LH-Moments of Some Distributions Useful in Hydrology

Md. Sharwar Murshed^a, Byung-Jun Park^b, Bo-Yoon Jeong^a, Jeong-Soo Park^{1,a}

^aDepartment of Statistics, Chonnam National University ^bDepartment of Computer and Statistics, Chosun University

Abstract

It is already known from the previous study that flood seems to have heavier tail. Therefore, to make prediction of future extreme label, some agreement of tail behavior of extreme data is highly required. The LH-moments estimation method, the generalized form of L-moments is an useful method of characterizing the upper part of the distribution. LH-moments are based on linear combination of higher order statistics. In this study, we have formulated LH-moments of five distributions useful in hydrology such as, two types of three parameter kappa distributions, beta- κ distribution, beta-p distribution and a generalized Gumbel distribution. Using LH-moments reduces the undue influences that small sample may have on the estimation of large return period events.

Keywords: Beta- κ distribution, beta-p distribution, generalized Gumbel distribution, L-moment, probability weighted moment, three parameter kappa distribution.

1. Introduction

Over the past few decades, main concern goes to characterize the extreme events such as heavy rainfall, maximum wind speed, snowfall, earth quake due to their destructive nature for human life and wealth (Coles, 2001; Park and Jung, 2002). Therefore, to judge the closeness of an observed sample to a postulated distribution, sample moment statistics, particularly, skewness and kurtosis were used previously. But these statistics were found insignificant to anticipating heavy return events, specially for small or moderate sample (Hosking and Wallis, 1997). So, an alternative approach was introduced by Hosking (1990) as an product moments for characterizing distributions and data, which are known as *L*-moments. These *L*-moments are analogous to the conventional moments but can be estimated by linear combinations of the elements of an ordered sample that is, by *L*-statistics (Hosking and Wallis, 1997).

In recent hydrological practice, the application of L-moments are become the most frequently used tool for predicting large return period events since 1990 due to its advantage over conventional moments (Hosking, 1990). And according to Hosking and Wallis (1997), the method of L-moment estimation is also less subject to bias, especially for small to moderate sample size, compared to the maximum likelihood method. Naturally, in statistical analysis of extreme events, it is often conducted of predicting large return period events therefore, more relevant to the analysis are the upper part of the distribution but product moments and moment ratios are being highly sensitive to the upper part of the distributions. To overcome this problem, Wang (1997) has introduced LH-moments (Higher order L-moments). LH-moments is the generalized form of L-moments which characterizes the upper part

This work was funded by the Korea Meteorological Administration Research and Development Program under Grant CATER 2009-4507.

¹ Corresponding author: Professor, Department of statistics, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 500-757, South Korea. E-mail: jspark@jnu.ac.kr

of the distributions and larger events in data. LH-moments calculation intentionally ignore the lower part of the data due to give more emphasis on upper part of the data for anticipating future extreme label. Recently, LH-moments estimation method has become the most popular method in hydrology (Meshgi and Khalili, 2009; Lee and Maeng, 2003).

In this study, we have formulated LH-moments for five distributions useful in hydrology such as Mielke-Johnson's three parameter kappa distribution (K3D), three parameter kappa type-II distribution (K3D-II), beta- κ distribution, beta-p distribution and a generalized Gumbel distribution (GGD).

2. Distributions and Quantile Functions

2.1. Mielke-Johnson's kappa distribution

The kappa distribution, a family of positively skewed distribution was introduce by Mielke (1973) and Mielke and Johnson (1973). It has received a good attention from hydrological point of view such as, stream flow of a river, rainfall data. The three parameter kappa distribution(K3D) was introduced by Mielke and Johnson (1974). The probability density(pdf), the cumulative distribution(cdf) and the quantile function of K3D are given as, for $x \ge 0$, $\alpha, \beta, \theta > 0$,

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{\alpha\theta}{\beta}\right) \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\theta-1} \left(\alpha + \frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}},\tag{2.1}$$

$$F(x) = \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha\theta} \left\{ \alpha + \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha\theta} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$x(F) = \beta \left(\frac{\alpha F^{\alpha}}{1 - F^{\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha \theta}}, \quad 0 < F < 1, \tag{2.3}$$

respectively. The K3D is characterized by the three parameters α , β and θ . Where, β is scale and α , θ are the shape parameters. When $\theta = 1$ the K3D is changed to two parameter kappa distribution (K2D; Mielke, 1973; Oh *et al.*, 2007). In this case, α is the only shape parameter.

2.2. Three parameter kappa type-II distribution

Another type of three parameter kappa distribution (K3D-II) was suggested by Park *et al.* (2009) which is not the same as that of Mielke and Johnson (1974). In this distribution, a new location parameter μ is introduced to the K2D. The *pdf*, *cdf* and the quantile function of K3D-II are given as, for x > 0, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\mu \le \min_{1 \le i \le n} (x_i)$,

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) \left\{ \alpha + \left(\frac{x - \mu}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha} \right\}^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$F(x) = \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}\right) \left\{ \alpha + \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$x(F) = \mu + \beta \left(\frac{\alpha F^{\alpha}}{1 - F^{\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad 0 < F < 1,$$
(2.6)

respectively. Where μ , α and β are the location, shape and scale parameters respectively.

Mielke-Johnson's K3D and K3D-II are special cases of a four parameter kappa distribution (Hosking, 1994; Park and Kim, 2007).

2.3. Beta-k distribution

The beta- κ distribution is the reparameterized version of a kappa distribution (Mielke and Johnson, 1974) which has been used for testing changes in extreme rainfall events (Mason *et al.*, 1999). The *pdf*, *cdf* and quantile function of beta- κ distribution are respectively, for x > 0, $\alpha, \beta, \theta > 0$,

$$f(x) = \frac{\alpha \theta}{\beta} \left(\frac{x}{\beta} \right)^{\alpha \theta - 1} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{x}{\beta} \right)^{\theta} \right\}^{-(\alpha + 1)}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$F(x) = \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\theta} \left\{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\theta}\right\}^{-\alpha},\tag{2.8}$$

$$x(F) = \beta \left(\frac{F^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{1 - F^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \quad 0 < F < 1.$$
 (2.9)

2.4. Beta-p distribution

The pdf and cdf of beta-p distribution (Meilke and Johnson, 1974) are

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{\alpha\theta}{\beta}\right) \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\theta-1} \left\{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\theta}\right\}^{-(\alpha+1)}, \quad x > 0,$$
 (2.10)

$$F(x) = 1 - \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\theta} \right\}^{-\alpha}, \quad x \ge 0,$$
 (2.11)

respectively, where $0 < \alpha$, $0 < \beta$, $0 < \theta$. The quantile function is

$$x(F) = \beta \left\{ (1 - F)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} - 1 \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \quad 0 < F < 1.$$
 (2.12)

The beta-p distribution is also known as a special case of Pareto-type distribution (Johnson and Kotz, 1970).

The beta- κ and beta-p distributions are specific restricted versions of the generalized beta distributions of the second kind. Wilks (1993) examined the performances of nine three parameter distributions to the 13 annual extreme and partial duration data sets of United States (Öztekin, 2007). Wilks (1993) found that the beta- κ distribution best described the extreme right tail of annual extreme series, and the beta-p distribution was best for the partial duration data.

2.5. A generalized Gumbel distribution

A generalized Gumbel distribution(GGD) is a special case (k = 0) of a four parameter kappa distribution (Hosking, 1994). This distribution has not been investigated by researchers. The pdf, cdf and quantile function of a GGD (Jeong, 2009) are, for x > 0 and $\alpha > 0$,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{x-\xi}{\alpha}\right) F(x)^{1-h},\tag{2.13}$$

$$F(x) = \left\{1 - h \exp\left(-\frac{x - \xi}{\alpha}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{h}},\tag{2.14}$$

$$x(F) = \begin{cases} \xi - \alpha \log \left(\frac{1 - F^h}{h} \right), & \text{if } h > 0, \\ \xi + \alpha \log \left(\frac{-h}{F^h - 1} \right), & \text{if } h < 0, \end{cases}$$
 (2.15)

for 0 < F < 1, where ξ , α and h are the parameters of location, scale and shape respectively, and under the restriction $\xi + \alpha \log h \le \min_{1 \le i \le n} (x_i)$ for h > 0. When h < 0 the cdf (2.14) is also exist without any restrictions. When $h \to 0$, the pdf of GGD changes to the pdf of Gumbel distribution. More details on GGD are available in Jeong (2009).

3. LH-Moments

For a given sample of size m drawn from a distribution $F(x) = Pr(X \le x)$, the expectation of an order statistic can be written as

$$E[X_{j:m}] = \frac{m!}{(j-1)!(m-j)!} \int_0^1 x(F)F^{j-1}(1-F)^{m-j}dF.$$
 (3.1)

The first few *LH-moments* (Higher order *L*-moments) are defined by Wang (1997) for $\eta = 0, 1, 2, ...$

$$\lambda_1^{\eta} = E\left[X_{(\eta+1):(\eta+1)}\right],\tag{3.2}$$

$$\lambda_2^{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} E \left[X_{(\eta+2):(\eta+2)} - X_{(\eta+1):(\eta+2)} \right], \tag{3.3}$$

$$\lambda_3^{\eta} = \frac{1}{3} E \left[X_{(\eta+3):(\eta+3)} - 2X_{(\eta+2):(\eta+3)} + X_{(\eta+1):(\eta+3)} \right], \tag{3.4}$$

$$\lambda_4^{\eta} = \frac{1}{4} E \left[X_{(\eta+4):(\eta+4)} - 3X_{(\eta+3):(\eta+4)} + 3X_{(\eta+2):(\eta+4)} - X_{(\eta+1):(\eta+4)} \right]. \tag{3.5}$$

According to Wang (1997), λ_1^{η} is denoted as the expectation of the largest variable in a sample of size $(\eta+1)$, which is a measure of location of a distribution; λ_2^{η} is the one half times the difference between the largest and the second largest variables in a sample of size $(\eta+2)$, characterizes the spreadness of the upper part of a distribution; λ_3^{η} is considering the expectations of the largest three variables in a sample of size $(\eta+3)$; and λ_4^{η} provides the measure of pickness of the upper part of a distribution of the largest four variables in a sample of size $(\eta+4)$. LH-moments are also called L1 moments, L2 moments and so on respectively. When $\eta=0$, LH-moments become identical to Hosking's (1990) L-moments. As η increases, LH-moments reflects more and more characteristics of the upper part of the distribution (Wang, 1997).

Let us suppose, $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$ be the ordered sample, the following equation can produce the η^{th} order sample LH-moments (Wang, 1997);

$$\hat{\lambda}_{1}^{\eta} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{\eta+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{i-1}{\eta} X_{(i)},\tag{3.6}$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_{2}^{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{\eta+2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\binom{i-1}{\eta+1} - \binom{i-1}{\eta} \binom{n-i}{1} \right] X_{(i)}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_{3}^{\eta} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{\eta+3}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\binom{i-1}{\eta+2} - 2 \binom{i-1}{\eta+1} \binom{n-i}{1} + \binom{i-1}{\eta} \binom{n-i}{2} \right] X_{(i)}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\binom{m}{j} = m!/j!(m-j)!$ and is equal to zero when j > m.

4. Probability Weighted Moments, L- and LH-Moments

For the efficient calculation of LH-moments, the so-called *probability weighted moments* (PWMs) are described. Suppose X be a real valued random variable with distribution function F. According to Greenwood *et al.* (1979), the PWMs of X is defined by

$$M_{p,r,s} = E[X^p \{F(X)\}^r \{1 - F(X)\}^s] = \int x^p \{F(x)\}^r \{1 - F(x)\}^s dF(x), \tag{4.1}$$

where, p, r and s are real numbers. The above definition of PWMs is valid both for continuous and discrete random variables. For the quantile function x(F), we have

$$M_{p,r,s} = \int_0^1 \{x(F)\}^p F^r (1 - F)^s dF. \tag{4.2}$$

One approach of the PWM is to work with $M_{p,0,0}$, p = 1, 2, ..., which are the conventional noncentral moments of X. We will instead work with the moments $M_{1,r,s}$ into which X enters linearly and in particular with the quantities

$$\alpha_r = M_{1,0,r} = E[X\{1 - F(X)\}^r], \quad r = 0, 1, \dots,$$
 (4.3)

$$\beta_r = M_{1,r,0} = E[X\{F(X)\}^r], \quad r = 0, 1, \dots$$
 (4.4)

Historically, PWMs are the precursor of L- and LH-moments. Hosking (1990) defined L-moments as a linear combination of PWMs:

$$\lambda_{r+1} = (-1)^r \sum_{k=0}^r P_{r,k}^* \, \alpha_k = \sum_{k=0}^r P_{r,k}^* \, \beta_k, \quad \text{for } r = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (4.5)

with $P_{r,k}^* = (-1)^{r-k} {r \choose \kappa} {r \choose \kappa}$, which is called as the shifted Legendre polynomials. The "L" in L-moments emphasizes the construction of L-moments from linear combinations of order statistics. In (4.5), λ_1 is the measure of location; λ_2 is a measure of scale; λ_3 is a measure of skewness and λ_4 is a measure of kurtosis, respectively. The L-moment ratios are defined as $\tau_2 = \lambda_2/\lambda_1$, $\tau_3 = \lambda_3/\lambda_2$ and $\tau_4 = \lambda_4/\lambda_2$ which represents L-CV, L-skewness and L-kurtosis respectively.

The relation between *normalized* PWMs and LH-moments are useful to derive population LH-moments of those distribution which PWMs are already exits (Wang, 1997). The normalized PWMs are defined as in two forms:

$$B_{r} = \int_{0}^{1} x(F)F^{r}dF / \int_{0}^{1} F^{r}dF$$

$$= (r+1) \int_{0}^{1} x(F)F^{r}dF = (r+1)\beta_{r},$$

$$A_{r} = \int_{0}^{1} x(F)(1-F)^{r}dF / \int_{0}^{1} F^{r}dF$$

$$= (r+1) \int_{0}^{1} x(F)(1-F)^{r}dF = (r+1)\alpha_{r},$$
(4.6)

where β_r and α_r , given in (4.3) and (4.4), are called as the *standard* PWMs (Greenwood *et al.*, 1979). Now substituting (3.1) into (3.2)~(3.5) yield *useful relation between LH-moments and normalized PWMs*:

$$\lambda_1^{\eta} = B_{\eta}, \qquad \lambda_2^{\eta} = \frac{1}{2!} (\eta + 2) [B_{\eta + 1} - B_{\eta}],$$
 (4.8)

$$\lambda_3^{\eta} = \frac{(\eta+3)}{3!} [(\eta+4)B_{\eta+2} - 2(\eta+3)B_{\eta+1} + (\eta+2)B_{\eta}], \tag{4.9}$$

$$\lambda_4^{\eta} = \frac{(\eta + 4)}{4!} [(\eta + 6)(\eta + 5)B_{\eta + 3} - 3(\eta + 5)(\eta + 4)B_{\eta + 2} + 3(\eta + 4)(\eta + 3)B_{\eta + 1} - (\eta + 3)(\eta + 2)B_{\eta}]. \tag{4.10}$$

The above formula $(4.8)\sim(4.10)$ are actually used in deriving LH-moments(see Appendix). Here it is also noticeable that, LH-moment of order 2 or above, the sum of the coefficients on the right-hand side of the equations is always zero.

5. LH-Moments Calculation for Some Distributions

5.1. Mielke-Johnson's kappa distribution

The normalized PWMs of K3D is obtained for $r = 1, 2, \dots$, under the restriction $\alpha \theta > 1$,

$$B_r = (r+1)\beta\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha\theta}-1} B\left(\frac{r\theta+\theta+1}{\alpha\theta}, \frac{\alpha\theta-1}{\alpha\theta}\right), \tag{5.1}$$

where B() is a beta function. The derivations of PWMs and first LH-moment from the distributions of Section 2.1 to 2.5 are provided in Appendix. Now substituting (5.1) into (3.2) to (3.5) yield

$$\lambda_1^{\eta} = (\eta + 1)\beta \alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha\theta} - 1} X_1,\tag{5.2}$$

$$\lambda_2^{\eta} = \frac{(\eta + 2)\beta \alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha\theta} - 1}}{2} \left[(\eta + 2)X_2 - (\eta + 1)X_1 \right],\tag{5.3}$$

$$\lambda_3^{\eta} = \frac{(\eta + 3)\beta\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha\theta} - 1}}{3!} [V_4 X_3 - 2V_3 X_2 + V_2 X_1], \tag{5.4}$$

$$\lambda_4^{\eta} = \frac{(\eta + 4)\beta\alpha^{\frac{1}{\theta\theta} - 1}}{4!} [W_6 X_4 - 3W_5 X_3 + 3W_4 X_2 - W_3 X_1], \tag{5.5}$$

where, $X_k = B((\eta\theta + k\theta + 1)/(\alpha\theta), (\alpha\theta - 1)/(\alpha\theta))$, for k = 1, 2, 3, 4, and under the restriction, $\alpha\theta > 1$. Also $W_i = (\eta + i)(\eta + i - 1)(\eta + i - 2)$ for i = 3, 4, 5, 6 and $V_j = (\eta + j)(\eta + j - 1)$ for j = 2, 3, 4.

5.2. Three parameter kappa type-II distribution

The normalized PWMs of K3D-II is for r = 1, 2, ..., under the restriction $\alpha > 1$,

$$B_r = \mu + (r+1)\beta \alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} B\left(\frac{r+2}{\alpha}, \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}\right). \tag{5.6}$$

The first four LH-moments of K3D-II are

$$\lambda_1^{\eta} = \mu + (\eta + 1)\beta \alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} Y_2, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\lambda_2^{\eta} = \frac{(\eta + 2)\beta\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}}{2} [(\eta + 2)Y_3 - (\eta + 1)Y_2],\tag{5.8}$$

$$\lambda_3^{\eta} = \frac{(\eta + 3)\beta\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}}{3!} [V_4Y_4 - 2V_3Y_3 + V_2Y_2],\tag{5.9}$$

$$\lambda_4^{\eta} = \frac{(\eta + 4)\beta\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}}{4!} [W_6Y_5 - 3W_5Y_4 + 3W_4Y_3 - W_3Y_2],\tag{5.10}$$

where $Y_k = B((\eta + k)/\alpha, (\alpha - 1)/\alpha)$ for k = 2, 3, 4, 5, under the restriction, $\alpha > 1$.

5.3. Beta-k distribution

The normalized PWMs of beta- κ distribution is, for r = 1, 2, ...,

$$B_r = (\eta + 1)\beta \alpha B \left(\frac{1}{\theta} + r\alpha + \alpha, \frac{\theta - 1}{\theta}\right), \tag{5.11}$$

under the restriction $\theta > 1$. First few population LH-moments of beta- κ distribution are obtained by

$$\lambda_1^{\eta} = (\eta + 1)\beta \alpha Z_1,\tag{5.12}$$

$$\lambda_2^{\eta} = \frac{\beta \alpha (\eta + 2)}{2} \left[(\eta + 2) Z_2 - (\eta + 1) Z_1 \right], \tag{5.13}$$

$$\lambda_3^{\eta} = \frac{\alpha\beta(\eta+3)}{3!} \left[V_4 Z_3 - 2V_3 Z_2 + V_2 Z_1 \right], \tag{5.14}$$

$$\lambda_4^{\eta} = \frac{\beta \alpha (\eta + 4)}{4!} \left[W_6 Z_4 - 3 W_5 Z_3 + 3 W_4 Z_2 - 3 W_3 Z_1 \right], \tag{5.15}$$

under the restriction $\theta > 1$, where, $Z_k = B(\alpha \eta + 1/\theta + k\alpha, (\theta - 1)/\theta)$ for k = 1, 2, 3, 4.

5.4. Beta-p distribution

The normalized PWMs of beta-p distribution (Mielke and Johnson, 1974) are calculated from the second form of normalized PWM A_r given in (4.7)(see Appendix). Its are, for r = 1, 2, ...,

$$A_{r} = (r+1)\beta\alpha \ B\left(\alpha r - \frac{1}{\theta} + \alpha, \ 1 + \frac{1}{\theta}\right), \tag{5.16}$$

under the restriction $\alpha\theta > 1/(r+1)$. The LH-moments of beta-p distribution are obtained as, under the restriction $\alpha\theta > 1(n+i)$,

$$\lambda_1^{\eta} = (\eta + 1)\beta \alpha P_1,\tag{5.17}$$

$$\lambda_2^{\eta} = \frac{(\eta + 2)\alpha\beta}{2} [(\eta + 1)P_1 - (\eta + 2)P_2],\tag{5.18}$$

$$\lambda_3^{\eta} = \frac{(\eta + 3)\alpha\beta}{3!} [V_2 P_1 - 2V_3 P_2 + V_4 P_3],\tag{5.19}$$

$$\lambda_4^{\eta} = \frac{(\eta + 4)\alpha\beta}{4!} [W_3 P_1 - 3W_4 P_2 + 3W_5 P_3 - W_6 P_4], \tag{5.20}$$

where $P_i = B(\alpha \eta - 1/\theta + i\alpha, (\theta + 1)/\theta)$, for i = 1, 2, 3, 4.

5.5. A generalized Gumbel distribution

The calculation of LH-moments of a GGD are divided into two parts according to h > 0 and h < 0.

Case I: h > 0.

The normalized PWMs of generalized Gumbel distribution for r = 1, 2, ... are

$$B_r = \xi + \alpha \left[\Psi \left(\frac{r}{h} + \frac{1}{h} + 1 \right) - \Psi(1) \right] + \alpha \log h, \tag{5.21}$$

where Ψ is a digamma function $(\Psi(x) = d \log \Gamma(x)/dx)$ and $\Psi(1)$ is the Euler's constant (= 0.577215665). The first four LH-moments of GGD for h > 0 are,

$$\lambda_1^{\eta} = \xi + \alpha [N_0 - \Psi(1)] + \alpha \log h,$$
 (5.22)

$$\lambda_2^{\eta} = \frac{\alpha(\eta + 2)}{2} [N_1 - N_0], \tag{5.23}$$

$$\lambda_3^{\eta} = \frac{\alpha(\eta+3)}{3!} [(\eta+4)N_2 - 2(\eta+3)N_1 + (\eta+2)N_0], \tag{5.24}$$

$$\lambda_4^{\eta} = \frac{\alpha(\eta + 4)}{4!} [V_6 N_3 - 3V_5 N_2 + 3V_4 N_1 - V_3 N_0], \tag{5.25}$$

where $N_i = \Psi((\eta + i)/h + 1/h + 1)$ for i = 0, 1, 2, 3 and $V_i = (\eta + j)(\eta + j - 1)$ for j = 3, 4, 5, 6.

Case II: h < 0.

Again the normalized PWMs of GGD for r = 1, 2, ..., are

$$B_r = \xi + \alpha \left[\Psi \left(\frac{-r}{h} - \frac{1}{h} \right) - \Psi(1) \right] + \alpha \log(-h). \tag{5.26}$$

First four LH-moments for h < 0 are given by

$$\lambda_1^{\eta} = \xi + \alpha [M_0 - \Psi(1)] + \alpha \log(-h),$$
 (5.27)

$$\lambda_2^{\eta} = \frac{\alpha(\eta + 2)}{2} [M_1 - M_0], \tag{5.28}$$

$$\lambda_3^{\eta} = \frac{\alpha(\eta+3)}{3!} [(\eta+4)M_2 - 2(\eta+3)M_1 + (\eta+2)M_0], \tag{5.29}$$

$$\lambda_4^{\eta} = \frac{\alpha(\eta + 4)}{4!} [V_6 M_3 - 3V_5 M_2 + 3V_4 M_1 - V_3 M_0], \tag{5.30}$$

where $M_j = \Psi((-\eta - j)/h - 1/h)$ for j = 0, 1, 2, 3.

6. Concluding Remarks

LH-moments are based on linear combinations of higher order statistics. In this study, we have derived the LH-moments of Mielke-Johnson's three parameter kappa distribution, three parameter kappa type-II distribution, beta-*p* distribution and a generalized Gumbel distribution for statistical use of convenience. The distributions have been useful in hydrology, especially for the frequency analysis in which estimating the high return value is important. Parameters can be estimated by matching population LH-moments to the corresponding sample LH-moments. In future, we

will show the parameter estimation from LH-moments of a four parameter kappa distribution (Hosking, 1994; Park and Kim, 2007) and Wakeby distribution (Park et al., 2001) which are also useful in hydrology. In addition, we will carry out the Monte Carlo study to select the best value of η and investigate the effect of using LH-moments on high quantile estimation.

Appendix: Derivation of PWMs and First LH-Moment

A.1: Mielke-Johnson's kappa distribution

The probability weighted moments(PWMs) with the first LH-moment of Mielke-Johnson's K3D are

$$\begin{split} B_{\eta} &= \lambda_{1}^{\eta} = (\eta + 1) \int_{0}^{1} x(F)F^{\eta} dF \\ &= (\eta + 1) \int_{0}^{1} \beta \left(\frac{\alpha F^{\alpha}}{1 - F^{\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha \theta}} F^{\eta} dF \\ &= (\eta + 1) \beta \alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha \theta} - 1} \int_{0}^{1} Z^{\frac{\eta \theta + \theta + 1}{\alpha \theta} - 1} (1 - Z)^{\frac{\alpha \theta - 1}{\alpha \theta} - 1} dZ \quad \text{(by letting, } F^{\alpha} &= Z) \\ &= (\eta + 1) \beta \alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha \theta} - 1} B \left(\frac{\eta \theta + \theta + 1}{\alpha \theta}, \frac{\alpha \theta - 1}{\alpha \theta} \right), \quad \alpha \theta > 1. \end{split}$$

Now substituting $r = \eta + 1$, $\eta + 2$ and $\eta + 3$ into (4.6) yield $B_{\eta+1}$, $B_{\eta+2}$ and $B_{\eta+3}$ which will provide λ_2^{η} , λ_3^{η} and λ_4^{η} respectively.

A.2: Three parameter kappa type-II distribution

The PWMs and first LH-moment of K3D-II are

$$\begin{split} B_{\eta} &= \lambda_{1}^{\eta} = (\eta + 1) \int_{0}^{1} \mu + \beta \left(\frac{\alpha F^{\alpha}}{1 - F^{\alpha}} \right) F^{\eta} dF \\ &= \mu + (\eta + 1) \beta \alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \int_{0}^{1} Z^{\frac{\eta + 2}{\alpha} - 1} (1 - Z)^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} - 1} dZ \quad \text{(by letting, } F^{\alpha} = Z) \\ &= \mu + (\eta + 1) \beta \alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} B\left(\frac{\eta + 2}{\alpha}, \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \right), \quad \alpha > 1. \end{split}$$

Similarly, λ_2^{η} , λ_3^{η} and λ_4^{η} are calculated like A.1.

A.3: Beta-κ distribution

The PWMs and the first LH-moment of beta-k distribution are

$$\begin{split} B_{\eta} &= \lambda_{1}^{\eta} = (\eta + 1) \int_{0}^{1} \beta \left(\frac{F^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{1 - F^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} F^{\eta} dF \\ &= (\eta + 1) \beta \alpha \int_{0}^{1} Z^{\frac{1}{\theta} + \alpha \eta + \alpha - 1} (1 - Z)^{\frac{\theta - 1}{\theta} - 1} dZ \quad \text{(by letting, } F^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = Z) \\ &= (\eta + 1) \beta \alpha B \left(\frac{1}{\theta} + \alpha \eta + \alpha, \frac{\theta - 1}{\theta} \right), \ \theta > 1. \end{split}$$

A.4: Beta-p distribution

To calculate PWMs and LH-moments of beta-p distribution, we use the normalized PWM A_r given in (4.7), because calculating the explicit form of B_r is difficult. Since α_r and β_r are interchangeable, the following relation between PWMs and LH-moments is derived from (4.8)~(4.10):

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1^{\eta} &= A_{\eta}, \\ \lambda_2^{\eta} &= \frac{1}{2!} (\eta + 2) \left[A_{\eta} - A_{\eta + 1} \right], \\ \lambda_3^{\eta} &= \frac{(\eta + 3)}{3!} \left[(\eta + 2) A_{\eta} - 2(\eta + 3) A_{\eta + 1} + (\eta + 4) A_{\eta + 2} \right], \\ \lambda_4^{\eta} &= \frac{(\eta + 4)}{4!} \left[(\eta + 3)(\eta + 2) A_{\eta} - 3(\eta + 4)(\eta + 3) A_{\eta + 1} + 3(\eta + 5)(\eta + 4) A_{\eta + 2} - (\eta + 6)(\eta + 5) A_{\eta + 3} \right]. \end{split}$$

Using the above relations, the PWM and the first LH-moment of beta-p distribution are

$$A_{\eta} = \lambda_{1}^{\eta} = (\eta + 1) \int_{0}^{1} x(F)(1 - F)^{\eta} dF$$

$$= (\eta + 1) \int_{0}^{1} \beta \left[\frac{1}{(1 - F)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} - 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}} (1 - F)^{\eta} dF$$

$$= (\eta + 1)\beta \alpha \int_{0}^{1} Z^{\eta \alpha - \frac{1}{\theta} + \alpha - 1} (1 - Z)^{\frac{\theta + 1}{\theta} - 1} dZ \quad \text{[by letting, } (1 - F)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = Z \text{]}$$

$$= (\eta + 1)\beta \alpha B \left(\alpha \eta - \frac{1}{\theta} + \alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{\theta} \right), \quad \alpha \theta > \frac{1}{\eta + 1}.$$

 $\lambda_2^{\eta}, \ \lambda_3^{\eta}$ and λ_4^{η} are calculated in similar way like A.1.

A.5: A generalized Gumbel distribution

Case I: h > 0.

The PWMs of a GGD are

$$\begin{split} B_{\eta} &= \lambda_1^{\eta} = (\eta + 1) \int_0^1 \left[\xi - \alpha \log \left(\frac{1 - F^h}{h} \right) \right] F^{\eta} dF \\ &= \xi - \alpha (\eta + 1) \int_0^1 \log (1 - F^h) F^{\eta} dF + \alpha \log h \\ &= \xi - \frac{\alpha (\eta + 1)}{h} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{\eta + 1}{h} - 1} \log (1 - u) du + \alpha \log h \quad \text{[by letting } F^h = u \text{]} \\ &= \xi + \alpha \left[\Psi \left(\frac{\eta + 1}{h} + 1 \right) - \Psi (1) \right] + \alpha \log h, \end{split}$$

 λ_2^{η} , λ_3^{η} and λ_4^{η} can be obtained in similar way like A.1.

Case II: h < 0.

The PWMs with first LH-moment of GGD are

$$B_{\eta} = \lambda_1^{\eta} = (\eta + 1) \int_0^1 \left[\xi + \alpha \log \left(\frac{-h}{F^h - 1} \right) \right] F^{\eta} dF$$
$$= \xi - \frac{(\eta + 1)\alpha}{h} [A + B - C],$$

where,

$$A = \int_0^1 \log(-h) \ u^{-\frac{\eta}{h} - \frac{1}{h} - 1} \ du, \quad \text{[by letting, } F^{-h} = u \text{]}$$

$$B = \int_0^1 (\log u) \ u^{-\frac{\eta}{h} - \frac{1}{h} - 1} \ du, \quad C = \int_0^1 \log(1 - u) \ u^{-\frac{\eta}{h} - \frac{1}{h} - 1} \ du.$$

Now equating A, B and C by using the following formula (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik, 1980)(p. 538 and 558):

$$\int_0^1 x^{\mu-1} \ln x \, (1-x^r)^{\nu-1} \, dx = \frac{1}{r^2} B\left(\frac{\mu}{r}, \nu\right) \left[\Psi\left(\frac{\mu}{r}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{\mu}{r} + \nu\right) \right],$$

$$\int_0^1 x^{\mu-1} \ln(1-x) \, dx = -\frac{1}{\mu} [\Psi(\mu+1) - \Psi(1)],$$

where Ψ is a digamma function, we have the first LH-moment of GGD for h < 0;

$$\lambda_1^{\eta} = \xi + \alpha \left[\Psi \left(-\frac{\eta}{h} - \frac{1}{h} \right) - \Psi(1) \right] + \alpha \log(-h).$$

 λ_2^{η} , λ_3^{η} and λ_4^{η} are calculated in a similar way.

References

Coles, S. (2001). An Introduction to Statistical Modeling of Extreme Values, Springer-Verlag, London. Gradshteyn, I. S. and Ryzhik, M. (1980). Table of Integrals, Series and Products, 4th ed., Academic Press, New York.

Greenwood, J. A., Landwehr, J. M., Matalas, N. C. and Wallis, J. R. (1979). Probability weighted moments: Definition and relation to parameters of distribution expressible in inverse form, *Water Resources Research*, 15, 1049–1054.

Hosking, J. R. M. (1990). L-moments: Analysis and estimation of distributions using linear combinations of order statistics, *Journal of Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, **52**, 105–124.

Hosking, J. R. M. (1994). The four-parameter Kappa distribution, *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, **38**, 251–258.

Hosking, J. R. M. and Wallis J. R. (1997). Regional Frequency Analysis: An Approach Based on L-moments, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Jeong, B. Y. (2009). On the Properties of a Generalized Gumbel Distribution and r-kappa Distribution, Ph.D. Thesis, Chonnam National University, Gwangju.

Johnson, N. L. and Kotz, S. (1970). *Continuous Univariate Distributions-1*, Wiley Interscience, New York.

- Lee, S. H. and Maeng, S. J. (2003). Comparison and analysis of design floods by the change in the order of LH-moment methods, *Irrigation and Drainage*, **52**, 231–245.
- Mason, S. J., Waylen, P. R., Mimmack, G. M., Rajaratnam, B. and Harrison, J. M. (1999). Changes in extreme rainfall events in South Africa, *Climatic Change*, **41**, 249–257.
- Meshgi, A. and Khalili, D. (2009). Comprehensive evaluation of regional flood frequency analysis by L- and LH-moments. I. A re-visit to regional homogeneity, Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 23, 119–135.
- Mielke, P. W. (1973). Another family of distributions for describing and analyzing precipitation data, *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, **12**, 275–280.
- Mielke, P. W. and Johnson, E. S. (1973). Three-parameter kappa distribution maximum likelihood estimates and likelihood ratio tests, *Monthly Weather Review*, **101**, 701–711.
- Mielke, P. W. and Johnson, E. S. (1974). Some generalized beta distributions of the second kind having desirable application features in hydrology and meteorology, *Water Resources Research*, **10**, 223–226.
- Oh, M., Kim, S., Park, J. S. and Son, Y. S. (2007). Bayesian estimation of the two-parameter kappa distribution, *Communications of the Korean Statistical Society*, **14**, 355-363.
- Öztekin, T. (2007). Wakeby distribution for representing annual extreme and partial duration rainfall series, *Meteorological Applications*, **14**, 381–387.
- Park, J. S., Jung, H. S., Kim, R. S. and Oh, J. H. (2001). Modelling summer extreme rainfall over the Korean peninsula using Wakeby distribution, *International Journal of Climatology*, **21**, 1371–1384.
- Park, J. S. and Jung, H. S. (2002). Modelling Korean extreme rainfall using a Kappa distribution and maximum likelihood estimate, *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, **72**, 55–64.
- Park, J. S. and Kim, T. Y. (2007). Fisher information matrix for a four-parameter Kappa distribution, *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 77, 1459–1466.
- Park, J. S., Seo, S. C. and Kim, T. Y. (2009). A kappa distribution with a hydrological application, *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 23, 579–586.
- Wang, Q. J. (1997). LH moments of statistical analysis of extreme events, *Water Resources Research*, **33**, 2841–2848.
- Wilks, D. S. (1993). Comparison of three-parameter probability distributions for representing annual extreme and partial duration precipitation series, *Water Resources Research*, **29**, 3543–3549.

Received January 2009; Accepted May 2009