

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR GENERAL CONTRACTIVE MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS

SEONG HOON CHO* AND MI SUN KIM

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence of common fixed point for multivalued maps satisfying general contractive type conditions.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 47H10, 54H25

Key words and phrases : general contractive condition, fixed point.

1. Introduction

Banach fixed point theorem plays an important role in several branches of mathematics. For instance, it has been used to show the existence of solutions of nonlinear Volterra integral equations, nonlinear integro-differential equations in Banach spaces and to show the convergence of algorithms in computational mathematics. Because of its importance for mathematical theory, Banach fixed point theorem has been extended in many directions[1,2,3,4,7,9,14,15]. The generalizations to multivalued case are enormous. One of these generalizations is the Nadler's theorem[9] as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a multivalued map on X with Tx is nonempty closed bounded subset of X for each $x \in X$. If there exists $c \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq c d(x, y),$$

then T has a fixed point in X .

In [5], the authors proved the next Theorem 1.2 which is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Received May 8, 2008. Revised November 7, 2008. Accepted November 26, 2008.

* Corresponding author.

© 2009 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a multivalued map on X with Tx is nonempty closed bounded subset of X for each $x \in X$. If there exists $c \in [0, 1)$ such that for each $x, y \in X$*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq c \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2} \{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)\} \right\},$$

then T has a fixed point in X provided that $x \rightarrow d(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous.

Recently, in [6,7,10,11,13,14,16] the authors proved fixed point theorems for multivalued maps.

In particular, in [7] the authors gave a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

In this paper, we give a generalization of Theorem 1.2 without the condition of which $x \rightarrow d(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous. This will be done in Theorem 2.1.

In [8], the authors proved the next theorem 1.3 which is a positive response of the conjecture proposed by Reich[12]. In [8], the authors replaced the condition $\lim_{r \rightarrow t^+} k(r) < 1$ for all $0 < t < \infty$ by $\lim_{r \rightarrow t^+} k(r) < 1$ for all $0 \leq t < \infty$, where $k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$. In [5], the authors gave an alternative proof of theorem 5 of [8].

Theorem 1.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a multivalued map on X with Tx is nonempty closed bounded subset of X for each $x \in X$ and if for each $x, y \in X$*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq k(d(x, y))d(x, y),$$

where $k : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is a function such that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} k(r) < 1$ for every $t \in [0, \infty)$, then T has a fixed point in X .

In this paper, we give a generalization of theorem 5 of [8] and theorem 2.1 of [5].

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We denote by $CB(X)$ the family of nonempty closed bounded subsets of X . Let $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the Hausdorff distance on $CB(X)$. That is, for $A, B \in CB(X)$,

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b) \right\}$$

where $d(a, B) = \inf \{d(a, b) : b \in B\}$ is the distance from the point a to the subset B .

Lemma 1.4[9]. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $A, B \in CB(X)$ with $H(A, B) < \epsilon$. Then for each $a \in A$, there exists a point $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) < \epsilon$.*

From now on, let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing function such that

- (ϕ_1) $\phi(0) = 0$,
- (ϕ_2) $0 < \phi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$,
- (ϕ_3) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^n(t) < \infty$ for each $t \in (0, \infty)$.

2. Fixed point theorems

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T, S : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multivalued maps satisfying for each $x, y \in X$,*

$$H(Sx, Ty) \leq \phi \left(\max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx) \} \right\} \right). \tag{2.1.1}$$

Then T and S have a common fixed point in X . That is, there exists a point $p \in X$ such that $p \in Tp \cap Sp$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Sx_0$. Let $c \in X$ be such that $\phi(d(x_0, x_1)) < \phi(c)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(x_1, Tx_1) \\ & \leq H(Sx_0, Tx_1) \\ & \leq \phi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, Sx_0), d(x_1, Tx_1), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Sx_0) \} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \phi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, Tx_1), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, x_1) \} \right\} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.2}$$

If $d(x_0, x_1) \leq d(x_1, Tx_1)$, then $d(x_1, Tx_1) \leq \phi(d(x_1, Tx_1))$ which implies $d(x_1, Tx_1) = 0$ and so $d(x_0, x_1) = 0$. Thus we have $x_1 \in Tx_1 \cap Sx_1$, That is, T and S have a common fixed point in X .

Suppose that $d(x_1, Tx_1) \leq d(x_0, x_1)$. From (2.1.2) we have

$$d(x_1, Tx_1) \leq \phi(d(x_0, x_1)) < \phi(c).$$

We can take $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) < \phi(c)$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(x_2, Sx_2) \\
 & \leq H(Sx_2, Tx_1) \\
 & \leq \phi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, Sx_2), d(x_1, Tx_1), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(x_2, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Sx_2) \} \right\} \right) \\
 & \leq \phi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, Sx_2), d(x_1, x_2), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(x_2, x_2) + d(x_1, Sx_2) \} \right\} \right) \\
 & \leq \phi \left(d(x_1, x_2) \right) \\
 & < \phi^2(c).
 \end{aligned}$$

We can take $x_3 \in Sx_2$ such that $d(x_2, x_3) < \phi^2(c)$. Continuing this process, we can construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$x_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n}, x_{2n+2} \in Tx_{2n+1}, d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \phi^n(c).$$

Thus we have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^n(c) < \infty$. Therefore, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$. From (2.1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(x_{2n+1}, Tp) \leq H(Sx_{2n}, Tp) \\
 & \leq \phi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_{2n}, p), d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(p, Tp), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(x_{2n}, Tp) + d(p, Sx_{2n}) \} \right\} \right) \\
 & \leq \phi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_{2n}, p), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(p, Tp), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(x_{2n}, Tp) + d(p, x_{2n+1}) \} \right\} \right). \tag{2.1.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.1.3) we have $d(p, Tp) \leq \phi(d(p, Tp))$. Thus $d(p, Tp) = 0$ or $p \in Tp$.

Similarly, we can show $p \in Sp$. Therefore, we have $p \in Tp \cap Sp$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multivalued maps satisfying for each $x, y \in X$,*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \phi \left(\max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx) \} \right\} \right).$$

Then T has a fixed point in X .

If we have $\phi(t) = kt, k \in [0, 1)$ in Theorem 2.1(Corollary 2.2), then the conclusion of Theorem 2.1(Corollary 2.2) is satisfied.

Theorem 2.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $T, S : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multivalued maps and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map satisfying

- (i) $T(X) \subset f(X)$ and $S(X) \subset f(X)$,
- (ii) $f(X)$ is complete ,
- (iii) there exists a function $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(r) < 1 \text{ for every } t \in [0, \infty), \quad (2.3.1)$$

and for each $x, y \in X$,

$$H(Sx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(fx, fy))d(fx, fy). \quad (2.3.2)$$

Then T, S and f have a coincidence point in X . That is, there exists $p \in X$ such that $fp \in Sp \cap Tp$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and let $x_1 \in X$ be such that $fx_1 \in Sx_0$. From (2.3.2) we have

$$d(fx_1, Tx_1) \leq H(Sx_0, Tx_1) \leq \varphi(d(fx_0, fx_1))d(fx_0, fx_1) < d(fx_0, fx_1).$$

By Lemma 1.4 and (i), We can take $x_2 \in X$ such that

$$fx_2 \in Tx_1 \text{ and } d(fx_1, fx_2) < d(fx_0, fx_1).$$

From (2.3.2) we have

$$d(fx_2, Sx_2) \leq H(Sx_2, Tx_1) \leq \varphi(d(fx_1, fx_2))d(fx_1, fx_2) < d(fx_1, fx_2).$$

Again, by Lemma 1.4 and (i), we can take $x_3 \in X$ such that

$$fx_3 \in Sx_2 \text{ and } d(fx_2, fx_3) < d(fx_1, fx_2).$$

Continuing this process, we can construct a sequences $\{x_n\}$ in X such that for $n = 0, 1, \dots$

$$fx_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n}, fx_{2n+2} \in Tx_{2n+1}, d(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+2}) < d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})$$

Since $\{d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence in $[0, \infty)$, the sequence $\{d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})\}$ is convergent. From (2.3.1) there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})) = r$. Thus for any $l \in (r, 1)$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $\varphi(d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)) < l$. Hence we have for $n \geq n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \\
 & \leq \varphi(d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n))d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \\
 & < l d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \\
 & < l^{n-n_0}d(fx_{n_0}, fx_{n_0+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

For $m > n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(fx_n, fx_m) \\
 & \leq d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) + d(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+2}) + \dots + d(fx_{m-1}, fx_m) \\
 & \leq (l^{n-n_0} + l^{n-n_0+1} + \dots + l^{m-n_0-1})d(fx_{n_0}, fx_{n_0+1}) \\
 & \leq \frac{l^{n-n_0}}{1-l}d(fx_{n_0}, fx_{n_0+1}),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, fx_m) = 0$.

Therefore, $\{fx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $f(X)$. Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = u \in f(X)$. Then there exists a point $p \in X$ such that $fp = u$ and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = fp$.

From (2.3.2) we have

$$d(fx_{2n+2}, Sp) \leq H(Sp, Tx_{2n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(fp, fx_{2n+1}))d(fp, fx_{2n+1}).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $d(fp, Sp) = 0$ or $fp \in Sp$.

Similarily, we can show $fp \in Tp$. Therefore, we have $fp \in Sp \cap Tp$. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued map and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map satisfying*

- (i) $T(X) \subset f(X)$,
- (ii) $f(X)$ is complete ,
- (iii) for each $x, y \in X$, $H(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(fx, fy))d(fx, fy)$, where $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is a function such that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(r) < 1$ for every $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Then T and f have a coincidence point in X . That is, there exists $p \in X$ such that $fp \in Tp$.

In Theorem 2.3(Corollary 2.4), if we take $f = id$ then we have the following result, where id is the identity map on X .

Corollary 2.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T, S : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multivalued maps satisfying for each $x, y \in X$,*

$$H(Sx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y))d(x, y),$$

where $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is a function such that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(r) < 1$ for every $t \in [0, \infty)$. Then T and S have a common fixed point in X . That is, there exists $p \in X$ such that $p \in Sp \cap Tp$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multivalued map satisfying for each $x, y \in X$, $H(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y))d(x, y)$ where $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is a function such that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(r) < 1$ for every $t \in [0, \infty)$.*

Then T has a fixed point in X . That is, there exists $p \in X$ such that $p \in Tp$.

Acknowledgments

The second author was supported by Hanseo University, 2008.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Agarwal, D. O. O'Regan, N. Shahzad, *Fixed point theorems for generalized contractive maps of Mei-Keeler type* Math. Nachr. **276**(2004), 3-12.
2. J. P. Aubin, J. Siegel, *Fixed point and stationary points of dissipative multi-valued maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78**(1980), 391-398.
3. A. Branciari, *A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **29**(2002), 531-536.
4. H. Covitz, S. B. Nadler Jr., *Multi-valued contraction mappings in generalized metric spaces*, Israel J. Math. **8**(1970), 5-11.
5. P. Z. Daffer, H. Kaneko, *Fixed points of generalized contractive multi-valued mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **192**(1995), 655-666.
6. Y. Feng, *Fixed point theorems for multi-valued operators in partial ordered spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **30**(2004), 461-469.
7. Y. Feng, S. Liu, *Fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractive mappings and multi-valued Caristi type mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **317**(2006), 103-112.
8. N. Mizoguchi, W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings on complete metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **141**(1989), 177-188.
9. S. B. Nadler Jr., *Multi-valued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **30**(1969), 475-478.
10. S. V. R. Naidu, *Fixed point theorems for a broad class of multimaps*, Nonlinear Anal. **52**(2003), 961-969.
11. A. Petruşel, A. Sintămarian, *Single-valued and multi-valued Caristi type operators*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **60**(2002), 167-177.

12. S. Reich, *Some problems and results in fixed point theory*, *contemp. Math.* **21**(1983),179-187.
13. L. Van Hat, *Fixed point theorems for multi-valued mapping*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **23**(1982), 137-145.
14. P. Vijayaraju, B. E. Rhoades, R. Mohanraj, *A fixed point theorem for a pair of maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **15**(2005), 2359-2364.
15. T. Wang, *Fixed point theorems and fixed point stability for multivalued mappings on metric spaces*, *J. Nanjing Univ. Math. Baq.* **6**(1989), 16-23.
16. C. K. Zhong, J. Zhu, P. H. Zhao, *An extention of multivalued contraction mappings and fixed points*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128**(2000), 2439-2444.

Seong-Hoon Cho received Ph.D at Myngji university. From 1995, he has been working at Hanseo university. He interests on nonlinear analysis, fuzzy theory and topology.

Department of Mathematics, Hanseo University, Chungnam 356-820, South Korea
e-mail: shcho@hanseo.ac.kr