

**COMMON FIXED POINT FOR COMPATIBLE  
MAPPINGS OF TYPE( $\alpha$ ) ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY  
METRIC SPACE WITH IMPLICIT RELATIONS**

JONG SEO PARK

**Abstract.** In this paper, we will establish common fixed point for compatible mappings of type( $\alpha$ ) for four self mappings defined on intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with implicit relations.

### 1. Introduction

Several authors([4], [5]) have introduced the basic concepts on fuzzy metric spaces and fuzzy topological spaces induced by fuzzy metrics with different ways. Grabiec[2] obtained the Banach contraction principle in setting of fuzzy metric spaces. Also, I. Altun and D. Turkoglu[1] proved some fixed theorems using implicit relations in fuzzy metric spaces.

Recently, Park et.al.[11] defined the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, and Park et.al.[7] proved a fixed point theorem of Banach for the contractive mapping of a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, and Park and Kim[10] established common fixed point theorem for four self maps in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

In this paper, we will obtain a unique common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type( $\alpha$ ) defined on intuitionistic fuzzy metric space under implicit relations.

### 2. Preliminaries

We will give some definitions, properties of the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $X$  as following :

---

Received October 11, 2010. Accepted November 15, 2010.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46S40, 47H10, 54H25 .

Key words and phrases: common fixed point theorem, compatible mapping of type( $\alpha$ ), implicit relation.

Let us recall (see [12]) that a continuous  $t$ -norm is a binary operation  $*$  :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which satisfies the following conditions: (a)  $*$  is commutative and associative; (b)  $*$  is continuous; (c)  $a * 1 = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ; (d)  $a * b \leq c * d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  ( $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ ).

Similarly, a continuous  $t$ -conorm is a binary operation  $\diamond$  :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which satisfies the following conditions: (a)  $\diamond$  is commutative and associative; (b)  $\diamond$  is continuous; (c)  $a \diamond 0 = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ; (d)  $a \diamond b \geq c \diamond d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  ( $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ ).

**Definition 2.1.** ([6]) The 5-tuple  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm,  $\diamond$  is a continuous  $t$ -conorm and  $M, N$  are fuzzy sets on  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions; for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , such that

- (a)  $M(x, y, t) > 0$ ,
- (b)  $M(x, y, t) = 1 \iff x = y$ ,
- (c)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ ,
- (d)  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$ ,
- (e)  $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is continuous,
- (f)  $N(x, y, t) > 0$ ,
- (g)  $N(x, y, t) = 0 \iff x = y$ ,
- (h)  $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$ ,
- (i)  $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t + s)$ ,
- (j)  $N(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is continuous.

Note that  $(M, N)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on  $X$ . The functions  $M(x, y, t)$  and  $N(x, y, t)$  denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between  $x$  and  $y$  with respect to  $t$ , respectively.

**Lemma 2.2.** ([8]) For all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $M(x, y, \cdot)$  is nondecreasing on  $(0, \infty)$  and  $N(x, y, \cdot)$  is nonincreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** ([10]) Let  $X$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

(a)  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be convergent to a point  $x \in X$  if, for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $t > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M(x_n, x, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ ,  $N(x_n, x, t) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

(b)  $\{x_n\}$  is called a Cauchy sequence if for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $t > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ ,  $N(x_n, x_m, t) < \epsilon$  for all  $m, n \geq n_0$ .

(c)  $X$  is complete if every Cauchy sequence converges in  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** ([9]) Let  $X$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If there exists a number  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t), \quad N(x, y, kt) \leq N(x, y, t),$$

then  $x = y$ .

**Definition 2.5.** ([9]) Let  $A, B$  be mappings from intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $X$  into itself. The mappings are said to be compatible of type( $\alpha$ ) if

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ABx_n, BBx_n, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(BAx_n, AAx_n, t) = 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(ABx_n, BBx_n, t) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(BAx_n, AAx_n, t) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t > 0$ , whenever  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = x$  for some  $x \in X$ .

Implicit relations on fuzzy metric spaces have been used in many articles([1], [3] etc). Let  $\Psi = \{\phi_M, \psi_N\}$ ,  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $*$ ,  $\diamond$  be a continuous t-norm, t-conorm and  $\phi_M, \psi_N : I^6 \rightarrow R$  be continuous functions. Now, we consider the following conditions:

- (I)  $\phi_M$  is decreasing and  $\psi_N$  is increasing in sixth variables.
- (II) If, for some  $k \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M(u(kt), v(t), v(t), u(t), 1, u(\frac{t}{2}) * v(\frac{t}{2})) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N(x(kt), y(t), y(t), x(t), 0, x(\frac{t}{2}) \diamond y(\frac{t}{2})) &\leq 1 \\ \text{or } \phi_M(u(kt), v(t), u(t), v(t), u(\frac{t}{2}) * v(\frac{t}{2}), 1) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N(x(kt), y(t), x(t), y(t), x(\frac{t}{2}) \diamond y(\frac{t}{2}), 0) &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

for any fixed  $t > 0$ , any nondecreasing functions  $u, v : (0, \infty) \rightarrow I$  with  $0 < u(t), v(t) \leq 1$ , and any nonincreasing functions  $x, y : (0, \infty) \rightarrow I$  with  $0 < x(t), y(t) \leq 1$ , then there exists  $h \in (0, 1)$  with  $u(ht) \geq v(t) * u(t)$ ,  $x(ht) \leq y(t) \diamond x(t)$ .

(III) If, for some  $k \in (0, 1)$ , we have  $\phi_M(u(kt), u(t), 1, 1, u(t), u(t)) \geq 1$  for any fixed  $t > 0$  and any nondecreasing function  $u : (0, \infty) \rightarrow I$ , then  $u(kt) \geq u(t)$ . Also, if, for some  $k \in (0, 1)$ , we have  $\psi_N(x(kt), x(t), 0, 0, x(t), x(t)) \leq 1$  for any fixed  $t > 0$  and any nonincreasing function  $x : (0, \infty) \rightarrow I$ , then  $x(kt) \leq x(t)$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$  and  $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$ ,

$$\phi_M(u_1, \dots, u_6) = \frac{u_1}{\min\{u_2, \dots, u_6\}}, \quad \psi_N(x_1, \dots, x_6) = \frac{x_1}{\max\{x_2, \dots, x_6\}}.$$

Also, let  $t > 0$ ,  $0 < u(t), v(t), x(t), y(t) \leq 1$ ,  $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  where  $u, v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow I$  are nondecreasing functions and  $x, y : [0, \infty) \rightarrow I$  are nonincreasing functions. Now, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_M(u(kt), v(t), v(t), u(t), 1, u(\frac{t}{2}) * v(\frac{t}{2})) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N(x(kt), y(t), y(t), x(t), 0, x(\frac{t}{2}) \diamond y(\frac{t}{2})) &\leq 1,\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_M(u(kt), v(t), v(t), u(t), 1, u(\frac{t}{2}) * v(\frac{t}{2})) &= \frac{u(kt)}{\min\{u(\frac{t}{2}), v(\frac{t}{2})\}} \geq 1, \\ \psi_N(x(kt), y(t), y(t), x(t), 0, x(\frac{t}{2}) \diamond y(\frac{t}{2})) &= \frac{x(kt)}{\max\{x(\frac{t}{2}), y(\frac{t}{2})\}} \leq 1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $u(ht) \geq v(t) * u(t)$ ,  $x(ht) \leq y(t) \diamond x(t)$ . Suppose that  $t > 0$  is fixed,  $u : (0, \infty) \rightarrow I$  is a nondecreasing,  $x : (0, \infty) \rightarrow I$  nonincreasing function and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_M(u(kt), u(t), 1, 1, u(t), u(t)) &= \frac{u(kt)}{u(t)} \geq 1, \\ \psi_N(x(kt), x(t), 0, 0, x(t), x(t)) &= \frac{x(kt)}{x(t)} \leq 1\end{aligned}$$

for  $k \in (0, 1)$ . Then we have  $u(kt) \geq u(t)$  and  $x(kt) \leq x(t)$ . Hence  $\phi_M, \psi_N \in \Psi$ .

### 3. Main Results

Now, we will prove some common fixed point theorem for four mappings on complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space as follows:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ ,  $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$  for all  $a, b \in I$  and  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be mappings from  $X$  into itself satisfying the conditions:*

- (a)  $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$  and  $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$ ,
- (b) one of the mappings  $A, B, S, T$  is continuous,
- (c)  $A$  and  $S$  as well as  $B$  and  $T$  are compatible of type  $(\alpha)$

(d) there exist  $k \in (0, 1)$  and  $\phi_M, \psi_N \in \Psi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Sx, Ty, kt), M(Ax, By, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), \\ M(Ty, By, t), M(Sx, By, t), M(Ty, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Sx, Ty, kt), N(Ax, By, t), N(Sx, Ax, t), \\ N(Ty, By, t), N(Sx, By, t), N(Ty, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

Then  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point of  $X$ . From (a), we can construct a sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset X$  as follows:  $y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n+1}$  and  $y_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+2}$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, by (d), we have, for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t), \\ M(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, t) \end{array} \right) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), N(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t), \\ N(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, t) \end{array} \right) &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), M(Tx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}, t), M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}, t), \\ M(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}, t), 1, M(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}, \frac{t}{2}) * M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}, \frac{t}{2}) \end{array} \right) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), N(Tx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}, t), N(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}, t), \\ N(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}, t), 0, N(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}, \frac{t}{2}) \diamond N(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}, \frac{t}{2}) \end{array} \right) &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

By (II), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, ht) &\geq M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}, t) * M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \\ N(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, ht) &\leq N(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}, t) \diamond N(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, ht) &\geq M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t), \\ N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, ht) &\leq N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, ht) \geq M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t), \quad N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, ht) \leq N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t)$$

Also, by (II), we have

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, ht) \geq M(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), \quad N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, ht) \leq N(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t).$$

Therefore, we have, for all  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $t > 0$ ,

$$M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, ht) \geq M(y_m, y_{m+1}, t), \quad N(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, ht) \leq N(y_m, y_{m+1}, t).$$

To prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. First, we show that, for any  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$(1) \quad M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+m+1}, t) > 1 - \lambda, \quad N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+m+1}, t) < \lambda$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$  and  $m \in N$ . Inductively, by above equation, we have, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) &\geq M(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{h}) \geq \cdots \geq M(y_1, y_2, \frac{t}{h^n}) \rightarrow 1, \\ N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) &\leq N(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{h}) \leq \cdots \leq N(y_1, y_2, \frac{t}{h^n}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can choose  $n_0 \in N$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) > 1 - \lambda, \quad N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) < \lambda.$$

Thus (3.1) is true for  $m = 1$ . Suppose that (3.1) is true for some  $m \in N$ . Then, for  $m + 1 \in N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+m+2}, t) &\geq M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+m+1}, \frac{t}{2}) * M(y_{n+m+1}, y_{n+m+2}, \frac{t}{2}) \geq 1 - \lambda, \\ N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+m+2}, t) &\leq N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+m+1}, \frac{t}{2}) \diamond N(y_{n+m+1}, y_{n+m+2}, \frac{t}{2}) \leq \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.1) is true for  $m + 1 \in N$ . Therefore  $\{y_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is complete,  $\{y_n\}$  converges to a point  $x \in X$ . Since  $\{Ax_{2n+2}\}$ ,  $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$ ,  $\{Sx_{2n}\}$  and  $\{Tx_{2n+1}\} \subset \{y_n\}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n+1} = x.$$

Now, suppose that  $A$  is continuous. Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_{2n} = Ax$ . Also, since  $A, S$  are compatible of type  $(\alpha)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_{2n} = Ax$ . Using (d), we have, for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(SAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), M(AAx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(SAx_{2n}, AAx_{2n}, t), \\ M(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(SAx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(Tx_{2n+1}, AAx_{2n}, t) \end{array} \right) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(SAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), N(AAx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(SAx_{2n}, AAx_{2n}, t), \\ N(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(SAx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(Tx_{2n+1}, AAx_{2n}, t) \end{array} \right) &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and then letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\phi_M, \psi_N$  are continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Ax, x, kt), M(Ax, x, t), M(Ax, x, t), \\ M(x, x, t), M(Ax, x, t), M(x, x, t) \end{array} \right) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Ax, x, kt), N(Ax, x, t), N(Ax, x, t), \\ N(x, x, t), N(Ax, x, t), N(x, x, t) \end{array} \right) &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (III), we have

$$M(Ax, x, kt) \geq M(Ax, x, t), \quad N(Ax, x, kt) \leq N(Ax, x, t).$$

Hence  $Ax = x$  from Lemma 2.4. Also, we have, by (d),

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Sx, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), M(Ax, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), \\ M(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(Sx, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Sx, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), N(Ax, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(Ax, Sx, t), \\ N(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(Sx, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and, let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Sx, x, kt), 1, M(x, Sx, t), \\ 1, M(Sx, x, t), 1 \end{array} \right) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Sx, x, kt), 0, N(x, Sx, t), \\ 0, N(Sx, x, t), 0 \end{array} \right) &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} M(Sx, x, t) &\geq M(Sx, x, \frac{t}{2}) = M(Sx, x, \frac{t}{2}) * 1, \\ N(Sx, x, t) &\leq N(Sx, x, \frac{t}{2}) = N(Sx, x, \frac{t}{2}) \diamond 0, \end{aligned}$$

$\phi_M$  is nonincreasing and  $\psi_N$  is nondecreasing in the fifth variable, we have, for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Sx, x, kt), 1, M(x, Sx, t), \\ 1, M(Sx, x, t) * 1, 1 \end{array} \right) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Sx, x, kt), 0, N(x, Sx, t), \\ 0, N(Sx, x, t) \diamond 0, 0 \end{array} \right) &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $Sx = x$ . Since  $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$ , there exists a point  $y \in X$  such that  $By = x$ . Using (d), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Sx, Ty, kt), M(Ax, By, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), \\ M(Ty, By, t), M(Sx, By, t), M(Ty, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(x, Ty, kt), 1, 1, \\ M(Ty, x, t), 1, M(Ty, x, t) \end{array} \right) \geq 1, \\ &\psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Sx, Ty, kt), N(Ax, By, t), N(Sx, Ax, t), \\ N(Ty, By, t), N(Sx, By, t), N(Ty, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(x, Ty, kt), 0, 0, \\ N(Ty, x, t), 0, N(Ty, x, t) \end{array} \right) \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $x = Ty$ . Since  $By = Ty = x$  and  $B, T$  are compatible of type( $\alpha$ ), we have  $TTy = BTy$ . Hence  $Tx = TTy = BTy = Bx$ .

Therefore, from (d), we have, for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{c} M(Sx, Tx, kt), M(Ax, Bx, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), \\ M(Tx, Bx, t), M(Sx, Bx, t), M(Tx, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{c} M(x, Tx, kt), M(x, Tx, t), 1, \\ 1, M(x, Tx, t), 1, M(x, Tx, t) \end{array} \right) \geq 1, \\ & \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{c} N(Sx, Tx, kt), N(Ax, Bx, t), N(Sx, Ax, t), \\ N(Tx, Bx, t), N(Sx, Bx, t), N(Tx, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{c} N(x, Tx, kt), N(x, Tx, t), 0, \\ 0, N(x, Tx, t), 0, N(x, Tx, t) \end{array} \right) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

From (III), we have

$$M(x, Tx, kt) \geq M(x, Tx, t), \quad N(x, Tx, kt) \leq N(x, Tx, t).$$

Therefore, we have  $x = Tx = Bx$ . Hence  $X$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ . The same result holds if we assume that  $B$  is continuous instead of  $A$ .

Now, suppose that  $S$  is continuous. Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_{2n} = Sx$ . Since  $A, S$  are compatible of type  $(\alpha)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_{2n} = Sx$ . Using (d), we have for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{c} M(SSx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), M(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(SSx_{2n}, ASx_{2n}, t), \\ M(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(SSx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), M(Tx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n}, t) \end{array} \right) \geq 1, \\ & \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{c} N(SSx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), N(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(SSx_{2n}, ASx_{2n}, t), \\ N(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(SSx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t), N(Tx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n}, t) \end{array} \right) \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and then by  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\phi_M, \psi_N \in \Psi$  are continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{c} M(Sx, x, kt), M(Sx, x, t), 1, \\ 1, M(Sx, x, t), M(Sx, x, t) \end{array} \right) \geq 1, \\ & \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{c} N(Sx, x, kt), N(Sx, x, t), 0, \\ 0, N(Sx, x, t), N(Sx, x, t) \end{array} \right) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have, from (III),

$$M(Sx, x, kt) \geq M(Sx, x, t), \quad N(Sx, x, kt) \leq N(Sx, x, t).$$

Hence  $Sx = x$ . Since  $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$ , there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that  $Bz = x$ . Using (d), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{c} M(SSx_{2n}, Tz, kt), M(ASx_{2n}, Bz, t), M(SSx_{2n}, ASx_{2n}, t), \\ M(Tz, Bz, t), M(SSx_{2n}, Bz, t), M(Tz, ASx_{2n}, t) \end{array} \right) \geq 1, \\ & \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{c} N(SSx_{2n}, Tz, kt), N(ASx_{2n}, Bz, t), N(SSx_{2n}, ASx_{2n}, t), \\ N(Tz, Bz, t), N(SSx_{2n}, Bz, t), N(Tz, ASx_{2n}, t) \end{array} \right) \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$



letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(x, Tz, kt), 1, 1, \\ M(x, Tz, t), 1, M(x, Tz, t) \end{array} \right) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(x, Tz, kt), 0, 0, \\ N(x, Tz, t), 0, N(x, Tz, t) \end{array} \right) &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $x = Tz$ . Since  $Bz = Tz = x$  and  $B, T$  are compatible of type( $\alpha$ ), we have  $TBz = BBz$  and so  $Tx = TBz = BBz = Bx$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Sx_{2n}, Tx, kt), M(Ax_{2n}, Bx, t), M(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t), \\ M(Tx, Bx, t), M(Sx_{2n}, Bx, t), M(Tx, Ax_{2n}, t) \end{array} \right) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Sx_{2n}, Tx, kt), N(Ax_{2n}, Bx, t), N(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t), \\ N(Tx, Bx, t), N(Sx_{2n}, Bx, t), N(Tx, Ax_{2n}, t) \end{array} \right) &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(x, Tx, kt), M(x, Tx, t), 1, \\ 1, M(x, Tx, t), M(x, Tx, t) \end{array} \right) &\geq 1, \\ \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(x, Tx, kt), N(x, Tx, t), 0, \\ 0, N(x, Tx, t), N(x, Tx, t) \end{array} \right) &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $x = Tx = Bx$ . Since  $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$ , there exists  $w \in X$  such that  $Aw = x$ . Thus, from (d),

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Sw, Tx, kt), M(Aw, Bx, t), M(Sw, Aw, t), \\ M(Tx, Bx, t), M(Sw, Bx, t), M(Tx, Aw, t) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Sw, x, kt), 1, M(Sw, x, t), \\ 1, M(Sw, x, t), 1 \end{array} \right) \geq 1, \\ &\psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Sw, Tx, kt), N(Aw, Bx, t), N(Sw, Aw, t), \\ N(Tx, Bx, t), N(Sw, Bx, t), N(Tx, Aw, t) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Sw, x, kt), 0, N(Sw, x, t), \\ 0, N(Sw, x, t), 0 \end{array} \right) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $x = Sw = Aw$ . Also, since  $A, S$  are compatible of type( $\alpha$ ),  $x = Sx = SAw = AAx = Ax$ . Hence  $x$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ . The same result holds if we assume that  $T$  is continuous instead of  $S$ .

Finally, suppose that  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have another common fixed point  $u$ . Then we have, for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(Sx, Tu, kt), M(Ax, Bu, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), \\ M(Tu, Bu, t), M(Sx, Bu, t), M(Tu, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \phi_M \left( \begin{array}{l} M(x, u, kt), M(x, u, t), 1, \\ 1, M(x, u, t), M(x, u, t) \end{array} \right) \geq 1, \\ & \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(Sx, Tu, kt), N(Ax, Bu, t), N(Sx, Ax, t), \\ N(Tu, Bu, t), N(Sx, Bu, t), N(Tu, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \psi_N \left( \begin{array}{l} N(x, u, kt), N(x, u, t), 0, \\ 0, N(x, u, t), N(x, u, t) \end{array} \right) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (III),  $x = u$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### References

- [1] Altun I., Turkoglu D., 2008. Some fixed point theorems on fuzzy metric spaces with implicit relations. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* 23, 111–124.
- [2] Grabiec, M., 1988. Fixed point in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 27, 385–389.
- [3] Imbad M., Kumar S., Khan M.S., 2002. Remarks on some fixed point theorems satisfying implicit relations. *Rad. Math.* 11, 135–143.
- [4] Kramosil, J., Michalek J., 1975. Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces. *Kybernetika* 11, 326–334.
- [5] Kaleva, O., Seikkala, S., 1984. On fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 12, 215–229.
- [6] Park, J.H., Park, J.S., Kwun, Y.C., 2006. A common fixed point theorem in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. *Advances in Natural Comput. Data Mining (Proc. 2nd ICNC and 3rd FSKD)*, 293–300.
- [7] Park, J.H., Park, J.S., Kwun, Y.C., 2007. Fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space(I). *JP J. fixed point Theory & Appl.* 2(1), 79–89.
- [8] Park, J.S., Kim, S.Y., 1999. A fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space. *F.J.M.S.* 1(6), 927–934.
- [9] Park, J.S., Park, J.H., Kwun, Y.C., 2008. On some results for five mappings using compatibility of type( $\alpha$ ) in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. *International J. Fuzzy Logic Intelligent Systems* 8(4), 299–305
- [10] Park, J.S., Kim, S.Y., 2008. Common fixed point theorem and example in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. *J. Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems* 18(4), 524–529.
- [11] Park, J.S., Kwun, Y.C., Park, J.H., 2005. A fixed point theorem in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. *F.J.M.S.* 16(2), 137–149.
- [12] Schweizer, B., Sklar, A., 1960. Statistical metric spaces. *Pacific J. Math.* 10, 314–334.

Department of Mathematics Education  
Chinju National University of Education  
Jinju 660-756, Korea  
*E-mail:* parkjs@cue.ac.kr