) in a normal $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction, since the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{D})$ vibrational frequencies invariably increase upon going to the TS because of an increase in steric congestion in the bond-making process. ${ }^{14}$ The greater the degree of the steric congestion in the TS , the value of $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ becomes smaller.
The attacking direction of aniline nucleophile can be semiquantitatively divided into three groups on the basis of the magnitudes of the $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ values: (i) predominant backside attack TSb (Scheme 2) when $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}<1$; (ii) the fraction of the frontside attack $\operatorname{TSf}$ (Scheme 2) is greater than that of backside attack TSb when $1.0<k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}<1.1$ : (iii) predominant frontside attack TSf when $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}>1.1 .^{15}$
As seen in Table 2, the DKIEs of $\mathbf{1}\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}=0.945-1.06\right)^{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ are secondary inverse with the strongly basic anilines and primary normal with the weakly basic anilines, while those of $2\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}=1.01-1.10\right),{ }^{1 \mathrm{~g}} \mathbf{3}\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}=1.11-1.35\right), \mathbf{4}\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}=\right.$ $1.06-1.27)^{1 \mathrm{f}}$ and $5\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}=1.11-1.33\right)^{1 \mathrm{c}}$ are primary normal. The CICs are also one of the strong tools to clarify the reaction mechanism. ${ }^{11}$ The negative values of $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ imply that the anilinolyses of $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ proceed through a concerted $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$


TSb


TSf

Scheme 2. Backside attack TSb and frontside attack $\operatorname{TSf}(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{H}$ or D).
mechanism. ${ }^{11}$ The authors accordingly proposed that the anilinolysis of $\mathbf{1}$ proceeds through a concerted mechanism and the attacking direction gradually changes from backside to frontside as the aniline changes from the strongly basic to weakly basic. A concerted mechanism was proposed for the anilinolysis of $\mathbf{2}$ in which the fraction of the frontside attack TSf is greater than that of backside attack TSb on the basis of $1.01<k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}<1.10$. A concerted mechanism involving predominant hydrogen-bonded, four-center-type TSf was proposed for the anilinolyses of $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ on the basis of the large primary normal DKIEs. In the present work, thus, the authors propose a concerted mechanism involving predominant hydrogen-bonded, four-center-type TSf on the basis of the primary normal DKIEs.

## Experimental Section

Materials. Dipropyl chlorothiophosphate (commercially available) and HPLC grade acetonitrile (water content is less than $0.005 \%$ ) were used for kinetic studies without further purification. Anilines were redistilled or recrystallized before use as previously described. ${ }^{1}$ Deuterated anilines were synthesized by heating anilines and deuterium oxide ( 99.9 atom $\% \mathrm{D}$ ) and one drop of HCl as catalyst at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours, and after numerous attempts, anilines were deuterated more than $98 \%$, as confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

Kinetic Procedure. Rates were measured conductometrically at $55.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The conductivity bridge used in this work was a self-made computer automated $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}$ converter conductivity bridge. Pseudo-first-order rate constants, $k_{\text {obsd }}$ were measured by curve fitting analysis in origin program with a large excess of anilines, [Substrate] $=1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}$ and [XAniline] $=(0.1-0.5) \mathrm{M}$. Second-order rate constants, $k_{2}$, were obtained from the slope of a plot of $k_{\text {obsd }} v s$. [X-Aniline] with five concentrations of anilines. The $k_{\text {obsd }}$ values were the average of three runs, which were reproducible within $\pm 3 \%$.

Product Analysis. Dipropyl chlorothiophosphate was reacted with excess 4-methoxyaniline, for more than 15 halflives at $55.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in MeCN . The 4-methoxyaniline hydrochloride salt was separated by filtration. Acetonitrile was evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was isolated with ether by a work-up process and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After filtration the product was isolated by evaporating the solvent under reduced pressure. The analytical and spectroscopic data of the product after column chromatography (silica gel $/ 20 \%$ ethyl acetate $+n$-hexane) were:
$(\mathbf{P r O})_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{P}(=\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{N H C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{- 4}-\mathbf{O C H}_{\mathbf{3}}$. Dark brown gummy-substance; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.87-0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \operatorname{Pr}$ ), 1.63-1.68 (m, 4H, $2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, Pr), 3.74 (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Pr}\right), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \operatorname{Pr}\right), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H , phenyl), 6.95 (d, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, phenyl); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \operatorname{Pr}\right), 23.49\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Pr}\right), 55.46$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 68.10\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Pr}\right), 114.45,118.85,118.93,132.88$, 154.59 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$, aromatic); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 8.25 (s, 1P, P=S); m/z, $303\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
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