# Affine Translation Surfaces with Constant Gaussian Curvature 

Yu Fu* and Zhong-Hua Hou<br>School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, P. R. China<br>$e$-mail: yu_fu@yahoo.cn and zhhou@dlut.edu.cn

Abstract. We study affine translation surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and get a complete classification of such surfaces with constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature.

## 1. Introduction

A surface in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ is called a translation surface if it is obtained as a graph of a function $F(x, y)=p(x)+q(y)$, where $p(x)$ and $q(y)$ are differentiable functions. It's well known that a minimal translation surface in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ must be a plane or a Scherk surface, which is the graph of the function $F(x, y)=\ln (\cos x / \cos y)$, the only doubly periodic minimal translation surface.

In this note, we study nondegenerate translation surfaces in affine space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. This class of surfaces has been studied previously by many geometers. F. Manhart [3] classified all the nondegenerate affine minimal translation surfaces in affine space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Further treatments are due to H. F. Sun [5], who classified the nondegenerate affine translation surface with nonzero constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Later on, Sun and Chen extended this into the case of hypersurfaces [6]. On the other hand, Binder [1] classified locally symmetric affine translation surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Here we give a complete classification of nondegenerate affine translation surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Precisely, we will prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be a nondegenerate affine translation surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with vanishing Gaussian curvature. Then $M$ is affinely equivalent to one of the graph of the following functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
z & =x^{2}+q(y)  \tag{1.1}\\
z & =e^{x} \pm y^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{1.2}\\
z & =x \ln x \pm y \ln y  \tag{1.3}\\
z & =\ln x \pm \ln y  \tag{1.4}\\
z & =x^{\frac{3-2 \lambda}{1-\lambda}} \pm y^{\frac{3-2 \lambda}{5-3 \lambda}}, \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$
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where $q(y)$ is an arbitrary function and $\lambda$ is a constant satisfying $\lambda \neq 1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, 2$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $M$ be a nondegenerate affine translation surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with nonzero constant Gaussian curvature. Then $M$ is affinely equivalent to the graph given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{1}{x^{2}}+q(y) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q(y)$ satisfies $\left(q^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{2}=q^{\prime \prime \frac{13}{4}}\left(a q^{\prime \prime-\frac{3}{4}}+b\right)$ for constants $a, b$ and $a \neq 0$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Concerning the following basic facts of affine differential geometry, we refer to [4]. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an immersion of a connected, orientable 2-dimensional differentiable manifold into the affine space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ equipped with usual flat connection $D$, a parallel volume element $\omega$, and $\xi$ be an arbitrary local field of transversal vector to $f(M)$. Thus we have the decomposition

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{X}\left(f_{*} Y\right) & =f_{*}\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)+h(X, Y) \xi  \tag{2.1}\\
D_{X} \xi & =-f_{*}(S X)+\tau(X) \xi \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have an induced affine connection $\nabla$, a symmetric tensor $h$ of type (0,2), a tensor $S$ of type $(1,1)$ and 1-form $\tau$ on $M$ and we call $h, S$ and $\tau$ the affine second fundamental form, the affine shape operator and the affine transversal connection form, respectively. The affine mean curvature $H$ and the affine Gaussian curvature $K$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} S, \quad K=\operatorname{det} S \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a volume element $\theta$ on $M$ by

$$
\theta\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\omega\left(f_{*}\left(X_{1}\right), f_{*}\left(X_{2}\right), \xi\right)
$$

for any tangent vector fields $X_{1}, X_{2}$ of $M$.
We say that $f$ is nondegenerate if $h$ is nondegenerate. This condition does not depend on choice of $\xi$. It's well known that there exists unique choice of $\xi$ such that the corresponding induced connection $\nabla$, the nondegenerate metric $h$, and the induced volume $\theta$ satisfy
(1) $(\nabla, \theta)$ is an equiaffine structure, that is, $\nabla \theta=0$.
(2) $\theta$ coincides with the volume element $\omega_{h}$ of the nondegenerate metric $h$, where $\omega_{h}=\left\lvert\, \operatorname{det}\left(h\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right.$. We call such a pair $(f, \xi)$ a Blaschke immersion, $\nabla$ the induced connection and $h$ the affine metric. Condition (2) implies that $\tau=0$.

Let $z=F\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$ be a differential function on a domain $G$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and consider the immersion

$$
f:\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) \in G \mapsto\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, F\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

We start with a tentative choice of transversal field $\xi=(0,0,1)$. Since $D_{\partial_{i}} \xi=0$, we have $\tau=0$. Denoting by $\partial_{i}$ the coordinate vector field $\partial / \partial_{i}$ we have

$$
f_{*}\left(\partial_{1}\right)=\left(1,0, F_{1}\right), \quad f_{*}\left(\partial_{2}\right)=\left(0,1, F_{2}\right),
$$

where $F_{j}=\partial F / \partial x^{j}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\partial_{i}} f_{*}\left(\partial_{j}\right)=\left(0,0, F_{i j}\right)=F_{i j} \xi, \quad F_{i j}=\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\nabla_{\partial_{i}} \partial_{j}=0, \quad h\left(\partial_{i}, \partial_{j}\right)=F_{i j} .
$$

Thus the immersion is nondegenerate if and only if $\operatorname{det}\left(F_{i j}\right) \neq 0$. Since

$$
\theta\left(\partial_{1}, \partial_{2}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(f_{*}\left(\partial_{1}\right), f_{*}\left(\partial_{2}\right), \xi\right)=1
$$

by taking $\phi=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(F_{i j}\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{4}}$, we can find the affine normal field $\bar{\xi}$ in the form

$$
\bar{\xi}=-\sum_{j, k}\left(F^{k j} \phi_{j}\right) f_{*}\left(\partial_{k}\right)+\phi \xi,
$$

where $\phi_{j}=\partial \phi / \partial x_{j},\left(F^{i j}\right)$ is the inverse of the matrix $\left(F_{i j}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\partial_{i}} \bar{\xi}=-\sum_{j, k} \partial_{i}\left(F^{k j} \phi_{j}\right) f_{*}\left(\partial_{k}\right), \quad S\left(\partial_{i}\right)=\sum_{j, k} \partial_{i}\left(F^{k j} \phi_{j}\right) \partial_{k} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of the theorems

Throughout this section, we assume that $M$ is a translation surface, which is obtained by the graph of function $F(x, y)=p(x)+q(y)$ for some differential functions $p(x)$ and $q(y)$. Hence, we have

$$
\left(F_{i j}\right)=\left(h_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{\prime \prime}(x) & 0 \\
0 & q^{\prime \prime}(y)
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(F^{i j}\right)=\left(F_{i j}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{\prime \prime}(x)^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & q^{\prime \prime}(y)^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\phi=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(F_{i j}\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{4}}=\left|p^{\prime \prime}(x) q^{\prime \prime}(y)\right|^{\frac{1}{4}} \neq 0 .
$$

It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that the Gaussian curvature satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =\partial_{1}\left(F^{11} \phi_{1}\right) \partial_{2}\left(F^{22} \phi_{2}\right)-\partial_{1}\left(F^{22} \phi_{2}\right) \partial_{2}\left(F^{11} \phi_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(-\frac{7}{16} p^{\prime \prime \prime 2}+\frac{1}{4} p^{(4)} p^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(-\frac{7}{16} q^{\prime \prime \prime 2}+\frac{1}{4} q^{(4)} q^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(p^{\prime \prime} q^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}}-\frac{1}{256} p^{\prime \prime \prime 2} q^{\prime \prime \prime 2}\left(p^{\prime \prime} q^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}} \\
& =\left(\frac{12}{64} p^{\prime \prime \prime 2} q^{\prime \prime \prime 2}-\frac{7}{64} p^{\prime \prime \prime 2} q^{\prime \prime} q^{(4)}-\frac{7}{64} p^{\prime \prime} p^{(4)} q^{\prime \prime \prime 2}+\frac{1}{16} p^{\prime \prime} q^{\prime \prime} p^{(4)} q^{(4)}\right)\left(p^{\prime \prime} q^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we put $f(x)=p^{\prime \prime}(x), g(y)=q^{\prime \prime}(y)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
64 K=\left[f^{\prime 2}\left(12 g^{\prime 2}-7 g g^{\prime \prime}\right)+f f^{\prime \prime}\left(4 g g^{\prime \prime}-7 g^{\prime 2}\right)\right](f g)^{-\frac{5}{2}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Firstly we consider the case when Gaussian curvature $K$ vanishes identically, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime 2}\left(12 g^{\prime 2}-7 g g^{\prime \prime}\right)+f f^{\prime \prime}\left(4 g g^{\prime \prime}-7 g^{\prime 2}\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2), it follows that $f$ and $g$ can be interchanged with each other. If $f^{\prime}(x)=0$, we can easily get that $p(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c$, where $a, b, c$ are constant. By applying an affine transformation, we get the graph of function in the form (1.1). If $g^{\prime}(y)=0$, after interchanging $x$ and $y$, we also obtain (1.1).

From now on, we assume that $f^{\prime} g^{\prime} \neq 0$. From (3.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime 2}}=\frac{12 g^{\prime 2}-7 g g^{\prime \prime}}{7 g^{\prime 2}-4 g g^{\prime \prime}}=\lambda, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
f f^{\prime \prime} & =\lambda f^{\prime 2}  \tag{3.4}\\
12 g^{\prime 2}-7 g g^{\prime \prime} & =\lambda\left(7 g^{\prime 2}-4 g g^{\prime \prime}\right), \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a constant.
We consider the equation (3.4), which splits into two cases:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \neq 1, \quad f=\left(C_{1} x+C_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}, \quad C_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash 0, \quad C_{2} \in \mathbb{R} .  \tag{3.6}\\
& \lambda=1, \quad f=C_{3} e^{C_{4} x}, \quad C_{3} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash 0, \quad C_{4} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\lambda=1$, (3.5) gives $5 g^{\prime 2}=3 g g^{\prime \prime}$. Using (3.6), we get that

$$
g=\left(C_{5} y+C_{6}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad C_{5} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash 0, \quad C_{6} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

After a further integral computation, we obtain the graph of the function in the form (1.2). Especially, if $\lambda=\frac{5}{3}$, the same graph can be obtained.

If $\lambda=2, f=\left(C_{1} x+C_{2}\right)^{-1}$. Integrating twice, we get

$$
p(x)=\frac{\left(C_{1} x+C_{2}\right) \ln \left|C_{1} x+C_{2}\right|}{C_{1}^{2}}-\frac{x}{C_{1}}+C_{3} x+C_{4}
$$

where both $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ are constant. And $2 g^{\prime 2}=g g^{\prime \prime}$, similarly, we can get

$$
q(y)=\frac{\left(D_{1} y+C_{2}\right) \ln \left|D_{1} y+D_{2}\right|}{D_{1}{ }^{2}}-\frac{y}{D_{1}}+D_{3} y+D_{4}
$$

where all $D_{i}$ are constant and $D_{1} \neq 0$. By applying an affine transformation, we have the graph of function in the form (1.3).

If $\lambda=\frac{3}{2}$, similarly, after some integral computation, we obtain the function in
the form (1.4).
In general cases, i.e., $\lambda \neq 1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, 2$, by an appropriate affine transformation, equations (3.4)-(3.6) immediately yield case (1.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Next we assume that $K$ is a nonzero constant. Differentiating (3.1) with respect to $x$ and $y$, we get
(3.8) $\left(4 f f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}-5 f^{\prime 3}\right)\left(12 g^{2}-7 g g^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(2 f^{2} f^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 f f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(4 g g^{\prime \prime}-7 g^{2}\right)=0$,
(3.9) $\left(4 g g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}-5 g^{\prime 3}\right)\left(12 f^{\prime 2}-7 f f^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(2 g^{2} g^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 g g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(4 f f^{\prime \prime}-7 f^{\prime 2}\right)=0$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following lemma:
Lemma 3.1. If $4 f f^{\prime \prime} \neq 5 f^{\prime 2}$ and $4 g g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \neq 5 g^{\prime 3}$, then $K=0$.
Proof. Under the hypothesis, $4 f f^{\prime \prime} \neq 5 f^{\prime 2}$ and $4 g g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \neq 5 g^{\prime 3}$, from (3.8) and (3.9) we see that there exist two constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
2 f^{2} f^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 f f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} & =\lambda\left(4 f f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}-5 f^{\prime 3}\right),  \tag{3.10}\\
7 g g^{\prime \prime}-12 g^{\prime 2} & =\lambda\left(4 g g^{\prime \prime}-7 g^{\prime 2}\right),  \tag{3.11}\\
2 g^{2} g^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 g g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} & =\mu\left(4 g g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}-5 g^{\prime 3}\right),  \tag{3.12}\\
7 f f^{\prime \prime}-12 f^{\prime 2} & =\mu\left(4 f f^{\prime \prime}-7 f^{\prime 2}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (3.11) with respect to $y$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(4 \lambda-7) g g^{\prime \prime \prime}=(10 \lambda-17) g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

clearly $\lambda \neq \frac{7}{4}, \lambda \neq \frac{12}{7}$. Substituting (3.11) and (3.14) into (3.12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2(10 \lambda-17)}{4 \lambda-7}-(4 \mu+3)+\frac{5 \mu(4 \lambda-7)}{7 \lambda-12}=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(13-8 \lambda)(12-7 \mu+\lambda(4 \mu-7))=0 . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, differentiating (3.13) with respect to $x$, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(13-8 \mu)(12-7 \mu+\lambda(4 \mu-7))=0, \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu \neq \frac{7}{4}, \mu \neq \frac{12}{7}$. If $\lambda=\mu=\frac{13}{8}$, (3.11) and (3.13) give $4 f f^{\prime \prime}=5 f^{\prime 2}$ and $4 g g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}=5 g^{\prime 3}$, thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
12-7 \mu+\lambda(4 \mu-7)=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.18) into (3.11) we get $\mu g^{\prime 2}=g g^{\prime \prime}$. Then substituting (3.13) into (3.1) we can find $K=0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Under the hypothesis, $K$ is a nonzero constant. From Lemma 3.1, we have either $4 f f^{\prime \prime}=5 f^{\prime 2}$ or $4 g g^{\prime \prime}=5 g^{\prime 2}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $4 f f^{\prime \prime}=5 f^{\prime 2}$. Hence (3.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
256 K=\left[f^{\prime 2}\left(13 g^{\prime 2}-8 g g^{\prime \prime}\right)\right](f g)^{-\frac{5}{2}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus there exists a nonzero constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
13 g^{\prime 2}-8 g g^{\prime \prime}=C g^{\frac{5}{2}} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put

$$
g^{\prime}=\frac{d g}{d y}=h
$$

then

$$
g^{\prime \prime}=\frac{d h}{d g} h=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d h^{2}}{d g}
$$

It follows from (3.20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d h^{2}}{d g}=\frac{13 h^{2}}{4 g}-\frac{C}{4} g^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (3.21) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}=g^{\frac{13}{4}}\left(a g^{-\frac{3}{4}}+b\right), \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=\frac{1}{3} C$ and $b$ is a constant. Hence, by applying an affine transformation, we have the graph of the function in the form (1.6) of Theorem 1.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 4. A special example

In this section, we give a special example of affine translation surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with nonzero constant affine Gaussian curvature.

In view of equation (3.22), it is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d g}{d y}= \pm g^{\frac{13}{8}}\left(a g^{-\frac{3}{4}}+b\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b=0$, then (4.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}= \pm a^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\frac{5}{4}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a>0$. Solving (4.2) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\left(c \mp \frac{1}{4} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} y\right)^{-4} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4.3) twice with respect to $y$ and by applying an affine transformation, we obtain a graph

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\frac{1}{y^{2}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a special example of affine translation surfaces with nonzero constant affine Gaussian curvature.

If $b \neq 0$, we can not obtain a explicit solution of (4.1). Assume that $g^{-\frac{3}{4}}=t$, (4.1) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{(a t+b)^{\frac{1}{2}}} d t=\int \pm \frac{3}{4} d y \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left of equality (4.5) is a binomial calculous,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{(a t+b)^{\frac{1}{2}}} d t=\int t^{-\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{a t+b}{t}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d t \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As is well known, Tchebyshev proved that this kind of integration's primary functions are not elementary functions.
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