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#### Abstract

In this paper, a new fixed point theorem in cone is applied to obtain the existence of at least one positive pseudo-symmetric solution for the second order three-point boundary value problem $$
\left\{\begin{array}{c} x^{\prime \prime}+f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0, \\ x(0)=0, x(1)=x(\eta) \end{array}\right.
$$ where $f$ is nonnegative continuous function; $\eta \in(0,1)$ and $f(t, u, v)=$ $f(1+\eta-t, u,-v)$.
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## 1. Introduction

The multi-point boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations arise in a variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics. In the past few years, there has been much attention focused on questions of three-point boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations; see, to name a few [1-7]. Avery and Henderson had the existence of three positive pseudo-symmetric solutions for a One dimensional p-Laplacian.

Recently Guo [8] used a new fixed point theorem in cone to prove the existence of positive solution for the second order three -point boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime \prime}+f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{1}\\
x(0)=0, x(1)=\alpha x(\eta),
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]where $\alpha>0,0<\eta<1$ and $1-\alpha \eta>0, f:[0,1] \times[0, \infty) \times R \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous.

Sun [9] applied a monotone method to prove the existence of positive pseudosymmetric solution for a three-point boundary value problem with dependence on the first-order derivative

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\phi_{p}\left(\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1)\right. \\
u(0)=0, u(1)=u(\eta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, motivated by all the works above, in this paper we get the the existence of at leat one positive pseudo-symmetric solution for a three-point boundary value problem with dependence on the first-order derivative by the new fixed point theorem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime \prime}+f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{2}\\
x(0)=0, x(1)=x(\eta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f$ is nonnegative continuous function, $\eta \in(0,1)$, and $f(t, u, v)=f(1+$ $\eta-t, u,-v)$.

## 2. Preliminary Definition and Lemmas

Definition 1. Let $E$ be a real Banach space. A nonempty closed set $P \subset E$ is said to be a cone provided that
i) $a u+b v \in P$ for $u, v \in P$ and all $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$,
ii) $u,-u \in P$ implies $u=0$.

Definition 2. Suppose $K$ is a cone in a Banach. The map $\alpha$ is a nonnegative continuous concave functional on $K$, provided $\alpha: K \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous and

$$
\alpha(t u+(1-t) v) \geq t \alpha(u)+(1-t) \alpha(v) \text { for } u, v \in K, t \in[0,1] .
$$

Definition 3. Let $E$ be a real Banach space. For $\eta \in[0,1]$, a function $u \in E$ is said to be pseudo-symmetric about $\eta$ on $[0,1]$, if $u$ is symmetric over the interval $[\eta, 1]$, we have $u(t)=u(1-(t-\eta))$.

Let $X$ be a Banach space and $K \subset X$ be a cone. Suppose $\alpha, \beta: X \rightarrow R^{+}$are two continuous convex functionals satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha(\lambda x)=|\lambda| \alpha(x), \beta(\lambda x)=|\lambda| \beta(x), \text { for } x \in X, \lambda \in R, \\
\|x\| \leq M \max \{\alpha(x), \beta(x)\}, \text { for } x \in X, \\
\alpha(x) \leq \alpha(y), \text { for } x, y \in K, x \leq y,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $M>0$ is a constant.
Lemma 1. Let $r, L>0$ be constants and $\Omega=\{x \in X: \alpha(x)<r, \beta(x)<L\}$, $D=\{x \in X: \alpha(x)=r\}, \quad E=\{x \in X: \alpha(x) \leq r, \beta(x)=L\}$. Assume $T: K \rightarrow K$ is a completely continuous operator satisfying $\left(A_{1}\right) \alpha(T u)<r, u \in D \cap K ; \quad\left(A_{2}\right) \beta(T u)<L, u \in E \cap K$.
Then $\operatorname{deg}\{I-T, \Omega \cap K, 0\}=1$.

Lemma 2. In Lemma 1. suppose $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$ are replaced by

$$
\left(A_{3}\right) \alpha(T u)>r, u \in D \cap K ;\left(A_{4}\right) \beta(T u)<L, u \in K
$$

and there is a $p \in(\Omega \cap K) \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\alpha(p) \neq 0$, and $\alpha(x+\lambda p) \geq \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in K$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Then $\operatorname{deg}\{I-T, \Omega \cap K, 0\}=0$.

We need a result whose proof can be found in [8, p. 291].
Theorem 1. Let $r_{2}>r_{1}>0, L>0$ be constants and

$$
\Omega_{i}=\left\{x \in X: \alpha(x)<r_{i}, \beta(x)<L\right\}, i=1,2
$$

two bounded open sets in $X$. Set $D_{i}=\left\{x \in X: \alpha(x)=r_{i}\right\}$. Assume $T: K \rightarrow K$ is a completely continuous operator satisfying
$\left(A_{5}\right) \alpha(T u)<r_{1}, u \in D_{1} \bigcap K ; \alpha(T u)>r_{2}, u \in D_{2} \bigcap K ;$
$\left(A_{6}\right) \beta(T u)<L, u \in K$;
$\left(A_{7}\right)$ there is a $p \in\left(\Omega_{2} \bigcap K\right) \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\alpha(p) \neq 0$ and $\alpha(x+\lambda p) \geq \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in K$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.
Then $T$ has at least one fixed point in $\left(\Omega_{2} \backslash \overline{\Omega_{1}}\right) \bigcap K$.

## 3. Main results

Lemma 3. Let $0<\eta<1$, If $y \in C[0,1]$ and $y \geq 0$, then the unique solution $x$ of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime \prime}+y(t)=0,  \tag{3}\\
x(0)=0, x(1)=x(\eta),
\end{array} \quad t \in(0,1),\right.
$$

satisfies $\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]} x(t) \geq \eta\|x\|$.
Proof. From (3) we can know that there is a point $\sigma$ and $x(t)$ is maximum at $t=\sigma$. Then $\|x\|=x(\sigma)$. And $x(1)=x(\eta)$ is minimum for $t \in[\eta, 1]$, from the concavity of $x$ we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{x(\sigma)-x(0)}{\sigma-0}<\frac{x(\eta)-x(0)}{\eta-0} \\
\frac{x(\sigma)}{\sigma}<\frac{x(\eta)}{\eta} \\
x(\eta)>\frac{\eta}{\sigma} x(\sigma)>\eta x(\sigma)
\end{gathered}
$$

That completes the proof of the Lemma 3.
Let $X=C^{1}[0,1]$ with $\|x\|=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left[x^{2}(t)+\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}, \mathrm{~K}=\{x \in X: x(t) \geq 0$, $x$ is concave on $[0,1]$ and pseudo-symmetric about $\eta$ on $[0,1]\}$.
Define functionals $\alpha(x)=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|x(t)|$ and $\beta(x)=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|$ for each $x \in X$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|x\| \leq \sqrt{2} \max \{\alpha(x), \beta(x)\}, \\
\alpha(\lambda x)=|\lambda| \alpha(x), \beta(\lambda x)=|\lambda| \beta(x), \text { for } x \in X, \lambda \in R, \\
\alpha(x) \leq \alpha(y) \text { for } x, y \in K, x \leq y .
\end{gathered}
$$

In the following, we denote

$$
M=\frac{8}{(1+\eta)^{2}}, m=\frac{2}{\eta}, Q=\frac{2}{1+\eta}
$$

We will suppose that there are $L>b>\eta b>c>0$ such that $f(t, u, v)$ satisfies the following growth conditions:
$\left(H_{1}\right) f:[0,1] \times[0, \infty) \times R \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous;
$\left(H_{2}\right) f(t, u, v)<c / M$ for $(t, u, v) \in[0,1] \times[0, c] \times[-L, L]$;
$\left(H_{3}\right) f(t, u, v) \geq b / m$ for $(t, u, v) \in[0,1] \times[\eta b, b] \times[-L, L]$;
$\left(H_{4}\right) f(t, u, v)<L / Q$ for $(t, u, v) \in[0,1] \times[0, b] \times[-L, L]$;
$\left(H_{5}\right)$ For any $u, v \in K, f(t, u, v)=f((1+\eta-t), u,-v)$.
Let

$$
f^{*}(t, u, v)= \begin{cases}f(t, u, v), & (t, u, v) \in[0,1] \times[0, b] \times(-\infty, \infty) \\ f(t, b, v), & (t, u, v) \in[0,1] \times(b, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
f_{1}(t, u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
f^{*}(t, u, v), & (t, u, v) \in[0,1] \times[0, \infty) \times[-L, L] \\
f^{*}(t, u,-L), & (t, u, v) \in[0,1] \times[0, \infty) \times(-\infty,-L) \\
f^{*}(t, u, L), & (t, u, v) \in[0,1] \times[0, \infty) \times(L, \infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $f_{1} \in C\left([0,1] \times[0, \infty) \times R, R^{+}\right)$. Define

$$
(T x)(t)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1+\eta}{2}  \tag{4}\\ \int_{0}^{\eta}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\ +\int_{t}^{1}\left(\int_{\frac{1+\eta}{s}}^{s} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s, & \frac{1+\eta}{2} \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 4. It is easy to see that $T$ is well defined $T: K \rightarrow K$.
Proof. Obviously, $(T x)(t) \geq 0$, for all $x \in K$. Since

$$
(T x)^{\prime}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\int_{t}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1+\eta}{2} \\
-\int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^{t} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r, & \frac{1+\eta}{2} \leq t \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

we can get that $(T u)^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing on $[0,1]$. So we have $T u$ is concave and $T u \in C^{1}[0,1]$.

In fact, for all $t \in\left[\eta, \frac{1+\eta}{2}\right]$, we note that $1-(t-\eta) \in\left[\frac{1+\eta}{2}, 1\right]$, so we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T u)(1-(t-\eta))= & \int_{0}^{\eta}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
& +\int_{1-(t-\eta)}^{1}\left(\int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^{s} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{\eta}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
& +\int_{\eta}^{t}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
= & (T u)(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for all $t \in\left[\frac{1+\eta}{2}, 1\right]$, we note that $1-(t-\eta) \in\left[\eta, \frac{1+\eta}{2}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T u)(1-(t-\eta))= & \int_{0}^{1-(t-\eta)}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{\eta}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
& +\int_{\eta}^{1-(t-\eta)}\left(\int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^{1-(s-\eta)} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{\eta}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
& -\int_{t}^{1}\left(\int_{1-(s-\eta)}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{\eta}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
& +\int_{t}^{1}\left(\int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^{1-(s-\eta)} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
= & (T u)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So , $T: K \rightarrow K$. That completes the proof of the Lemma 4.
Theorem 2. Suppose $\left(H_{1}-H_{5}\right)$ hold, then BVP (2) has at least one positive solution $x(t)$ satisfying

$$
c<\alpha(x)<b,\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|<L .
$$

Proof. Take

$$
\Omega_{1}=\left\{x \in X:|x(t)|<c,\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|<L\right\}, \Omega_{2}=\left\{x \in X:|x(t)|<b,\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|<L\right\}
$$

two bounded open sets in $X$, and

$$
D_{1}=\{x \in X: \alpha(x)=c\}, D_{2}=\{x \in X: \alpha(x)=b\} .
$$

Obviously, $T: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous, and there is a $p \in\left(\Omega_{2} \bigcap K\right) \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\alpha(x+\lambda p) \geq \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in K$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. For $x \in\left(D_{1} \bigcap K\right), \alpha(x)=c$. From $\left(H_{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(T x) & =\max _{t \in[0,1]}|T u|=T u\left(\frac{1+\eta}{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
& <\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} d r\right) d s\right) \frac{c}{M}=c
\end{aligned}
$$

Whereas for $x \in\left(D_{2} \bigcap K\right), \alpha(x)=b$. From Lemma 3. we have $x(t) \geq \eta \alpha(x)=\eta b$ for $t \in[\eta, 1]$. So, from $\left(H_{3}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(T x) & =\max _{t \in[0,1]}|T u| \\
& >|T u(\eta)| \\
& =\int_{0}^{\eta}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right) d s \\
& >\left(\int_{0}^{\eta}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} d r\right) d s\right) \frac{b}{m}=b
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x \in K$, from $\left(H_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(T u) & =\max _{t \in[0,1]}\left|(T u)^{\prime}(t)\right|=\max \left\{(T u)^{\prime}(0),-(T u)^{\prime}(1)\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\int_{0}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r, \int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^{1} f_{1}\left(r, u(r), u^{\prime}(r)\right) d r\right\} \\
& <\max \left\{\int_{0}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} d r, \int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^{1} d r\right\} \frac{L}{Q}=L
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1. implies that there is a point $x \in\left(\Omega_{2} \backslash \overline{\Omega_{1}}\right) \bigcap K$ such that $x=T x$. So, $x$ is a positive solution for BVP (2) satisfying

$$
c<\alpha(x)<b,\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|<L
$$

That completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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