# Research Article

Algae 2010, 25(1): 45-56 DOI: 10.4490/algae.2010.25.1.045 pISSN: 1226-2617 eISSN: 2093-0860

Open Access



# Antioxidant properties of tidal pool microalgae, Halochlorococcum porphyrae and Oltamannsiellopsis unicellularis from Jeju Island, Korea

Seung-Hong Lee<sup>1</sup>, Joon Baek Lee<sup>2</sup>, Ki-Wan Lee<sup>1</sup> and You-Jin Jeon<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

Received 15 December 2009, Accepted 12 February 2010

In this study, we assessed the antioxidant properties of tidal pool microalgae,  $Halochlorococcum\ porphyrae$  and  $Oltamannsiellopsis\ unicellularis$ , from Jeju Island, Korea. Specifically, the antioxidant activity of fractions isolated from 80% methanol extract, and digests produced from five proteases and carbohydrases, were investigated. Almost all the fractions and the 80% methanol extract exhibited higher effects on 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging. The ethyl acetate fraction showed the highest superoxide anion scavenging activity, while both n-hexane and chloroform fractions exhibited higher  $H_2O_2$  scavenging activity. Among the enzymatic digests from H. porphyrae and H0. H1 unitaris, all the digests exhibited remarkable DPPH scavenging activities. In nitric oxide inhibition, all the digests recorded significantly higher effects than those of the commercial antioxidants (p < 0.05). Flavozyme and Neutrase digests from H2. H3 porphyrae, and Termanyl and Alcalase digests from H3. H4 unitaris, showed significant effects in metal chelating. Lipid peroxidation was significantly inhibited in the ethyl acetate fraction, in the Celluclast and Protamex digests from H4. H5 porphyrae, and in the chloroform fraction from H5. H6 unitaris. These findings suggest that the two tidal pool microalgae tested in this study are rich in potential antioxidative compounds, the specific properties of which can be considered for use in the food and pharmaceutical industries.

**Key Words:** antioxidant; enzymatic digests; *Halochlorococcum porphyrae*; *Oltamannsiellopsis unicellularis*; organic fractions; tidal pool microalgae

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radicals and superoxide anions can be formed by different metabolic processes in living organisms. Exogenous factors such as tobacco smoke, ionizing radiation and certain pollutants, and endogenous factors such as normal aerobic respiration, are generally involved in cellular processes such as mutagenesis, carcinogenesis and premature aging. ROS have the ability to react with a large variety of easily oxidisable cellular components, such as proteins, lipids and lipoproteins (Fridovich 1995). Furthermore, oxidation is one of the major causes of food deterioration, resulting in the destruction of fatsoluble vitamins and the development of off-colors and

toxicants (Yang et al. 2000, Ukeda et al. 2002).

Commercial antioxidant supplements such as butylated hydroxyl anisole (BHA), butylated hydroxyl toluene (BHT),  $\alpha$ -tocopherol and propyl gallate have been used to reduce oxidative damages in the human body (Sherwin 1990, Gülçin et al. 2002). However, it is suspected that these synthetic antioxidants are responsible for a number of side-effects such as liver damage and carcinogenesis (Lindenschmidt et al.

\*Corresponding author

E-mail: youjinj@jejunu.ac.kr

Tel: +82-64-754-3475, Fax: +82-64-756-3493

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Marine life Science, Jeju National University, Jeju 690-756, Korea

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Marine Sciences, Jeju National University, Jeju 690-756, Korea

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Marine and Environmental Research Institute, Jeju National University, Jeju 695-814, Korea

1986). As a result, consumers have become more health conscious and investigators are increasingly seeking natural antioxidant alternatives for use in foods or medicinal materials.

Plants have the ability to absorb the sun's radiation and generate high levels of oxygen as a byproduct of photosynthesis. Oxygen is easily activated by ultra violet (UV) radiation and heat from sunlight to produce toxic ROS. Consequently, plants have developed the ability to produce various antioxidative compounds in order to protect them from the harmful effects of ROS (Aruoma 1998). Although there is an abundance of scientific literature on macroalgae antioxidant effects, less comparative attention has historically been afforded to microalgae because of difficulties in their isolation and cultivation. However, the handful number of studies carried out to date have yielded promising results. For example, the antioxidative activity of phycocyanobilin from Spirulina platensis was evaluated against the oxidation of methyl linoleate in a hydrophobic system or with phosphatidylcholine liposomes (Hirata et al. 2000). Phycocyanobilin effectively inhibited the peroxidation of methyl linoleate and produced a prolonged induction period. Other microalgae such as blue-green algae and flagellates (Mynderse et al. 1977, Murakami et al. 1982) have also been reported to possess pharmacologically active compounds (Furukawa et al. 1993, Rho et al. 1995). Commercially, microalgae such as Chlorella sp., Spirulina sp. and Dunaliella sp. are grown for the production of algal products such as β-carotene, lutein and phycocyanin. But increasingly, microalgae are being investigated for properties beneficial to the nutraceutical and health foods industries.

Halochlorococcum porphyrae and Oltmannsiellopsis


unicellularis are two microalgae species that belong to the order Chlorophyceae, and prefer coastal marine and brackish water habitats. Although these two microalgae species have been subjected to biochemical and structural analyses (Rho et al. 1997, Pröschold et al. 2000), their antioxidant properties are yet to be examined.

The aim of this study was to assess the antioxidant potential of *H. porphyrae* and *O. unicellularis* to the food and pharmaceutical industries. The specific objectives were to investigate the antioxidant properties of 80% methanol extract and its different organic solvent fractions, as well as enzymatic digests from *H. porphyrae* and *O. unicellularis*.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Chemicals

1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), thiobarbituric acid (TBA), trichloroacetic acid (TCA), sodium nitroprusside, sulphanilic acid, naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride, xanthine, xanthine oxidase from butter milk, nitro blue tetrazolium salt (NBT), BHT,  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, 3-(2-Pyridyl)-5,6-di (p-sulfophenyl)-1,2,4-triazine disodium salt (ferrozine), potassium ferricyanide (K $_3$ Fe(CN) $_6$ ), Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and linoleic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). 2, 2-Azino-bis (3-ethylbenz-thiazolin)-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS), peroxidase and 2-deoxyribose were purchased from Fluka Chemie (Buchs, Werdenberg, Switzerland). Food grade digestive enzymes Viscozyme, Celluclast, AMG, Termamyl,






Fig. 1. Halochlorococcum porphyrae (A) and Oltamannsiellopsis unicellularis (B) isolated from the tidal pool environment.

Ultraflo, Protamex, Kojizyme, Neutrase, Flavourzyme and Alcalase were purchased form Novo Co. (Novozyme Nordisk; Bagsvaerd, Copenhagen, Denmark). All the other chemicals used were of analytical grades.

#### Sample collection and identification

Phytoplankton samples were collected from coastal tidal pools in Gangjung village, Jeju Island, Korea. Specifically, the samples were collected from numerous small tidal pools located on top of volcanic rocks. Environmental factors such as water temperature, pH and salinity were measured at each sampling spot before the specimens were put in a flask containing F/2 nutrients media (Aquacenter Inc., Leland, MS, USA). The flasks were then immediately transferred into a plant growth chamber (VS-3D; Vision Scientific, Bucheon, Korea) and incubated for a week. In order to establish the most favorable condition for growth, samples were kept under a 12L: 12D cycle and subjected to temperatures of 15, 20, 25, and 30°C, with salinity of 25, 30, and 35 psu, respectively. The incubated samples were monitored periodically for pH and salinity. Phytoplankton samples were observed under a phase-contrast microscope for isolation and identification (Fig. 1). Identification was carried out based on the monograph of Tomas (1996).


#### Mass culture

Mass culture for each algal strain was carried out using artificial seawater media, which was prepared with F/2 nutrients media and trace element solution. Mass culture for phytoplankton was carried out in 10 liter media carrying capacity bottles (Transparent Polycarbonate Containers, Nalgene, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) with autoclaved artificial seawater media, which was enriched with F/2 nutrients media (Aquacenter Inc.). The salinity, temperature, pH, L: D cycle and light intensity of the culture were 35 psu, 20°C, 8.20, 12: 12 and 180  $\mu E$  m $^2$  s $^1$ , respectively for *H. porphyrae*, and 30 psu, 25°C, 8.30, 12: 12 and 180  $\mu E$  m $^2$  s $^1$  respectively for *O. unicellularis*. The standing crop was separated from the culture media by filtering and was finally freezedried at -70°C.

# Preparation of 80% methanol extract and solvent fractions

Freeze-dried samples were ground into a fine powder, after which 5 g samples were extracted with 80% methanol (500 mL) for 24 h at 25°C. The mixture was filtered and the 80% methanol extract was collected and concentrated. The extracts were obtained in

sequence fractionation with a separatory funnel using three organic solvents (n-hexane, chloroform, and ethyl acetate), respectively (Fig. 2). Each fraction was concentrated and redissolved in methanol to a concentration of 2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. 2.** Solvent fractionation procedure of *Halochlorococcum porphyrae* and *Oltamannsiellopsis unicellularis*.

#### **Preparation of Enzymatic digests**

Freeze-dried samples were ground into a fine powder, after which one gram was mixed with 100 mL of distilled water. The optimum pH of each reaction mixtures was adjusted with 1 M HCl / NaOH. Optimum pH and temperature conditions for the respective enzymes were as described by Heo et al. (2003). For this investigation, food grade enzymes consisting of five carbohydrases (Viscozyme, Celluclast, AMG, Termamyl and Ultraflo) and five proteases (Protamex, Kojizyme, Neutrase, Flavourzyme and Alcalase) were used. Enzymes were added to the sample at the ratio of 1% and incubated for 24 h. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was filtered and the enzyme was inactivated by heat (100°C for 10 min). Finally, the pH of each hydrolysate was adjusted to 7 with 1 M HCl / NaOH.

#### **Proximate composition**

Proximate chemical composition of freeze-dried samples was determined according to the AOAC methods (Cunniff 1995). Crude lipid content was determined by the Soxhlet method, while crude protein content was determined by the Kjeldhal method. Ash content was determined by calcinations in furnace at 550°C while moisture content was determined by weighing the sample prior to and after 24 h in a dry oven at 105°C. Crude protein content in fractions and

extracts was determined by the Lowry method, while the polysaccharide content was determined by the phenol-sulfuric method.

# **DPPH free radical scavenging assay**

Free radical scavenging activity of the samples was determined according to the modified methodology of Brand-Williams et al. (1995). Each sample (2 mL) was mixed thoroughly with 2 mL of freshly prepared DPPH solution ( $3 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{M}$ ), after which the reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature for 30 min and its absorbance recorded at 517 nm using a UV–VIS spectrophotometer (Opron 3000; Hanson Tech. Co., Seoul, Korea).

#### Hydrogen peroxide scavenging assay

The ability of samples to scavenge  $\rm H_2O_2$  was determined according to the methodology of Müller (1985). Each sample (80 µL) and 20 µL of 10 mM hydrogen peroxide were mixed with 100 µL of phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 5.0) in a 96-microwell plate and incubated at 37°C for 5 min. Thereafter, 30 µL of freshly prepared 1.25 mM ABTS and 30 µL of peroxidase (1 U mL<sup>-1</sup>) were mixed and incubated at 37°C for 10 min, after which absorbance was measured at 405 nm.

#### Superoxide anion scavenging assay

Measurement of superoxide anion scavenging activity of the samples was based on the methodology of Nagai et al. (2003). A mixture of 0.48 mL of 0.05 M sodium carbonate buffer (pH 10.5), 0.02 mL of 3 mM xanthine, 0.02 mL of 3 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.02 mL of 0.15% bovine serum albumin, 0.02 mL of 0.75 mM NBT and 0.02 mL of sample was incubated at 25°C for 10 min. The reaction was then started by adding 6 mU XOD and kept at 25°C for 20 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 0.02 mL of 6 mM CuCl. Absorbance was measured in a microplate reader (Sunrise; Tecan Co. Ltd., Salzburg, Austria) at 560 nm.

# Hydroxyl radical scavenging assay

Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity was determined according to the methodology of Chung et al. (1977). The Fenton reaction mixture (200  $\mu L$  of 10 mM FeSO $_4$ ·7H $_2$ O, 200  $\mu L$  of 10 mM EDTA and 200  $\mu L$  of 10 mM 2-deoxyribose) was mixed with 1.2 mL of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and with 200  $\mu L$  of the sample. Subsequently, 200  $\mu L$  of 10 mM H $_2$ O $_2$  was added and incubated at 37°C for 4 h, after which 1 mL of 2.8% TCA and 1 mL of 1% TBA were mixed and placed in a boiling water bath (10 min). After cooling, the mixture was centrifuged (5 min, 395 × g) and

absorbance was measured at 532 nm.

#### Nitric oxide radical scavenging assay

Nitric oxide radical scavenging was determined according to the methodology of Garrat (1964). Two milliliter of 10 mM sodium nitroprusside in 0.5 mL of phosphate buffer saline (pH 7.4) was mixed with 0.5 mL of each sample and incubated at 25°C for 150 min. From the incubated mixture, a 0.5 mL aliquot was removed and added in to 1.0 mL sulphanilic acid reagent (0.33% in 20% glacial acetic acid), then incubated at a room temperature for 5 min. Finally, 1.0 mL naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride (0.1% w/v) was mixed and incubated at room temperature for 30 min and absorbance was measured at 540 nm.

#### Ferrous ion chelating effect

The chelating of ferrous ions was estimated according to the methodology of Decker and Welch (1990). Each sample (5 mL) was added to a 0.1 mL of 2 mM FeCl $_2$ . The reaction was started by adding 0.2 mL of 5 mM ferrozine solution and subsequently incubated for 10 min at room temperature in a shaking incubator. After incubation, absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured at 562 nm.

# Determination of lipid peroxidation inhibitory effect with the ferric thiocyanate (FTC) method

FTC method was conducted as described by Kikuzaki and Nakatani (1993). Each two milliliter sample (100 mg L $^{-1}$ ) was mixed with 2 mL of 2.51% linoleic acid in ethanol, 4 mL of 0.05 M of phosphate buffer (pH 7) and 2 mL of distilled water, and the kept at 40°C in a darkroom. A total 0.1 mL of the above mixture was added to 9.7 mL of 75% ethanol and 0.1 mL of 30% ammonium thiocyanate, and after 5 min 0.1 mL of 0.02 M ferrous chloride in 3.5% HCl was also added. Absorbance was measured every 24 h for 7 days.

#### Total phenolic content

Total phenolic compounds in the extracts were determined with Folin–Ciocalteu reagent according to the methodology of Chandler and Dodds (1993), using gallic acid as a standard phenolic compound. Each sample (1 mL) was mixed with 1 mL of 95% ethanol, 5 mL of distilled water and 0.5 mL of 50% Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. The mixture was allowed to react for 5 min after which 1 mL of 5% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added and thoroughly mixed. The mixture was then placed in a darkroom for 1 h before absorbance was measured at 725 nm.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted with the SPSS (Version 11.5, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software package using triplicate (n = 3) test data. Mean values of each treatment were compared using one-way analysis of variance followed by Turkeys tests. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

#### **RESULTS**

The temperature, pH and salinity of the tidal pools in Jeju Island varied from 12.7 to 27.5°C, 6.59 to 8.22, and 17.4 to 35.4 psu, respectively. Mono-strain samples of *H. porphyrae* and *O. unicellularis* were obtained from natural samples after microscopic observation. From the multiple combinations of incubation conditions, the best growth performance after a week were observed in 20°C and 35 psu (pH 8.20), for *H. porphyrae*, and 25°C and 30 psu (pH 8.30) for *O. unicellularis*. Biomass production of *H. porphyrae* and *O. unicellularis* was 0.54 g  $\rm L^{-1}$  and 0.66 g  $\rm L^{-1}$  on a dry weight basis, respectively.

**Table 1.** Proximate composition of *Halochlorococcum porphyrae* and *Oltamannsiellopsis unicellularis* 

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Nutrient (%)	H. porphyrae	O. unicellularis
Moisture	$3.3 \pm 0.3$	$3.1 \pm 0.3$
Carbohydrate	$66.4 \pm 0.2$	$65.4 \pm 0.1$
Protein	$5.1 \pm 0.1$	$4.3 \pm 0.2$
Lipid	$3.9 \pm 0.2$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$
Ash	$21.3 \pm 0.4$	$23.8 \pm 0.4$

Values represent means of three replicates  $\pm$  SD.

**Table 2.** Total phenolic, polysaccharide and protein contents of 80% methanol extract, and its different fractions from *Halochlorococcum porphyrae* and *Oltamannsiellopsis unicellularis* 

		•			
Algae	Fractions	Yield	Total phenol <sup>a</sup>	Polysac- charide <sup>b</sup>	Protein <sup>c</sup>
		(g/100 g)	(mg/100 g)	(mg/100 g)	(mg/100g)
H. porphy-	Methanol	19.38	$213.7 \pm 16$	$1390 \pm 112$	$573.3 \pm 29$
rae	<i>n</i> -Hexane	4.26	$83.1 \pm 4.7$	$161.1\pm10$	$88.3 \pm 3.9$
	Chloroform	6.32	$70.8 \pm 3.2$	$341.7\pm18$	$154.7\pm10$
	Ethyl acet.	2.94	$26.3 \pm 1.3$	$153.1 \pm 7.2$	$92.6 \pm 5.4$
	Aqu. resi.	5.58	$28.3 \pm 1.7$	$532.8 \pm 24$	$150.8 \pm 12$
O. unicell-	Methanol	13.2	$106.4 \pm 8.3$	$1036\pm79$	$386.3 \pm 17$
ularis	<i>n</i> -Hexane	1.94	$48.9 \pm 2.6$	$71.9 \pm 5.3$	$111.8 \pm 7.6$
	Chloroform	2.12	$19.8\pm0.7$	$100.1\pm6.1$	$110.7 \pm 6.4$
	Ethyl acet.	0.98	$7.4 \pm 0.3$	$39.7 \pm 1.2$	$13.9 \pm 0.5$
	Aqu. resi. d	7.7	$36.4 \pm 2.3$	$805.1 \pm 64$	$122.2 \pm 7.2$

Values represent means of three replicates  $\pm$  SD.

**Table 3.** Total phenolic, polysaccharide and protein content of different enzymatic digests from *Halochlorococcum porphyrae* and *Oltamannsiellopsis unicellularis* 

Algae	Digests	Yield Total phenol a		Polysac- charide <sup>b</sup>	Protein <sup>c</sup>
		(g/100 g)	(mg/100 g)	(g/100 g)	(g/100g)
H. porphyrae	Viscozyme	37.2	85.1 ± 3.1	$7.2 \pm 0.3$	$4.7 \pm 0.3$
	Celluclast	45.2	$87.7 \pm 3.5$	$7.6 \pm 0.4$	$4.6 \pm 0.3$
	AMG	33.4	$36.4 \pm 1.7$	$5.8 \pm 0.3$	$3.5\pm0.2$
	Termamyl	46.2	$77.8 \pm 3.4$	$9.1 \pm 0.5$	$5.2 \pm 0.3$
	Ultraflo	53.1	$174 \pm 9.5$	$10.2\pm0.7$	$6.2 \pm 0.3$
	Protamex	31.5	$64.2\pm2.9$	$4.3 \pm 0.2$	$6.1 \pm 0.3$
	Alcalase	40.3	$131 \pm 6.3$	$7.7 \pm 0.4$	$8.6 \pm 0.4$
	Flavourzyme	34.6	$50.9 \pm 3.2$	$2.3 \pm 0.1$	$2.9 \pm 0.1$
	Neutrase	31.2	$24.6 \pm 1.2$	$1.6\pm0.1$	$2.1 \pm 0.1$
	Kojizyme	35.3	$34.6 \pm 1.7$	$1.9\pm0.1$	$1.6\pm0.1$
O. unicellu-	Viscozyme	41.3	$67.8 \pm 2.6$	$7.2 \pm 0.3$	$2.7 \pm 0.1$
laris	Celluclast	45.3	$105 \pm 7.3$	$4.2\pm0.2$	$3.5\pm0.2$
	AMG	45.6	$76.1 \pm 4.1$	$4.5\pm0.2$	$2.6 \pm 0.1$
	Termamyl	30.4	$53.1 \pm 2.6$	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	$2.4 \pm 0.1$
	Ultraflo	24.3	$48.2 \pm 2.1$	$0.67 \pm 0.0$	$2.8 \pm 0.1$
	Protamex	30.2	$53.9 \pm 3.4$	$2.8 \pm 0.1$	$4.7 \pm 0.3$
	Alcalase	34.6	$66.3 \pm 4.3$	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	$3.8 \pm 0.2$
	Flavourzyme	30.6	$56.6 \pm 2.6$	$3.9 \pm 0.2$	$4.4 \pm 0.3$
	Neutrase	26.4	$42.2 \pm 2.7$	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	$2.3 \pm 0.1$
	Kojizyme	30.4	$43.5 \pm 2.8$	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	$2.2 \pm 0.1$

Values represent means of three replicates  $\pm$  SD.

#### **Proximate composition**

The proximate composition of freeze dried H. porphyrae and O. unicellularis are shown in Table 1. The moisture contents of H. porphyrae and O. unicellularis were 3.3% and 3.1%, respectively. Ash, protein and lipid content were 21.3%, 5.1%, and 3.9% for H. porphyrae, respectively, and 23.8%, 4.3%, and 3.4% for O. unicellularis, respectively. Carbohydrate content of these two tidal pool microalgae were 66.4% and 65.4 , respectively, proving to be the major component in the approximate compositions. Total phenolic, polysaccharide and protein content in the 80% methanol extract and its solvent fractions and enzymatic digests are depicted in Tables 2 and 3. Significant differences in the total phenolic, polysaccharide and protein content among the different microalgae species and among different extracts were observed.

#### DPPH free radical scavenging effects

As shown in Table 4, the chloroform fraction of *H*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> As equivalent gallic acid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>As equivalent to glucose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>As equivalent to bovine serum albumin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Aqueous residue.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> As equivalent gallic acid. <sup>b</sup> As equivalent to glucose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>As equivalent to bovine serum albumin.

Table 4. Antioxidant activity of 80% methanol extract and solvent fractions from Halochlorococcum porphyrae and Oltamannsiellopsis unicellularis

Algae	Fractions	DPPH <sup>a</sup>	$H_2O_2^{\ b}$	O <sup>-</sup> 2 c	OH∙ <sup>d</sup>	NO <sup>. e</sup>	FC <sup>f</sup>
H. porphyrae	Methanol	$64.9d \pm 2.3$	$20.2d \pm 1.3$	$26.3c \pm 0.9$	$21.3d \pm 0.7$	$12.4f \pm 0.2$	$65.4b \pm 3.5$
	<i>n</i> -Hexane	$68.2c \pm 3.4$	$38.1b \pm 1.6$	$18.2d \pm 0.7$	$24.5c\pm0.6$	$1.4d \pm 0.1$	$23.2d \pm 1.1$
	Chloroform	$78.5b \pm 4.2$	$31.4c \pm 1.5$	$20.3d \pm 1.1$	$16.2e \pm 0.3$	$1.2d \pm 0.1$	$72.4a \pm 4.2$
	Ethyl ace.	$42.1e \pm 2.1$	$13.1e \pm 0.4$	$41.3b \pm 2.7$	$14.6e \pm 0.4$	$30.1a \pm 1.2$	$35.2c \pm 1.8$
	Aqu. res.	$68.4c \pm 2.8$	$10.2f\pm0.4$	$24.3c\pm0.8$	$16.3e \pm 0.7$	$2.3d \pm 0.1$	$21.4d \pm 1.2$
O. unicellularis	Methanol	$90.6b \pm 6.5$	$13.5e \pm 0.4$	$26.7c \pm 1.6$	$18.4c \pm 0.7$	49.3a ± 1.6	$40.3c \pm 2.3$
	<i>n</i> -Hexane	$89.2b \pm 6.7$	$28.1b \pm 1.3$	$22.5d \pm 0.8$	$13.4d \pm 0.6$	$1.2e \pm 0.1$	$14.1e \pm 0.5$
	Chloroform	$76.4c \pm 5.2$	$24.7c \pm 1.1$	$5.4f\pm0.1$	$15.6d \pm 0.7$	$1.4e \pm 0.1$	$64.3a \pm 3.7$
	Ethyl ace.	$66.1d \pm 4.2$	$18.6d \pm 0.4$	$44.1b \pm 2.6$	$17.7c \pm 0.3$	$14.1c\pm0.4$	$22.2d \pm 1.2$
	Aqu. res.	$63.4d \pm 4.3$	$14.1e \pm 0.6$	$16.2e \pm 0.3$	$24.3b \pm 0.9$	$8.7d \pm 0.4$	$44.4b \pm 2.4$
	BHT	$94.6a \pm 6.4$	$60.1a \pm 4.2$	$63.2a \pm 4.3$	$76.6a \pm 4.6$	$26.1f \pm 0.9$	11.5d ± 0.1
	Tocopherol	$94.3a \pm 7.1$	$62.5a \pm 4.9$	$61.5a \pm 4.7$	$79.5a \pm 4.7$	$25.2f\pm0.6$	$10.3d \pm 0.1$

Sample concentration is 2 mg mL $^{-1}$ ; Values represent mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 3); values in each column are followed by different letters denoting significant difference at p < 0.05.

Table 5. Antioxidant activity of enzymatic digests from Halochlorococcum porphyrae and Oltamannsiellopsis unicellularis

Algae	Digests	DPPH <sup>a</sup>	$H_2O_2^{\ b}$	O-2 c	$OH \cdot d$	NO e	FC <sup>f</sup>
H. porphyrae	Viscozyme	95.1a ± 5.3	38.4c ± 1.3	46.9b ± 2.1	8.6e ± 0.6	47.1b ± 1.6	38.4b ± 2.1
	Celluclast	$93.2a \pm 5.7$	$19.4f\pm0.9$	$44.3b \pm 2.3$	$8.7e \pm 0.4$	$48.5b \pm 2.1$	$24.9c \pm 1.6$
	AMG	$87.4b \pm 4.2$	$26.4d \pm 1.2$	$36.8d \pm 2.1$	$12.1e \pm 0.7$	$51.2a \pm 2.3$	$22.8c \pm 1.7$
	Termamyl	$85.7b \pm 5.4$	$27.1d \pm 1.6$	$42.2c \pm 2.6$	$12.9e \pm 0.6$	$51.4a \pm 2.3$	$14.1d \pm 0.5$
	Ultraflo	$76.8c \pm 6.1$	$22.9e \pm 1.4$	$32.1e \pm 1.3$	$12.1e \pm 0.7$	$45.3c \pm 1.7$	$11.3d \pm 0.3$
	Protamex	$77.8c \pm 4.1$	$34.8c \pm 1.7$	$27.7 \mathrm{f} \pm 1.1$	$10.1e \pm 0.2$	$48.1b \pm 1.5$	$7.5d \pm 0.1$
	Alcalase	$61.2e \pm 3.2$	$42.1b \pm 2.1$	$31.8e \pm 1.3$	$15.6d \pm 0.2$	$47.8b \pm 1.7$	$41.2b \pm 1.4$
	Flavozyme	$78.7c \pm 4.8$	$17.9f \pm 0.7$	$28.1f \pm 1.3$	$30.7b \pm 1.6$	$37.7d \pm 1.3$	$52.3a \pm 2.7$
	Neutrase	$94.5 \pm 5.9$	$25.2d \pm 1.1$	$38.5d \pm 1.4$	$14.4d \pm 0.1$	$38.5d \pm 1.1$	$52.1a \pm 2.8$
	Kojizyme	$69.4d \pm 4.1$	$16.1f\pm0.6$	$27.1 \mathrm{f} \pm 0.7$	$22.8c \pm 0.3$	$33.1d \pm 0.7$	$3.5e \pm 0.1$
O. unicellularis	Viscozyme	$79.2b \pm 2.9$	$11.3f \pm 0.6$	44.3d ± 1.5	24.8b ± 1.5	$27.1c \pm 1.2$	$10.1f \pm 0.8$
	Celluclast	$70.1c \pm 2.8$	$17.6e \pm 0.7$	$51.3c \pm 2.1$	$27.6b \pm 1.6$	$31.4b \pm 1.4$	$6.8f \pm 0.2$
	AMG	$78.7b \pm 1.7$	$20.9d \pm 1.1$	$44.5d \pm 1.8$	$7.7d \pm 0.2$	$26.1c \pm 1.2$	$14.f3 \pm 0.3$
	Termamyl	$66.7d \pm 2.2$	$20.5d \pm 0.9$	$43.2d \pm 1.9$	$4.7d \pm 0.6$	$27.1c\pm1.4$	$66.1a \pm 2.6$
	Ultraflo	$61.7d \pm 3.9$	$12.8f \pm 0.7$	$35.2f \pm 1.7$	$4.2d \pm 0.3$	$30.2bc \pm 1.6$	$40.7c \pm 2.6$
	Protamex	$73.6c \pm 3.2$	$10.1f\pm0.11$	$38.1e \pm 1.2$	$6.3d \pm 0.2$	$37.1a \pm 1.2$	$26.7e \pm 0.4$
	Alcalase	$75.1c \pm 5.2$	$27.1b \pm 0.5$	$32.1g \pm 1.1$	$13.8c \pm 0.2$	$28.2c \pm 1.1$	$52.9b \pm 2.1$
	Flavozyme	$74.2c \pm 4.1$	$5.1g \pm 0.04$	$57.7b \pm 1.8$	$11.1c \pm 0.2$	$25.3c \pm 1.3$	$31.4d \pm 0.7$
	Neutrase	$72.1c \pm 4.6$	$24.2c \pm 1.1$	$25.2h \pm 1.1$	$9.1d \pm 0.4$	$28.3c \pm 1.1$	$41.1c \pm 1.2$
	Kojizyme	$74.8c \pm 5.1$	$12.2f\pm0.14$	$23.2h \pm 1.2$	$24.4b \pm 0.2$	$31.2b\pm1.3$	$42.6c \pm 2.1$
	BHT	94.6a ± 6.4	$60.1a \pm 4.2$	63.2a ± 4.3	76.6a ± 4.6	$26.1f \pm 0.9$	11.5d ± 0.1
	Tocopherol	$94.3a \pm 7.1$	$62.5a \pm 4.9$	$61.5a \pm 4.7$	$79.5a \pm 4.7$	$25.2f \pm 0.6$	$10.3d \pm 0.1$

Sample concentration is 2 mg mL $^{-1}$ ; Values represent means  $\pm$ SE (n=3); values in each column are followed by different letters denoting significant difference at p < 0.05.

porphyrae exhibited the highest DPPH free radical scavenging activity (78.5%), followed by the *n*-hexane fraction and the aqueous residue (68.2% and 68.4%, respectively). In *O. unicellularis*, the 80% methanol extract exhibited the highest DPPH free radical scavenging activity (90.6%), followed by the *n*-hexane

fraction and the chloroform fraction (89.2% and 76.4%, respectively). It is notable that free radical scavenging activity decreased significantly (p < 0.05) from the 80% methanol extract towards the final aqueous fraction. According to Table 5, H. porphyrae, Viscozyme (95.1%) and Neutrase digest (94.5%) exhibited the highest

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>DPPH free radical scavenging activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Superoxide anion scavenging activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Nitric oxide scavenging activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>Ferrous ion chelating effect.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>DPPH free radical scavenging activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Superoxide anion scavenging activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Nitric oxide scavenging activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>Ferrous ion chelating effect.

DPPH free radical scavenging effects, followed by Celluclast (93.2%) and AMG digest (87.4%). In addition, Termamyl (85.7%), Flavozyme (78.7%), and Protamex digest (77.8%) showed considerable effects in DPPH free radical scavenging. In *O. unicellularis*, enzymatic digests by Viscozyme (79.2%), AMG (78.7%), Alcalase (75.1%), Kojizyme (74.8%), and Flavozyme (74.2%) exhibited strong DPPH free radical scavenging effects (Table 5). In addition, the Protamex, Celluclast and Termamyl digests demonstrated activities as 73.6%, 70.1% and 66.7%, respectively.

### Hydrogen peroxide scavenging effects

Fractions of n-hexane and chloroform from H. porphyrae and O. unicellularis exhibited less significant (p < 0.05)  $H_2O_2$  scavenging effects (38.1% and 31.4%, 28.1% and 24.7%, respectively) (Table 4). As shown in Table 5, among enzymatic digests Alcalase (42.1%), Viscozyme (38.4%) and Protamex (34.8%) from H. porphyrae, and Alcalase (27.1%) and AMG (20.9%) from O. unicellularis demonstrated the highest hydrogen peroxide scavenging activities. However, no significant activity was shown by the remaining digests (p > 0.05).

#### Superoxide anion scavenging effects

The ethyl acetate fraction of *H. porphyrae* (41.3%) and *O. unicellularis* (44.1%) showed the highest (p < 0.05) superoxide anion scavenging activity among all the fractions (Table 4). The remaining fractions showed lower superoxide anion scavenging activity. Most of the enzymatic digests showed considerable superoxide anion scavenging activity. Among the enzymatic digests, Viscozyme (46.9%), Celluclast (44.3%), and Termamyl (42.2%) from *H. porphyrae*, and Flavozyme (57.7%) and Celluclast (51.3%) from *O. unicellularis* exhibited considerable superoxide anion scavenging activity (Table 5). In addition, AMG, Viscozyme, and Termamyl digests from *O. unicellularis* indicated moderate activity with 44.5%, 44.3%, and 43.2%, respectively.


## Hydroxyl radical scavenging effects


The *n*-hexane fraction of *H. porphyrae* (24.5%) and aqueous residue of *O. unicellularis* (24.3%) exhibited higher hydroxyl radical scavenging activity compared to the rest of the organic solvent fractions from the 80% methanol extract (Table 4). Enzymatic digests also failed to present significant activities in hydroxyl radical scavenging (Table 5). Among the enzymatic digests, Flavozyme (30.7%) and Kojizyme (22.8%) from *H. porphyrae*, as well as Celluclast (27.6%), Viscozyme (24.8%), and Kojizyme (24.4%) from *O. unicellularis* 

indicated higher scavenging effects compared to the others.

#### Nitric oxide radical scavenging effects

Among all the solvent fractions, the ethyl acetate of H. porphyrae (30.1%) and the 80% methanol extract (49.3%) of O. unicellularis exhibited significantly higher (p < 0.05) nitric oxide radical scavenging effects than those of the commercial antioxidants (Table 4). However, the remaining fractions exhibited fewer nitric oxide scavenging effects. According to Table 5, unlike organic solvent fractions from the 80% methanol extract, all the enzymatic digests indicated significant nitric oxide radical scavenging effects (p < 0.05) comparing to the commercial antioxidants. Of the H. porphyrae digests, Termamyl (51.4%), AMG (51.2%), Celluclast (48.5%), Protamex (48.1%), Viscozyme (47.1%), and Alcalase (47.8%) proved more significant (Table 5) (p < 0.05). Enzymatic digests of Protamex (37.1%), Celluclast





**Fig. 3.** Lipid peroxidation inhibitory activity of 80% methanol extract and solvent fractions from *Halochlorococcum porphyrae* (A) and *Oltamannsiellopsis unicellularis* (B) compared to BHT, and α-tocopherol at 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> of ethanol concentration as assessed by linoleic *acid*. Values in each column are followed by different letters denoting significant difference at p < 0.05.




Fig. 4. Lipid peroxidation inhibitory activity of different enzymatic digests from Halochlorococcum porphyrae (A<sub>1</sub>: Carbohydrases; A<sub>2</sub>: Proteases) and Oltamannsiellopsis unicellularis (B<sub>1</sub>: Carbohydrases; B<sub>2</sub>: Proteases) compared to BHT, and α- tocopherol at 1 mg mL<sup>1</sup> of ethanol concentration as assessed by linoleic acid.

Values in each column are followed by different letters denoting significant difference at p < 0.05. Vis, Viscozyme; Cell, Celluclast; Term, Termamyl; Ultr, Ultraflo; Prot, Protamex; Koji, Kojizyme; Neu, Neutrase; Flav, Flavourzyme; Alcal, Alcalase.

(31.4%), and Ultraflo (30.2%) from *O. unicellularis* showed significant effects (p < 0.05).

#### Ferrous ion chelating effects

The chloroform fraction (72.4%), the 80% methanol extract (65.4%) and the ethyl acetate fraction (35.2%) from H. porphyrae, as well as the chloroform fraction (64.3%), the 80% methanol extract (40.3%) and the aqueous residue (44.4%) from O. unicellularis exhibited significantly (p < 0.05) higher ferrous ion chelating effects than the activities of the commercial antioxidants (Table 4). Of the enzymatic digests, Flavozyme (52.3%), Neutrase (52.1%), Alcalase (41.2%), and Viscozyme (38.4%) from H. porphyrae presented significant effects

(p < 0.05) in ferrous ion chelating (Table 5). All the enzymatic digests from O. unicellularis, except for Celluclast and Viscozyme, indicated significantly higher (p < 0.05) ferrous ion chelating effects. Among them, the effects by Termamyl (66.1%), Alcalase (52.9%), Neutrase (41.1%), Kojizyme (42.6%), and Ultraflo (40.7%) digests were the most significant (p < 0.05).

# Lipid peroxidation inhibitory effects

As shown in Figures 3 and 4, the absorbance of linoleic acid emulsion increased without the addition of *H. porphyrae* and *O. unicellularis* extracts (control). All the solvent fractions from the 80% methanol extract, the ethyl acetate fraction of *H. porphyrae* and the chloroform

fraction of *O. unicellularis* exhibited lipid peroxidation inhibitory effects that were similar to  $\alpha$ - tocopherol (Fig. 3). Enzymatic digests by Celluclast and Protamex from *H. porphyrae* exhibited effects similar to BHT (Fig. 4A). In addition, enzymatic digests by Celluclast and Neutrase from *O. unicellularis* expressed significant effects (Fig. 4B). No significant lipid peroxidation inhibitory effect was presented with other extracts compared to the commercial antioxidants (p > 0.05).

#### **DISCUSSION**

Small tidal pools are usually subjected to tidal interactions and as such exhibit different environmental and physical conditions to those of the sea. Specifically, their conditions are affected by submersion and exposure, rainfall, and evaporation, which are ultimately responsible for the creation of oligohaline (0.5 psu) to hyperhaline (above 80 psu) conditions. Such extreme environmental conditions are usually only tolerable by a handful number of phytoplankton species, in this case mono-strains of *H. porphyrae* and *O. unicellularis*. The favorable culturing conditions established in this study are similar to the findings of Lee et al. (2001) on Jeju Island, Korea, who reported that 30 to 50% Chlorophyta was found among phytoplankton in tidal pools in the summer season when salinity was between 30 to 35 psu.

Since these plants are especially susceptible to environmental stresses, they contain very potent antioxidants such as polyphenolics, carbohydrates, and nitrogen containing compounds, phytosterols, carotonoids and chlorophyll derivatives.

The effect of antioxidants on DPPH free radical scavenging was considered to be due to their hydrogen donating ability. In this study, the 80% methanol extract and organic solvent fractions of both algae showed notable activities indicating the higher efficacy for scavenging of free radicals. Furthermore, these results demonstrated that free radical scavenging compounds had both hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties. In addition, it is obvious that the enzymatic digests also possessed strong DPPH free radical scavenging effects. Thus, both carbohydrases and proteases have the capability to liberate bioactive compounds for radical scavenging. The implications are important as radical scavengers may protect cell tissues from free radicals, thereby preventing diseases such as cancer (Nakamura et al. 1996).

According to our results, it was apparent which bioactive compounds cause the greatest H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> scavenging disperses in solvent fractions and enzymatic digests,

thus showing both hydrophilic and hydrophobic characteristics. In addition, it could be seen that enzymatic hydrolysis is also effective in releasing compounds that are responsible for  $H_2O_2$  scavenging. However, a small effect can be observed on the scavenging of  $H_2O_2$  in this study.  $H_2O_2$ , a reactive non-radical compound, is very important as it can penetrate biological membranes. Thus, removing  $H_2O_2$  is critical for the protection of living systems. The addition of  $H_2O_2$  to cells in culture can lead to transition of metal ion-dependent  $OH^{\bullet}$  mediated oxidative DNA damage (Halliwell 1991).

Higher superoxide anion effect was observed in the ethyl acetate fraction in both algae. Furthermore, results from the enzymatic digests exhibited considerable effects, particularly in O. unicellularis where the scavenging activity of enzymatic digests had moderate activities, and those activities were mainly concentrated in the carbohydrase digests. Therefore, it was obvious that the superoxide anion scavenging compounds present in solvent fractions and enzymatic digests had both hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties. A superoxide anion radical generally forms first and its effects can be exaggerated as it produces other kinds of cell damage inducing free radicals and oxidizing agents (Liu and Ng 2000). It is a precursor to active free radicals that have a potential to react with biological macromolecules and thereby induce tissue damage (Halliwell and Gutteridge 1989).

The hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of enzymatic digests and solvent fractions from both algae was determined as the percentage of inhibition of hydroxyl radicals generated in the Fenton reaction mixture. In this study, it was noticeable that the hydroxyl radical scavenging compounds exhibited more hydrophilic properties according to the solvent fractionation results. In addition, except for the Flavozyme digest from H. porphyrae, other enzymatic digests did not exhibit satisfying effects in hydroxyl radical scavenging. Hydroxyl radical is the most ROS among all ROS due to its strong ability to react with various biomolecules. The hydroxyl radical reacts oxidatively with several biological materials through hydrogen withdrawal, double bond addition, electron transfer, and radical formation, and initiates autoxidation, polymerization, and fragmentation (Liu and Ng 2000).

Nitric oxide is a gaseous free radical, which is of concern in cancer, inflammation, and other pathological conditions. In nitric oxide scavenging, the enzymatic digests showed higher activities than the solvent fractions, and those activities were significantly higher than the standard antioxidants. Therefore, it can

be suggested that those bioactive compounds were relatively hydrophilic. In addition, enzymatic hydrolysis was effective in extracting biochemical compounds that were responsible for NO inhibition. The activities of NO and  $O_2$  were found to be relatively low, but their metabolites ONOO- (peroxynitrite) are extremely reactive and directly induce toxic reactions, including SH-group oxidation, protein tyrosine nitration, lipid peroxidation and DNA modifications (Moncada et al. 1991, Radi et al. 1991).

Ferrozine can make red colored complexes with ferrous ions. In the presence of chelating agents, this complex formation is interrupted and as a result, the red color of the complex decreases. Thus, the chelating effect of the coexisting chelator can be determined by measuring the rate of color reduction. In this study, the formation of the ferrozine-Fe<sup>2+</sup> complex is interrupted in the presence of algae extracts, indicating significant chelating ability. Both the organic solvent fractions and the enzymatic digests had significant metal chelating effects (p < 0.05). It was apparent that bioactive compounds which cause metal chelating have hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties. Ferrous can initiate lipid peroxidation by a Fenton reaction as well as accelerate peroxidation by decomposing lipid hydroperoxides into peroxyl and alkoxyl radicals (Halliweill 1991, Fridovich 1995). Thus, H. porphyrae and O. unicellularis demonstrated a marked capacity for iron binding, suggesting their ability as peroxidation protectors, which indicate iron binding capacity (Gülçin et al. 2004).

To evaluate the antioxidant effects of *H. porphyrae* extracts, their lipid peroxidation inhibition was compared with commercial antioxidants by determining the amount of peroxide formed in emulsion during the incubation period. High absorbance is an indication of high concentration of formed peroxides. In this experiment, the ethyl acetate fraction, the enzymatic digests by Celluclast, and Protamex from H. porphyrae, as well as the chloroform fraction of O. unicellularis provided the highest lipid peroxidation inhibition among all the solvent fractions and enzymatic digests. This showed that those extracts were able to reduce the formation of peroxides. It has been suggested that different antioxidant components were liberated from the inside of microalgae cells because of enzyme digestion. These extracts contained high levels of polysaccharides, proteins and polyphenols, which may lead to the assumption that those components may influence lipid peroxidation inhibition.

Previously it has been reported that antioxidant activity is correlated with polyphenolic contents (Lu and

Foo 2000, Oki et al. 2002). In this study, some enzymatic digests did not possess antioxidant activity, although they contained numerous phenolic compounds. Therefore, it can be assumed that polyphenol content is not the only factor that can influence antioxidant activity. In fact, there are other bioactive components such as proteins, polysaccharides and different kinds of pigments which are present in microalgae (Kardošová and Machová 2006, Moure et al. 2006, Wang et al. 2007). For example it was established that oligosaccharides, sulfate and glycoprotein components have exhibited antioxidant activities in the red microalga, *Porphyridium sp.* (Spitz et al. 2005).

In this study, bioactive compounds extraction was performed using 80% methanol and different kinds of food grade enzymes to determine the antioxidant effects in Jeju tidal pool microalgae. As a solvent, methanol is extensively used in experiments for the extraction of potential bioactive compounds with hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties. By solvent fractionation, biological compounds may be distributed according to their polarity, resulting in different activities. However, plant cells originally contain large amounts of soluble polysaccharides and insoluble fibers such as cellulose. These fibers together with other cell wall materials act as a physical barrier for the extraction of some bioactive materials. To overcome those barriers, enzymatic hydrolysis is effective in the extraction of biochemical components. Enzymatic hydrolysis of raw material tissues or cells has resulted in significant yields of desired compounds and convenient industrial approaches in extraction and purification (Heo et al. 2003, Siriwardhana et al. 2004). In addition, the breakdown and releasing of high molecular weight polysaccharides and proteins themselves may contribute to enhance the antioxidative activities (Peñta-Ramos and Xiong, 2002, Rupérez et al. 2002). Of the several advantages of enzymatic extraction, water solubility, higher extraction efficacy, a greater variation of constituents, minimized environmental pollution and comparative inexpensiveness is obvious.

In conclusion, the results of this study have highlighted potential antioxidant activity against ROS, especially in enzymatic digests. As such, the use of *H. porphyrae and O. unicellularis* as natural antioxidant sources in the food and pharmaceutical industries appears promising and should be investigated further.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This research was supported by a grant from the Jeju Sea Grant Program, funded by the Ministry of Land, Transport and Maritime Affairs, Republic of Korea.

#### REFERENCES

- Aruoma, O. I. 1998. Free radicals, oxidative stress, and antioxidants in human health and disease. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 75:199-212.
- Brand-Williams, W., Cuvelier, M. E. & Berset, C. 1995. Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. Food Sci. Technol. 28:25-30.
- Chandler, S. F. & Dodds, J. H. 1993. The effect of phosphate, nitrogen, and sucrose on the production of phenolics and solasidine in callus cultures of *Solanum laciniatum*. Plant Cell Rep. 2:105-110.
- Chung, S. K., Osawa, T. & Kawakishi, S. 1997. Hydroxyl radical-scavenging effects of spices and scavengers from black mustard (*Brassica nigra*). Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 6:118-123.
- Cunniff, P. A. 1995. Official method of analysis of the association of official analytical chemists. 16th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, 1899 pp.
- Decker, E. A. & Welch, B. 1990. Role of ferritin as a lipid oxidation catalyst in muscle food. J. Agric. Food Chem. 38:674-677.
- Fridovich, I. 1995. Superoxide radical and superoxide dismutases. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 64:97-112.
- Furukawa, K. I., Sakai, K., Watanabe, S., Maruyama, K., Murakami, M., Yamaguchi, K. & Ohizumi, Y. 1993. Goniodomin A induces modulation of actomyosin ATPase activity mediated through conformational change of actin. J. Biol. Chem. 268:26026-26031.
- Garrat, D. C. 1964. *The quantitative analysis of drugs*. Chapman & Hall, Tokyo, pp. 456.
- Gülçin, I., Oktay, M., Küfrevioglu, O. I. & Aslan, A. 2002. Determination of antioxidant activity of lichen *Cetraria islandica* (L). Ach. J. Ethnopharmacol. 79:325-329.
- Gülçin, I., Beydemir, S., Ahmet H. A., Elmasta, M. & Büyükokuroglu, M. E. 2004. *In vitro* antioxidant properties of morphine. Pharmacol. Res. 49:59-66.
- Halliweill, B. 1991. Reactive oxygen species in living systems: source, biochemistry, and role in human disease. Am. J. Med. 91:14-19.
- Halliwell, B. & Gutteridge, J. M. 1989. *Free radical in biology and medicine*. 2nd ed. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 23.
- Heo, S. J., Lee, K. W., Song, C. B. & Jeon, Y. J. 2003. Antioxidant activity of enzymatic extracts from brown seaweeds. Algae 18:71-81.
- Hirata, T., Tanaka, M., Ooike, M., Tsunomura, T. & Sakaguchi, M. 2000. Antioxidant activities of phycocyanobilin prepared from *Spirulina platensis*.

- J. Appl. Phycol. 12: 435-439.
- Kardošová, A. & Machová E. 2006. Antioxidant activity of medicinal plant polysaccharides. Fitoterapia 77:367-373.
- Kikuzaki, H. & Nakatani, N. 1993. Antioxidant effects of some ginger constituents. J. Food Sci. 58:1407-1410.
- Lee, J. B., Shynn, B. & Lee, M. H. 2001. Seasonal dynamics of microalgal assemblage at tidepools in the southern intertidal zones of Cheju Island, Korea. Algae 16:197-207.
- Lindenschmidt, R. C., Tryka, A. F., Goad, M. E. & Witschi, H. P. 1986. The effects of dietary butylated hydroxytoluene on liver and colon tumor development in mice. Toxicology 38:151-160.
- Liu, F. & Ng, T. B. 2000. Antioxidative and free radical scavenging activities of selected medicinal herbs. Life Sci. 66:725-735.
- Lu, Y. & Foo, L. Y. 2000. Antioxidant and radical scavenging activities of polyphenols from apple pomace. Food Chem. 68:81-85.
- Moncada, S., Palmer, R. M. & Higgs, E. A. 1991. Nitric oxide: physiology, pathophysiology, and pharmacology. Pharmacol. Rev. 43:109-142.
- Moure, A., Domínguez, H. & Parajó, J. C. 2006. Antioxidant properties of ultrafiltration-recovered soy protein fractions from industrial effluents and their hydrolysates. Process Biochem. 41:447-456.
- Müller, H. E. 1985. Detection of hydrogen peroxide produced by microorganisms on ABTS peroxidase medium. Zentralbl. Bakteriol. Mikrobiol. Hyg. A. 259:151-154.
- Murakami, Y., Oshima, Y. & Yasumoto, T. 1982. Identification of okadaic acid as a toxic component of a marine dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum lima*. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 48:69-72.
- Mynderse, J. S., Moore, R. E., Kashiwagi, M. & Norton, T. R. 1977. Antileukemia activity in the Osillatoriaceae: isolation of Debromoaplysistoxin from Lyngbya. Science 196:538-540.
- Nagai, T., Inoue, R., Inoue, H. & Suzuki, N. 2003. Preparation and antioxidant properties of water extract of propolis. Food Chem. 80:29-33.
- Nakamura, T., Nagayama, K., Uchida, K. & Tanaka, R. 1996. Antioxidant activity of phlorotannins isolated from the brown alga *Eisenia bicyclis*. Fish. Sci 62:923-926.
- Oki, T., Masuda, M., Furuta, S., Nishiba, Y., Terahara, N. & Suda, I. 2002. Involvement of anthocyanins and other phenolic compounds in radical-scavenging activity of purple-fleshed sweet potato cultivars. J. Food Sci. 67:1752-1756.
- Peñta-Ramos, E. A. P. & Xiong, Y. L. 2002. Antioxidant activity of soy protein hydrolysates in a liposomal

- system. J. Food Sci. 67:2952-2956.
- Pröschold, T., Surek, B., Marin, B. & Melkonian, M. 2002. Protist origin of the Ulvophyceae (Chlorophyta) revealed by SSU rDNA analyses of marine coccoid green algae. J. Phycol. 38:30-31.
- Radi, R., Beckman, J. S., Bush, K. M. & Freeman, B. A. 1991. Peroxynitrite-induced membrane lipid peroxidation: the cytotoxic potential of superoxide and nitric oxide. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 288:481-487.
- Rho, M. C., Nakahata, N., Nakamura, H., Murai, A. & Ohizumi, Y. 1995. Activation of rabbit platelets by Ca2+ influx and thromboxane A2 release in an external Ca(2+)-dependent manner by zooxanthellatoxin-A, a novel polyol. Br. J. Pharmacol. 115:433-440.
- Rho, M. C., Nakahata, N., Nakamura, H., Murai, A. & Ohizumi, Y. 1997. Tyrphostin 23blocksphosphorylation of p42 but not p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase by zooxanthellatoxin-A. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 319:375-378.
- Rupérez, P., Ahrazem, O. & Leal, J. A. 2002. Potential antioxidant capacity of sulfated polysaccharides from the edible marine brown seaweed Fucus vesiculosus. J. Agric. Food Chem. 50:840-845.
- Sherwin, E. R. 1990. Antioxidants. In Branen, A. I.,

- Davidson, P. M. & Salminen, S. (Eds.) *Food Additives*. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 139-292.
- Siriwardhana, N., Jeon, Y. J., Kim, S. H., Ha, J. H., Heo, S. J. & Lee, K. W. 2004. Enzymatic hydrolysis for effective extraction of antioxidative compounds from *Hizikia fusiformis*. Algae 19:59-68.
- Spitz, T. T., Bergman, M., Moppes, D., Grossman, S. & Arad, M. S. 2005. Antioxidant activity of the polysaccharide of the red microalga Porphyridium sp. J. Appl. Phycol. 17:215–222.
- Tomas, W. H. 1996. Effects of temperature and illuminance on cell division rates of three species of tropical oceanic phytoplankton. J. Phycol. 2:17-22.
- Ukeda, H., Shimamura, T., Tsubouchi, M., Harada, Y., Nakai, Y. & Sawamura, M. 2002. Spectrophotometric assay of superoxide anion formed in maillard reaction based on highly water-soluble tetrazolium salt. Anal. Sci 18:1151-1154.
- Wang, J., Zhao, M., Zhao, Q. & Jiang, Y. 2007. Antioxidant properties of papain hydrolysates of wheat gluten in different oxidation systems. Food Chem. 101:1658-1663.
- Yang, M. Y., Han, Y. K. & Noh, B. S. 2000. Analysis of lipid oxidation of soybean oil using the portable electronic nose. Food Sci. Technol. 9:146-150.