

## EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR THREE-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM AT RESONANCE

HUIXING ZHANG\*, WENBIN LIU, JIANJUN ZHANG AND TAIYONG CHEN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we study the existence of solutions for three-point boundary value problem at resonance by using the continuation theorem of Mawhin. Some known results are improved.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 34B25, 34C25.

*Key words and phrases* : Resonance, three-point boundary value problem, the continuation theorem of Mawhin.

### 1. Introduction

Il'in and Moiseev [6] firstly studied the following multi-point boundary value problem

$$x'' = f(t, x, x') + e(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1)$$

$$x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i x(\xi_i). \quad (2)$$

where  $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{m-2} < 1, a_i \in R$  with all of the  $a_i$ 's having the same sign. Moreover, the authors [6] proved that the existence of solutions for boundary value problem (1)(2) can be studied via the existence of solutions for the equation (1) subject to the three-point boundary value condition.

$$x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \alpha x(\eta), \quad \eta \in (0, 1) \quad (3)$$

Motivated by [6], many people investigated the three-point boundary value problem and got a lot of results, please see [1-2,4,8-9]. In 1997, by using the continuation theorem of Mawhin, W.Feng and J.R.L.Webb [8] studied the existence of solutions for the boundary value problem(1)(3)at resonance ( $\alpha = 1$ ) under the following assumptions:

(A<sub>1</sub>) there exists a constant  $M \geq 0$ , such that

$$x[f(t, x, 0 + e(t))] > 0, \quad \text{for } |x| > M, \quad t \in [0, 1];$$

---

Received March 4, 2008. Revised May 10, 2008. Accepted May 30, 2008. \*Corresponding author. Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10771212) and Science Foundation of China University of Mining and Technology (No. 2005A041, 2006A042).

(A<sub>2</sub>)  $pg(t, x, p) \leq 0$ , for all  $(t, x, p) \in [0, 1] \times [-M, M] \times R$ ;  
 (A<sub>3</sub>)  $|h(t, x, p)| \leq a(t)|x| + b(t)|p| + u(t)|x|^r + v(t)|p|^k + c(t)$ , for  $(t, x, p) \in [0, 1] \times [-M, M] \times R$ , where  $0 \leq r, k < 1$  and  $a, b, u, v, c \in L^1[0, 1]$ .  
 where  $f$  having the decomposition, that is,  $f(t, x, p) = g(t, x, p) + h(t, x, p)$

In this paper, by using Gronwall inequality, we obtain the existence of solutions for three-point boundary value problem (1)(3) at resonance and improve the known results.

## 2. Background notation and lemmas

For convenience of the readers, we give some notation and the continuation theorem of Mawhin.

In the following, we shall use the classical Banach spaces  $C^1[0, 1], L^1[0, 1]$ . For  $x(t) \in C^1[0, 1]$ , we use the norms  $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t)|$  and  $\|x\| = \max\{\|x\|_\infty, \|x'\|_\infty\}$ . We denote the norm in  $L^1[0, 1]$  by  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and use the Sobolev space  $W^{2,1}(0, 1)$  defined by

$$W^{2,1}(0, 1) = \{x : [0, 1] \rightarrow R \mid x, x' \text{ absolutely continuous on } [0, 1], x'' \in L^1[0, 1]\}$$

with the norm  $\|x\|_{W^{2,1}} = \sum_{j=0}^2 \int_0^1 |x^{(j)}(t)| dt$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Z$  be real Banach spaces and  $L : D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Z$  be a linear operator which is Fredholm of index zero (that is,  $\text{Im}(L)$  (the image of  $L$ ) is closed in  $Z$ , and  $\text{Ker}(L)$  (the kernel of  $L$ ) and  $Z/\text{Im}(L)$  (the co-kernel of  $L$ ) are finite dimensional with equal dimension). Let  $P : X \rightarrow \text{Ker}(L)$  and  $Q : Z \rightarrow Z_1$ , where  $X = \text{Ker}(L) \oplus X_1$  and  $Z = \text{Im}(L) \oplus Z_1$ , be continuous projections. Let  $L_1$  denote  $L$  restricted to  $D(L) \cap X_1$ , an invertible operator into  $\text{Im}(L)$ , and write  $K = L_1^{-1}$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded, open subset of  $X$  such that  $D(L) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$  and let  $N : \Omega \rightarrow Z$  be an  $L$ -compact mapping, that is, the maps  $QN : \Omega \rightarrow Z$  and  $K(I - Q)N : \Omega \rightarrow X$  are compact.

**Lemma 1.** <sup>[3]</sup> *Let  $L$  be Fredholm of index zero and let  $N$  be  $L$ -compact on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Assume that the following assumptions are satisfied.*

(B<sub>1</sub>)  $Lx + \lambda Nx \neq 0$ , for any  $(x, \lambda) \in [(D(L)/\text{Ker}(L)) \cap \partial\Omega] \times [0, 1]$ ,

(B<sub>2</sub>)  $Nx \notin \text{Im}(L)$ , for each  $x \in \text{Ker}(L) \cap \partial\Omega$ ,

(B<sub>3</sub>)  $\deg(QN|_{\text{Ker}(L)}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), 0) \neq 0$ , where  $Q : Z \rightarrow Z$  is a continuous projection as above.

*Then the equation  $Lx + Nx = 0$  has at least one solution in  $D(L) \cap \bar{\Omega}$ .*

**Lemma 2.** (Gronwall inequality) *Suppose that  $g(t), \varphi(t)$  be continuous on  $[a, b]$  and the following inequality*

$$\varphi(t) \leq \lambda + \int_a^t (r + g(\tau))\varphi(\tau) d\tau$$

*holds, where  $g(t) \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0$ , and  $r \geq 0$ . Then*

$$\varphi(t) \leq [\lambda + r(b - a)]e^{\int_a^t g(\tau) d\tau} \quad a \leq t \leq b.$$

### 3. Existence results

**Theorem 1.** Assume that  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $f$  has the decomposition  $f(t, x, p) = g(t, x, p) + h(t, x, p)$  which satisfying

(H<sub>1</sub>) there exists a constant  $M \geq 0$ , such that

$$x[f(t, x, 0 + e(t))] > 0, \quad \text{for } |x| > M, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $pg(t, x, p) \leq 0$ , for all  $(t, x, p) \in [0, 1] \times [-M, M] \times \mathbb{R}$ ,

(H<sub>3</sub>)  $|h(t, x, p)| \leq a(t)|x| + b(t)|p| + u(t)|x|^r + v(t)|p|^k + c(t)$ ,  $\forall (t, x, p) \in [0, 1] \times [-M, M] \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $a, b, u, v, c \in L^1[0, 1]$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq 1$ .

Then the BVP(1)(3) with  $\alpha = 1$  has at least one solution in  $C^1[0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Set  $X = C^1[0, 1]$  and  $Z = L^1[0, 1]$ , then  $X$  and  $Z$  are Banach spaces. Define  $L$  to be the linear operator from  $D(L) \subset X$  to  $Z$  with

$$D(L) = \{x(t) \in W^{2,1}[0, 1] | x'(0) = 0, x(1) = x(\eta)\}$$

that is,  $Lx = x''$ ,  $x \in D(L)$ . We define  $N : X \rightarrow Z$  by setting

$$N(x)(t) = -f(t, x(t), x'(t)) - e(t), \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

The continuous projections  $P : X \rightarrow \text{Ker}(L)$ ,  $Q : Z \rightarrow \text{Im}(Q)$  can be defined by

$$(Px)(t) = x(0),$$

$$Qy = \frac{2}{1 - \eta^2} \int_{\eta}^1 \int_0^{\tau} y(s) ds d\tau.$$

It can be proved that  $L$  is Fredholm of index zero [see 9]. We shall prove the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied.

Consider the following homotopic equation of the equation of (1)

$$x'' = \lambda[f(t, x(t), x'(t)) + e(t)], \quad \lambda \in [0, 1] \quad (4)$$

Then the equation (4) is equivalent to the operator equation:

$$Lx + \lambda Nx = 0.$$

**Step 1.** Let  $U_1 = \{x(t) \in D(L) : Lx + \lambda Nx = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ . We can prove that  $U_1$  is bounded.

For any  $x(t) \in U_1$ , let  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$  be such that  $|x(t_0)| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t)|$ . Assume that  $|x(t_0)| > M$ , then we have the following two cases:

Case 1.  $t_0 \neq 0$ .

If  $x(t_0) > M$ , then  $x'(t_0) = 0$ ,  $x''(t_0) \leq 0$ , so we have

$$0 \geq x(t_0)x''(t_0) = \lambda x(t_0)[f(t_0, x(t_0), 0) + e(t_0)] > 0$$

a contradiction.

If  $x(t_0) < -M$ , then  $x'(t_0) = 0$ ,  $x''(t_0) \geq 0$ , so we have

$$0 \geq x(t_0)x''(t_0) = \lambda x(t_0)[f(t_0, x(t_0), 0) + e(t_0)] > 0$$

a contradiction again.

Case 2.  $t_0 = 0$ .

If  $x(0) > M$ , then by the assumption  $(H_1)$ , we have

$$x''(0) = \lambda[f(0, x(0), 0) + e(0)] > 0.$$

This implies that  $x'(t)$  is increasing for  $t$  small enough. Since  $x'(0) = 0$ , we can get that  $x'(t) > 0$  for  $t$  small enough. Thus  $x(t)$  is increasing, contradicting  $x(0) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x(t)|$ .

If  $x(0) < -M$ , then a similar argument shows that  $x(t)$  is decreasing and a contradiction is obtained. Thus we have shown

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq M, \text{ for each } x \in U_1. \tag{5}$$

Next, for  $x \in U_1$ , we shall prove that there exists a constant  $M_1 \geq 0$  such that  $\|x'\|_\infty \leq M$ .

Multiplying (4) by  $x'(t)$ , we show

$$\begin{aligned} x'x'' &= \lambda x'[f(t, x, x') + e(t)] \\ &= \lambda x'g(t, x, x') + \lambda x'h(t, x, x') + \lambda x'e(t). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

If for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then  $|x'(t)| \leq 1$ . This implies that  $\|x'\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Otherwise, there exists  $t_1 \in [0, 1]$  such that  $|x'(t_1)| = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x'(t)| > 1$ . Without loss of generality, we suppose  $x'(t_1) > 1$ .

Since  $x'(0) = 0$  and  $x'(t)$  is continuous, there exists an interval  $[t_2, t_1] \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $x'(t_2) = 1$  and  $x'(t) \geq 1$ , for any  $t \in [t_2, t_1]$ . By  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$ , intergrating on both sides of (6) from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(x'(t))^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(x'(t_2))^2 + \lambda \int_{t_2}^t x'g(s, x(s), x'(s))ds \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{t_2}^t x'h(s, x(s), x'(s))ds + \lambda \int_{t_2}^t x'e(s)ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} + \int_{t_2}^t |x'| |h| ds + \int_{t_2}^t |x'| |e| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} + \int_{t_2}^t [a(s)|x'|x| + b(s)|x'|^2 + u(s)|x'|x|^r \\ &\quad + v(s)|x'| |x'|^k + c(s)|x'| + |e(s)| |x'|] ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} + \int_{t_2}^t |x'|^2 [a(s)M + b(s) + u(s)M^r + v(s) + c(s) + |e(s)|] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \int_{t_2}^t |x'|^2 G(s) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where  $G(s) = a(s)M + b(s) + u(s)M^r + v(s) + c(s) + |e(s)|$ . It implies that  $G(s) \in L^1[0, 1]$  and  $G(s) > 0$ , for  $s \in [0, 1]$  hold.

Let  $u(t) = x'(t)^2$ . Then

$$u(t) \leq 1 + \int_{t_2}^t 2G(s)u(s)ds \leq 1 + \int_0^t 2G(s)u(s)ds.$$

By Gronwall inequality, we show

$$u(t) \leq e^{\int_0^t 2G(s)ds} \leq e^{\int_0^1 2G(s)ds} \doteq M_2^2,$$

where  $M_2 \geq 0$  (independent of  $\lambda$ ). So  $|x'(t)| \leq M_2$ , for any  $t \in [t_2, t_1]$ . Combining with  $x'(t_1) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x'(t)|$ , we have  $|x'(t)| \leq M_2$ , for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $M_1 = \max\{1, M_2\}$ , then for  $x \in U_1$ ,

$$\|x'\|_\infty \leq M_1. \quad (8)$$

By (5) and (8), we have shown  $\|x\| = \max\{\|x\|_\infty, \|x'\|_\infty\} \leq \max\{M, M_1\}$ , namely,  $U_1$  is bounded.

**Step 2.** Let  $U_2 = \{x \in \text{Ker}(L) : Nx \in \text{Im}(L)\}$ .

Assume that  $x \in U_2$  and  $x \equiv C_0$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $C_0 > M$  implies that

$$\int_\eta^1 \int_0^\tau (f(t, C_0, 0) + e(t)) dt d\tau > 0,$$

and  $C_0 < -M$  implies that

$$\int_\eta^1 \int_0^\tau (f(t, C_0, 0) + e(t)) dt d\tau < 0.$$

In both cases,  $N(C_0) = f(t, C_0, 0) + e(t) \notin \text{Im}(L)$ . Therefore  $\|x\|_\infty = |C_0| \leq M$ , namely  $\|x\| \leq M$ .

**Step 3.** Let  $U_3 = \{x \in \text{Ker}(L) : H(x, \mu) = \mu QNx + (1 - \mu)x = 0, \mu \in [0, 1]\}$ .

For any  $x \in U_3$ , then  $x \equiv C_0$ , we have

$$\frac{2\mu}{1 - \eta^2} \int_\eta^1 \int_0^\tau [f(s, C_0, 0) + e(s)] ds d\tau = -(1 - \mu)C_0.$$

If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $C_0 = 0$ . Otherwise, suppose  $C_0 > M$ , then  $f(s, C_0, 0) + e(s) > 0$ , for any  $s \in [0, 1]$ , contradicting  $-(1 - \mu)C_0 \leq 0$ . If  $C_0 < -M$ , then  $f(s, C_0, 0) + e(s) < 0$ , for any  $s \in [0, 1]$ , contradicting  $-(1 - \mu)C_0 \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $U_3 \subset \{x \in \text{Ker}(L) : \|x\| \leq M\}$ , that is,  $U_3$  is bounded.

Now, writing

$$X = \text{Ker}(L) \oplus \text{Ker}(P), Z = \text{Im}(L) \oplus \text{Im}(Q) \quad \text{and} \quad L_1 = L|_{D(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P)},$$

it can be proved that the operator  $K = L_1^{-1} : \text{Im}(L) \rightarrow D(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P)$  is the linear operator defined by  $(Ky)(t) = \int_0^t (t - s)y(s)ds$ , for  $y \in \text{Im}(L)$ , (see [9]).

By the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, we can get that  $K$  is compact [3], so  $N$  is  $L$ -compact. Let  $\Omega \subset X$  be a bounded open set such that  $U_{i=1}^3 U_i \subset \Omega$ . The above proof shows that the Lemma 1 is satisfied. Thus,  $Lx + Nx = 0$  has at least one solution in  $D(L) \cap \bar{\Omega}$ , that is, the boundary value problem (1)(3) has a solution with  $\alpha = 1$ .  $\square$

If adding the assumption

$$(H_4) \quad f(t, x_1, p_1) > f(t, x_2, p_2), \text{ for all } x_1, x_2, p_1, p_2 \in R, x_1 > x_2, p_1 \leq p_2,$$

we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *The assumption  $(H_1) - (H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$  hold, then BVP(1)(3) with  $\alpha = 1$  has a unique solution.*

*Proof.* we have proved that there exists at least one solution for BVP(1)(3) under the assumption  $(H_1) - (H_3)$  in Theorem 1. Next, by  $(H_4)$ , we shall get the uniqueness of the solution for BVP(1)(3).

Assume to the contrary that BVP(1)(3) has two different solutions  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$ . Let  $y(t) = x_1(t) - x_2(t)$ , by the boundary condition (3) with  $\alpha = 1$ , without loss of generality, there exists a point  $t_0$  such that  $y(t_0) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} y(t) > 0$  (if  $y(t_0) \leq 0$ , a similar argument). If  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ , then  $y(t_0) > 0$ ,  $y'(t_0) = 0$ .

It implies that there exists an interval  $[t_0, t_1]$  such that  $y(s) > 0$ ,  $y'(s) \leq 0$  for all  $s \in [t_0, t_1]$ . By  $(H_4)$ , for all  $s \in [t_0, t_1]$ ,

$$\int_{t_0}^s (x_1''(t) - x_2''(t))dt = \int_{t_0}^s (f(t, x_1(t), x_1'(t)) - f(t, x_2(t), x_2'(t)))dt > 0,$$

that is,  $y'(s) = x_1'(s) - x_2'(s) > 0$ , contradicting  $y'(s) \leq 0$ . We deduce that BVP(1)(3) has a unique solution.  $\square$

Let  $\eta \rightarrow 1$  in Theorem 1, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $f, g, h$  be mappings as in Theorem 1. Then for  $e(t) \in L^1[0, 1]$ , the Neumann boundary value problem*

$$x''(t) = f(t, x(t), x'(t)) + e(t) \tag{9}$$

$$x'(0) = x'(1) = 0 \tag{10}$$

*has at least one solution in  $C^1[0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1, for any given  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ , BVP(1)(3) with  $\alpha = 1$  has at least one solution  $x_\eta(t)$  such that  $x'_\eta(0) = 0$ ,  $x_\eta(\eta) = x_\eta(1)$ . From above proof, we can obtain

$$\|x_\eta\|_\infty \leq M, \text{ for } \eta \in (0, 1). \tag{11}$$

Next we claim that there exists a constant  $M_3$  (independent of  $\eta$ ) such that

$$\|x'_\eta\|_\infty \leq M_3, \text{ for } \eta \in (0, 1). \tag{12}$$

If  $\|x'_\eta\|_\infty \leq 1$ , then  $M_3 = 1$ . Otherwise, there exists  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\|x'_\eta\|_\infty \geq 1$ . Suppose  $|x'_\eta(t_0)| = \|x'_\eta\|_\infty > 1$ . By the continuity of  $x'_\eta(t)$  and  $x'_\eta(0) = 0$ , we can find an interval  $[\mu, v] \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $t_0 \in [\mu, v]$  such that  $|x'_\eta(\mu)| = 1$  and  $|x'_\eta(t)| \geq 1$ , for  $t \in [\mu, v]$ . Without loss of generality, we suppose that

$x'_\eta(t) \geq 1$ , for all  $t \in [\mu, v]$ . Multiplying (4) by  $x'_\eta(t)$  and combining with  $(H_2)(H_3)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x'_\eta(t)x''_\eta(t) &= \lambda x'_\eta(t)f(t, x_\eta(t), x'_\eta(t)) + \lambda x'_\eta(t)e(t) \\ &= \lambda x'_\eta(t)[h(t, x_\eta(t), x'_\eta(t)) + g(t, x_\eta(t), x'_\eta(t))] + \lambda x'_\eta(t)e(t) \\ &\leq x'_\eta(t)[a(t)|x_\eta(t)| + b(t)|x'_\eta(t)| + u(t)|x'_\eta(t)|^r \\ &\quad + v(t)|x'_\eta(t)|^k + c(t)] + x'_\eta(t)e(t) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Integrating (13) from  $\mu$  to  $t$ , a similar argument in Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}x''_\eta(t)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} + \int_\mu^t |x'_\eta(s)|^2 G(s) ds \quad (14)$$

where  $G(s) = Ma(s) + b(s) + u(s)M^r + v(s) + c(s) + |e(s)|$ .

By Gronwall inequality, we get

$$x'_\eta(t)^2 \leq e^{\int_0^1 2G(s)ds} \doteq M_3^2,$$

where  $M_3 > 0$  (independent of  $\lambda$ ). That is,  $|x'_\eta(t)| \leq M_3$ , for any  $t \in [\mu, v]$ . In connection with  $|x'_\eta(t_0)| = \|x'_\eta\|_\infty$  and  $t_0 \in [\mu, v]$ , it implies that

$$\|x'_\eta\|_\infty \leq M_3, \quad \text{for } \eta \in (0, 1).$$

By (11)(12) and applying Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, this shows that  $\{x_\eta(t)\}$ , for  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  is relative compact in  $C^1[0, 1]$ . Without loss of generality, we suppose that

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 1} x_\eta(t) = x(t), \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 1} x'_\eta(t) = x'(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1]. \quad (15)$$

For any given  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$x''_\eta(t) = f(t, x_\eta(t), x'_\eta(t)) + e(t), \quad x'_\eta(0) = 0, \quad x_\eta(\eta) = x_\eta(1).$$

So

$$x'_\eta(t) = \int_0^t f(s, x_\eta(s), x'_\eta(s)) ds + \int_0^t e(s) ds, \quad \text{for } t \in (0, 1) \quad (16)$$

$$x'_\eta(0) = 0, \quad x_\eta(\eta) = x_\eta(1) \quad (17)$$

Let  $\eta \rightarrow 1$  in (16)(17), we obtain

$$x'(t) = \int_0^t f(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds + \int_0^t e(s) ds, \quad \text{for } t \in (0, 1),$$

$$x'(0) = 0, \quad x'(1) = 0.$$

Thus the Neumann boundary value problem (9)(10) has at least one solution in  $C^1[0, 1]$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

1. C.P. Gupta, *Solvability of a three-point boundary value problem for a second order ordinary differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **168**(1992), 540-551.
2. C.P. Gupta, S.K. Ntouyas and P.Ch. Tsamatos, *On an  $m$ -point boundary-value problem for second-order ordinary differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis **23**(1994), 1427-1436.
3. J. Mawhin, *Topological degree and boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations*, in Topological Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations (Montecatina Terme 1991), 74-142, Lecture Notes in Math, 1537, Berlin: Springer, 1993.
4. M. García-Huidobro, C.P. Gupta and R. Manasevich, *Solvability for a non-linear three-point boundary value problem with  $p$ -Laplace-like operator at resonance*, Abstract and Applied Analysis **6**(2001), 191-213.
5. Marius Radulescu and Sorin Radulescu, *Global inversion theorems and applications to differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis Theory Methods and Applications **4**(1980), 951-965.
6. V. Il'in and E. Moiseev, *Nonlocal boundary value problem of the first kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator in its differential and finite difference aspects*, Differential Equations **23**(1987), 803-810.
7. V. Il'in and E. Moiseev, *Nonlocal boundary value problem of the second kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator*, Differential Equations **23**(1987), 979-987.
8. W. Feng and J.R.L. Webb, *Solvability of  $m$ -point boundary value problems with nonlinear growth*, J. Mathematical Analysis and Applications **212**(1997), 467-480.
9. W. Feng and J.R.L. Webb, *Solvability of three-point boundary value problems at resonance*, in "Proceedings of the Second World Congress of Nonlinear Analysis(WCNA 96)", Nonlinear Analysis **30**(1997), 3227-3238.

**Huixing Zhang** received his BS from College of Sciences, China University of Mining and Technology under the direction of Professor Wenbin Liu. His research interests focus on the boundary value problem.

College of Sciences, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221008, Jiangsu, P.R.China

e-mail: huixingzhangcmt@163.com

**Wenbin Liu** is a Professor in College of Sciences, China University of Mining and Technology. His research interests focus on the boundary value problem.

College of Sciences, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221008, Jiangsu, P.R.China

e-mail: liuwenbin-xz@163.com

**Jianjun Zhang** received his BS from College of Sciences, China University of Mining and Technology under the direction of Professor Wenbin Liu. His research interests focus on the boundary value problem.

College of Sciences, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221008, Jiangsu, P.R.China

e-mail: zjj-cumt@163.com

**Taiyong Chen** received his BS from College of Sciences, China University of Mining and Technology under the direction of Professor Wenbin Liu. His research interests focus on the boundary value problem.

College of Sciences, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221008, Jiangsu, P.R.China

e-mail: taiyongchen@163.com