

# MRI Findings of Triple Negative Breast Cancer: A Comparison with Non-Triple Negative Breast Cancer

Jae Jeong Choi<sup>1</sup>, Sung Hun Kim<sup>1</sup>, Eun Suk Cha<sup>1</sup>, Bong Joo Kang<sup>1</sup>, Ji Hye Lee<sup>1</sup>,  
So-Yeon Lee<sup>1</sup>, Seung Hee Jeong<sup>2</sup>, Hyeon Woo Yim<sup>2</sup>, Byung Joo Song<sup>3</sup>

**Purpose :** To evaluate the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and clinicopathological features of triple negative breast cancer, and compare them with those of non-triple negative breast cancer.

**Materials and Methods :** This study included 231 pathologically confirmed breast cancers from January 2007 to May 2008. We retrospectively reviewed the MRI findings according to the Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) lexicon: mass or non-mass type, mass shape, mass margin, non-mass distribution, and enhancement pattern. Histologic type, histologic grade, and the results for epidermal growth factor receptor, p53, and Ki 67 were reviewed.

**Results :** Of 231 patients, 43(18.6%) were triple negative breast cancer. Forty triple negative breast cancers (93.0%) were mass-type lesion on MRI. A round or oval or lobular shape ( $p=0.006$ ) and rim enhancement ( $p=0.004$ ) were significantly more in triple negative breast cancer than non- triple negative breast cancer. In contrast, irregular shape ( $p=0.006$ ) and spiculated margins ( $p=0.032$ ) were significantly more in non-triple negative breast cancer. Old age ( $p=0.019$ ), high histologic grade ( $p<0.0001$ ), EGFR positivity ( $p<0.0001$ ), p53 overexpression ( $p=0.038$ ), and Ki 67 expression ( $<0.0001$ ) were significantly associated with the triple negative breast cancer.

**Conclusion :** MRI finding may be helpful for differentiation between triple negative and non-triple negative breast cancer.

**Index words :** Breast cancer  
Estrogen receptor  
Progesterone receptor  
HER2  
Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

---

## JKSMRM 14:95-102(2010)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea

<sup>2</sup>Clinical Research Coordinating Center, College of Medicine, The Catholic Medical Center

<sup>3</sup>Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea

Received; September 27, 2010, revised; November 17, 2010, accepted; December 1, 2010

Corresponding author : Sung Hun Kim, M.D., Department of Radiology, College of Medicine, Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea, 505 Banpo-dong, Seocho-gu, Seoul 137-701, Korea.

Tel. 82-2-2258-6250 Fax. 82-2-599-6771 E-mail: rad-ksh@catholic.ac.kr

## Introduction

Triple negative (TN) breast cancer is a subtype that is negative for the three main receptors for breast cancer, namely estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 (HER2). TN breast cancer has been considered to have a clinical feature with aggressive behavior and poor prognosis because there is no specific therapeutic target for the tumor. Previous literatures reported that TN breast cancer had a pathologic entity with a high histologic grade, and an overexpression of molecular factors such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), and Ki 67 (1–4).

However, there are a few reports describing MR imaging features of TN breast cancer (5, 6). We hypothesized that comparison of MRI features between TN breast cancer and non-TN breast cancer could yield additional information for pretreatment planning and assessment of prognosis. Therefore, the purpose of our study was to evaluate the MRI findings of TN breast cancer and to compare the findings with those of non-TN breast cancer. We also compared the MRI findings with the clinicopathological results.

## Materials and Methods

### *Patients*

This study consisted of 302 consecutive patients who were confirmed to have breast cancer and who underwent breast MRI in our institution from January of 2007 to May of 2008. Seventy one patients were excluded from the analysis for the following reasons; 42 patients underwent MRI after the excision of breast cancer, 24 patients received neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, two patients had cancers that were not visible on MRI scans, one patient had recurrent breast cancer after surgery, one patient had breast sarcoma and one patient had no information available on the ER status of the breast cancer. Two hundred-thirty one breast cancers in 231 patients were enrolled in this study. In patients with two or more pathologically-confirmed tumors, including three patients with bilateral breast cancers, the largest one was selected.

Total 43 TN breast cancers and 188 non-TN breast cancers were included in this study. The age of the

patients ranged from 31 to 86 years (mean age, 53.2 years). The size of the breast cancer ranged from 0.3 to 11.0 cm (mean size, 2.76 cm). This study was approved by the institutional review board of our institution.

### *Imaging Acquisition*

MR images were acquired with a 1.5T scanner (Signa; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, U.S.A. and Achieva; Philips Medical system, Best, the Netherlands) using a breast coil. MRI with the Signa scanner was performed using the following sequences; sagittal, fat-suppressed, fast spin-echo T2-weighted imaging, and axial or sagittal, fat-suppressed, fat-spoiled gradient-echo T1-weighted imaging (TR/TE = 6.2/3.1, flip angle of 10°, 2.6 mm section thickness, and an acquisition time of 1 min 31 minutes) obtained before and 91, 182, 273, 364 and 455 sec after the rapid bolus injection of 0.2 mmol/kg body weight of Gd-DPTA (Magnevist, Schering, Berlin, Germany). MRI with the Achieva scanner was performed using the following sequences; sagittal, fat-suppressed, fast spin-echo T2-weighted imaging and axial fat-suppressed, fat-spoiled gradient-echo T1-weighted imaging obtained before and 91, 182, 273, 364 and 455 sec after the rapid bolus injection of the same contrast agent.

### *Interpretations of MR Image Findings*

MRI findings for TN breast cancers and non-TN breast cancers were reviewed by the consensus of two radiologists (with 4 and 9 years of breast MR imaging experience). The morphology and enhancement of the lesions were described according to the BI-RADS lexicon. The lesions were divided into mass or non-mass types. Mass type lesions were assessed for size, shape, margins, and enhancement pattern. Non-mass type lesions were assessed for distribution and enhancement pattern. We evaluated the enhancement pattern on 2 min post-contrast MR images.

### *Pathological Analysis*

We reviewed the size, histologic type, and histologic grade of the TN and non-TN breast cancers. Immunohistochemistry was performed to assess the expression of the following molecular markers; ER, PR, HER2, p53, Ki 67, and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). ER and PR positivity was defined as the presence of 10% or more positively stained nuclei

in ten high-power fields. The intensity of HER2 membrane staining was scored as 0, 1+, 2+ or 3+. Tumors with 2+ or 3+ scores were classified as positive for HER2 overexpression, whereas tumors with scores of 0 or 1+ were negative for HER2 overexpression. Among 231 patients, assessment of EGFR was performed in 204 patients, p53 in 132 patients, and Ki 67 in 228 patients. EGFR was considered as positive if membrane staining was observed. Ki 67 expression level of  $\geq 15\%$  was considered as expression.

### Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are shown as means  $\pm$  standard

deviation and categorical variables are presented as frequencies and percentages. The differences between the imaging findings for the TN cancer and non-TN cancer were compared using the unpaired t-test, Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test. In addition, logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the contribution of the major risk factors. Statistical significance was established at a p-value  $< 0.05$ . Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

### Results

Forty three patients (18.6%) had TN breast cancer,

Table 1. Characteristics of the 43 Triple Negative Breast Cancer and 188 Non Triple Negative Breast Cancer Groups

Characteristics	Triple Negative Breast Cancers (n=43)	Non-Triple Negative Breast Cancers (n=188)	P value
Mean Age (year)	56.6	52.5	0.019
Tumor Size (cm)			
Mean	2.79	2.76	0.918
< 2 cm	16 (37.2%)	78 (41.5%)	0.606
$\geq 2$ cm	27 (62.8%)	110 (58.5%)	
Histologic Grade			<0.0001
Grade 1	3 (7.0%)	40 (21.3%)	
Grade 2	10 (23.2%)	73 (38.8%)	
Grade 3	26 (60.5%)	38 (20.2%)	
Not Available	4 (9.3%)	37 (19.7%)	
Histologic Type			0.740
Invasive ductal carcinoma	32 (74.4%)	151 (80.3%)	
Invasive lobular carcinoma	2 (4.7%)	3 (1.6%)	
Medullary carcinoma	5 (11.6%)	2 (1.1%)	
Mucinous carcinoma	0	2 (1.1%)	
Papillary carcinoma	0	2 (1.1%)	
Metaplastic carcinoma	1 (2.3%)	1 (0.5%)	
Mixed invasive carcinoma	0	2 (1.1%)	
Ductal carcinoma in situ	3 (7.0%)	25 (13.2%)	
EGFR			<0.0001
Negative	17 (39.5%)	136 (72.3%)	
Positive	20 (46.5%)	31 (16.5%)	
Not Available	6 (14.0%)	21 (11.2%)	
p53			0.038
Negative	13 (30.2%)	73 (38.8%)	
Positive	14 (32.6%)	32 (17.0%)	
Not Available	16 (37.2%)	83 (44.2%)	
Ki 67			<0.0001
Mean	45.3	17.4	<0.0001
< 15%	33 (76.7%)	76 (40.4%)	<0.0001
$\geq 15\%$	10 (23.3%)	109 (58.0%)	
Not Available	0	3 (1.6%)	

and 188 patients (81.4%) had non-TN breast cancer. The characteristics of TN breast cancer and non-TN breast cancer are summarized in Table 1. The mean age was significantly older for the TN cancer group compared with the non-TN cancer group ( $p=0.019$ ). There was no significant difference in the tumor size between two groups.

TN breast cancers included invasive ductal carcinomas, medullary carcinomas, invasive lobular carcinomas, metaplastic carcinoma and ductal carcinoma in situ. Non-TN breast cancers included invasive ductal carcinoma, invasive lobular carcinoma, medullary carcinoma, mucinous carcinoma, papillary carcinoma, mixed carcinoma, metaplastic carcinoma and ductal carcinoma in situ. There were no significant differences in the histologic types between TN breast cancer and non-TN breast cancer groups.

TN breast cancers were more likely to have high histologic grade tumors ( $p<0.0001$ ). For the TN breast cancers, 26 breast cancers (67%) were grade 3. For the non-TN breast cancers, 38 breast cancers (25%) were grade 3. Compared with non-TN breast cancer, TN breast cancer was associated with EGFR positivity ( $p<0.0001$ ), p53 overexpression ( $p=0.038$ ), and Ki 67 expression ( $p<0.0001$ ).

Based on the multivariate analysis, a high histologic grade (odds ratio of grade 3 vs. grade 1, 9.1; 95% CI, 2.6–32.6), p53 overexpression (odds ratio, 2.5; 95% CI, 1.0–5.8), EGFR positivity (odds ratio, 5.2; 95% CI, 2.4–11.0), and Ki 67 expression (odds ratio of  $< 15\%$  vs.  $\geq 15\%$ , 4.9; 95% CI, 2.3–10.5) were associated with the risk of TN breast cancer (Table 2).

**MRI Findings for TN Breast Cancer and non-TN Breast Cancer**

TN breast cancers (93.0%) were more likely to show mass-type than non-TN breast cancers (80.8%). However, there was no significant difference in the lesion type between TN breast cancers and non-TN breast cancers ( $p=0.055$ ).


Among mass-type lesions, 33 TN breast cancers (82.5%) showed round or oval or lobular shape. Irregular shape was found in 7 TN breast cancers (17.5%) and 69 non-TN breast cancers (45.4%). Irregular shape was significantly lesser in TN breast cancers than non-TN breast cancers ( $p=0.006$ ) (Table 3). Smooth margins were more frequently found in TN

breast cancers (37.5%) than non-TN breast cancers (23.0%). Spiculated margins were more frequently found in non-TN breast cancers (31.6%) than TN breast cancers (12.5%) ( $p=0.032$ ). 25 TN breast cancers (62.5%) showed rim enhancement, which was significantly more than TN breast cancers (33.6%) ( $p=0.004$ ). 59.9% of non-TN breast cancers showed heterogeneous enhancement (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). There was no significant difference in the findings of non-mass lesions between TN breast cancers and non-TN breast cancers.

Based on the multivariate analysis, the oval, round and lobular shapes (hazard ratio 3.92; 95% CI, 1.6–9.4) and the rim enhancement (hazard ratio, 3.43; 95% CI, 1.6–7.3) were associated with the risk of TN breast

Table 2. Odds Ratios (95% CI) for the Triple Negative Breast Cancer Associated with Patient and Tumor Characteristics

	Triple Negative Cancers Odds Ratio (95% CI)
Age (year)	
> = 50	1.91 (0.96–3.96)
< 50	1.0 (reference)
Lesion Type on MRI	
Mass	3.16 (0.92–10.78)
Non-mass	1.0 (reference)
Mass Shape	
Oval, Round, Lobular	3.92 (1.63–9.41)
Irregular	1.0 (reference)
Mass Margin	
Smooth	2.01 (0.95–4.22)
Irregular, Spiculated	1.0 (reference)
Mass Enhancement	
Homogeneous	1.4 (0.28–7.11)
Heterogeneous	1.0 (reference)
Rim	3.43 (1.62–7.28)
Histologic Grade	
Grade 1	1.0 (reference)
Grade 2	1.83 (0.48–7.01)
Grade 3	9.12 (2.55–32.64)
p53	
Negative	1.0 (reference)
Positive	2.46 (1.04–5.82)
EGFR	
Negative	1.0 (reference)
Positive	5.16 (2.43–10.98)
Ki 67	
< 15%	1.0 (reference)
> = 15%	4.86 (2.26–10.45)



**Fig. 1.** MRI finding for triple negative breast cancer in 42 year-old woman.

T1-weighted sagittal MR image with contrast enhancement shows a lobular mass with smooth margins and a rim enhancement pattern.



**Fig. 2.** MRI finding for non-triple negative breast cancer in 46 year-old woman.

T1-weighted sagittal MR image with contrast enhancement shows an irregular mass with spiculated margins and a heterogeneous enhancement pattern.

cancer (Table 2).

### Discussion

Triple negative breast cancer has been reported in 10-25% of all types of breast cancer. It occurs with a higher incidence in pre-menopausal African/American women (1-4). In our study, TN breast cancer accounted for 18.6% of all types of breast cancers. Patients with TN breast cancer were older than those with non-TN breast cancer. This result was similar to the findings obtained for the Japanese series (2).

In our study, 93% of the TN breast cancers were mass-type lesions on MRI. Several studies have reported that TN breast cancer is more likely to exhibit mass on MRI and mammography (5-7). However, there was no significant difference in the lesion type between TN breast cancers and non-TN breast cancers in our study. This result may be due to the fact that 28 DCIS were included in our study. Non-mass type enhancement is a common feature of DCIS on MRI (8, 9).

We found that the lobular shape, smooth margins,

and rim enhancement are associated with TN breast cancers. Because TN breast cancers have an aggressive growing nature, they may reveal bulging shape with pushing borders. Rim enhancement may be due to tumor necrosis. Uemastu T, et al. reported that a very high intratumoral signal intensity on T2-weighted MR images is associated with intratumoral necrosis (5). In contrast, non-TN breast cancers were associated with spiculated margins, due to the desmoplastic reaction of the tumor.

Metaplastic carcinoma and medullary carcinoma show a basal-like subtype, and they have higher incidences in TN breast cancer (10-15). Our study included five medullary carcinomas and one metaplastic carcinoma in 43 TN breast cancers. The frequency of the histologic types did not differ between the TN breast cancers and the non-TN breast cancers. This result may be due to the small number of TN breast cancers evaluated.

In our study, EGFR, p53 and Ki 67 were overexpressed in TN breast cancer. We assumed that aggressiveness and rapid growing of TN breast cancer

Table 3. MRI findings for the 43 Triple Negative Breast Cancer and 188 Non Triple Negative Breast Cancer Groups

MRI Findings	Triple Negative Cancers (n = 43)	Non-Triple Negative Cancers (n = 188)	P value
Lesion Type			0.055
Mass	40 (93.0%)	152 (80.9%)	
Non-mass	3 (7.0%)	36 (19.1%)	
Mass Shape			0.006
Round, Oval	16 (40.0%)	41 (27.0%)	
Lobular	17 (42.5%)	42 (27.6%)	
Irregular	7 (17.5%)	69 (45.4%)	
Mass Margins			0.032
Smooth	15 (37.5%)	35 (23.0%)	
Irregular	20 (50%)	69 (45.4%)	
Spiculated	5 (12.5%)	48 (31.6%)	
Mass Enhancement			0.004
Homogeneous	2 (5.0%)	10 (6.6%)	
Heterogeneous	13 (32.5%)	91 (59.9%)	
Rim	25 (62.5%)	51 (33.5%)	
Non-mass Distribution			0.614
Focal	1 (33.3%)	5 (13.9%)	
Segmental	2 (66.7%)	28 (77.8%)	
Regional	0	3 (8.3%)	
Non-mass Enhancement			0.765
Homogeneous	0	5 (13.9%)	
Heterogeneous	1 (33.3%)	15 (41.7%)	
Stippled, Punctuate	0	2 (5.5%)	
Clumped	2 (66.7%)	14 (38.9%)	

related to overexpression of the markers. EGFR, a type of cell surface receptor, is associated with cell proliferation. EGFR has been considered as a potential therapeutic target in TN breast cancer (16). p53 is a tumor suppression gene that regulates cell proliferation and apoptosis (17). p53 overexpression is an indicator used to predict the response to anthracycline-based chemotherapy in breast cancer. They are associated with a poor prognosis (16–21). Ki 67 is a nuclear antigen that appears during the proliferative phase of the cell cycle. It is related to a high mitotic count and a high level of cell proliferation (22–24).

Our study has limitations. First, a small number of patients were enrolled. Further validation in a larger study is warranted. Second, we used only immunohistochemistry to define HER2 status. We classified the HER2 2+ score as positive for HER2 overexpression, without considering the results of fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). However, the definition of HER2 status in TN breast cancer remains controversial. Third, inter-observer variability in the

assessment of BI-RADS-based MRI findings was not considered in the present study. However, two radiologists reached a consensus in the evaluation of the MRI findings.

In conclusion, TN breast cancer occurred in elderly women. On MRI, rim enhancing mass with round or oval or lobular shape is favorable to TN breast cancer rather than non-TN breast cancer. It may be due to aggressive histologic behavior of TN breast cancer. MRI finding may be helpful for planning treatment and prediction prognosis in triple negative and non-triple negative breast cancer patients.

### References

1. Reis-Filho JS, Tutt AN. Triple negative tumours: a critical review. *Histopathology* 2008;52:108-118
2. Iwase H, Kurebayashi J, Tsuda H, et al. Clinicopathological analyses of triple negative breast cancer using surveillance data from the Registration Committee of the Japanese Breast Cancer Society. *Breast Cancer* 17:118-124
3. Dent R, Trudeau M, Pritchard KI, et al. Triple-negative breast

- cancer: clinical features and patterns of recurrence. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007;13:4429-4434
4. Trivers KF, Lund MJ, Porter PL, et al. The epidemiology of triple-negative breast cancer, including race. *Cancer Causes Control* 2009;20:1071-1082
  5. Uematsu T, Kasami M, Yuen S. Triple-negative breast cancer: correlation between MR imaging and pathologic findings. *Radiology* 2009;250:638-647
  6. Chen JH, Agrawal G, Feig B, et al. Triple-negative breast cancer: MRI features in 29 patients. *Ann Oncol* 2007;18:2042-2043
  7. Yang WT, Dryden M, Broglio K, et al. Mammographic features of triple receptor-negative primary breast cancers in young premenopausal women. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2008;111:405-410
  8. Facius M, Renz DM, Neubauer H, et al. Characteristics of ductal carcinoma in situ in magnetic resonance imaging. *Clin Imaging* 2007;31:394-400
  9. Rosen EL, Smith-Foley SA, DeMartini WB, Eby PR, Peacock SL, Lehman CD. BI-RADS MRI enhancement characteristics of ductal carcinoma in situ. *Breast J* 2007;13:545-550
  10. Weigelt B, Kreike B, Reis-Filho JS. Metaplastic breast carcinomas are basal-like breast cancers: a genomic profiling analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2009;117:273-280
  11. Reis-Filho JS, Milanezi F, Steele D, et al. Metaplastic breast carcinomas are basal-like tumours. *Histopathology* 2006;49:10-21
  12. Leibl S, Moinfar F. Metaplastic breast carcinomas are negative for Her-2 but frequently express EGFR (Her-1): potential relevance to adjuvant treatment with EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors? *J Clin Pathol* 2005;58:700-704
  13. Reis-Filho JS, Milanezi F, Carvalho S, et al. Metaplastic breast carcinomas exhibit EGFR, but not HER2, gene amplification and overexpression: immunohistochemical and chromogenic in situ hybridization analysis. *Breast Cancer Res* 2005;7:R1028-1035
  14. Kuroda N, Fujishima N, Inoue K, et al. Basal-like carcinoma of the breast: further evidence of the possibility that most metaplastic carcinomas may be actually basal-like carcinomas. *Med Mol Morphol* 2008;41:117-120
  15. Sasaki Y, Tsuda H. Clinicopathological characteristics of triple-negative breast cancers. *Breast Cancer* 2009;16:254-259
  16. Corkery B, Crown J, Clynes M, O' Donovan N. Epidermal growth factor receptor as a potential therapeutic target in triple-negative breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2009;20:862-867
  17. Rolland P, Spendllove I, Madjd Z, et al. The p53 positive Bcl-2 negative phenotype is an independent marker of prognosis in breast cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2007;120:1311-1317
  18. Viale G, Rotmensz N, Maisonneuve P, et al. Invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast with the "triple-negative" phenotype: prognostic implications of EGFR immunoreactivity. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2009;116:317-328
  19. Biswas DK, Iglehart JD. Linkage between EGFR family receptors and nuclear factor kappaB (NF-kappaB) signaling in breast cancer. *J Cell Physiol* 2006;209:645-652
  20. Nogi H, Kobayashi T, Suzuki M, et al. EGFR as paradoxical predictor of chemosensitivity and outcome among triple-negative breast cancer. *Oncol Rep* 2009;21:413-417
  21. Chae BJ, Bae JS, Lee A, et al. p53 as a specific prognostic factor in triple-negative breast cancer. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2009;39:217-224
  22. Yamamoto Y, Ibusuki M, Nakano M, Kawasoe T, Hiki R, Iwase H. Clinical significance of basal-like subtype in triple-negative breast cancer. *Breast Cancer* 2009;16:260-267
  23. Viale G, Regan MM, Mastropasqua MG, et al. Predictive value of tumor Ki-67 expression in two randomized trials of adjuvant chemoendocrine therapy for node-negative breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2008;100:207-212
  24. Ding SL, Sheu LF, Yu JC, et al. Expression of estrogen receptor-alpha and Ki67 in relation to pathological and molecular features in early-onset infiltrating ductal carcinoma. *J Biomed Sci* 2004;11:911-919



## 삼중음성 유방암의 자기공명영상 소견: 비삼중음성 유방암과의 비교

<sup>1</sup>가톨릭대학교 의과대학 영상의학과  
<sup>2</sup>가톨릭대학교 의과대학 임상연구 지원센터  
<sup>3</sup>가톨릭대학교 의과대학 외과

최재정<sup>1</sup> · 김성현<sup>1</sup> · 차은숙<sup>1</sup> · 강봉주<sup>1</sup> · 이지혜<sup>1</sup> · 이소연<sup>1</sup> · 정승희<sup>2</sup> · 임현우<sup>2</sup> · 송병주<sup>3</sup>

**목적** : 삼중음성 유방암은 국소재발 및 원격전이가 흔하고 예후가 불량한 유방암이다. 이의 자기공명영상 소견과 임상적, 병리학적 소견이 비삼중음성 유방암과 차이가 있는지 알아보려고 한다.

**대상 및 방법** : 2007년부터 2008년까지 수술로 확진된 231명의 유방암 환자를 대상으로 하였다. 자기공명영상 소견에서 대표 병변을 Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS)에 따라 후향적으로 분석하였고 삼중음성 유방암의 소견이 비삼중음성 유방암과 차이가 있는지 알아보았다. 또한 나이, 조직학적 형태, 분화도, 표피성장인자 수용체, p53, Ki 67의 발현 정도가 두 군간에 차이가 있는지 분석하였다.

**결과** : 총 231명 중 43명 (18.6%)이 삼중음성 유방암이었다. 삼중음성 유방암 중 40개 (93%)가 자기공명영상에서 종괴병변 이었다. 삼중음성 유방암은 비삼중음성 유방암에 비해 원형, 난원형 또는 소엽성 모양 (p=0.006), 변연 조영증강 (p=0.004) 소견이 많았다. 반면 불규칙 모양(p=0.006)과 침상경계(p=0.032)는 비삼중음성 유방암에 유의하게 많았다. 고령 (p=0.019), 높은 조직 분화도 (p < 0.0001), 표피성장인자 수용체 양성(p < 0.0001), p53 (p=0.038)과 Ki 67 (< 0.0001) 과발현이 삼중음성 유방암과 관련이 있었다.

**결론** : 자기공명영상 소견은 삼중음성 유방암과 비삼중음성 유방암을 구분하는데 도움이 된다.

통신저자 : 김성현, (137-701) 서울시 서초구 반포동 505, 가톨릭대학교 의과대학 서울성모병원 영상의학과  
Tel. 82-2-2258-6250 Fax. 82-2-599-6771 E-mail: rad-ksh@catholic.ac.kr