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#### Abstract

Let $D$ be a plane domain whose boundary consists of $n$ components and $C_{1}, C_{2}$ two boundary components of $D$. We consider the family $F_{1}$ of conformal mappings $f$ satisfying $f(D) \subset\{1<|w|<\mu(f)\}, f\left(C_{1}\right)=$ $\{|w|=1\}, f\left(C_{2}\right)=\{|w|=\mu(f)\}$. There are conformal mappings $g_{0}, g_{1}(\in$ $F_{1}$ ) onto a radial and a circular slit annulus respectively. We obtain the following theorem, $$
\left\{\mu(f) \mid f \in F_{1}\right\}=\left\{\mu \mid \mu\left(g_{1}\right) \leq \mu \leq \mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

And we consider the family $F_{n}$ of conformal mappings $\tilde{f}$ from $D$ onto a covering surfaces of the Riemann sphere satisfying some conditions. We obtain the following theorems, $$
\left\{\mu \mid 1<\mu \leq \mu\left(g_{1}\right)\right\} \subset\left\{\mu(\tilde{f}) \mid \tilde{f} \in F_{2}\right\} \subset\left\{\mu(\tilde{f}) \mid \tilde{f} \in F_{n}\right\}
$$ and $\mu(\tilde{f}) \leq \mu\left(g_{0}\right)^{n}$.
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## 1. Introduction

The method of extremal length is a useful tool in a wide variety of areas. Especially, it has been successfully applied to conformal mappings, analytic functions. Extremal length was introduced as a conformally invariant measure of curve families. This development appeared in Ahlfors and Beurling[7].

Let $D$ be a plane domain whose boundary consists of non-degenerate $n(2 \leq$ $n<\infty)$ components. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}$ be two boundary components of $D$.
We consider the family $F_{1}=F_{1}(D)$ of univalent conformal mappings $f$ on $D$ satisfying the following conditions (1), (2) and (3).
(1) $f(D) \subset\{1<|w|<\mu(f)\}$

[^0](2) $f\left(C_{1}\right)=\{|w|=1\}$
(3) $f\left(C_{2}\right)=\{|w|=\mu(f)\}$

Condition (2) (resp. (3)) means that $z \rightarrow C_{1}$ (resp. $C_{2}$ ) if and only if $f(z) \rightarrow\{|w|=1\}$ (resp. $\{|w|=\mu(f)\})$.

In the family $F_{1}$, there are conformal mappings $g_{0}$ and $g_{1}$ onto a radial and a circular slit annulus respectively. That is,

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{0}(D) \subset\left\{1<|w|<\mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\} \\
g_{0}\left(C_{1}\right)=\{|w|=1\}, \quad g_{0}\left(C_{2}\right)=\left\{|w|=\mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left\{1<|w|<\mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\}-g_{0}(D)
$$

consists of $(n-2)$ concentric radial slits. Similarly

$$
\left\{1<|w|<\mu\left(g_{1}\right)\right\}-g_{1}(D)
$$

consists of $(n-2)$ concentric circular slits.
Since $g_{0}$ and $g_{1}$ are determined uniquely up to rotations about the origin, $\mu\left(g_{0}\right)$ and $\mu\left(g_{1}\right)$ are determined uniquely. We say that $g_{0}$ (resp. $\left.g_{1}\right)$ is the normalized radial (resp. circular) slit mapping on $D$. Extremal properties of $g_{0}$ and $g_{1}$ imply

$$
\left\{\mu(f) \mid f \in F_{1}\right\} \subset\left\{\mu \mid \mu\left(g_{1}\right) \leq \mu \leq \mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\} .
$$

(See [11] for the extremal properties.)
In this note, we use the method of extremal length of a curve family to the boundary behavior of conformal mappings. we will prove that

$$
\left\{\mu(f) \mid f \in F_{1}\right\}=\left\{\mu \mid \mu\left(g_{1}\right) \leq \mu \leq \mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\} .
$$

And we consider conformal mappings $\tilde{f}$ from $D$ onto a covering surfaces of the Riemann sphere such that

$$
\tilde{f}\left(C_{1}\right)=\{|w|=1\}, \quad \tilde{f}\left(C_{2}\right)=\{|w|=\mu(\tilde{f})\}
$$

(see section 2 for the definition). We shall study the range of $\mu(\tilde{f})$.

## 2. Extremal length and extremal property

Let $\Gamma$ be a family whose elements $\gamma$ are curves in a domain $D$ and $\rho(z)$ a non-negative Borel measurable function. For $\gamma$ and $D$, we have

$$
L(\gamma, \rho)=\int_{\gamma} \rho|d z|, \quad A(D, \rho)=\iint_{D} \rho^{2} d x d y
$$

We introduce the minimum length

$$
L(\Gamma, \rho)=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} L(\gamma, \rho) .
$$

Definition 2.1 ([1]). The extremal length of $\Gamma$ in $D$ is defined by

$$
\lambda(\Gamma)=\sup _{\rho} \frac{L^{2}(\Gamma, \rho)}{A(D, \rho)} .
$$

Proposition 2.2 ([1]). (Comparison principle of extremal length) For two curve families $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$, if every $\gamma_{2} \in \Gamma_{2}$ contains a $\gamma_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}$, then

$$
\lambda\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \leq \lambda\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.3 ([1]). Let $\Gamma$ be a family of curves in $D$, and $f$ an analytic function in $D$ such that $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$. Then

$$
\lambda(\Gamma) \leq \lambda[f(\Gamma)]
$$

Proposition 2.4 ([9]). Suppose there exist disjoint open sets $G_{n}$ containing the curves in $\Gamma_{n}$. If $\cup_{n} \Gamma_{n} \subset \Gamma$, then

$$
\sum_{n} \frac{1}{\lambda\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(\Gamma)}
$$

Example 2.5 ([9]). Let $\Gamma_{s}$ be the family of closed curves in $D$ separating $C_{1}$ from $C_{2}$, and $\Gamma_{j}$ the family of arcs joining $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ in $D$. We know the following,

$$
\lambda\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)=\frac{2 \pi}{\log \mu\left(g_{1}\right)}, \quad \lambda\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)=\frac{\log \mu\left(g_{0}\right)}{2 \pi}
$$

Then We have the following.
Theorem 2.6. $\left\{\mu(f) \mid f \in F_{1}\right\}=\left\{\mu \mid \mu\left(g_{1}\right) \leq \mu \leq \mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\}$.
Proof. Let $f \in F_{1}$. Consider the family $\Gamma_{s}^{*}$ of closed curves in

$$
\{w|1<|w|<\mu(f)\}
$$

separating $\{|w|=1\}$ from $\{|w|=\mu(f)\}$. Since

$$
f\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)=\left\{f(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{s}\right\} \subset \Gamma_{s}^{*}
$$

we have

$$
\frac{2 \pi}{\log \mu\left(g_{1}\right)}=\lambda\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)=\lambda\left(f\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)\right) \geq \lambda\left(\Gamma_{s}^{*}\right)=\frac{2 \pi}{\log \mu(f)}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\mu\left(g_{1}\right) \leq \mu(f)
$$

Similarly consider the family $\Gamma_{j}^{*}$ of arcs in

$$
\{w|1<|w|<\mu(f)\}
$$

joining $\{|w|=1\}$ and $\{|w|=\mu(f)\}$ to get the inequality

$$
\mu(f) \leq \mu\left(g_{0}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\left\{\mu(f) \mid f \in F_{1}\right\} \subset\left\{\mu \mid \mu\left(g_{1}\right) \leq \mu \leq \mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

In order to prove the converse, we may assume that $D$ is a radial slit annulus. That is,

$$
C_{1}=\{|w|=1\}, C_{2}=\left\{|w|=\mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

and each $C_{k}(3 \leq k \leq n)$ is a radial slit. Let $l_{k}$ be the length of $C_{k}$ and $\zeta_{k}$ be the midpoint of the slit $C_{k}$. now, for each $0<t \leq 1$, let $D_{t}$ be the annulus

$$
\left\{1<|w|<\mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

with $(n-2)$ radial slits of length $t \cdot l_{k}$ with center at $\zeta_{k}$ on $C_{k}$. Denote it by $C_{k, t}$. Then, $D_{1}=D$ and $D_{0}$ is the annulus

$$
\left\{1<|w|<\mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

with $(n-2)$ punctures. note that $D$ is a subregion of $D_{t}$.
Let $g_{1, t}$ be the normalized circular slit mapping on $D_{t}$. Denote by $f_{t}$ the restriction of $g_{1, t}$ onto $D$. Then

$$
f_{t} \in F_{1}
$$

and the boundary of $f_{t}(D)$ consists of $\{|w|=1\},\left\{|w|=\mu\left(f_{t}\right)\right\}$ and $(n-2)$ 'cross'shaped slits. We assert that the outer radius $\mu\left(f_{t}\right)$ is a continuous function of $t$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \mu\left(f_{t}\right)=\mu\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \mu\left(f_{t}\right)=\mu\left(g_{0}\right)$.

Let $\Gamma_{t}$ be the family of closed curves in $D_{t}$ separating $C_{1}$ from $C_{2}$. Then

$$
\lambda\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)=\frac{2 \pi}{\log \mu\left(f_{t}\right)}
$$

For any $0<t, t^{\prime} \leq 1$, we can easily construct a $K_{t, t^{\prime}}$ quasiconformal mapping $\phi_{t, t^{\prime}}$ from $D_{t}$ onto $D_{t^{\prime}}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow t^{\prime}} K_{t, t^{\prime}}=1
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\lambda\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)}{K_{t, t^{\prime}}} \leq \lambda\left(\Gamma_{t^{\prime}}\right)=\lambda\left(\phi_{t, t^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)\right) \leq K_{t, t^{\prime}} \lambda\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)
$$

we get first and second assertions.
For the proof of the last assertion, note that $\Gamma_{0}$ is the family of closed curves in the punctured annulus $D_{0}$ separating $C_{1}$ from $C_{2}$. Then

$$
\lambda\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)=\frac{2 \pi}{\log \mu\left(g_{0}\right)}
$$

We know that

$$
\Gamma_{t} \subset \Gamma_{0}
$$

and each

$$
\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}-\Gamma_{t}
$$

crosses at least one of $C_{k, t}$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda\left(\Gamma_{0}-\Gamma_{t}\right)}+\frac{1}{\lambda\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\lambda\left(\Gamma_{0}-\Gamma_{t}\right) \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Hence we get

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \mu\left(f_{t}\right)=\mu\left(g_{0}\right)
$$

## 3. Some boundary behavior of conformal mappings

We consider the family $F_{n}=F_{n}(D)$ of conformal mapping $\tilde{f}$ from $D$ onto a covering surfaces of the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ satisfying the following conditions (4), (5), (6), (7) and (8). Denote by $p$ the projection from $\tilde{f}(D)$ into $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$. For simplicity, we assume that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are simple closed analytic curves and each $\tilde{f}$ is analytic on $C_{1} \cup C_{2}$.
(4) $\tilde{f}(D)$ is a covering surface of $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ of at most $n$ sheets.
(5) $(p \circ \tilde{f})\left(C_{1}\right)=\{|w|=1\}$.
(6) $(p \circ \tilde{f})(z)$ rounds $\{|w|=1\}$ one time clockwisely as $z$ rounds $C_{1}$ one time positively with respect to $D$.
(7) $(p \circ \tilde{f})\left(C_{2}\right)=\{|w|=\mu(\tilde{f})\}$.
(8) $(p \circ \tilde{f})(z)$ rounds $\{|w|=\mu(\tilde{f})\}$ one time anti-clockwisely as $z$ rounds $C_{2}$ one time positively with respect to $D$.
Since

$$
F_{n} \supset F_{1}
$$

we have

$$
\left\{\mu(\tilde{f}) \mid \tilde{f} \in F_{n}\right\} \supset\left\{\mu \mid \mu\left(g_{1}\right) \leq \mu \leq \mu\left(g_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

First, we consider a covering surface with only two boundary components. Let $\Omega_{\alpha, \nu}$ be an annulus

$$
\{1<|z|<\nu\}
$$

with a circular slit

$$
l_{\alpha, \nu}=\left\{((\nu+1) / 2) e^{i \theta}| | \theta \mid \leq \alpha\right\}
$$

for $0<\alpha<\pi$. Sewing $\Omega_{\alpha, \nu}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{C}}-l_{\alpha, \nu}$ along $l_{\alpha, \nu}$ crosswisely, we obtain a covering surface $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha, \nu}$ of $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$. Then

$$
\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha, \nu}
$$

is mapped conformally onto the annulus

$$
\left\{1<|w|<\mu_{\alpha, \nu}\right\}
$$

The outer radius $\mu_{\alpha, \nu}$ is uniquely determined. We have the following properties of $\mu_{\alpha, \nu}$.

Lemma 3.1. $\mu_{\alpha, \nu}$ is a continuous function of $\alpha$. Moreover,

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \mu_{\alpha, \nu}=\nu
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \pi} \mu_{\alpha, \nu}=\infty
$$

Proof. Since each $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha, \nu}$ is quasiconformally equivalent, we can prove the first assertion by a similar argument as in Theorem 1.6.

Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{*}$ be the family of $\operatorname{arcs}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha, \nu}$ joining two boundaries of $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha, \nu}$. Then

$$
\frac{\log \mu_{\alpha, \nu}}{2 \pi}=\lambda\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{*}\right)
$$

Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{\prime}$ be the subfamily of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{*}$ consisting of arcs not crossing $p^{-1}\left(l_{\alpha}\right)$, where $p$ is the projection from $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha, \nu}$ into $\hat{\mathbf{C}}-l_{\alpha, \nu}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\lambda\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{\prime}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{*}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{1}{\lambda\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{*}-\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{\prime}\right)} \\
\lambda\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{*}-\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\lambda\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \frac{\log \nu}{2 \pi}
$$

as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Thus we get the second assertion.
In order to prove the last assertion, we note that each $\operatorname{arc}$ in $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha, \nu}^{*}$ crosses

$$
p^{-1}\left(\left\{((\nu+1) / 2) e^{i \theta} \mid \alpha \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi-\alpha\right\}\right)
$$

and that

$$
\left\{((\nu+1) / 2) e^{i \theta} \mid \alpha \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi-\alpha\right\}
$$

reduces to one point as $\alpha \rightarrow \pi$.
Theorem 3.2. $\left\{\mu \mid 1<\mu \leq \mu\left(g_{1}\right)\right\} \subset\left\{\mu(\tilde{f}) \mid \tilde{f} \in F_{2}\right\}$.
Proof. We may assume that $D$ is the annulus

$$
\left\{1<|z|<\mu\left(g_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

with circular slits. For any fixed $1<\nu<\mu\left(g_{1}\right)$, there is a covering surface $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha, \nu}$ of $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ conformally equivalent to

$$
\left\{1<|z|<\mu\left(g_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

by Lemma 2.1. Let $\tilde{f}$ be the restriction to $D$ of the conformal mapping from

$$
\left\{1<|z|<\mu\left(g_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

onto

$$
\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha, \nu}
$$

Then

$$
\mu(\tilde{f})=\nu
$$

Next we give an upper bound.
Theorem 3.3. If $\tilde{f} \in F_{n}$ then

$$
\mu(\tilde{f}) \leq \mu\left(g_{0}\right)^{n}
$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}^{*}$ be the family of arcs in $\tilde{f}(D)$ joining $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Define the density $\tilde{\rho}(\zeta)$ on $\tilde{f}(D)$ so that

$$
\tilde{\rho}(\zeta)=\frac{1}{|p(\zeta)|}
$$

if $p(\zeta) \in\{1<|z|<\mu(\tilde{f})\}$ and $\tilde{\rho}(\zeta)=0$ otherwise. Then for any $\operatorname{arcs} \tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{*}$,

$$
\int_{\tilde{\gamma}} \tilde{\rho}(\zeta)|d \zeta| \geq \log \mu(\tilde{f})
$$

And

$$
\iint_{\tilde{f}(D)} \tilde{\rho}^{2}(\zeta) d \xi d \eta \leq 2 \pi n \log \mu(\tilde{f})
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{\log \mu\left(g_{0}\right)}{2 \pi}=\lambda\left(\tilde{\Gamma}^{*}\right) \geq \frac{\log \mu(\tilde{f})}{2 \pi n}
$$

Here, we assume that $D$ has at least 3 boundary components, that is, $n \geq 3$. Then, we say that a conformal mapping $\tilde{f}$ belongs to $F_{n}^{\prime}$ if $\tilde{f} \in F_{n}$ and if
(9) $p \circ \tilde{f}(D) \subset\{1<|w|<\mu(\tilde{f})\}$.

Clearly

$$
F_{n} \supset F_{n}^{\prime} \supset F_{1} .
$$

Further, if

$$
\tilde{f} \in F_{n}^{\prime}
$$

then

$$
\mu(\tilde{f}) \leq \mu\left(g_{0}\right)^{n}
$$
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