

CLASSIFICATION OF REFLEXIBLE EDGE-TRANSITIVE EMBEDDINGS OF $K_{m,n}$ FOR ODD m, n

YOUNG SOO KWON

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we classify reflexible edge-transitive embeddings of complete bipartite graphs $K_{m,n}$ for any odd positive integers m and n . As a result, for any odd m, n , it will be shown that there exists only one reflexible edge-transitive embedding of $K_{m,n}$ up to isomorphism.

1. Preliminaries

In this paper, we consider a 2-cell embedding of a simple connected graph into a closed orientable surface, simply called a (orientable) *map*. The embedded graph is called the *underlying graph* of the map.

For a simple connected graph G , an *arc* is an ordered pair (u, v) of adjacent vertices in G . In a combinatorial way, a map \mathcal{M} can be described by a pair $\mathcal{M} = (G; R)$, where R is a permutation of the arc set D whose orbits coincide with the sets of arcs based at the same vertex. The permutation R is called the *rotation* of the map \mathcal{M} . In the cycle decomposition of the permutation R , the cycle permuting the arcs based at a vertex v is said to be the *local rotation* R_v at v .

Given two maps $\mathcal{M}_1 = (G_1; R_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = (G_2; R_2)$, a *map isomorphism* $\phi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ is a graph isomorphism $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ such that $\phi R_1(u, v) = R_2 \phi(u, v)$ for any arc (u, v) in G_1 . Furthermore, if $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M} = (G; R)$, ϕ is called a *map automorphism* of \mathcal{M} . The set of all automorphisms of \mathcal{M} is a group under composition, called the *automorphism group* of \mathcal{M} and denoted by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. Since $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$, we consider it as an acting group on the vertex set $V(G)$, the edge set $E(G)$ or the arc set D according to the context. The group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ of \mathcal{M} acts semi-regularly on the arc set D . If it acts regularly, the map is called *regular*. The map \mathcal{M} is said to be *vertex-transitive* and *edge-transitive* if $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ acts transitively on $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. When G is bipartite, if the set of partite set preserving map automorphisms acts transitively on $E(G)$ then \mathcal{M} is called *edge-transitive*.

Received September 26, 2009; Accepted October 16, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C10.

Key words and phrases. complete bipartite graphs, reflexible edge-transitive embedding.

This research was supported by the Yeungnam University research grants in 2006.

by partite set preserving automorphisms. For a map $\mathcal{M} = (G; R)$, if \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{M}^{-1} = (G; R^{-1})$ are isomorphic, \mathcal{M} is called *reflexible* and if not, *chiral*.

Classifying highly symmetric embeddings of a given class of graphs is an important problem in topological graph theory. In recent years, there has been particular interest in the regular embeddings of complete bipartite graphs $K_{n,n}$ by several authors [1]-[9]. The reflexible regular embeddings and self-Petrie dual regular embeddings of $K_{n,n}$ into orientable surfaces were classified in [7]. Recently, the classification of regular embeddings of $K_{n,n}$ is completed by G. Jones [3] and J. H. Kwak and the author classify the nonorientable regular embeddings of $K_{n,n}$ [8]. In this paper, we classify the reflexible edge-transitive embeddings of $K_{m,n}$ for any odd positive integers m, n . Note that for different m and n , edge-transitive embeddings of $K_{m,n}$ are the most symmetric ones.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, a relation between edge-transitive embeddings of $K_{m,n}$ and products of two cyclic groups with generators is considered. In Section 3, we consider products of two cyclic groups with generators in the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(K_{m,n})$ of complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$. In the final section, the classification of reflexible edge-transitive embeddings of $K_{m,n}$ is given for odd m, n .

2. Edge-transitive embeddings and bicyclic triples

Regular embeddings of complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ are related to groups Γ with two generators satisfying some conditions [4]. Using this relation, G. Jones classify regular embeddings of $K_{n,n}$ [3]. In this section, we consider similar kind of relations between edge-transitive embeddings of $K_{m,n}$ by partite set preserving automorphisms and groups with two generators satisfying some conditions.

In [4], G. Jones et al. showed that any finite group Γ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ for some regular embedding of $K_{n,n}$ if and only if Γ has cyclic subgroups $X = \langle x \rangle$ and $Y = \langle y \rangle$ of order n such that (i) $\Gamma = XY$ (ii) $X \cap Y = 1$ (iii) there is an automorphism α of Γ transposing x and y . They call the triple (Γ, x, y) satisfying the above conditions the *n-isobicyclic* triple. For any *n-isobicyclic* triple (Γ, x, y) , the corresponding embedding and its underlying graph can be defined as follows: the vertices of the underlying graph are the cosets gX and gY of X and Y in Γ , the edges are the elements of Γ and the incidence is given by containment. By conditions (i), (ii), the underlying graph is a complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$. The elements x and y define a cyclic order g, gx, gx^2, \dots or g, gy, gy^2, \dots of edges around each vertex gX or gY . These local orientations determine local rotations, that is, an embedding \mathcal{M} of the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$. For any $h \in \Gamma$, a left multiplication L_h sending an edge g to $h^{-1}g$ and vertices gX and gY to $h^{-1}gX$ and $h^{-1}gY$, respectively, is a map automorphism. Hence, the map \mathcal{M} is edge-transitive by partite set preserving automorphisms. Furthermore, the map \mathcal{M} is regular by the third condition of *n-isobicyclic*. For two *n-isobicyclic* triples (Γ_1, x_1, y_1)

and (Γ_2, x_2, y_2) , two induced regular embeddings \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 are isomorphic if and only if there exists a group isomorphism from Γ_1 to Γ_2 given by $x_1 \mapsto x_2$ and $y_1 \mapsto y_2$. (For more information, the reader is referred to [4].) Note that for any n -isobicyclic triple (Γ, x, y) and its induced regular embedding \mathcal{M} , the reflection \mathcal{M}^{-1} is also regular and \mathcal{M}^{-1} is isomorphic to the regular embedding induced by n -isobicyclic triple (Γ, x^{-1}, y^{-1}) . Therefore, the map \mathcal{M} is reflexible if and only if there exists an automorphism β of Γ which sends x and y to x^{-1} and y^{-1} , respectively.

For different positive integers m and n , the most symmetric embedding of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ is edge-transitive one. As one see, only using the first and second conditions of n -isobicyclic triple, one can define an embedding of $K_{n,n}$ and show that the induced map is edge-transitive. Hence, edge-transitive embedding of $K_{m,n}$ can be also represented by a group with two generators. For a group Γ having cyclic subgroups $X = \langle x \rangle$ of order m and $Y = \langle y \rangle$ of order n , we call the triple (Γ, x, y) (m, n) -bicyclic if it satisfies that (i) $\Gamma = XY$ (ii) $X \cap Y = 1$. Then, for any (m, n) -bicyclic triple (Γ, x, y) , one can define an embedding of $K_{m,n}$ by similar way to define an embedding of $K_{n,n}$ with n -isobicyclic triple. We denote this embedding by $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, x, y)$. One can see that $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, x, y)$ is edge-transitive. Furthermore, every edge-transitive embedding of $K_{m,n}$ by partite set preserving automorphisms is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, x, y)$ for some (m, n) -bicyclic triple (Γ, x, y) . Note that the edge set in $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, x, y)$ is Γ itself. For two (m, n) -bicyclic triples (Γ_1, x_1, y_1) and (Γ_2, x_2, y_2) , if there exists a group isomorphism ϕ from Γ_1 to Γ_2 given by $x_1 \mapsto x_2$ and $y_1 \mapsto y_2$ then two induced edge-transitive embeddings $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma_1, x_1, y_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma_2, x_2, y_2)$ are isomorphic by the map isomorphism induced by ϕ . Conversely, if two edge-transitive embeddings $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma_1, x_1, y_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma_2, x_2, y_2)$ are isomorphic, then there exists an isomorphism ψ which sends the edge labelled the identity in Γ_1 to the edge labelled the identity in Γ_2 . Then, if we consider ψ as mapping from Γ_1 to Γ_2 , ψ preserves group operations and hence it is an isomorphism from Γ_1 to Γ_2 given by $x_1 \mapsto x_2$ and $y_1 \mapsto y_2$. In summary, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let m, n be two positive integers(not necessarily distinct).*

- (1) *For any edge-transitive embedding \mathcal{M} of $K_{m,n}$ by partite set preserving automorphisms, \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, x, y)$ for some (m, n) -bicyclic triple (Γ, x, y) .*
- (2) *For two (m, n) -bicyclic triples (Γ_1, x_1, y_1) and (Γ_2, x_2, y_2) , two edge-transitive embeddings $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma_1, x_1, y_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma_2, x_2, y_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists a group isomorphism from Γ_1 to Γ_2 given by $x_1 \mapsto x_2$ and $y_1 \mapsto y_2$.*

For any (m, n) -bicyclic triple (Γ, x, y) , there exists a subgroup of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(K_{m,n})$ which is isomorphic to Γ . Hence, one can consider x and y as permutations of vertices of $K_{m,n}$. In the next section, we deal with (m, n) -bicyclic triple in $\text{Aut}(K_{m,n})$.

3. (m, n) -bicyclic triples in $\text{Aut}(K_{m,n})$

For any positive integer n , let $[n]$ denote the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$. Let $V = \{0, 1, \dots, (n - 1)\} \cup \{0', 1', \dots, (m - 1)'\} = [n] \cup [m]'$ be the vertex set of $K_{m,n}$ as partite sets, and $D = \{(i, j'), (j', i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n - 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq m - 1\}$ the arc set, where (i, j') is the arc emanating from i to j' and (j', i) denotes its inverse. We denote the symmetric group on $[n]$ and $[m]'$ by S and S' , respectively. Let S_0 and S'_0 be their stabilizer of 0 and $0'$. Note that $\text{Aut}(K_{m,n})$ is isomorphic to $S \times S'$ when $m \neq n$; $S \wr \mathbb{Z}_2$ when $m = n$. We identify the integers $0, 1, 2, \dots$ with their residue classes modulo m or n according to the context.

For any (m, n) -bicyclic triple (Γ, x, y) , since Γ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(K_{m,n})$, one can consider x and y as permutations of vertices of $K_{m,n}$. Furthermore, one can restrict $x \in \text{Aut}(K_{m,n})_0$, the stabilizer of 0 in $\text{Aut}(K_{m,n})$ and $y \in \text{Aut}(K_{m,n})_{0'}$, the stabilizer of $0'$ in $\text{Aut}(K_{m,n})$. Since $\text{Aut}(K_{m,n})$ contains all permutations of vertices of each partite set, there exists a $\phi \in \text{Aut}(K_{m,n})$ such that

$$x^\phi = \phi^{-1}x\phi = \alpha(0' \ 1' \ \dots \ (m - 1)') \quad \text{and} \quad y^\phi = \phi^{-1}y\phi = \beta(0 \ 1 \ \dots \ n - 1)$$

for some $\alpha \in S_0$ and $\beta \in S'_0$. From now on, for any $\alpha \in S_0$ and $\beta \in S'_0$, let

$$x_\alpha = \alpha(0' \ 1' \ \dots \ (m - 1)') \quad \text{and} \quad y_\beta = \beta(0 \ 1 \ \dots \ n - 1)$$

for our convenience. Then, it suffices to consider x_α and y_β as candidate for the second and third coordinates of (m, n) -bicyclic triple to classify edge-transitive embeddings of $K_{m,n}$ by partite set preserving automorphisms.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\alpha \in S_0$ and $\beta \in S'_0$, the group $\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle$ acts transitively on the edge set of $K_{m,n}$.*

Proof. For any $i \in [n]$ and $j' \in [m]'$, we have

$$y_\beta^i x_\alpha^{\beta^{-i}(j)}(0) = y_\beta^i(0) = i \quad \text{and} \quad y_\beta^i x_\alpha^{\beta^{-i}(j)}(0') = y_\beta^i(\beta^{-i}(j)') = j'.$$

Hence, for any $i \in [n]$ and $j' \in [m]'$, the edge incident to 0 and $0'$ can be sent to the edge incident to i and j' by an element in $\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle$. □

For any $\alpha \in S_0$ and $\beta \in S'_0$, let $\Gamma = \langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle$. Then, $|\Gamma| = mn$ is a necessary condition for the triple $(\Gamma, x_\alpha, y_\beta)$ to be (m, n) -bicyclic. The next lemma shows that it is also a sufficient condition.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $\alpha \in S_0$ and $\beta \in S'_0$, the triple $(\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle, x_\alpha, y_\beta)$ is (m, n) -bicyclic if and only if $|\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle| = mn$.*

Proof. ‘Only if’ is trivial. Hence, it suffices to show ‘if’ part. Let $\Gamma = |\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle|$ and assume that $|\Gamma| = mn$. By Lemma 3.1, Γ acts regularly on the edge set of $K_{m,n}$. Since $x_\alpha^m(0) = 0$ and $x_\alpha^m(0') = 0'$, namely, x_α^m fixes the arc $(0, 0')$, x_α^m is the identity element. It means that $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order m . Similarly, one can show that $\langle y_\beta \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order n . If $g \in \langle x_\alpha \rangle \cap \langle y_\beta \rangle$

then $g(0) = 0$ and $g(0') = 0'$ which implies that g is the identity. Hence, $\langle x_\alpha \rangle \cap \langle y_\beta \rangle = \{1\}$. Since $|\langle x_\alpha \rangle \cdot \langle y_\beta \rangle| = \frac{|\langle x_\alpha \rangle| \cdot |\langle y_\beta \rangle|}{|\langle x_\alpha \rangle \cap \langle y_\beta \rangle|} = mn$, $\Gamma = \langle x_\alpha \rangle \cdot \langle y_\beta \rangle$. Therefore, $(\Gamma, x_\alpha, y_\beta)$ is an (m, n) -bicyclic triple. \square

By Lemma 3.2, we need to characterize $\alpha \in S_0$ and $\beta \in S'_0$ satisfying $|\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle| = mn$ to classify the edge-transitive embeddings of $K_{m,n}$ by partite set preserving automorphisms. To do this, we denote

$$ET_{m,n} = \{(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha \in S_0, \beta \in S'_0 \text{ and } |\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle| = mn\}.$$

Note that for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in ET_{m,n}$, $(\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle, x_\alpha, y_\beta)$ is an (m, n) -bicyclic and hence $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle, x_\alpha, y_\beta)$ is an edge-transitive embedding of $K_{m,n}$. Furthermore, for any edge-transitive embedding \mathcal{M} of $K_{m,n}$ by partite set preserving automorphism, there exists $(\alpha, \beta) \in ET_{m,n}$ such that \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle, x_\alpha, y_\beta)$. If both $\alpha \in S_0$ and $\beta \in S'_0$ are the identity permutations then one can easily check that $(\alpha, \beta) \in ET_{m,n}$. In this case, the group $\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. We call the induced edge-transitive embedding $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle, x_\alpha, y_\beta)$ the *standard embedding* of $K_{m,n}$. Hence, for any positive integer m, n , there exists at least one edge-transitive embedding \mathcal{M} of $K_{m,n}$ by partite set preserving automorphisms.

Remark 1. (1) For any $(\alpha, \beta) \in ET_{m,n}$, $\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle = \{x_\alpha^i y_\beta^j \mid i \in [m], j \in [n]\} = \{y_\beta^j x_\alpha^i \mid i \in [m], j \in [n]\}$. Hence, if α satisfies some properties then β also satisfies these properties and vice versa.

(2) Let \mathcal{M} be an embedding of $K_{m,n}$ for different m and n . Then, any automorphism of \mathcal{M} is partite set preserving. Let $m = n$ be odd and let \mathcal{M} be an edge-transitive embedding of $K_{n,n}$. If a subgroup Γ of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ acts regularly on the edge set then $|\Gamma| = n^2$ is odd and hence there exists no partite set reversing element in Γ . Hence, for odd n , every edge-transitive embedding of $K_{n,n}$ is edge-transitive embeddings of $K_{n,n}$ by partite set preserving automorphisms. On the other hand, for even n , we do not know whether the above statement is also true or not.

The next lemma shows that for different $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2) \in ET_{m,n}$, two induced edge-transitive embeddings are non-isomorphic.

Lemma 3.3. *For any $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2) \in ET_{m,n}$, the induced edge-transitive embeddings $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_{\alpha_1}, y_{\beta_1} \rangle, x_{\alpha_1}, y_{\beta_1})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_{\alpha_2}, y_{\beta_2} \rangle, x_{\alpha_2}, y_{\beta_2})$ are isomorphic if and only if $(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = (\alpha_2, \beta_2)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show ‘only if’ part. Assume that $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_{\alpha_1}, y_{\beta_1} \rangle, x_{\alpha_1}, y_{\beta_1})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_{\alpha_2}, y_{\beta_2} \rangle, x_{\alpha_2}, y_{\beta_2})$ are isomorphic. By Lemma 2.1, there exists an isomorphism $\phi : \langle x_{\alpha_1}, y_{\beta_1} \rangle \rightarrow \langle x_{\alpha_2}, y_{\beta_2} \rangle$ given by $x_{\alpha_1} \mapsto x_{\alpha_2}$ and $y_{\beta_1} \mapsto y_{\beta_2}$. For any $i \in [m]$, there exists $a(i) \in [m], b(i) \in [n]$ such that $y_{\beta_1} x_{\alpha_1}^i = x_{\alpha_1}^{a(i)} y_{\beta_1}^{b(i)}$. It implies that

$$\beta_1(i') = y_{\beta_1} x_{\alpha_1}^i (0') = x_{\alpha_1}^{a(i)} y_{\beta_1}^{b(i)} (0') = a(i)'.$$

Because $\phi : \langle x_{\alpha_1}, y_{\beta_1} \rangle \rightarrow \langle x_{\alpha_2}, y_{\beta_2} \rangle$ given by $x_{\alpha_1} \mapsto x_{\alpha_2}$ and $y_{\beta_1} \mapsto y_{\beta_2}$ is an isomorphism, it also holds that $y_{\beta_2} x_{\alpha_2}^i = x_{\alpha_2}^{a(i)} y_{\beta_2}^{b(i)}$. Hence, $\beta_2(i') = a(i)'$, which means $\beta_1 = \beta_2$. Similarly, one can show that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. \square

By Lemma 3.3, the number of edge-transitive embeddings of $K_{m,n}$ by partite set preserving automorphisms up to isomorphism is equal to the cardinality $|\text{ET}_{m,n}|$. Note that for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{ET}_{m,n}$, the stabilizers $\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle_0$ and $\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle_{0'}$ are cyclic groups $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ of order m and $\langle y_\beta \rangle$ of order n , respectively.

Lemma 3.4. *For an $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{ET}_{m,n}$, $\langle \alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \beta \rangle$ are cyclic groups of order $|\alpha^i(1) \mid i \in [n]|$ and $|\beta^i(1') \mid i \in [m]|$, the cardinality of the orbit containing 1 and 1', respectively. Furthermore, they are divisors of m and n , respectively.*

Proof. Let $|\{\alpha^i(1) \mid i \in [n]\}| = d_1$ and $|\{\beta^i(1') \mid i \in [m]\}| = d_2$. Then, d_1 and d_2 are divisors of the orders $|\langle x_\alpha \rangle| = m$ and $|\langle y_\beta \rangle| = n$, respectively. Note that

$$\alpha^{d_1}(1) = 1 \text{ and } y_\beta^{-1} x_\alpha^{d_1} y_\beta(0) = 0,$$

which implies that, as a conjugate of $x_\alpha^{d_1}$, $y_\beta^{-1} x_\alpha^{d_1} y_\beta$ belongs to the vertex stabilizer $\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle_0 = \langle x_\alpha \rangle$. Since d_1 is a divisor of m , $y_\beta^{-1} x_\alpha^{d_1} y_\beta = x_\alpha^{rd_1}$ for some $r \in [m]$ such that $(r, \frac{m}{d_1}) = 1$. Now, suppose to the contrary that $|\langle \alpha \rangle| \neq d_1$. Then, there exists $k \in [n]$ such that $\alpha^{d_1}(k) \neq k$. Let q be the largest element in $[n]$ such that $\alpha^{d_1}(q) \neq q$. On the other hand,

$$\alpha^{rd_1}(q) = x_\alpha^{rd_1}(q) = y_\beta^{-1} x_\alpha^{d_1} y_\beta(q) = y_\beta^{-1} x_\alpha^{d_1}(q+1) = y_\beta^{-1}(q+1) = q,$$

contradictory to $\alpha^{rd_1}(q) \neq q$. Therefore, $|\langle \alpha \rangle| = d_1$. Similarly, one can show that $|\langle \beta \rangle| = d_2$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *For any prime numbers p, q , there exists only one edge-transitive embedding of $K_{p,q}$ up to isomorphism.*

Proof. For any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{ET}_{p,q}$, it follows from Lemma 3.4 that $|\langle \alpha \rangle|$ and $|\langle \beta \rangle|$ are divisors of p and q , respectively. Since $|\langle \alpha \rangle| < p$ and $|\langle \beta \rangle| < q$, α and β are the identity and hence $|\text{ET}_{p,q}| = 1$. It implies that there exists only one edge-transitive embedding of $K_{p,q}$, namely, the standard embedding of $K_{p,q}$ up to isomorphism. \square

From now on, we denote $\beta(i')$ by $\beta(i)$ for any $i \in [m]$ for our convenience. The following lemma is related to a characterization of the set $\text{ET}_{m,n}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\alpha \in S_0$ and $\beta \in S'_0$. Then, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{ET}_{m,n}$ if and only if for each $i \in [m]$, there exist $a(i) \in [m]$ and $b(i) \in [n]$ such that $\alpha^i(k) = \alpha^{a(i)}(k + b(i)) - 1$ for all $k \in [n]$ and $\beta(t + i) = \beta^{b(i)}(t) + a(i)$ for all $t \in [m]$. In this case, we have $a(i) = \beta(i)$ and $b(i) = -\alpha^{-i}(-1)$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If $|\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle| = mn$, then $\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle = \{x_\alpha^i y_\beta^j \mid i \in [m], j \in [n]\}$. Therefore, for each $i \in [m]$, there exist $a(i) \in [m]$ and $b(i) \in [n]$ such that $y_\beta x_\alpha^i = x_\alpha^{a(i)} y_\beta^{b(i)}$. By taking their values of $k \in [n]$ and $t' \in [m]'$, we have

$$\alpha^i(k) + 1 = \alpha^{a(i)}(k + b(i)) \text{ and } \beta(t + i) = \beta^{b(i)}(t) + a(i).$$

(\Leftarrow) The equalities $\alpha^i(k) = \alpha^{a(i)}(k + b(i)) - 1$ for all $k \in [n]$ and $\beta(t + i) = \beta^{b(i)}(t) + a(i)$ for all $t \in [m]$ are nothing but the conditions to have the equality $y_\beta x_\alpha^i = x_\alpha^{a(i)} y_\beta^{b(i)}$. This equality implies $\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle = \{x_\alpha^i y_\beta^j \mid i \in [m], j \in [n]\}$, namely, $|\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle| = mn$.

Inserting $k = -b(i)$ and $t = 0$ to the equations $\alpha^i(k) = \alpha^{a(i)}(k + b(i)) - 1$ and $\beta(t + i) = \beta^{b(i)}(t) + a(i)$, we have $b(i) = -\alpha^{-i}(-1)$ and $a(i) = \beta(i)$. \square

4. A classification of reflexible embeddings for odd m, n

In this section, we classify reflexible edge-transitive embeddings of $K_{m,n}$ for odd m, n .

First, we characterize $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{ET}_{m,n}$ whose induced edge-transitive embedding is reflexible.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{ET}_{m,n}$, $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle, x_\alpha, y_\beta)$ is reflexible if and only if $-\alpha^{-1}(-k) = \alpha(k)$ for any $k \in [n]$ and $-\beta^{-1}(-t) = \beta(t)$ for any $t \in [m]$.*

Proof. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{ET}_{m,n}$. Then, the reflection of edge-transitive embedding $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle, x_\alpha, y_\beta)$ is $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_\alpha^{-1}, y_\beta^{-1} \rangle, x_\alpha^{-1}, y_\beta^{-1})$. Note that

$$x_\alpha^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}(0' (m-1)' (m-2)' \cdots 2' 1') \text{ and } y_\beta^{-1} = \beta^{-1}(0 n-1 n-2 \cdots 2 1).$$

Let $\sigma = (0)(1 n-1)(2 n-2) \cdots$ and $\delta = (0')(1' (m-1)')(2' (m-2)') \cdots$ be involutions in S and S' , respectively. Let $\phi = \sigma\delta$ as an automorphism of $K_{m,n}$. Then,

$$\phi^{-1}x_\alpha^{-1}\phi = \sigma\alpha^{-1}\sigma(0' 1' 2' \cdots (m-1)') \text{ and } \phi^{-1}y_\beta^{-1}\phi = \delta\beta^{-1}\delta(0 1 2 \cdots n-1).$$

Since $(\langle x_\alpha^{-1}, y_\beta^{-1} \rangle, x_\alpha^{-1}, y_\beta^{-1})$ is also (m, n) -bicyclic, $(\sigma\alpha^{-1}\sigma, \delta\beta^{-1}\delta) \in \text{ET}_{m,n}$ and furthermore $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_\alpha^{-1}, y_\beta^{-1} \rangle, x_\alpha^{-1}, y_\beta^{-1})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_{\sigma\alpha^{-1}\sigma}, y_{\delta\beta^{-1}\delta} \rangle, x_{\sigma\alpha^{-1}\sigma}, y_{\delta\beta^{-1}\delta})$ by Lemma 2.1(2). By Lemma 3.3, $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_\alpha, y_\beta \rangle, x_\alpha, y_\beta)$ and its reflection $\mathcal{M}(\langle x_\alpha^{-1}, y_\beta^{-1} \rangle, x_\alpha^{-1}, y_\beta^{-1})$ are isomorphic if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) = (\sigma\alpha^{-1}\sigma, \delta\beta^{-1}\delta)$, namely, $-\alpha^{-1}(-k) = \alpha(k)$ for any $k \in [n]$ and $-\beta^{-1}(-t) = \beta(t)$ for any $t \in [m]$. \square

For our convenience, we denote

$$\text{RET}_{m,n} = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{ET}_{m,n} : \begin{aligned} -\alpha^{-1}(-k) &= \alpha(k) \text{ for any } k \in [n] \text{ and} \\ -\beta^{-1}(-t) &= \beta(t) \text{ for any } t \in [m] \end{aligned} \}.$$

By Lemmas 3.3 and 4.1, the number of reflexible edge transitive embeddings of $K_{m,n}$ by partite set preserving automorphisms up to isomorphism is equal to the cardinality $|\text{RET}_{m,n}|$. Note that if $\alpha \in S$ and $\beta \in S'$ are the identity permutations, then (α, β) belongs to $\text{RET}_{m,n}$ by Lemma 4.1. So, for any two positive integers m and n , there exists at least one reflexible edge-transitive embedding of $K_{m,n}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{RET}_{m,n}$ and let $d_1 = |\langle \alpha \rangle|$ and $d_2 = |\langle \beta \rangle|$. Then,*

- (1) $\alpha^{-i}(-k) = -\alpha^i(k)$ for any $k \in [n]$ and $i \in [m]$, and $\beta^{-j}(-t) = -\beta^j(t)$ for any $t \in [m]$ and $j \in [n]$;
 (2) it holds that $\alpha(k) \equiv -k \pmod{d_2}$ for any $k \in [n]$ and $\beta(t) \equiv -t \pmod{d_1}$ for any $t \in [m]$.

Proof. (1) By using $\alpha^{-1}(-k) = -\alpha(k)$ repeatedly, one can see that for any $k \in [n]$ and $i \in [m]$,

$$\alpha^{-i}(-k) = \alpha^{-i+1}(-\alpha(k)) = \alpha^{-i+2}(-\alpha^2(k)) = \cdots = \alpha^{-1}(-\alpha^{i-1}(k)) = -\alpha^i(k).$$

Similarly, one can show that $\beta^{-j}(-t) = -\beta^j(t)$ for any $t \in [m]$ and $j \in [n]$.

(2) By Lemma 3.6, for each $i \in [m]$, there exist $a(i) \in [m]$ and $b(i) \in [n]$ such that $\alpha^i(k) = \alpha^{a(i)}(k + b(i)) - 1$ for all $k \in [n]$ and $\beta(t + i) = \beta^{b(i)}(t) + a(i)$ for all $t \in [m]$. Furthermore, $a(i) = \beta(i)$ and $b(i) = -\alpha^{-i}(-1) = \alpha^i(1)$. Inserting $k = 0$ to the equation $\alpha^i(k) = \alpha^{a(i)}(k + b(i)) - 1$, we have $b(i) = \alpha^{-a(i)}(1) = \alpha^{-\beta(i)}(1)$. Hence, $\alpha^i(1) = \alpha^{-\beta(i)}(1)$ for any $i \in [m]$. Since the order of α is equal to the cardinality of the orbit containing 1 by Lemma 3.4, $\beta(i) \equiv -i \pmod{d_1}$. By symmetry between α and β , it also holds that $\alpha(k) \equiv -k \pmod{d_2}$ for any $k \in [n]$. \square

By Lemma 4.2, $b(i) = \alpha^i(1) \equiv (-1)^i \pmod{d_2}$. Hence, for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{RET}_{m,n}$ with $d_1 = |\langle \alpha \rangle|$ and $d_2 = |\langle \beta \rangle|$, we have

$$\beta(t + i) = \beta^{b(i)}(t) + a(i) = \beta^{\alpha^i(1)}(t) + \beta(i) = \beta^{(-1)^i}(t) + \beta(i)$$

for all $t, i \in [m]$. By symmetry, it also holds $\alpha(k + j) = \alpha^{(-1)^j}(k) + \alpha(j)$ for all $k, j \in [n]$. The following theorem is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.3. *If both m and n are odd then there exists only one reflexible edge-transitive embedding of $K_{m,n}$ up to isomorphism, namely, the standard embedding of $K_{m,n}$ is the only reflexible edge-transitive embedding of $K_{m,n}$.*

Proof. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{RET}_{m,n}$ and let $d_1 = |\langle \alpha \rangle|$ and $d_2 = |\langle \beta \rangle|$. Since d_1 and d_2 are divisors of m and n , respectively, both d_1 and d_2 are odd.

As the first consideration, suppose that one of d_1 and d_2 is 1. For our convenience, let $d_1 = 1$, namely, let α be the identity permutation. Then, by Lemma 4.2, $\alpha(1) = 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{d_2}$. It implies that $d_2 = 1$ or 2. Since d_2 is odd, d_2 is also 1, namely, β is also the identity permutation. Hence if one of d_1 and d_2 is 1 then the other is also 1.

Suppose that $d_1 \geq 3$ or $d_2 \geq 3$. Let $d_1 \geq 3$. By lemma 4.2, $\beta(t) \equiv -t \pmod{d_1}$ for all $t \in [m]$, which implies that the order d_2 of β is even. It is a contradiction. By the same reason, if $d_2 \geq 3$ then a contradiction occurs.

Therefore, α and β should be the identity permutations. Because we chose $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{RET}_{m,n}$ arbitrarily, $|\text{RET}_{m,n}| = 1$ and the standard embedding of $K_{m,n}$ is the only reflexible edge-transitive embedding of $K_{m,n}$ up to isomorphism. \square

References

- [1] S. F. Du, G. A. Jones, J. H. Kwak, R. Nedela and M. Škovičera, *Regular embeddings of $K_{n,n}$ where n is a power of 2. I: Metacyclic case*, *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, **28** (2007), no. 6, 1595–1609.
- [2] S. F. Du, G. A. Jones, J. H. Kwak, R. Nedela and M. Škovičera, *Regular embeddings of $K_{n,n}$ where n is a power of 2. II: Non-metacyclic case*, submitted.
- [3] G. A. Jones, *Regular embeddings of complete bipartite graphs: classification and enumeration*, submitted.
- [4] G. Jones, R. Nedela and M. Škovičera, *Complete bipartite graphs with a unique regular embedding*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **98** (2008), no 2, 241–248.
- [5] G. Jones, R. Nedela and M. Škovičera, *Regular embeddings of $K_{n,n}$ where n is an odd prime power*, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* **28** (2007), no. 6, 1863–1875.
- [6] J. H. Kwak and Y. S. Kwon, *Regular orientable embeddings of complete bipartite graphs*, *J. Graph Theory* **50** (2005), no 2, 105–122.
- [7] J. H. Kwak and Y. S. Kwon, *Classification of reflexible regular embeddings and self-Petrie dual regular embeddings of the complete bipartite graphs*, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), no 11, 2156–2166.
- [8] J. H. Kwak and Y. S. Kwon, *Classification of nonorientable regular embeddings of complete bipartite graphs*, submitted.
- [9] R. Nedela and M. Škovičera and A. Zlatoš, *Regular embedding of complete bipartite graphs*, *Disc. Math.* **258** (2002), 379–381.

YOUNG SOO KWON
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
YEUNGNAM UNIVERSITY
KYONGSAN, 712-749 KOREA
E-mail address: ysookwon@ynu.ac.kr