

## Meet preserving maps on stsc-biquantales

Yong Chan Kim<sup>1</sup> and Young Sun Kim<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Kangnung National University, Gangneung, 201-702, Korea

<sup>2</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, Pai Chai University, Dae Jeon, 302-735, Korea

### Abstract

We investigate the properties of meet preserving maps on strictly two-sided, commutative biquantales. Using the properties of meet preserving maps, we induce the Zadeh image and preimage operators.

**Key words** : strictly two-sided, commutative biquantales, meet preserving maps, (left) right adjointness

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Quantales were introduced by Mulvey [8] as the non-commutative generalization of the lattice of open sets in topological spaces. Recently, quantales have arisen in an analysis of the semantics of linear logic systems developed by Girard [1], which supports part of foundation of theoretic computer science. Höhle *et al.* [4,5] introduced the notion of  $L$ -fuzzy relation on a complete quasi-monoidal lattice ( including GL-monoid [2] )  $L$  instead of a completely distributive lattice or the unit interval[7,10]. The notion of  $L$ -fuzzy relation facilitated to study fuzzy equivalence relations, fuzzy rough sets,  $L$ -fuzzy topological structures [7,10].

In this paper, we investigate  $L$ -fuzzy relation on a strictly two-sided, commutative biquantale lattice  $L$ . We study the properties of meet preserving maps  $\phi : L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** [6,8-10] A triple  $(L, \leq, \odot)$  is called a *strictly two-sided, commutative biquantale* (stsc-biquantale, for short) iff it satisfies the following properties:

(L1)  $L = (L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, 1, 0)$  is a completely distributive lattice where 1 is the universal upper bound and 0 denotes the universal lower bound;

(L2)  $(L, \odot)$  is a commutative semigroup;

(L3)  $a = a \odot 1$ , for each  $a \in L$ ;

(L4)  $\odot$  is distributive over arbitrary joins, i.e.

$$\left(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} a_i\right) \odot b = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (a_i \odot b);$$

(L5)  $\odot$  is distributive over arbitrary meets, i.e.

$$\left(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} a_i\right) \odot b = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (a_i \odot b).$$

**Remark 2.2.** [4,5,7,10,11](1) A completely distributive lattice (ref. [10]) is a stsc-biquantale. In particular, the unit interval  $([0, 1], \leq, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  is a stsc-biquantale.

(2) The unit interval with a continuous t-norm  $t$ ,  $([0, 1], \leq, t)$ , is a stsc-biquantale.

(3) Let  $(L, \leq, \odot)$  be a stsc-biquantale. For each  $x, y \in L$ , we define

$$x \rightarrow y = \bigvee \{z \in L \mid x \odot z \leq y\}.$$

Then it satisfies Galois correspondence, that is,

$$(x \odot y) \leq z \text{ iff } x \leq (y \rightarrow z).$$

In this paper, we always assume that  $(L, \leq, \odot, *)$  is a stsc-biquantale with strong negation  $*$  where  $a^* = a \rightarrow 0$  and  $a \oplus b = (a^* \odot b^*)^*$ .

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. All algebraic operations on  $L$  can be extended pointwisely to the set  $L^X$  as follows: for all  $x \in X, \lambda, \mu \in L^X$  and  $\alpha \in L$ ,

$$(1) \lambda \leq \mu \text{ iff } \lambda(x) \leq \mu(x);$$

$$(2) (\lambda \odot \mu)(x) = \lambda(x) \odot \mu(x);$$

$$(3) 1_X(x) = 1, \quad \alpha \odot 1_X(x) = \alpha \text{ and } 1_\emptyset(x) = 0;$$

$$(4) (\alpha \rightarrow \lambda)(x) = \alpha \rightarrow \lambda(x) \text{ and } (\lambda \rightarrow \alpha)(x) = \lambda(x) \rightarrow \alpha;$$

$$(5) (\alpha \odot \lambda)(x) = \alpha \odot \lambda(x).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [6,11] For each  $x, y, z, x_i, y_i \in L$ , we have the following properties.

- (1) If  $y \leq z$ ,  $(x \odot y) \leq (x \odot z)$ ,  $(x \oplus y) \leq (x \oplus z)$ ,  $x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$  and  $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x$ .
- (2)  $x \odot y \leq x \wedge y \leq x \vee y \leq x \oplus y$ .
- (3)  $x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \rightarrow y_i)$
- (4)  $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y)$ .
- (5)  $x \rightarrow (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x \rightarrow y_i)$
- (6)  $(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y)$ .
- (7)  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i^* = (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i)^*$  and  $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i^* = (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i)^*$ .
- (8)  $x \oplus (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \oplus y_i)$ .
- (9)  $x \oplus (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x \oplus y_i)$ ,
- (10)  $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$ .
- (11)  $x \odot y = (x \rightarrow y^*)^*$ ,  $x \oplus y = x^* \rightarrow y$ .
- (12)  $(x \oplus z) \odot y \leq x \oplus (y \odot z)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [6,7,10] Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  and  $\psi : N \rightarrow M$  be order-preserving maps between partially ordered sets  $M, N$ .  $\phi$  is *left adjoint* of  $\psi$ ,  $\phi \dashv \psi$ , iff  $\phi(a) \leq b \Leftrightarrow a \leq \psi(b)$ . Equivalently,  $\phi \dashv \psi$  iff  $id_M \leq \psi \circ \phi$  and  $\phi \circ \psi \leq id_N$ .

### 3. Meet preserving maps

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $L(X, Y)$  be a subset of  $(L^Y)^{(L^X)}$  if it satisfies

- (J)  $\psi(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \lambda_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \psi(\lambda_i)$ , for  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Gamma} \subset L^X$ .

We denote  $\Delta(X, Y)$  as a subset of  $L(X, Y)$  satisfying

- (D)  $\psi(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) = \psi(1_x) \rightarrow \alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in L$  and  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** For  $\psi, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in L(X, Y)$ , we define, for all  $\lambda \in L^X, \rho \in L^Y$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\rho) = \bigvee \{ \lambda \in L^X \mid \psi(\lambda^*) \geq \rho^* \},$$

$$\psi_1 \circ \psi_2(\lambda) = \psi_1(\psi_2(\lambda)).$$

Then the following properties hold:

- (1)  $\psi^{-1}(\rho) = \bigwedge \{ \lambda \in L^X \mid \psi(\lambda) \geq \rho \}$  such that  $\psi^{-1}$  is a left adjoint of  $\psi$  with  $\psi \circ \psi^{-1}(\rho) \geq \rho$  and  $\lambda \geq \psi^{-1} \circ \psi(\lambda)$ .
- (2)  $\psi^{-1}(\rho) = (\psi^{-1}(\rho^*))^*$  and  $\psi^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$  such that

$$\psi(\lambda) \geq \rho \Leftrightarrow \lambda \geq \psi^{-1}(\rho) \Leftrightarrow \psi^{-1}(\rho^*) \geq \lambda^*$$

- (3)  $(\psi^{-1})^{-1} = \psi$ .
- (4) If  $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2$ , then  $\psi_1^{-1} \leq \psi_2^{-1}$ .
- (5) If  $\phi \in L(Y, Z)$ , then  $\phi \circ \psi \in L(X, Z)$  and  $(\phi \circ \psi)^{-1} = \psi^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1} \in L(Z, X)$ .
- (6) If  $\psi(1_{\{x\}} \rightarrow \lambda(x)) = \rho_x$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $\psi(\lambda) = \bigwedge_{z \in X} \rho_z$ .
- (7) If  $\psi_1(1_{\{x\}} \rightarrow \alpha) = \psi_2(1_{\{x\}} \rightarrow \alpha)$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $\psi$  is a meet preserving map and  $\psi^{-1}(\rho) = \bigwedge \{ \lambda \in L^X \mid \psi(\lambda) \geq \rho \}$ , we have  $\psi(\lambda) \geq \rho \Leftrightarrow \lambda \geq \psi^{-1}(\rho)$ . By Definition 2.4,  $\psi^{-1}$  is a left adjoint of  $\psi$  with  $\psi \circ \psi^{-1}(\rho) \geq \rho$  and  $\lambda \leq \psi^{-1} \circ \psi(\lambda)$ .

(2) By Lemma 2.3(7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{-1}(\rho) &= \bigvee \{ \lambda \in L^X \mid \psi(\lambda^*) \geq \rho^* \} \\ &= \left( \bigwedge \{ \lambda^* \in L^X \mid \psi(\lambda^*) \geq \rho^* \} \right)^* \\ &= (\psi^{-1}(\rho^*))^*. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\psi^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$  from:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \psi^{-1}(\rho_i) \geq \lambda &\Leftrightarrow \psi^{-1}(\rho_i) \geq \lambda, \quad \forall i \in \Gamma \\ &\Leftrightarrow \psi(\lambda^*) \geq \rho_i^*, \quad \forall i \in \Gamma \\ &\Leftrightarrow \psi(\lambda^*) \geq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \rho_i^* = (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \rho_i)^*, \\ &\Leftrightarrow \psi^{-1}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \rho_i) \geq \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

(3) By the definition of  $\psi^{-1}$ , we obtain:

$$\psi(\rho) \geq \lambda \Leftrightarrow \psi^{-1}(\lambda^*) \geq \rho^* \Leftrightarrow (\psi^{-1})^{-1}(\rho) \geq \lambda$$

(4) Since  $\psi_2(\rho^*) \geq \psi_1(\rho^*) \geq \lambda^*$ , it easily proved.

(5) It follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\rho) \geq \lambda &\Leftrightarrow \phi(\psi(\lambda^*)) \geq \rho^* \Leftrightarrow \phi^{-1}(\rho) \geq \psi(\lambda^*)^* \\ &\Leftrightarrow \psi(\lambda^*) \geq \phi^{-1}(\rho)^* \Leftrightarrow \psi^{-1}(\phi^{-1}(\rho)) \geq \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

(6) For each  $\lambda \in L^X$ , we write  $\lambda = \bigwedge_{z \in X} (1_{\{z\}} \rightarrow \lambda(z))$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\lambda) &= \psi(\bigwedge_{z \in X} (1_{\{z\}} \rightarrow \lambda(z))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \psi(1_{\{z\}} \rightarrow \lambda(z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \rho_z. \end{aligned}$$

(7) For  $\lambda = \bigwedge_{z \in X} (1_{\{z\}} \rightarrow \lambda(z))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(\lambda) &= \psi_1(\bigwedge_{z \in X} (1_{\{z\}} \rightarrow \lambda(z))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \psi_1(1_{\{z\}} \rightarrow \lambda(z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \psi_2(1_{\{z\}} \rightarrow \lambda(z)) \\ &= \psi_2(\bigwedge_{z \in X} (1_{\{z\}} \rightarrow \lambda(z))) \\ &= \psi_2(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 3.3.** By Theorem 3.2(6), a family  $F = \{ \psi(1_{\{x\}} \rightarrow \alpha) \mid \alpha \in L, x \in X \}$  generates  $\psi \in L(X, Y)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** For  $\psi, \psi_i \in L(X, Y)$   $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\phi_j \in L(X, Y)$   $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , we define two operations  $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2, \psi_1 \uplus \psi_2 \in L(X, Y)$  generating with

$$\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) = \bigvee \{ \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1) \odot \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2) \mid \alpha = \alpha_1 \odot \alpha_2 \}$$

$$\psi_1 \uplus \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) = \bigvee \{ \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1) \oplus \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2) \mid \alpha = \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2 \}.$$

Then the following properties hold:

- (1) If  $\phi_1 \leq \phi_2, \psi_1 \leq \psi_2$ , then  $\phi_1 \otimes \psi_1 \leq \phi_2 \otimes \psi_2$  and  $\phi_1 \uplus \psi_1 \leq \phi_2 \uplus \psi_2$ .

(2) If  $\psi_2(1_X) = 1_Y$ , then  $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \geq \psi_1$ .

(3) If  $\psi_2(1_x^*) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\psi_1 \uplus \psi_2 \geq \psi_1$ .

(4)  $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) \otimes \psi_3 = \psi_1 \otimes (\psi_2 \otimes \psi_3)$  and  $(\psi_1 \uplus \psi_2) \uplus \psi_3 = \psi_1 \uplus (\psi_2 \uplus \psi_3)$ ,

(5)  $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2(\lambda_1 \odot \lambda_2) \geq \psi_1(\lambda_1) \odot \psi_2(\lambda_2)$  and  $\psi_1 \uplus \psi_2(\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2) \geq \psi_1(\lambda_1) \oplus \psi_2(\lambda_2)$ . Furthermore,

$$\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2(\lambda) \geq \bigvee \{ \psi_1(\lambda_1) \odot \psi_2(\lambda_2) \mid \lambda = \lambda_1 \odot \lambda_2 \},$$

$$\psi_1 \uplus \psi_2(\lambda) \geq \bigvee \{ \psi_1(\lambda_1) \oplus \psi_2(\lambda_2) \mid \lambda = \lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2 \}.$$

(6)

$$\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 = \bigwedge \{ \psi \in L(X, Y) \mid \psi(\lambda_1 \odot \lambda_2) \geq \psi_1(\lambda_1) \odot \psi_2(\lambda_2) \},$$

$$\psi_1 \uplus \psi_2 = \bigwedge \{ \psi \in L(X, Y) \mid \psi(\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2) \geq \psi_1(\lambda_1) \oplus \psi_2(\lambda_2) \},$$

(7)  $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)^{-1} = \psi_1^{-1} \uplus \psi_2^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$

(8)  $(\psi_1 \uplus \psi_2)^{-1} = \psi_1^{-1} \otimes \psi_2^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$ .

(9) If  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in L(Y, Z)$ , then  $(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) \circ (\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2) \geq (\varphi_1 \circ \phi_1) \otimes (\varphi_2 \circ \phi_2)$ . and  $(\varphi_1 \uplus \varphi_2) \circ (\phi_1 \uplus \phi_2) \geq (\varphi_1 \circ \phi_1) \uplus (\varphi_2 \circ \phi_2)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Straightforward.

(2) Since  $\bigwedge_{x \in X} \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow 1) = \psi_2(1_X) = 1_Y$  implies  $\psi_2(1_x \rightarrow 1) = 1_Y$ , for  $\lambda = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (1_x \rightarrow \lambda_1(x) \odot \lambda_2(x))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2(\lambda) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left\{ \bigvee \left( \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \lambda_1(x)) \odot \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \lambda_2(x)) \right) \mid \right. \\ & \quad \left. \lambda(x) = \lambda_1(x) \odot \lambda_2(x) \right\} \\ & \geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left\{ \left( \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \lambda(x)) \odot \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow 1) \right) \mid \right. \\ & \quad \left. \lambda(x) = \lambda(x) \odot 1(x) \right\} \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \lambda(x)) = \psi_1(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Since  $\bigwedge_{x \in X} \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow 0) = \psi_2(\bar{0}) = \bar{0}$ , for  $\lambda = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (1_x \rightarrow \lambda(x) \oplus 0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_1 \uplus \psi_2(\lambda) \\ & \geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left\{ \left( \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \lambda(x)) \oplus \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow 0) \right) \mid \right. \\ & \quad \left. \lambda(x) = \lambda(x) \oplus 0 \right\} \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \lambda(x)) = \psi_1(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Suppose there exists  $(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \in L^X$  with  $(\psi_1 \otimes (\psi_2 \otimes \psi_3))(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \not\geq ((\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) \otimes \psi_3)(1_x \rightarrow \alpha)$ . Then there exist  $\alpha_i \in L$  with  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \odot \alpha_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_1 \otimes (\psi_2 \otimes \psi_3))(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \\ & \not\geq (\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1) \odot \psi_3(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

By (L5), there exist  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  with  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 \odot \beta_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_1 \otimes (\psi_2 \otimes \psi_3))(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \\ & \not\geq (\psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \beta_1) \odot \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \beta_2)) \odot \psi_3(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $(\beta_1 \odot \beta_2) \odot \alpha_2 = \beta_1 \odot (\beta_2 \odot \alpha_2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_1 \otimes (\psi_2 \otimes \psi_3))(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \\ & \geq \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \beta_1) \odot (\psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \beta_2) \odot \psi_3(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2)). \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction. Thus,  $\psi_1 \otimes (\psi_2 \otimes \psi_3) \geq (\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) \otimes \psi_3$ .

Similarly,  $\psi_1 \otimes (\psi_2 \otimes \psi_3) \leq (\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) \otimes \psi_3$  and  $(\psi_1 \uplus \psi_2) \uplus \psi_3 = \psi_1 \uplus (\psi_2 \uplus \psi_3)$ .

(5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2(\lambda) \\ &= \bigvee \left\{ \left( \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \lambda_1(x)) \odot \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \lambda_2(x))) \mid \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \lambda = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (1_x \rightarrow \lambda_1(x) \odot \lambda_2(x)) \right) \right\} \\ & \geq \bigvee \left\{ \left( \bigwedge_{x \in X} \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \lambda_1(x)) \right) \odot \left( \bigwedge_{y \in X} \psi_2(1_y \rightarrow \lambda_2(y)) \right) \mid \right. \\ & \quad \left. \lambda = \lambda_1 \odot \lambda_2 \right\} \\ &= \bigvee \{ \psi_1(\lambda_1) \odot \psi_2(\lambda_2) \mid \lambda = \lambda_1 \odot \lambda_2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Other cases are similarly proved.

(6) By (5),  $\psi_1 \uplus \psi_2(\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2) \geq \psi_1(\lambda_1) \oplus \psi_2(\lambda_2)$ . Since  $\lambda_1 = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (1_x \rightarrow \lambda_1(x))$  and  $\lambda_2 = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (1_y \rightarrow \lambda_2(y))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2 &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (1_x \rightarrow \lambda_1(x)) \oplus \bigwedge_{y \in X} (1_y \rightarrow \lambda_2(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{y \in X} \left( (1_x \rightarrow \lambda_1(x)) \oplus (1_y \rightarrow \lambda_2(y)) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left( (1_x \rightarrow \lambda_1(x)) \oplus (1_x \rightarrow \lambda_2(x)) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (1_x \rightarrow (\lambda_1(x) \oplus \lambda_2(x))). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\psi(\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2) \geq \psi_1(\lambda_1) \oplus \psi_2(\lambda_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \psi(1_x \rightarrow (\lambda_1(x) \oplus \lambda_2(x))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \psi \left( (1_x \rightarrow \lambda_1(x)) \oplus (1_x \rightarrow \lambda_2(x)) \right) \\ & \geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left( \psi_1((1_x \rightarrow \lambda_1(x))) \oplus \psi_2((1_x \rightarrow \lambda_2(x))) \right) \\ &= \psi_1 \uplus \psi_2(\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

(7) Suppose  $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)^{-1}(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \not\geq (\psi_1^{-1} \uplus \psi_2^{-1})(1_x \rightarrow \alpha)$ . Then there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in L$  with  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2$  such that

$$(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)^{-1}(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \not\geq \psi_1^{-1}(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1) \uplus \psi_2^{-1}(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2).$$

By the definitions of  $\psi_1^{-1}(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1)$  and  $\psi_2^{-1}(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2)$ , there exist  $\rho_i$  with  $\psi_1(\rho_1^*) \geq (1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1)^*$  and  $\psi_2(\rho_2^*) \geq (1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2)^*$  such that

$$(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)^{-1}(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \not\geq \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2.$$

On the other hand, since  $\psi_1(\rho_1^*) \geq (1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1)^*$  and  $\psi_2(\rho_2^*) \geq (1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2)^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)(\rho_1^* \odot \rho_2^*) &\geq \psi_1(\rho_1^*) \odot \psi_2(\rho_2^*) \\ &\geq (1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1)^* \odot (1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1) \oplus (1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2) = 1_x \rightarrow (\alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2)$$

we have,  $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)^{-1}(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \geq \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2$ . It is a contradiction. Thus,  $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)^{-1} \geq \psi_1^{-1} \uplus \psi_2^{-1}$ .

Suppose  $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)^{-1}(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \not\leq (\psi_1^{-1} \uplus \psi_2^{-1})(1_x \rightarrow \alpha)$ . Then there exists  $\rho$  with  $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)(\rho^*) \geq (1_x \rightarrow \alpha)^*$  such that

$$\rho \not\leq (\psi_1^{-1} \uplus \psi_2^{-1})(1_x \rightarrow \alpha).$$

Since  $(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \geq ((\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)(\rho^*))^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \\ & \geq \left( \bigwedge_{x \in X} \{ \bigvee \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \rho_1^*(x)) \odot \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \rho_2^*(x)) \mid \right. \\ & \quad \left. \rho^*(x) = \rho_1^*(x) \odot \rho_2^*(x) \} \right)^* \\ & = \bigvee_{x \in X} \{ \bigwedge \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \rho_1^*(x))^* \oplus \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \rho_2^*(x))^* \mid \\ & \quad \rho^*(x) = \rho_1^*(x) \odot \rho_2^*(x) \} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\psi_1^{-1} \uplus \psi_2^{-1}) \in L(X, Y)$  and  $\psi_i(1_x \rightarrow \rho_i^*(x)) \leq \psi_i(1_x \rightarrow \rho_i^*(x))$ , we have

$$\psi_i^{-1}(\psi_i(1_x \rightarrow \rho_i^*(x))^*) \geq (1_x \rightarrow \rho_i^*(x))^*, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

It implies

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_1^{-1} \uplus \psi_2^{-1})(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \\ & \geq (\psi_1^{-1} \uplus \psi_2^{-1}) \left( \bigvee_{x \in X} \{ \bigwedge \psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \rho_1^*(x))^* \right. \\ & \quad \left. \oplus \psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \rho_2^*(x))^* \mid \rho^*(x) = \rho_1^*(x) \odot \rho_2^*(x) \} \right) \\ & \geq \bigvee_{x \in X} \left( \{ \bigwedge \psi_1^{-1}(\psi_1(1_x \rightarrow \rho_1^*(x))^*) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \oplus \psi_2^{-1}(\psi_2(1_x \rightarrow \rho_2^*(x))^*) \mid \rho^*(x) = \rho_1^*(x) \odot \rho_2^*(x) \} \right) \\ & \geq \bigvee_{x \in X} \left( (1_x \rightarrow \rho_1^*(x))^* \oplus (1_x \rightarrow \rho_2^*(x))^* \right) \\ & = \bigvee_{x \in X} \left( (1_x \rightarrow \rho_1^*(x)) \odot (1_x \rightarrow \rho_2^*(x)) \right)^* \\ & = \bigvee_{x \in X} \left( 1_x \rightarrow (\rho_1^*(x) \odot \rho_2^*(x)) \right)^* \\ & = \bigvee_{x \in X} \left( 1_x \rightarrow \rho^*(x) \right)^* \\ & = \left( \bigwedge_{x \in X} (1_x \rightarrow \rho^*(x)) \right)^* \\ & = (\rho^*)^* = \rho. \end{aligned}$$

(8) Since  $(\psi_1^{-1} \otimes \psi_2^{-1})^{-1} = (\psi_1^{-1})^{-1} \uplus (\psi_2^{-1})^{-1} = \psi_1 \uplus \psi_2$ , we have  $\psi_1^{-1} \otimes \psi_2^{-1} = (\psi_1 \uplus \psi_2)^{-1}$ .

(9) Suppose there exists  $1_x \rightarrow \alpha \in L^X$  with  $(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) \circ (\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \not\leq (\varphi_1 \circ \phi_1) \otimes (\varphi_2 \circ \phi_2)(1_x \rightarrow \alpha)$ . Then there exist  $\alpha_i$  with  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \odot \alpha_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) \circ (\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \\ & \not\leq (\varphi_1 \circ \phi_1)(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1) \odot (\varphi_2 \circ \phi_2)(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) \circ (\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(1_x \rightarrow \alpha) \\ & \geq (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)(\phi_1(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1) \odot \phi_2(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2)) \quad (\text{by (5)}) \\ & \geq \varphi_1(\phi_1(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_1)) \odot \varphi_2(\phi_2(1_x \rightarrow \alpha_2)). \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction. Thus,  $(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) \circ (\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2) \geq (\varphi_1 \circ \phi_1) \otimes (\varphi_2 \circ \phi_2)$ .

By (7) and Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi_1^{-1} \otimes \phi_2^{-1}) \circ (\varphi_1^{-1} \otimes \varphi_2^{-1}) \geq (\phi_1^{-1} \circ \varphi_1^{-1}) \otimes (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \\ & \Rightarrow (\phi_1 \uplus \phi_2)^{-1} \circ (\varphi_1 \uplus \varphi_2)^{-1} \geq (\varphi_1 \circ \phi_1)^{-1} \otimes (\varphi_2 \circ \phi_2)^{-1} \\ & \Rightarrow (\varphi_1 \uplus \varphi_2) \circ (\phi_1 \uplus \phi_2) \geq (\varphi_1 \circ \phi_1) \uplus (\varphi_2 \circ \phi_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $b : P(X) \rightarrow P(Y)$  be non-constant function with  $b(\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} b(A_i)$ . Let  $\psi_b : L^X \rightarrow L^Y$  be a function satisfying the following conditions:

- (A)  $\phi_b(1_A) = 1_{b(A)}$  for all  $A \in P(X)$ ,
- (B)  $\phi_b(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \lambda_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \phi_b(\lambda_i)$ , for  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Gamma} \subset L^X$ ,
- (C)  $\phi_b(1_A \rightarrow \alpha) = 1_{b(A)} \rightarrow \alpha$ , for all  $A \in P(X)$ .

Then we have the following properties:

- (1) For each  $\lambda \in L^X$ , we have  $\lambda = \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{\lambda_a} \rightarrow a)$  where  $\lambda_a = \{x \in X \mid \lambda(x) \leq a\}$ .
- (2)  $\phi_b(\lambda) = \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{b(\lambda_a)} \rightarrow a)$ .
- (3)  $b^{-1}(B) = \bigcap \{A \in P^X \mid B \subset b(A)\}$  such that  $b^{-1}$  is a left adjoint of  $b$  with  $b^{-1}(\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} B_i) = \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} b^{-1}(B_i)$ .
- (4) If  $b(\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} b(A_i)$ ,  $b^{-1}(B) = \bigcup \{A \in P^X \mid b(A) \subset B\}$  such that  $b^{-1}$  is a right adjoint of  $b$ .
- (5) If  $b(\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} b(A_i)$ , then  $\phi_b^{-1}$  is a left adjoint of  $\phi_b$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_b^{-1}(\rho) & = \bigwedge \{ \lambda \in L^X \mid \phi_b(\lambda) \geq \rho \} \\ & = \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{b^{-1}(\rho_a)} \rightarrow a) = \phi_{b^{-1}}(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

- (6) If  $b(\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} b(A_i)$ , then

$$(\phi_b)^{-1}(\rho) = \bigvee_{a \in L} (a^* \odot 1_{b^{-1}(\rho_a^*)}).$$

*Proof.* (1) If  $\lambda(x) = a$ , then  $x \in \lambda_a$ . Thus

$$\bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{\lambda_a} \rightarrow a)(x) \leq (1_{\lambda_a} \rightarrow a)(x) = 1 \rightarrow a = a = \lambda(x).$$

Hence  $\lambda \geq \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{\lambda_a} \rightarrow a)$ .

Suppose there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$\lambda(x) \not\leq \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{\lambda_a} \rightarrow a)(x).$$

Put  $\lambda(x) = d$ . Then there exists  $e \in L$  such that

$$d = \lambda(x) \not\leq (1_{\lambda_e} \rightarrow e)(x).$$

It implies  $x \in \lambda_e$ , that is,  $d = \lambda(x) \leq e$ . It is a contradiction because

$$d = \lambda(x) \not\leq (1_{\lambda_e} \rightarrow e)(x) = e.$$

Hence  $\lambda \leq \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{\lambda_a} \rightarrow a)$ .

(2) Since  $\lambda = \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{\lambda_a} \rightarrow a)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_b(\lambda)(y) &= \phi_b(\bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{\lambda_a} \rightarrow a))(y) \\ &= \bigwedge_{a \in L} \phi_b(1_{\lambda_a} \rightarrow a)(y) \\ &= \bigwedge_{a \in L} (\phi_b(1_{\lambda_a}) \rightarrow a)(y) \\ &= \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{b(\lambda_a)} \rightarrow a)(y) \end{aligned}$$

(3) Since  $B \subset b(A)$  iff  $b^{-1}(B) \subset A$ ,  $b^{-1}$  is a left adjoint of  $b$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b^{-1}(\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} B_i) \subset A &\Leftrightarrow \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} B_i \subset b(A) \\ &\Leftrightarrow B_i \subset b(A), \forall i \in \Gamma \\ &\Leftrightarrow b^{-1}(B_i) \subset A, \forall i \in \Gamma \\ &\Leftrightarrow \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} b^{-1}(B_i) \subset A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $b^{-1}(\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} B_i) = \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} b^{-1}(B_i)$ .

(4) Since  $b(A) \subset B$  iff  $A \subset b^{-1}(B)$ ,  $b^{-1}$  is a right adjoint of  $b$ . By a similar method as in (3),  $b^{-1}(\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} B_i) = \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} b^{-1}(B_i)$ .

(5) Since  $\phi_b^{-1}(\rho)(x) = \bigwedge \{\lambda(x) \mid \phi_b(\lambda) \geq \rho\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_b(\lambda) &= \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{b(\lambda_a)} \rightarrow a) \geq \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{\rho_a} \rightarrow a) = \rho \\ &\Leftrightarrow b(\lambda_a) \subset \rho_a, \forall a \in L \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lambda_a \subset b^{-1}(\rho_a), \forall a \in L \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lambda = \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{\lambda_a} \rightarrow a) \geq \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{b^{-1}(\rho_a)} \rightarrow a) \end{aligned}$$

we have  $\phi_b^{-1}(\rho) = \bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{b^{-1}(\rho_a)} \rightarrow a) = \phi_{b^{-1}}(\rho)$ .

(6)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_b^{-1}(\rho) &= (\phi_b^{-1}(\rho^*))^* \\ &= (\bigwedge_{a \in L} (1_{b^{-1}(\rho_a^*)} \rightarrow a))^* \\ &= \bigvee_{a \in L} (1_{b^{-1}(\rho_a^*)} \oplus a)^* \text{ (by Lemma 2.3(11))} \\ &= \bigvee_{a \in L} (1_{b^{-1}(\rho_a^*)} \odot a^*) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$  be sets. Define  $b : P(X) \rightarrow P(Y)$  as follows:

$$b(\emptyset) = \{y_1\}, b(\{x_1\}) = \{y_1, y_2\},$$

$$b(\{x_2\}) = \{y_1\}, b(X) = Y.$$

Since  $b(\bigcap A_i) = \bigcap b(A_i)$ ,  $b$  has a left adjoint  $b^{-1}$  defined as

$$b^{-1}(B) = \bigcap \{A \in P(X) \mid B \subset b(A)\}$$

We obtain

$$b^{-1}(\{y_1, y_2\}) = b^{-1}(\{y_2\}) = \{x_1\},$$

$$b^{-1}(\{y_1\}) = b^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset.$$

Let  $([0, 1], \odot)$  be a continuous t-norm and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]^X$ ,  $\rho \in [0, 1]^Y$  as follows:

$$\lambda(x_1) = 0.5, \lambda(x_2) = 0.7, \quad \rho(y_1) = 0.4, \rho(y_2) = 0.5.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= (1_{\lambda_{0.5}} \rightarrow 0.5) \wedge (1_{\lambda_{0.7}} \rightarrow 0.7) \\ &= (1_{x_1} \rightarrow 0.5) \wedge (1_X \rightarrow 0.7) \\ \rho &= (1_{\rho_{0.4}} \rightarrow 0.4) \wedge (1_{\rho_{0.5}} \rightarrow 0.5) \\ &= (1_{y_1} \rightarrow 0.4) \wedge (1_Y \rightarrow 0.5) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_b(\lambda)(y_1) &= \left( (1_{b(\{x_1\})} \rightarrow 0.5) \wedge (1_{b(X)} \rightarrow 0.7) \right)(y_1) \\ &= \left( (1_Y \rightarrow 0.5) \wedge (1_Y \rightarrow 0.7) \right)(y_1) = 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi_b(\lambda)(y_2) = \left( (1_Y \rightarrow 0.5) \wedge (1_Y \rightarrow 0.7) \right)(y_2) = 0.5.$$

Since  $b(\bigcup A_i) = \bigcup b(A_i)$ ,  $b$  has a right adjoint  $b^{-1}$  defined as

$$b^{-1}(B) = \bigcup \{A \in P(X) \mid b(A) \subset B\}$$

We obtain

$$b^{-1}(\{y_1, y_2\}) = X, b^{-1}(\{y_1\}) = \{x_2\},$$

$$b^{-1}(\{y_2\}) = b^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_b^{-1}(\rho)(x_1) &= \left( (1_{b^{-1}(\{y_1\})} \rightarrow 0.4) \wedge (1_{b^{-1}(Y)} \rightarrow 0.5) \right)(x_1) \\ &= \left( (1_{\{x_2\}} \rightarrow 0.4) \wedge (1_X \rightarrow 0.5) \right)(x_1) = 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi_b^{-1}(\rho)(x_2) = \left( (1_{\{x_2\}} \rightarrow 0.4) \wedge (1_X \rightarrow 0.5) \right)(x_2) = 0.4.$$

Furthermore, since

$$\rho^* = (1_{\rho_{0.6}^*} \rightarrow 0.6) \wedge (1_{\rho_{0.5}^*} \rightarrow 0.5) = (1_Y \rightarrow 0.6) \wedge (1_{\{y_1\}} \rightarrow 0.5)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_b)^{-1}(\rho) &= \bigvee_{a \in L} (a^* \odot 1_{b^{-1}(\rho_a^*)}) \\ &= (1_{b^{-1}(\{y_1\})} \odot 0.5) \wedge (1_{b^{-1}(Y)} \odot 0.4) \\ &= (1_{\{x_2\}} \odot 0.5) \wedge (1_X \odot 0.4) \end{aligned}$$

We obtain  $(\phi_b)^{-1}(\rho)(x_1) = 0$ ,  $(\phi_b)^{-1}(\rho)(x_2) = 0.4$ .

## References

- [1] J.Y. Girard, *Linear logic*, Theoret. Comp. Sci. 50, 1987, 1-102.
- [2] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1998).
- [3] U. Höhle, *Many valued topology and its applications*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Boston, (2001).
- [4] U. Höhle, E. P. Klement, *Non-classical logic and their applications to fuzzy subsets*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Boston, 1995.
- [5] U. Höhle, S. E. Rodabaugh, *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Logic, Topology and Measure Theory*, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series, Volume 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1999).

- [6] Y.C. Kim, J.M. Ko, Images and preimages of filter-bases, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 157, 2006, 1913-1927.
  - [7] Liu Ying-Ming, *Projective and injective objects in the category of quantales*, *J. of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 176, 2002, 249-258.
  - [8] C.J. Mulvey, *Quantales*, *Suppl. Rend. Cric. Mat. Palermo Ser.II* 12,1986,99-104.
  - [9] C.J. Mulvey, J.W. Pelletier, On the quantisation of point, *J. of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 159, 2001, 231-295.
  - [10] S. E. Rodabaugh, E. P. Klement, *Topological And Algebraic Structures In Fuzzy Sets*, *The Handbook of Recent Developments in the Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets*, Trends in Logic 20 , Kluwer Academic Publishers, (Boston/Dordrecht/London) (2003).
  - [11] E. Turunen, *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*, A Springer-Verlag Co., 1999.
- 

저 자 소 개
---------

**Yong Chan Kim**

He received the M.S and Ph.D. degrees in Department of Mathematics from Yonsei University, in 1984 and 1991, respectively. From 1991 to present, he is a professor in the Department of Mathematics, Kangnung University. His research interests are fuzzy topology and fuzzy logic.

**Young Sun Kim**

He received the M.S and Ph.D. degrees in Department of Mathematics from Yonsei University, in 1985 and 1991, respectively. From 1988 to present, he is a professor in the Department of Applied Mathematics, Pai Chai University. His research interests are fuzzy topology and fuzzy logic.