

## THE ROLE OF $T(X)$ IN THE IDEAL THEORY OF $Q$ -ALGEBRAS

SUN SHIN AHN AND SEUNG EEL KANG

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduced a special set, called  $T$ -part, in a  $Q$ -algebra  $X$ . We also show that the  $T$ -part of  $X$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ . By using  $T$ -part, we provide an equivalent condition that every ideal is a  $T$ -ideal.

### 1. Introduction

Y. Imai and K. Iséki introduced two classes of abstract algebras:  $BCK$ -algebras and  $BCI$ -algebras ([4,5]). It is known that the class of  $BCK$ -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of  $BCI$ -algebras. In [2,3] Q. P. Hu and X. Li introduced a wide class of abstract algebras:  $BCH$ -algebras. They have shown that the class of  $BCI$ -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of  $BCH$ -algebras. J. Neggers and H. S. Kim ([8]) introduced the notion of  $d$ -algebras, i.e., (I)  $x*x = 0$ ; (VII)  $0*x = 0$ ; (VI)  $x*y = 0$  and  $y*x = 0$  imply  $x = y$ , which is another useful generalization of  $BCK$ -algebras, and investigated several relations between  $d$ -algebras and  $BCK$ -algebras, and then investigated other relations between  $d$ -algebras and oriented digraphs. On the while, Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim ([6]) introduced a new notion, called a  $BH$ -algebra, i.e., (I)  $x*x = 0$ ; (II)  $x*0 = x$ ; (VI)  $x*y = 0$  and  $y*x = 0$  imply  $x = y$ , which is a generalization of  $BCH/BCI/BCK$ -algebras, and showed that there is a maximal ideal in bounded  $BH$ -algebras. J. Neggers, S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim ([7]) introduced a new notion, called an  $Q$ -algebra, which is a generalization of  $BCH/BCI/BCK$ -algebras, and generalized some theorems discussed in  $BCI$ -algebras.

In this paper, we introduced a special set, called  $T$ -part, in a  $Q$ -algebra  $X$ . We also show that the  $T$ -part of  $X$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

---

Received June 14, 2010. Accepted July 14, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F35, 03G25.

Key words and phrases. atom,  $T$ -part,  $T$ -ideal,  $QS$ -algebra,  $Q$ -algebra.

By using  $T$ -part, we provide an equivalent condition that every ideal is a  $T$ -ideal.

## 2. Preliminaries

A  $Q$ -algebra ([7]) is a non-empty set  $X$  with a constant  $0$  and a binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfying axioms:

- (I)  $x * x = 0$ ,
- (II)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
- (III)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

For brevity we also call  $X$  a  $Q$ -algebra. In  $X$  we can define a binary relation “ $\leq$ ” by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$ .

In a  $Q$ -algebra  $X$  the following property holds:

- (IV)  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$ , for any  $x, y \in X$ .

A  $BCK$ -algebra is a  $Q$ -algebra  $X$  satisfying the additional axioms:

- (V)  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$ ,
- (VI)  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$  imply  $x = y$ ,
- (VII)  $0 * x = 0$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Definition 2.1**([7]). Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a  $Q$ -algebra and  $\emptyset \neq I \subset X$ .  $I$  is called a *subalgebra* of  $X$  if

- (S)  $x * y \in I$  whenever  $x \in I$  and  $y \in I$ .

$I$  is called an *ideal* of  $X$  if it satisfies:

- ( $Q_0$ )  $0 \in I$ ,
- ( $Q_1$ )  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I$  imply  $x \in I$ .

A  $Q$ -algebra  $X$  is called a  $QS$ -algebra ([1]) if it satisfies the following identity:

$$(x * y) * (x * z) = z * y, \quad \text{for any } x, y, z \in X.$$

**Example 2.2**([1]). Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the set of all integers and let  $n\mathbb{Z} := \{nz \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $(\mathbb{Z}; -, 0)$  and  $(n\mathbb{Z}; -, 0)$  are both  $Q$ -algebras and  $QS$ -algebras, where “ $-$ ” is the usual subtraction of integers. Also,  $(\mathbb{R}; -, 0)$  and  $(\mathbb{C}; -, 0)$  are  $Q$ -algebras and  $QS$ -algebras where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of all real numbers,  $\mathbb{C}$  is the set of all complex numbers.

**Example 2.3.** (1) Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$  be a set with the table as follows:

$*$	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0
2	2	0	0

Then  $X$  is a  $Q$ -algebra, but not a  $QS/BCI$ -algebra, since  $(2*0)*(2*1) = 2 \neq 1 = 1*0$ .

(2) Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$  be a set with the table as follows:

$*$	0	1	2
0	0	2	1
1	1	0	2
2	2	1	0

Then  $X$  is both a  $Q$ -algebra and  $QS$ -algebra.

(3) Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$  be a set with the table as follows:

$*$	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0
2	2	1	0

Then  $X$  is both a  $Q$ -algebra and  $BCI$ -algebra, but not a  $QS$ -algebra, since  $(0*1)*(0*2) = 0 \neq 1 = 2*1$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Every  $Q$ -algebra  $X$  satisfies the following property:

$$0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y) \text{ for any } x, y \in X.$$

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 * (x * y) &= ((0 * y) * (0 * y)) * (x * y) \\ &= ((0 * y) * (x * y)) * (0 * y) \\ &= (((x * y) * x) * (x * y)) * (0 * y) \\ &= (((x * y) * (x * y)) * x) * (0 * y) \\ &= (0 * x) * (0 * y). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

### 3. $T$ -parts and $T$ -ideals

In the following, let  $X$  denote a  $Q$ -algebra unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a  $Q$ -algebra. The set

$$T(X) := \{y \in X \mid y = (0 * x) * x \text{ for some } x \in X\}$$

is called the  $T$ -part of  $X$ .

Clearly,  $0 \in T(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a  $Q$ -algebra. Then  $T(X)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in T(X)$ . Then  $a = (0 * x) * x$  and  $b = (0 * y) * y$  for some  $x, y \in X$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a * b &= ((0 * x) * x) * ((0 * y) * y) \\ &= ((0 * ((0 * y) * y)) * x) * x \\ &= [((0 * (0 * y)) * (0 * y)) * x] * x \\ &= [((0 * x) * (0 * y)) * (0 * y)] * x \\ &= [((0 * (x * y)) * (0 * y))] * x \\ &= [(0 * (0 * y)) * x] * (x * y) \\ &= [(0 * x) * (0 * y)] * (x * y) \\ &= [0 * (x * y)] * (x * y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $a * b \in T(X)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

In general, the  $T$ -part of a  $Q$ -algebra  $X$  may not be an ideal of  $X$  as shown in the following example.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a set with the table as follows:

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	3	2	3
1	1	0	3	2	3
2	2	2	0	3	0
3	3	3	2	0	2
4	4	2	1	3	0

Then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a  $Q$ -algebra.  $T(X) = \{0, 2, 3\}$  is not an ideal of  $X$ , since  $1 * 2 = 3 \in T(X)$ , but  $1 \notin T(X)$ .

**Definition 3.4.** An element  $a$  is an *atom* of  $X$  if, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $x * a = 0$  implies  $x = a$ .

Obviously,  $0$  is an atom of  $X$ . The set of all atoms of  $X$  is denoted by  $L(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a  $Q$ -algebra. Then for all  $x, y, z, u$  of  $X$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $x$  is an atom;
- (ii)  $x = z * (z * x)$ ;
- (iii)  $(z * u) * (z * x) = x * u$ ;
- (iv)  $x * (z * y) = y * (z * x)$ ;
- (v)  $0 * (z * x) = x * z$ ;
- (vi)  $0 * (0 * x) = x$ ;
- (vii)  $0 * (0 * (x * z)) = x * z$ ;
- (viii)  $z * (z * (x * u)) = x * u$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let  $x$  be an atom of  $X$ . Since  $z * (z * x) \leq x$ , we have  $x = z * (z * x)$  for any  $x, z \in X$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Using (ii), we get  $(z * u) * (z * x) = (z * (z * x)) * u = x * u$  for any  $x, z, u \in X$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Replacing  $u$  by  $z * y$  in (iii), we obtain  $x * (z * y) = (z * (z * y)) * (z * x) = (z * (z * x)) * (z * y) = y * (z * x)$ . Hence (iv) holds.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v): Put  $y := 0$  in (iv). Then  $x * (z * 0) = 0 * (z * x)$ . It follows from  $z * 0 = z$  that  $x * z = 0 * (z * x)$ .

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi): Set  $z := 0$  in (v). Then  $0 * (0 * x) = x * 0 = x$ . Thus we have  $0 * (0 * x) = x$ .

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii): For any  $x, z \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 * (0 * (x * z)) &= 0 * ((0 * x) * (0 * z)) \\ &= (0 * (0 * x)) * (0 * (0 * z)) \\ &= x * z. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $0 * (0 * (x * z)) = x * z$ .

(vii) $\Rightarrow$ (viii): For any  $x, z, u \in X$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} x * u &= 0 * (0 * (x * u)) \\ &= 0 * ((z * z) * (x * u)) \\ &= 0 * ((z * (x * u)) * z) \\ &= [0 * (z * (x * u))] * (0 * z) \\ &= (0 * (0 * z)) * (z * (x * u)) \\ &= (0 * (0 * (z * 0))) * (z * (x * u)) \\ &= (z * 0) * (z * (x * u)) \\ &= z * (z * (x * u)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (viii) holds.

(viii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): If  $z*x = 0$ , then by (viii), we have  $x = x*0 = z*(z*(x*0)) = z*(z*x) = z*0 = z$ . This shows that  $x$  is an atom. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a  $Q$ -algebra. If  $a$  is an atom of  $X$ , then for all  $x$  of  $X$ ,  $a*x$  is an atom. Hence  $L(X)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ . For every  $x$  of  $X$ , there is an atom  $a$  such that  $a \leq x$ , i.e., every  $Q$ -algebra is generated by atoms.*

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a  $QS$ -algebra. Then  $T(X)$  is an ideal of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x*y, y \in T(X)$  for any  $x, y \in X$ . It follows from Theorem 3.5 that  $x = y*(y*x) = y*(0*(x*y))$ . By Theorem 3.2,  $0*(x*y) \in T(X)$ . Since  $y*x = 0*(x*y), y \in T(X)$ , by Theorem 3.2 we have  $x \in X$ . Thus  $T(X)$  is an ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $X$  be a  $Q$ -algebra. A non-zero element  $a \in X$  is an atom of  $X$  if  $\{0, a\}$  is an ideal of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $x \leq a$  for any  $x \in X$ . Then  $x*a = 0 \in \{0, a\}$ . Since  $\{0, a\}$  is an ideal of  $X$ , we have  $x \in \{0, a\}$ . Hence  $x = 0$  or  $x = a$ . Thus  $a$  is an atom of  $X$ .  $\square$

The converse of Lemma 3.8 is not true as seen in the following example.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$  be a  $Q$ -algebra as in Example 2.3(2). Then an element 2 of  $X$  is an atom, but  $\{0, 2\}$  is not an ideal since  $1*2 = 2 \in \{0, 2\}$ , but  $1 \notin \{0, 2\}$ .

**Lemma 3.10.** *If every non-zero element of a  $Q$ -algebra  $X$  is an atom, then any subalgebra of  $X$  is an ideal of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a subalgebra of  $X$  and let  $x, y*x \in S$  for any  $y \in X$ . It follows from Theorem 3.5 that  $y = x*(x*y) = x*(0*(y*x))$ . Since  $0, y*x \in S$  and  $S$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ , we have  $0*(y*x) \in S$ . Hence  $y = x*(0*(y*x)) \in S$ . Thus any subalgebra of  $X$  is an ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

From the above Lemmas we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.11.** *A  $Q$ -algebra contains only atoms if and only if every its subalgebra is an ideal.*

*Proof.* The necessity follows from Lemma 3.10. Conversely, assume that every its subalgebra is an ideal. Let  $S := \{0, a\}$  be a subalgebra of  $X$  for any  $0 \neq a \in X$ . By assumption,  $S$  is an ideal of  $X$ . It follows from Lemma 3.8 that  $a$  is an atom of  $X$ .  $\square$

For any atom  $a$  of  $X$ , the set  $V(a) := \{x \in X \mid a \leq x\}$  is called a *branch* of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $X$  be a  $Q$ -algebra and suppose  $a$  and  $b$  are atoms of  $X$ . Then the following properties hold:*

- (i) *For all  $x \in V(a)$  and all  $y \in V(b)$ ,  $x * y \in V(a * b)$ ,*
- (ii) *For all  $x$  and  $y \in V(a)$ ,  $x * y \in B(X)$ , where  $B(X) := \{x \in X \mid 0 \leq x\}$ ,*
- (iii) *If  $a \neq b$ , then for all  $x \in V(a)$  and  $y \in V(b)$ , we have  $x * y \notin B(X)$ ,*
- (iv) *For all  $x \in V(b)$ ,  $a * x = a * b$ ,*
- (v) *If  $a \neq b$ , then  $V(a) \cap V(b) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* (i) For all  $x \in V(a)$  and all  $y \in V(b)$ , by Theorem 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a * b) * (x * y) &= (0 * (0 * (a * b))) * (x * y) \\
 &= (0 * (x * y)) * (0 * (a * b)) \\
 &= [(0 * x) * (0 * y)] * (0 * (a * b)) \\
 &= [(0 * (0 * (a * b))) * x] * (0 * y) \\
 &= [(a * b) * x] * (0 * y) \\
 &= [(a * x) * b] * (0 * y) \\
 &= (0 * b) * (0 * y) \\
 &= 0 * (b * y) = 0 * 0 = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x * y \in V(a * b)$ . Thus (i) holds.

(ii) and (iii) are simple consequences of (i).

(iv) For all  $x \in V(b)$ , by Theorem 3.5 we have  $(a * x) * (a * b) = (a * (a * b)) * x = b * x = 0$ . Moreover,  $a * b$  is an atom by Corollary 3.6. Therefore  $a * x = a * b$ . Therefore (iv) holds.

(v) Let  $a \neq b$  and  $V(a) \cap V(b) \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $c \in V(a) \cap V(b)$ . By (i), we have  $0 = c * c \in V(a * b)$  and so  $a * b = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus (v) is true.  $\square$

**Definition 3.13.** A non-empty subset  $A$  of a  $Q$ -algebra  $X$  is called a  *$T$ -ideal* of  $X$  if it satisfies

- (i)  $0 \in A$ ,
- (ii)  $x * (y * z) \in A$  and  $y \in A$  imply  $x * z \in A$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Every  $T$ -ideal of a  $Q$ -algebra is an ideal, but not converse. In fact, consider the  $Q$ -algebra  $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  as in Example 3.3. The set  $A := \{0, 1\}$  is an ideal of  $X$  but not a  $T$ -ideal of  $X$ , since  $4 * (0 * 3) = 1 \in A$ , but  $4 * 3 = 3 \notin A$ .

**Example 3.14.** Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a set with the following table:

$*$	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	3
1	1	0	1	3
2	2	2	0	3
3	3	3	3	0

It is easily checked that  $(X; *, 0)$  is a  $Q$ -algebra. Then  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  is a  $T$ -ideal of  $X$ .

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $A$  be a  $T$ -ideal of a  $Q$ -algebra  $X$ . Then  $(0*x)*x \in A$  for all  $x \in A$ .*

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $A$  be an ideal of a  $QS$ -algebra  $X$ . Then  $A$  is a  $T$ -ideal of  $X$  if and only if  $T(X) \subseteq A$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity follows from Lemma 3.15. Conversely, suppose that  $T(X) \subseteq A$ . Let  $x * (y * z) \in A$  and  $y \in A$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Since  $X$  is a  $QS$ -algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [(x * z) * (x * (y * z))] * y &= ((y * z) * z) * y \\
 &= ((y * z) * y) * z \\
 &= ((y * y) * z) * z \\
 &= (0 * z) * z \in T(X) \subseteq A.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x * z \in A$ , since  $A$  is an ideal of a  $QS$ -algebra  $X$ . Thus  $A$  is a  $T$ -ideal of  $X$ , completing the proof. □

**Corollary 3.17.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be ideals of a  $QS$ -algebra. If  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A$  is a  $T$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $B$  is also a  $T$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

## References

- [1] S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim, *On QS-algebras*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc., **12**(1999), 33-41.
- [2] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On BCH-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes, **11**(1983), 313-320.
- [3] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On proper BCH-algebras*, Math. Japon., **30**(1985), 659-661.
- [4] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japon., **23**(1978), 1-26.
- [5] K. Iséki, *On BCI-algebras*, Mathe. Seminar Notes, **8**(1980), 125-130.



- [6] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, *On BH-algebras*, *Sci. Mathematica* , **1**, (1998), 347-354.
- [7] J. Neggers, S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim, *On Q-algebras*, *Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **27**(2001), 749-757.
- [8] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *On d-algebras*, *Math. Slovaca*, **49** (1999), 19-26.

Sun Shin Ahn  
Department of Mathematics Education  
Dongguk University  
Seoul 100-715, Korea  
*E-mail address:* sunshine@dongguk.edu

Seung Eel Kang  
Department of Mathematics Education  
Dongguk University  
Seoul 100-715, Korea  
*E-mail address:* seungeel@naver.com