ON SELF-RECIPROCAL POLYNOMIALS AT A POINT ON THE UNIT CIRCLE

SEON-HONG KIM

ABSTRACT. Given two integral self-reciprocal polynomials having the same modulus at a point z_0 on the unit circle, we show that the minimal polynomial of z_0 is also self-reciprocal and it divides an explicit integral self-reciprocal polynomial. Moreover, for any two integral self-reciprocal polynomials, we give a sufficient condition for the existence of a point z_0 on the unit circle such that the two polynomials have the same modulus at z_0 .

1. Introduction and statement of results

Throughout this paper, U denotes the unit circle and n is a positive integer. A polynomial $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ is said to be a self-reciprocal polynomial of degree n if it satisfies $a_n \neq 0$ and $P(z) = z^n P(1/z)$. Thus the zeros of a self-reciprocal polynomial either lie on the unit circle or are symmetric with respect to U. There have been a number of interesting problems (for example [2]) about the distribution of zeros of self-reciprocal polynomials. Also the minimal polynomial of an algebraic number α is the unique irreducible monic polynomial f(z) of smallest degree with rational coefficients such that $f(\alpha) = 0$.

In this paper, we study a generalization of an already rather general problem, that of determining the zeros of a polynomial on U. This maybe phrased as finding z with |z|=1 such that |P(z)|=0, where P(z) is a polynomial. We propose broaden this to the problem for finding z with |z|=1 such that |P(z)|=|Q(z)|, where P(z) and Q(z) are polynomials. A first priority in this fashion seems to determine the minimal polynomial F(z) of an element of the set

$${z: |P(z)| = |Q(z)|, |z| = 1}.$$

Received September 19, 2008.

 $^{2000\ \}textit{Mathematics Subject Classification}.\ \textit{Primary 30C15};\ \textit{Secondary 26C10}.$

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ self-reciprocal polynomials, zeros, unit circle.

This Research was supported by the Sookmyung Women's University Research Grants 2009.

Also, what can be said about the number of zeros on U of F(z)? We study these questions in case that the polynomials are integral and self-reciprocal.

Now we establish the first result.

Theorem 1. Let P(z) and Q(z) be integral self-reciprocal polynomials with $\deg P(z) = m \ge n = \deg Q(z)$. Suppose that

$$|P(z_0)| = |Q(z_0)| \neq 0$$

for some z_0 with $|z_0| = 1$ and $z_0 \neq 1$. Then the minimal polynomial of z_0 is also self-reciprocal and it divides integral self-reciprocal polynomial $P(z)^2 - z^{m-n}Q(z)^2$.

In above theorem, $z_0 \neq 1$ is required because the minimal polynomial of 1 is z-1 which is not self-reciprocal. One may ask whether there always exist z_0 with $|z_0| = 1$ and $z_0 \neq 1$ such that $|P(z_0)| = |Q(z_0)|$ for any two integral self-reciprocal polynomials P(z) and Q(z). But an example of

$$P(z) = z^3 - 2z^2 - 2z + 1,$$
 $Q(z) = z^2 - 7z + 1$

gives the negative answer by Theorem 1. This is because $P(z)^2 - z^{m-n}Q(z)^2$ has no zeros on U. Hence it is interesting to mention the condition that the question above is true. We now give a sufficient condition for that when P(z) and Q(z) are even degrees of polynomials.

Theorem 2. For even integers m and n, let

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k z^k, \qquad Q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k z^k$$

be integral self-reciprocal polynomials with $\deg P(z) = m \ge n = \deg Q(z)$. If either

$$\left(a_{\frac{m}{2}} - b_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^2 < \frac{8}{4m+3} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}-k} - b_{\frac{n}{2}-k}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{\frac{m}{2}} a_{\frac{m}{2}-k}^2 \right]$$

or

$$\left(a_{\frac{m}{2}} + b_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^2 < \frac{8}{4m+3} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}-k} + b_{\frac{n}{2}-k}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{\frac{m}{2}} a_{\frac{m}{2}-k}^2 \right],$$

then there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z_0| = 1$ such that

$$|P(z_0)| = |Q(z_0)|.$$

In Section 2, we provide proofs and some examples of Theorems 1 and 2.

2. Proofs and examples

Proof of Theorem 1. The first part of the theorem follows from the well known fact that the integral minimal polynomial f(z) of degree d of z_0 with $|z_0| = 1$ is self-reciprocal. This is because

$$z_0^d f\left(z_0^{-1}\right) = z_0^d f(\overline{z_0}) = 0,$$

and z_0 is a zero of the polynomial $z^n f\left(z^{-1}\right)$ which has degree d. Since the minimal is unique, we have $f(z)=z^d f\left(z^{-1}\right)$. We now prove the second part of the theorem. Suppose that P(z) and Q(z) are integral self-reciprocal polynomials with deg $P(z)=m\geq n=\deg Q(z)$. Consider 2m degree polynomial

$$F(z) = P(z)^2 - z^{m-n}Q(z)^2.$$

Then F(z) is an integral self-reciprocal polynomial since

$$\begin{split} z^{2m} F(z^{-1}) &= z^{2m} (P(z^{-1})^2 - z^{-m+n} Q(z^{-1})^2) \\ &= z^{2m} (z^{-2m} P(z)^2 - z^{-m+n} z^{-2n} Q(z)^2) \\ &= P(z)^2 - z^{m-n} Q(z)^2 = F(z). \end{split}$$

Suppose that $|P(z_0)|^2 = |Q(z_0)|^2$ for some z_0 with $|z_0| = 1$ and $z_0 \neq 1$. Using $\overline{z_0} = 1/z_0$ and P(z), Q(z) self-reciprocal, we have

$$0 = P(z_0)\overline{P(z_0)} - Q(z_0)\overline{Q(z_0)} = P(z_0)P(z_0^{-1}) - Q(z_0)Q(z_0^{-1})$$

= $z_0^{-m}P(z_0)^2 - z_0^{-n}Q(z_0)^2 = z_0^{-m}(P(z_0)^2 - z_0^{m-n}Q(z_0)^2)$
= $z_0^{-m}F(z_0)$,

which completes the proof.

Example 3. Let $P(z)=z^4+1$ and $Q(z)=z^2+1$. For $z_0=\frac{1\pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}$ and $z_1=\frac{-1\pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}$, we may compute that

$$|P(z_0)| = |Q(z_0)| = |P(z_1)| = |Q(z_1)| = 1.$$

Also the minimal polynomials of z_0 and z_1 are

$$z^2 - z + 1$$

and

$$z^2 + z + 1$$
.

respectively. Now we can confirm that the two polynomials above, $z^2 \pm z + 1$, are factors of

$$(z^4+1)^2 - z^2(z^2+1)^2 = (z-1)^2(z+1)^2(z^2+z+1)^2(z^2-z+1)^2.$$

Example 4. Consider the self-reciprocal polynomials

$$z^3 + 1$$
 and $z^2 + z + 1$

having all their zeros on U. By Theorem 1, a complex number z_0 on U with $|z_0^3 + 1| = |z_0^2 + z_0 + 1|$ must have the minimal polynomial

$$F(z) = z^6 - z^5 - 2z^4 - z^3 - 2z^2 - z + 1$$

since

$$(z^3+1)^2 - z(z^2+z+1) = F(z),$$

and F(z) is irreducible.

The minimal polynomials of z_0 and z_1 in Example 3 have all their zeros on U. However we may verify that F(z) in Example 4 has two zeros not on U. Hence it is natural to ask which self-reciprocal polynomials P(z) and Q(z) in Theorem 1 give the minimal polynomial of z_0 having all its zeros on U. We now provide two examples of such pairs of polynomials:

(1)
$$P(z) = z^{n+k} + 1$$
, $Q(z) = z^n + 1$.

For $k \geq 1$,

$$(z^{n+k}+1)^2 - z^k(z^n+1)^2$$

$$= (z^k-1)(z^{2n+k}-1)$$

$$= (z-1)^2(z^{k-1}+z^{k-2}+\cdots+1)(z^{2n+k-1}+z^{2n+k-2}+\cdots+1).$$

(2)
$$P(z) = \frac{z^m - 1}{z - 1}, Q(z) = \frac{z^n - 1}{z - 1}.$$

For $m \geq n$,

$$\left(\frac{z^m - 1}{z - 1}\right)^2 - z^{m-n} \left(\frac{z^n - 1}{z - 1}\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{(z^{m-n} - 1)(z^{m+n} - 1)}{(z - 1)^2}$$

$$= (z^{m-n-1} + z^{m-n-2} + \dots + 1)(z^{m+n-1} + z^{m+n-2} + \dots + 1).$$

For the proof of Theorem 2, we need the following lemma which is the Nikolskii-type inequality (see Theorem 2.6 of [1]) for the class of real trigonometric polynomials of degree at most n.

Let $\mathbf{K} := \mathbb{R} \pmod{2\pi}$. For $f \in C(\mathbf{K})$, let

$$||f||_p := \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta)|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}, \quad 0$$

Lemma 5. Let T_n be a real trigonometric polynomial of degree at most n, and $0 < q \le p \le \infty$. Then we have

$$||T_n||_p \le \left(\frac{2rn+1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} ||T_n||_q,$$

where r := r(q) is the smallest integer not less than q/2.

Proof of Theorem 2. For even integers m and n, let

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k z^k, \qquad Q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k z^k$$

be integral self-reciprocal polynomials with $\deg P(z)=m\geq n=\deg Q(z).$ Suppose that

$$|P(z)| \neq |Q(z)|$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with |z| = 1. Write $F(z) = F_1(z)F_2(z)$, where

$$F_1(z) = P(z) - z^{\frac{m-n}{2}}Q(z), \qquad F_2(z) = P(z) + z^{\frac{m-n}{2}}Q(z).$$

Then both $F_1(z)$ and $F_2(z)$ have no zeros on U and $\deg F_1(z) = \deg F_2(z) = m$. Now we have

$$\frac{F_1(z)}{z^{\frac{m}{2}}} = \frac{P(z)}{z^{\frac{m}{2}}} - \frac{Q(z)}{z^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

Since, for $z = e^{i\theta}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{P(z)}{z^{\frac{m}{2}}} &= a_{\frac{m}{2}} + a_{\frac{m}{2}-1} \left(z + \frac{1}{z} \right) + a_{\frac{m}{2}-2} \left(z^2 + \frac{1}{z^2} \right) + \dots + a_0 \left(z^{\frac{m}{2}} + \frac{1}{z^{\frac{m}{2}}} \right) \\ &= a_{\frac{m}{2}} + 2 \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}-1} Re \ z + \dots + a_0 Re \ z^{\frac{m}{2}} \right) \\ &= a_{\frac{m}{2}} + 2 \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}-1} \cos(\theta) + \dots + a_0 \cos\left(\frac{m}{2} \theta \right) \right) \end{split}$$

and similarly

$$\frac{Q(z)}{z^{\frac{n}{2}}} = b_{\frac{n}{2}} + 2\left(b_{\frac{n}{2}-1}\cos(\theta) + \dots + b_0\cos\left(\frac{n}{2}\theta\right)\right).$$

Since $F_1(z)$ has no zeros on U_1

$$T(\theta) := \frac{F_1(z)}{z^{\frac{m}{2}}} = \frac{P(z)}{z^{\frac{m}{2}}} - \frac{Q(z)}{z^{\frac{n}{2}}}$$

$$= \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}} + 2\left(a_{\frac{m}{2} - 1}\cos(\theta) + \dots + a_0\cos\left(\frac{m}{2}\theta\right)\right)\right)$$

$$- \left(b_{\frac{n}{2}} + 2\left(b_{\frac{n}{2} - 1}\cos(\theta) + \dots + b_0\cos\left(\frac{n}{2}\theta\right)\right)\right)$$

$$= a_{\frac{m}{2}} - b_{\frac{n}{2}} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(a_{\frac{m}{2} - k} - b_{\frac{n}{2} - k}\right)\cos(k\theta)$$

$$+ 2\sum_{k=\frac{n}{2} + 1}^{\frac{m}{2}} a_{\frac{m}{2} - k}\cos(k\theta)$$

has no any real zeros. Without loss of generality we may assume that T is positive on the real line. Then we have

$$||T||_1 = \int_0^{2\pi} T(\theta) d\theta = 2\pi \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}} - b_{\frac{n}{2}} \right).$$

Using the Parseval formula, we also have

$$||T||_{2}^{2} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} T(\theta)^{2} d\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}} - b_{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^{2}$$

$$+ 4\pi \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}-k} - b_{\frac{n}{2}-k} \right)^{2} + \sum_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{\frac{m}{2}} a_{\frac{m}{2}-k}^{2} \right].$$

By Lemma 5,

$$||T||_2^2 \le \left(\frac{m+1}{2\pi}\right) ||T||_1^2$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}} - b_{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^{2} + 4 \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}-k} - b_{\frac{n}{2}-k} \right)^{2} + \sum_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{\frac{m}{2}} a_{\frac{n}{2}-k}^{2} \right] \\
\leq \left(\frac{m+1}{2\pi} \right) 4\pi \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}} - b_{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^{2} = 2(m+1) \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}} - b_{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^{2},$$

i.e..

$$\left(a_{\frac{m}{2}} - b_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^2 \ge \frac{8}{4m+3} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}-k} - b_{\frac{n}{2}-k}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{\frac{m}{2}} a_{\frac{m}{2}-k}^2 \right].$$

Using $F_2(z)$ having no zeros on U, we follow above method to get

$$\left(a_{\frac{m}{2}} + b_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^2 \ge \frac{8}{4m+3} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(a_{\frac{m}{2}-k} + b_{\frac{n}{2}-k}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{\frac{m}{2}} a_{\frac{m}{2}-k}^2 \right],$$

which completes the proof.

References

- R. A. DeVore and G. G. Lorentz, Constructive Approximation, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [2] S.-H. Kim, The zeros of certain family of self-reciprocal polynomials, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 44 (2007), no. 3, 461–473.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SOOKMYUNG WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY SEOUL 140-742, KOREA

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: shkim17@sookmyung.ac.kr}$