

## Some Notes on $L_p$ -metric Space of Fuzzy Sets

Yun Kyong Kim

Department of Information & Communication Engineering, Dongshin University, Geonjaero 253, Naju,  
Jeonnam, 520-714, Korea

### Abstract

It is well-known that the space  $E^n$  of fuzzy numbers (i.e., normal, upper-semicontinuous, compact-supported and convex fuzzy subsets) in the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $R^n$  is separable but not complete with respect to the  $L_p$ -metric.

In this paper, we introduce the space  $F_p(R^n)$  that is separable and complete with respect to the  $L_p$ -metric. This will be accomplished by assuming  $p$ -th mean bounded condition instead of compact-supported condition and by removing convex condition.

**Key Words:** Fuzzy numbers, Compact sets,  $L_p$ -metric.

### 1. Introduction

The metric in a space of fuzzy sets plays an important role both in the theory and in its applications. There are various useful metrics defined on the fuzzy number space  $E^n$  of normal, upper-semicontinuous, compact-supported and convex fuzzy subsets of  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $R^n$ . The readers may refer to [2] for supremum metric, sendograph metric and  $L_p$ -metric, and refer to [6] for Skorohod metric.

It is well-known that  $E^n$  is complete and separable if it is equipped with the metric except  $L_p$ -metric. Characterizations of compact subsets of  $E^n$  equipped supremum metric, sendograph metric and the Skorohod metric were given by Greco [4], Greco and Moschen [5], Greco [3], Zhao and Wu [10], Joo and Kim [6], respectively.

However, it is known that  $E^n$  is separable but not complete with respect to the  $L_p$ -metric. This problem arises from the fact that compact-supported condition is inadequate for the  $L_p$ -metric.

Related to this problem, Kraschmer [7] dealt with completion of  $E^n$  w.r.t. the  $L_p$ -metric by introducing the notion of support function for noncompact fuzzy number and De-gang et al. [1] proposed the completion of  $E^1$  w.r.t. the  $L_1$ -metric by using representation theorem of noncompact fuzzy number in  $E^1$ . But these approaches cannot be valid any more if we drop the convexity condition.

In this paper, we introduce the space  $F_p(R^n)$  without convexity that is complete and separable with respect to the  $L_p$ -metric.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $K(R^n)$  denote the family of all non-empty compact subsets of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $R^n$  with the usual norm  $|\cdot|$ . Then the space  $K(R^n)$  is metrizable by the Hausdorff metric  $h$  defined by

$$h(A, B) = \max[\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} |a - b|, \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} |a - b|].$$

The norm of  $A \in K(R^n)$  is defined by

$$\|A\| = h(A, \{0\}) = \sup_{a \in A} |a|.$$

It is well-known that  $K(R^n)$  is complete and separable with respect to the Hausdorff metric  $h$ . Also, if we denote by  $K_c(R^n)$  the family of all  $A \in K(R^n)$  which is convex, then  $K_c(R^n)$  is a closed subspace of  $(K(R^n), h)$ .

Let  $F(R^n)$  denote the family of all fuzzy sets  $u : R^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with the following properties;

- (i)  $u$  is normal, i.e., there exists  $x \in R^n$  such that  $u(x) = 1$ .
- (ii)  $L_\alpha u = \{x \in R^n : u(x) \geq \alpha\}$  is a compact subset of  $R^n$  for each  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

$L_\alpha u$  is called the  $\alpha$ -level set of  $u$ . We denote by  $F_c(R^n)$  the family of all  $u \in F(R^n)$  which is convex, i.e.,  $u(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \geq \min(u(x), u(y))$  for all  $x, y \in R^n$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Then  $u \in F_c(R^n)$  if and only if  $L_\alpha u \in K_c(R^n)$  for each  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

Also, we denote by  $F_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (resp.  $F_{c\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) the family of all  $u \in F(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (resp.  $F_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) with compact support, i.e.,  $L_0u = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) > 0\}$  is compact, where  $\bar{A}$  denotes the closure of  $A$  w.r.t. the usual norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Briefly,  $F_{c,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is denoted by  $E^n$  and a member of  $E^n$  is called a fuzzy number.

Joo and Kim [6] showed that  $u \in F_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be characterized by a function  $f_u$  defined as  $f_u : [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $f_u(\alpha) = L_\alpha u$ , which is non-increasing, left-continuous on  $(0, 1]$ , right-continuous at 0 and right-limits on  $[0, 1)$ . By very similar arguments, we can obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $u \in F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we define

$$f_u : (0, 1] \longrightarrow (K(\mathbb{R}^n), h), f_u(\alpha) = L_\alpha u.$$

Then the followings hold;

- (i)  $f_u$  is non-increasing, i.e.,  $\alpha \leq \beta$  implies  $f_u(\alpha) \supset f_u(\beta)$ ,
- (ii)  $f_u$  is left continuous on  $(0, 1]$ ,
- (iii)  $f_u$  has right-limits on  $(0, 1)$ .

Conversely, if  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a function satisfying the above conditions (i) – (iii), then there exists a unique  $v \in F(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $g(\alpha) = L_\alpha v$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .

If we denote by  $L_{\alpha^+}u$  the right-limit of  $f_u$  at  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then it is well-known that

$$L_{\alpha^+}u = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) > \alpha\}}.$$

### 3. Main Results

The  $L^p$ -metric  $d_p$  on the fuzzy number space  $E^n$  is defined as follows;

$$d_p(u, v) = \left( \int_0^1 h(L_\alpha u, L_\alpha v)^p d\alpha \right)^{1/p}.$$

It is well-known that  $(E^n, d_p)$  is separable but not complete. This fact seems to be natural since  $E^n$  is too small for it to be complete w.r.t.  $d_p$ . In order to achieve completeness, we need to introduce a new family of fuzzy sets that includes  $E^n$ .

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let  $F_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (resp.  $F_{c,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) be the family of all fuzzy sets  $u \in F(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (resp.  $F_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) such that

$$\int_0^1 \|L_\alpha u\|^p d\alpha < \infty.$$

It is obvious that  $F_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset F_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  but  $F_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \neq F_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is easy to prove that the  $d_p$  on  $F_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies

the axioms of metric. We first prove the completeness of  $(F_p(\mathbb{R}^n), d_p)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.**  $(F_p(\mathbb{R}^n), d_p)$  is complete.

**Proof.** Let  $\{u_i\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(F_p(\mathbb{R}^n), d_p)$  such that  $\int_0^1 h(L_\alpha u_i, L_\alpha u_j)^p d\alpha \rightarrow 0$  as  $i, j \rightarrow \infty$ .

Step 1: First, we show that there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{i_k}\}$  of  $\{u_i\}$  such that  $\{L_\alpha u_{i_k}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(K(\mathbb{R}^n), h)$  for almost all  $\alpha$ .

We note that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu\{\alpha : h(L_\alpha u_i, L_\alpha u_j) > \varepsilon\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_0^1 h(L_\alpha u_i, L_\alpha u_j)^p d\alpha \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $i, j \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\mu$  denote the Lebesgue measure.

For any positive integer  $k$ , we find an integer  $N_k$  such that

$$\mu\{\alpha : h(L_\alpha u_i, L_\alpha u_j) \geq \frac{1}{2^k}\} < \frac{1}{2^k}$$

for  $i, j \geq N_k$ . Now we write

$$i_1 = N_1, i_k = (i_{k-1} + 1) \vee N_k \text{ for } k \geq 2,$$

then  $\{u_{i_k}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{u_i\}$ .

Let  $I_k = \{\alpha : h(L_\alpha u_{i_k}, L_\alpha u_{i_{k+1}}) \geq \frac{1}{2^k}\}$  and

$$I_0 = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_k = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \bigcup_{k=m}^\infty I_k.$$

Then since

$$\mu(\bigcup_{k=m}^\infty I_k) \leq \sum_{k=m}^\infty \mu(I_k) < \frac{1}{2^{m-1}},$$

we have that  $\mu(I_0) = 0$ . And if  $\alpha \notin I_0$ , then there exists  $m$  such that  $\alpha \notin \bigcup_{k=m}^\infty I_k$  and so for  $k, l \geq m$ ,

$$h(L_\alpha u_{i_k}, L_\alpha u_{i_l}) \leq \sum_{k=m}^\infty h(L_\alpha u_{i_k}, L_\alpha u_{i_{k+1}}) < \frac{1}{2^{m-1}},$$

which implies  $\{L_\alpha u_{i_k}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(K(\mathbb{R}^n), h)$ .

Step 2: By completeness of  $(K(\mathbb{R}^n), h)$ ,  $\{L_\alpha u_{i_k}\}$  converges to  $A_\alpha$  for some  $A_\alpha \in K(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for each  $\alpha \notin I_0$ .

If  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\alpha \in I_0$ , then we define

$$A_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha, \beta \notin I_0} A_\beta.$$

Then by Lemma 2.1, there exists a  $u \in F(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $L_\alpha u = A_\alpha$  for each  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Now we have to show that  $u \in F_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $d_p(u_i, u) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $\{u_k\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $(F_p(\mathbb{R}^n), d_p)$ , there exist an  $M$  such that for  $k, l \geq M$ ,

$$\int_0^1 h(L_\alpha u_k, L_\alpha u_l)^p d\alpha < 1.$$

For a fixed  $k \geq M$ , since

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} h(L_\alpha u_k, L_\alpha u_l) = h(L_\alpha u_k, L_\alpha u)$$

for almost all  $\alpha$ , we have that by Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 h(L_\alpha u_k, L_\alpha u)^p d\alpha \\ & \leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 h(L_\alpha u_k, L_\alpha u_l)^p d\alpha \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \|L_\alpha u\|^p d\alpha \\ & \leq 2^p \int_0^1 \|L_\alpha u_k\|^p d\alpha + 2^p \int_0^1 h(L_\alpha u_k, L_\alpha u)^p d\alpha < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $u \in F_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Finally, the triangle inequality

$$d_p(u_i, u) \leq d_p(u_i, u_k) + d_p(u_k, u)$$

shows that  $d_p(u_i, u) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . □

**Corollary 3.2.**  $F_{cp}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a closed subspace of  $(F_p(\mathbb{R}^n), d_p)$  and so it is complete.

**Proof.** Let  $\{u_i\}$  be a sequence in  $(F_{cp}(\mathbb{R}^n), d_p)$  such that for some  $v \in F_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$d_p(u_i, v) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then there exists a  $I \subset (0, 1]$  with Lebesgue measure 0 such that for all  $\alpha \notin I$ ,

$$h(L_\alpha u_i, L_\alpha v) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $L_\alpha u_i \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a closed subspace of  $K(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $L_\alpha v \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $\alpha \notin I$ . If  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\alpha \in I$ , then we can choose a increasing sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}$  with  $\alpha_k \notin I$  so that  $\alpha_k \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by left-continuity of  $L_\alpha v$  as a function of  $\alpha$ , we have  $h(L_{\alpha_k} v, L_\alpha v) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and so  $L_\alpha v \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This completes the proof. □

Now we prove that  $(F_p(\mathbb{R}^n), d_p)$  is separable. To do this, we need some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3.** If  $A_j, B_j \in K(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , then

$$h(A_1 \cup A_2, B_1 \cup B_2) \leq \max[h(A_1, B_1), h(A_2, B_2)].$$

**Proof.** It follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{a \in A_1 \cup A_2} \inf_{b \in B_1 \cup B_2} |a - b| \\ & = \max(\sup_{a \in A_1} \inf_{b \in B_1 \cup B_2} |a - b|, \sup_{a \in A_2} \inf_{b \in B_1 \cup B_2} |a - b|) \\ & \leq \max(\sup_{a \in A_1} \inf_{b \in B_1} |a - b|, \sup_{a \in A_2} \inf_{b \in B_2} |a - b|) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.4.** If  $u \in F(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$ , then there exists a partition  $\beta = \beta_0 < \dots < \beta_m = 1$  of  $[\beta, 1]$  such that

$$h(L_{\beta_k} u, L_{\beta_{k-1}^+} u) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, m.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By applying Lemma 2.1, for each  $\beta < \alpha < 1$ , we can take  $\delta_\alpha > 0$  so that

$$h(L_\alpha u, L_{\alpha - \delta_\alpha} u) < \varepsilon$$

and

$$h(L_{\alpha + \delta_\alpha} u, L_\alpha u) < \varepsilon.$$

Also, we can choose  $\delta_\beta, \delta_1 > 0$  so that

$$h(L_{\beta + \delta_\beta} u, L_{\beta + \delta_\beta} u) < \varepsilon$$

and

$$h(L_1 u, L_{1 - \delta_1} u) < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $I_\beta = [\beta, \beta + \delta_\beta], I_1 = (1 - \delta_1, 1]$  and for each  $\beta < \alpha < 1$ ,

$$I_\alpha = (\alpha - \delta_\alpha, \alpha - \delta_\alpha).$$

Then by the compactness of  $[\beta, 1]$ , there exists  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in (\beta, 1)$  such that

$$[0, 1] = I_\beta \cup I_1 \cup (\cup_{i=1}^N I_{\alpha_i}).$$

The collection of points  $\{\beta, \beta + \delta_\beta, 1 - \delta_1, 1\} \cup \{\alpha_i - \delta_{\alpha_i}, \alpha_i, \alpha_i + \delta_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, \dots, N\}$  forms a partition of  $[\beta, 1]$ . We denote these points in ascending order by

$$\beta = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m = 1.$$

Then it is obvious that for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$h(L_{\beta_k} u, L_{\beta_{k-1}^+} u) < \varepsilon.$$

□

**Theorem 3.5.**  $(F_p(\mathbb{R}^n), d_p)$  is separable.

**Proof.** Since  $(K(R^n), h)$  is separable, there exists a countable dense subclass  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $K(R^n)$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of fuzzy sets  $v$  which for some positive  $m$ , there exist a finite unions  $A_1 \supset \dots \supset A_m$  of sets in  $\mathcal{K}$  and rational points  $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{m-1} < 1$  such that

$$v(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \alpha_k I_{A_k \setminus A_{k+1}}(x) + I_{A_m}(x),$$

where  $I_A$  denotes the indicator function of  $A$ .

Then it is obvious that  $\mathcal{F}$  is countable subset of  $F_p(R^n)$ .

Now it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is dense in  $(F_p(R^n), d_p)$ . Let  $u \in F_p(R^n)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. First we choose  $0 < \beta < 1$  so that

$$\int_0^\beta \|L_\alpha u\|^p d\alpha < (\varepsilon/16)^p. \quad (1)$$

And then, by applying Lemma 3.4, we choose a partition  $\beta = \beta_0 < \dots < \beta_m = 1$  of  $[\beta, 1]$  such that

$$h(L_{\beta_k} u, L_{\beta_{k-1}^+} u) < \varepsilon/8 \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, m.$$

If we take  $B_k \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  so that

$$h(B_k, L_{\beta_k} u) < \varepsilon/8 \text{ for each } k.$$

and let  $A_k = \cup_{i=k}^m B_i$ , then by lemma 3.3,

$$h(L_{\beta_k} u, A_k) < \varepsilon/8,$$

and

$$h(L_{\beta_{k-1}^+} u, A_k) \leq h(L_{\beta_{k-1}^+} u, L_{\beta_k} u) + h(L_{\beta_k} u, A_k) < \varepsilon/4. \quad (2)$$

Let  $\alpha_m = \beta_m = 1$  and for each  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ , we choose rational points  $\alpha_k$  so that

$$\beta_{k-1} < \alpha_k \leq \beta_k, \quad h(L_{\alpha_k} u, L_{\beta_k} u) < \varepsilon/8$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} (\|L_\alpha u\| + \|A_1\|)^p d\alpha < \varepsilon^p/4. \quad (3)$$

Then

$$h(L_{\alpha_k} u, A_k) \leq h(L_{\alpha_k} u, L_{\beta_k} u) + h(L_{\beta_k} u, A_k) < \varepsilon/4. \quad (4)$$

Now if we define

$$v(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \alpha_k I_{A_k \setminus A_{k+1}}(x) + I_{A_m}(x),$$

then

$$L_\alpha v = \begin{cases} A_1 & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_1, \\ A_k & \text{if } \alpha_{k-1} < \alpha \leq \alpha_k, k = 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Since for  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h(L_\alpha u, L_\alpha v) &\leq h(L_\alpha u, L_{\beta^+} u) + h(L_{\beta^+} u, A_1) \\ &\leq 2\|L_\alpha u\| + \varepsilon/4 \text{ by (2),} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\beta h(L_\alpha u, L_\alpha v)^p d\alpha \\ &\leq 2^p [4^p \int_0^\beta \|L_\alpha u\|^p d\alpha + (\varepsilon/4)^p \beta] \\ &\leq (\varepsilon/2)^p (1 + \beta) \text{ by (1).} \end{aligned}$$

And for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\beta_{k-1}}^{\beta_k} h(L_\alpha u, L_\alpha v)^p d\alpha \\ &= \int_{\beta_{k-1}}^{\alpha_k} h(L_\alpha u, L_\alpha v)^p d\alpha + \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} h(L_\alpha u, L_\alpha v)^p d\alpha \\ &\leq [h(L_{\beta_{k-1}^+} u, A_k) \vee h(L_{\alpha_k} u, A_k)]^p (\alpha_k - \beta_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} (\|L_\alpha u\| + \|A_k\|)^p d\alpha \\ &\leq (\varepsilon/4)^p (\beta_k - \beta_{k-1}) + \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} (\|L_\alpha u\| + \|A_k\|)^p d\alpha \\ &\quad \text{by (2) and (4).} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &d_p^p(u, v) \\ &= \int_0^\beta h(L_\alpha u, A_1)^p d\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\beta_{k-1}}^{\beta_k} h(L_\alpha u, A_k)^p d\alpha \\ &< (\varepsilon/2)^p (1 + \beta) + (\varepsilon/4)^p (1 - \beta) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} (\|L_\alpha u\| + \|A_1\|)^p d\alpha \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon^p \text{ by (3).} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

We note that  $\mathcal{F} \subset F_\infty(R^n)$  in the proof of Theorem 3.5. This means that  $F_p(R^n)$  is the completion of  $(F_\infty(R^n), d_p)$ .

**Remark.** The results established in the above are valid even though  $R^n$  is replaced by any real separable Banach space.

### Reference

- [1] C. Degang, X. Xiaoping and Z. Liangkuan, "On the integrable noncompact fuzzy number space", *Appl. Math.Lett.* vol.21, pp.1260-1266, 2008.
- [2] P. Diamond and P. Kloeden, *Metric spaces of fuzzy sets: Theory and Applications*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.
- [3] G. H. Greco, "Sendograph metric and relatively compact sets of fuzzy sets", *Fuzzy sets and Systems* ,vol.157, pp.286-291 ,2006.
- [4] G. H. Greco, "A characterization of relatively compact-sets of fuzzy sets", *Nonlinear Anal*, vol.64, pp.518-529, 2006.
- [5] G. H. Greco and M. P. Moschen, "Supremum metric and relatively compact sets of fuzzysets", *Nonlinear Anal*, vol.64, pp.1325-1335, 2006.
- [6] S. Y. Joo and Y. K. Kim, "Topological properties on the space of fuzzy sets", *Jour.Math.Anal.Appl.* vol.246, pp.576-590, 2000.
- [7] V. Kratschmer, "Some complete metrics on spaces of fuzzy sub sets", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.130, pp.357-365, 2002.
- [8] M. Ming, "Some notes on the characterization of compact sets in  $(E^n, d_p)$ ", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.56, pp.297-301, 1993.
- [9] C. Wuand Z. Zhao, "Some notes on the characterization of compact sets with  $L_p$  metric", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* vol.159, pp.2104-2115, 2008.
- [10] Z. Zhaoand C. Wu, "The equivalence of convergence of sequences of fuzzy numbers and its applications to the characterization of compact sets", *Information Sciences* vol.179, pp.3018-3025, 2009.

---

**Yun Kyong Kim**

Professor of Dongshin University

Research Area: Fuzzy Probability Theory, Fuzzy Analysis and Related Fields

E-mail : ykkim@dsu.ac.kr