KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 50(2010), 307-314

On a Bilateral Hilbert-Type Inequality with a Homogeneous Kernel of 0-Degree

BING HE

Department of Mathematics, Guangdong Education College, Guangzhou, 510303 P. R. China.

e-mail: hzs314@163.com

ABSTRACT. By introducing a homogeneous kernel of 0-degree with an independent parameter and estimating the weight coefficient, a bilateral form of the Hilbert-type series inequality with a best constant factor is established.

1. Introduction

(1.1) If
$$a_n, b_n \ge 0, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty$$
 and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 < \infty$, then(see [1])
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \pi \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right\}^{1/2},$$

where the constant factor π is the best possible. Inequality (1.1) is well known as Hilbert's inequality. Soon after, inequality (1.1) had been generalized by Hardy-Riesz as(see [1]): If $a_n b_n \geq 0, p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$, then

(1.2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q},$$

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is the best possible. Inequality (1.2) is named of Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality (see [1]). It is important in analysis and its applications. It was studied extensively and refinements, generalizations and numerous variants appeared in the literature (see [1]- [6]). Under the same condition of (1.2), we have the Hardy-Hilbert's type inequality (see [1], Th. 341, Th. 342) as follows

(1.3)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m,n\}} < pq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q};$$

Received October 21, 2009; accepted January 27, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15.

Key words and phrases: Hilbert's inequality, Weight coefficient, Hölder's inequality.

307

(1.4)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(m/n)}{m-n} a_m b_n < \pi^2 \csc^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}$$

where the constant factors pq and $\pi^2 \csc^2 \frac{\pi}{p}$ are both the best possible. In 2008, Yang (see [7]) gave a bilateral inequality as follows: If p > 1, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} =$ $1, 0 < \lambda \le 2, a, b, c \ge 0, a + bc > 0, a_n, b_n \ge 0, \text{ such that } 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} a_n^p < \infty, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} b_n^q < \infty, \text{ then}$

(1.5)
$$H := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \max\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} + bm^{\lambda} + cn^{\lambda}} < C_{\lambda}(a, b, c) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}$$

where the constant factor $C_{\lambda}(a, b, c)$ is the best possible. In addition, for 0 ,Yang got the reverse inequality as follows

(1.6)
$$H := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \max\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} + bm^{\lambda} + cn^{\lambda}}$$
$$> C_{\lambda}(a, b, c) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - \theta_{\lambda}(a, b, c, n)] n^{p(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}) - 1} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}) - 1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q},$$

where $\theta_{\lambda}(a, b, c, m) := \frac{1}{C_1(a, b, c)} \int_0^{1/m^{\lambda}} \frac{1}{a+b+cu} u^{-1/2} \mathbf{d}u = O(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda/2}}) \in (0, 1)$, and the constant factor $C_{\lambda}(a, b, c)$ is the best possible. By the way, in recent years, the reverse form of the Hardy-Hilbert's inequality has been studied by Zhong(see [8]), Zhao(see [9]) and so on.

Until now, we only focus on the Hilbert's inequality with negative number homogeneous and non-homogeneous kernel, but we are just at the beginning of the study on the real number homogeneous kernel. The main purpose of this article is to establish the bilateral form of the Hilbert's type inequality concerning series with the mixed homogeneous kernel of 0-degree.

2. Main results

Lemma 2.1. Set $\lambda > 0$, define the weight function $\varpi_{\lambda}(m)$ as

(2.1)
$$\varpi_{\lambda}(m) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \ (m \in \mathbb{N}),$$

then we have the following inequality:

(2.2)
$$\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} [1 - \theta_\lambda(m)] < \varpi_\lambda(m) < \frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2}$$

308

where $0 < \theta_{\lambda}(m) := \frac{24}{\pi^2} \int_0^{m^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \frac{-t \ln t}{1+t^2} dt = O(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda/2}}) \in (0,1) \ (m \to \infty).$ Proof. On one hand, setting $t = (y/m)^{\lambda/2}$, by monotonicity, we have

(2.3)
$$\varpi_{\lambda}(m) < \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/y)|}{m^{\lambda} + y^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, y^{\lambda}\} \cdot \frac{1}{y} dy$$
$$= \frac{4}{\lambda^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln t|}{1 + t^{2}} \cdot \min\{1, t^{2}\} \cdot t^{-1} dt$$
$$= \frac{4}{\lambda^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{-t \ln t}{1 + t^{2}} dt + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln t}{t(1 + t^{2})} dt$$
$$= \frac{-8}{\lambda^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t \ln t}{1 + t^{2}} dt = \frac{\pi^{2}}{6\lambda^{2}}.$$

On the other hand, similarly, setting $t = (y/m)^{\lambda/2}$, we get

$$\begin{split} \varpi_{\lambda}(m) &> \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/y)|}{m^{\lambda} + y^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, y^{\lambda}\} \cdot \frac{1}{y} dy = \frac{4}{\lambda^{2}} \int_{m^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln t|}{1 + t^{2}} \cdot \min\{1, t^{2}\} \cdot t^{-1} dt \\ &= \frac{4}{\lambda^{2}} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln t|}{1 + t^{2}} \cdot \min\{1, t^{2}\} \cdot t^{-1} dt - \int_{0}^{m^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \frac{|\ln t|}{1 + t^{2}} \cdot \min\{1, t^{2}\} \cdot t^{-1} dt \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi^{2}}{6\lambda^{2}} - \frac{4}{\lambda^{2}} \int_{0}^{m^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \frac{|\ln t|}{1 + t^{2}} \cdot \min\{1, t^{2}\} \cdot t^{-1} dt \\ &= \frac{\pi^{2}}{6\lambda^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{24}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{m^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \frac{-t \ln t}{1 + t^{2}} dt \right) = \frac{\pi^{2}}{6\lambda^{2}} [1 - \theta_{\lambda}(m)]. \end{split}$$

It is obvious that $0 < \theta_{\lambda}(m) := \frac{24}{\pi^2} \int_0^{m^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \frac{-t \ln t}{1+t^2} dt < 1$. Since

$$\int_{0}^{m^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \frac{-t \ln t}{1+t^{2}} dt = -\int_{0}^{m^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} t \ln t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-t^{2})^{k} dt$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \int_{0}^{m^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} t^{2k+1} \ln t dt = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2k+2} \int_{0}^{m^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \ln t dt^{2k+2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{m^{\lambda}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2k+2} \left(\frac{-\lambda}{2} \cdot \frac{\ln m}{m^{\lambda k}} - \frac{1}{2k+2} \cdot \frac{1}{m^{\lambda k}} \right) = O(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda}}) \ (m \to \infty),$$

then $\theta_{\lambda}(m) = O(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda}})$. Hence (2.2) is valid. The Lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.2. If $p > 0, p \neq 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \lambda > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{p\lambda}{2}$, define

(2.4)
$$J(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} \cdot m^{-1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} n^{-1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}},$$

then for $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, we have

(2.5)
$$\left(\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} - o(1)\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} < J(\varepsilon) < \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} + \widetilde{o}(1)\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

Proof. Setting $t = (\frac{x}{n})^{\lambda/2}$ in the following, in view of Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{split} J(\varepsilon) &< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(x/n)|}{x^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{x^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} \cdot x^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \mathrm{d}x \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{4}{\lambda^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln t|}{1 + t^{2}} \cdot \min\{1, t^{2}\} \cdot t^{-1-\frac{2\varepsilon}{p\lambda}} \mathrm{d}t \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6\lambda^{2}} + \widetilde{o}(1) \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \quad (\varepsilon \to 0^{+}); \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} J(\varepsilon) &> \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(x/n)|}{x^{\lambda}+n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{x^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} x^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \mathrm{d}x \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{4}{\lambda^2} \int_{n-\frac{\lambda}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln t|}{1+t^2} \cdot \min\{1, t^2\} \cdot t^{-1-\frac{2\varepsilon}{p\lambda}} \mathrm{d}t \right) \\ &> \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{4}{\lambda^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln t|}{1+t^2} \cdot \min\{1, t^2\} \cdot t^{-1-\frac{2\varepsilon}{p\lambda}} \mathrm{d}t \right) \\ &- \frac{4}{\lambda^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_{0}^{n-\frac{\lambda}{2}} \frac{-\ln t}{1+t^2} \mathrm{d}t \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} + \widetilde{o}(1) \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} - \frac{4}{\lambda^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{n-\frac{\lambda}{2}} \frac{-\ln t}{1+t^2} \mathrm{d}t \right) \quad (\varepsilon \to 0^+). \end{split}$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{n^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \frac{-\ln t}{1+t^{2}} dt \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[-\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{n^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \ln t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-t^{2})^{k} dt \right]$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{n} \int_{0}^{n^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} t^{2k} \ln t dt \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{n(2k+1)} \int_{0}^{n^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \ln t dt^{2k+1} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^{1+\lambda}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2k+1} \left(\frac{-\lambda}{2} \cdot \frac{\ln n}{n^{\lambda k}} - \frac{1}{2k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{\lambda k}} \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1+\lambda}}\right).$$

In view of the above inequalities, we have

$$J(\varepsilon) > \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} + \widetilde{o}(1)\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} - \frac{4}{\lambda^2} \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1+\lambda}}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[\left(\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} + \widetilde{o}(1)\right) - \frac{4}{\lambda^2} \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1+\lambda}}\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}\right)^{-1} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} - o(1)\right) \ (\varepsilon \to 0^+).$$

The Lemma is proved.

Theorem 2.3. If p > 1, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $a_n, b_n \ge 0$ such that $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1} a_n^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-1} b_n^q < \infty$, then we have the following inequality

(2.6)
$$I := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} a_{m} b_{n}$$
$$< \frac{\pi^{2}}{6\lambda^{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1} a_{n}^{p} \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-1} b_{n}^{q} \right\}^{1/q}.$$

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2}$ is the best possible.

Proof. By Hölder's inequality with weight[10], we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} a_{m} b_{n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} \left[\frac{m^{1/q}}{n^{1/p}} a_{m}\right] \left[\frac{n^{1/p}}{m^{1/q}} b_{n}\right]$$

$$\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} \frac{m^{p-1}}{n} a_{m}^{p} \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$\times \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} \frac{n^{q-1}}{m} b_{n}^{q} \right\}^{1/q}$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varpi_{\lambda}(m) m^{p-1} a_{m}^{p} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varpi_{\lambda}(n) n^{q-1} b_{n}^{q} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

In view of (2.2), we have (2.6). For $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\lambda p}{2}$, setting $\widetilde{a}_m = m^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}$, $\widetilde{b}_n = n^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}$ $(m, n \in \mathbb{N})$, by (2.4), we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda}+n^{\lambda}}\cdot\min\{m^{\lambda},n^{\lambda}\}\cdot m^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}n^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}=J(\varepsilon),$

Assume that the constant factor $\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2}$ in (2.6) is not the best possible, then there exists a positive number k with $0 < k \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2}$, such that (2.6) is still correct by changing $\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2}$ to k, then, in particular, by (2.5), we have

$$\left(\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} - o(1)\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} < J(\varepsilon) < k \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1} \tilde{a}_n^p\right\}^{1/p} \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-1} \tilde{b}_n^q\right\}^{1/q} = k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

It follows that $\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} - o(1) < k$, so $\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} \le k(\varepsilon \to 0^+)$. Hence the constant factor $\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2}$ in (2.6) is the best possible. This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 2.4. If $0 0, a_n, b_n \ge 0$ such that $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1}a_n^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-1}b_n^q < \infty$, then we have the following inequality

(2.7)
$$I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} a_m b_n$$
$$> \frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - \theta_{\lambda}(n)] n^{p-1} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}$$

where $0 < \theta_{\lambda}(m) := \frac{24}{\pi^2} \int_0^{m^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \frac{-t \ln t}{1+t^2} dt = O(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda/2}}) \in (0,1)(m \to \infty)$ and the constant factor $\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2}$ is the best possible.

Proof. By the reverse Hölder's inequality with weight [10] and in view of (2.1), we obtain

$$I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} \left[\frac{m^{1/q}}{n^{1/p}}a_{m}\right] \left[\frac{n^{1/p}}{m^{1/q}}b_{n}\right]$$

$$\geq \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} \frac{m^{p-1}}{n}a_{m}^{p}\right\}^{1/p}$$

$$\times \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} \frac{n^{q-1}}{m}b_{n}^{q}\right\}^{1/q}$$

$$= \left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varpi_{\lambda}(m)m^{p-1}a_{m}^{p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varpi_{\lambda}(n)n^{q-1}b_{n}^{q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

By (2.2), in view of q < 0, we have (2.7). For $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\lambda p}{2}$, setting $\tilde{a}_m = m^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}$, $\tilde{b}_n = n^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}$ $(m, n \in \mathbb{N})$, by (2.4), we have ∞ (())

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln(m/n)|}{m^{\lambda} + n^{\lambda}} \cdot \min\{m^{\lambda}, n^{\lambda}\} \cdot m^{-1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} n^{-1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}} = J(\varepsilon).$$

Assume that the constant factor $\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2}$ in (2.7) is not the best possible, then there exists a positive number k with $k \geq \frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2}$, such that (2.7) is still correct by changing $\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2}$ to k, then, in particular, by (2.5), we have

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} + \widetilde{o}(1)\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} > J(\varepsilon) > k \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - \theta_\lambda(n)] n^{p-1} \widetilde{a}_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-1} \widetilde{b}_n^q \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= k \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[O\left(\frac{1}{n^\lambda}\right) \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right] \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left\{ 1 - \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[O\left(\frac{1}{n^\lambda}\right) \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right] \right\}^{1/p}. \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} + \widetilde{o}(1) > k \left\{ 1 - \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lambda}}\right) \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right] \right\}^{1/p}$$

and then $\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2} \ge k(\varepsilon \to 0^+)$. Thus the constant factor $\frac{\pi^2}{6\lambda^2}$ in (2.7) is the best possible. The theorem is proved.

Acknowledgements. The work was partially supported by the Emphases Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Institution of Higher Learning, College and University (No. 05Z026). The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his or her suggestions and corrections.

References

- G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, 1934.
- [2] D. S. Mitrinovic, J. E. Pecaric and A. M. Fink, Inequalities involving functions and their integrals and derivatives, Kluwer Academic, Boston, 1991.
- [3] M. Gao, On Hilbert's inequality and its applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 212(1997), 316-323.
- [4] J. Kuang, On new extensions of Hilbert's integral inequality, Math. Anal. Appl., 235(1999), 608-614.
- B. G. Pachpatte, Inequalities similar to the integral analogue of Hilbert's inequality, Tamkang J. Math., 30(1999), 139-146.

- [6] B. Yang, The Norm of Operator and Hilbert-type Inequalities, Science Press, Beijing, 2009.
- [7] B. Yang, On a relation between Hilberts inequality and a Hilbert-type inequality, Applied Mathematics Letters, 21, 5(2008), 483-488.
- [8] W. Zhong and B. Yang, A reverse form of multiple Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality, International Journal of Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, 2007, 1(1), 67-72.
- [9] C. Zhao and L. Debnath, Some new inverse type Hilbert integral inequalities, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2001, 262(1), 411-418.
- [10] J. Kuang, Applied Inequalities, Shangdong Science Press, Jinan, 2004.