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#### Abstract

Potential of acetates and related compounds in glacial acetic acid as a cataly st for aldol-ty pe condensation reactions was examined. Reactions of cycloalkanones or selected heteroaromatics with aldehy des in presence of $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of yarious acetates in acetic acid afforded $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$-bis(substituted-benzylidene)cycloalkanones and substituted-benzyl-idene-mackinazolinones, respectively, in good yields. Among the compounds tested, ammonium acetate is the best and effective especially towards the reactions of mackinazolinone and aliphatic aldehy des to afford 6 -allhylidenemackinazolinones.
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## Introduction

The compounds with $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}$-bis(alkylidene)- and $\alpha$. $\alpha$ '-bis-(arylidene)-compounds such as $\alpha . \alpha^{\prime}$-bis(benzylidene)cycloalkanones ( 7$)^{1}$ have been attracting much attention due to not only their intriguing biological activities such as antiangiogenic. ${ }^{\text {E }}$ quinine reductase inducer. ${ }^{3}$ cytotoxic. ${ }^{4}$ and chole-sterol-lowering activity, ${ }^{5}$ but also their potentials for nonlinear optical materials. ${ }^{6}$ They are also the important precursors for the synthesis of pyrimidine derivatives. 2.7 -disubstituted tropones. ${ }^{8}$ and synthetic intermediates to functionalize $\alpha, \beta$ position during the synthesis of natural products ${ }^{9}$ and theoretically interesting carbocycles ${ }^{16}$ as well as heterocycles. ${ }^{11}$ Arylidene-heteroaromatics have also been used to functionalize the peri-position especially for the two-step introduction of keto group, ${ }^{12}$ and employed for the synthesis of precursors for various polydentate ligands ${ }^{12 \mathrm{e} \cdot 13}$ as well as biologically interesting compounds. ${ }^{\text {12f.14 }}$

The $\alpha . \alpha$ 'bis(arylidene)cycloalkanones were originally prepared from cycloalkanones and aromatic aldehydes in the presence of strong acids ${ }^{15}$ and more likely bases. ${ }^{16}$ which was named as the Claisen-Schmidt reaction. Such reactions. however, suffer from reverse and/or side reactions. ${ }^{17}$ Coordination complexes with a variety of metals have been introduced to replace acids or bases. but the yields were not satisfactory in most cases. ${ }^{18}$ Continuing efforts to find new cataly'sts have resulted in the introduction of various reagents such as $\mathrm{Cp}=\mathrm{ZrH}_{2}{ }^{19 \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiPh}_{2},{ }^{196} \mathrm{BMPTO},^{196} \mathrm{RuCl}_{3}{ }^{19 \mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{SmI}_{3}{ }^{19 \mathrm{e}} \mathrm{TiCl}_{3-}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)\right)^{1.54} \mathrm{La}^{3+}$-immobilized organic solid. ${ }^{1.98} \mathrm{KF}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{1.5 \mathrm{ch}}$ $\left.\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{HSO}_{4}\right)\right)_{2}^{191} \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}{ }^{1,9} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{3}{ }^{19 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{InCl}_{3},{ }^{191} \mathrm{TMSCl} / \mathrm{NaI} .{ }^{199}$ $\mathrm{TMSCl} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}^{1,97} \mathrm{SOCl}_{2}{ }^{190} \mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3} .{ }^{1,90} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{PEG}-400^{1,94}$ molecular $\mathrm{I}_{2}{ }^{194}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}{ }^{19{ }^{19 *}}$ In addition, microwave irradiation method was employed to improve yields as well as to reduce reaction time. ${ }^{20}$

On the other hand, catalysts for the Claisen-Schmidt reaction of aliphatic aldehydes toward aldehydes or ketones to lead 2 -alkylidene-aldedhydes or ketones are very limited. ${ }^{19 . d . \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}}$ Even though such cases are applicable to the preparation of 2-alkylidene-aldehydes or ketones. studies revealed
that these were not suitable for $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}$-bis(alkylidene) cycloalkanones. ${ }^{21}$ Previous studies revealed that the reaction of cyclopentanone with propionaldehyde in the presence of 0.5 M NaOH afforded 2,5-bis(ethylidene)cyclopentanone in $19 \%$ yield ${ }^{2 l a}$ while the reaction of cyclohexanone with butyraldehyde afforded 3.4-tetramethylene-2-propylbicyclo [3.3.1]no-nan-4-ol-9-one (5aa) instead of the expected 2.6 -bis(butylidene)cyclohexanone (3aa) or 2-butylidenecyclohexanone $(+\mathrm{aa}) .{ }^{216}$ On the other hand, the reaction of cyclohexanone with isobutyraldehyde afforded a mixture of 2.6 -bis(isobutylidene)cyclohexanone (3ab). 2-isobutylidenecy clohexanone $(\downarrow \mathrm{ab}$ ), and 3.t-tetramethylene-2-isopropylbicyclo-[3.3.1]no-nan-4-ol-9-one (5ab). ${ }^{2 l \mathrm{l}}$


The Claisen condensation between ketones and aldehydes or aldelydes itself, thus, have not been widely considered as a practical method because under basic conditions they often undergo self-condensation leading to complex product mixtures. ${ }^{\text {la }}$ To overcome such limit. several indirect methods such as employing silyl enol ether. ${ }^{22} 2$-formylketone. ${ }^{23}$ enamine. ${ }^{2-4}$ lithiated imine, ${ }^{25}$ and lithiated enamine. ${ }^{26}$ have been designed, but sometimes long reaction sequence and expensive reagents are the bottle-necks for the general use.

Disadvantages of the present catalysts and importance of the Claisen-Schmidt reaction in synthetic organic chemistry lead us to find sodium acetate in glacial acetic acid as a new catalytic system for the introduction of benzylidene moiety on cycloalkanones as well as heteroaromatics. ${ }^{57}$ Concerning the catalytic activity of acetates in acetic acid. a couple of previous studies such as reaction of chloral with methyl ketones with sodium acetate in acetic acid to afford the corresponding aldol adduct. 1.1.1-trichloro-2-hydroxyethỵl ketones. in 41-59\%
yield. ${ }^{28}$ and one-pot Krölunke synthesis of annulated pyridines employed ammonium acetate/acetic acid system to generate 2.6-bis(substituted-benzylidene)cycloalkanones from (substituted)benzaldehydes to cyclic ketones have been reported ${ }^{29}$ However, no systematic studies on the catalytic activity of acetates in the aldol condensation have been pursued as yet. We, thus, herein described the scope and reactivity of acetates as catalysts for aldol-type reactions.

## Results and Discussion

The Claisen-Schmidt reactions of cyclohexanone (1a) with benzaldelyyde (6) in the presence of $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of acetates or related compounds in glacial acetic acid were first examined and results are summarized in Table 1.

Reactions proceeded smoothly to afford 2.6 -dibenzylidenecyclohexanone (7) in 83-95\% y ields. The reaction with ammonium acetate gave the best result which was comparable to that with sodium acetate ${ }^{27}$ to afford the desired product in $95 \%$ yield in 8 h of reaction time. On the other hand, the reactions with sodium propanoate and triethylammonium acetate required 36 h and 72 h . respectively. to complete the reaction (entries 4 and 3 ) and reactions with piperidinium acetate, acetamide or sodium L-prolinate required even longer reaction time ( 120 h ). Thus ammonium acetate can be a good candidate for the aldol-type condensation catalyst. It should be noted that the reaction in the presence of sodium L-prolinate. of which the free acid has been used as enantioselective catalyst for the Claisen-Schmidt reaction to lead $\beta$-hydroxycarbonyl compounds, ${ }^{31}$ also led bis(benzylidene) cyclohexanone in $86 \%$ yield after 120 h . Although early study claimed that the ratios between the aldol addition product and the Claisen-Schmidt product were highly dependent on the pH . the pH range was very narrow. in which the Claisen-Schmidt products were the only ones in the pH range of $11.0-11.4$ while the aldol adducts were the major under the pH range $9.8-10.5 .{ }^{31}$ The present study showed that no trace of initial aldol-adduct. $\beta$-hyydroxycarbonyll compound 8 and/or bis- $\beta$-hydroxy carbonyl compound 9 was observed.
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Effect of reaction temperature. To find an optimized reaction condition we examined the effect of reaction temperature for the Claisen-Sclumidt reaction. Although the reaction with ammonium acetate below $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ required over 72 lh reaction at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ required less than 8 h for the completion. Previous studies on sodium acetate ${ }^{27}$ as well as present study revealed that $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ can be the choice of reaction temperature. Since the $\beta$-hydroxycarbonyl compounds 8 and/or 9 are the expected intermediates, the reactions were pursued at room temperature. However, no identifiable products were isolated in each case.

Effects of aliphatic aldehydes. We. next examined the reactions of 1 with aliphatic aldehydes in the presence of ammo-

Table 1. Reactions of cyclohesanone with benzaldehyde in the presence of various additives in glacial acetic acid

|  <br> 1a |  |  <br> 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | additive ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | time ( h ) | yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1 | ammonilum acetate | 8 | 95 |
| 2 | piperidinium acetate | 120 | 90 |
| 3 | triethylammonium acetate | 72 | 83 |
| 4 | sodium propanoate | 36 | 86 |
| 5 | acetamide | 120 | 84 |
| 6 | sodium L-prolinate | 120 | 86 |

"Reaction was run in the presence of $10 \mathrm{~mol}^{\circ} \cdot \mathrm{d}$ additive relatice to cyclohexanone. "Isolated yields which are not optimized.

Table 2. Effect of reaction temperature on the reaction of cyclohexanone (1a) with benzaldehyde (6) in the presence of various additives in glacial acetic acid


| Entry | additive ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ammonium acetate | r.t. | N.R. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 2 | ammonium acetate | 60 | 72 |
| 3 | ammonium acetate | 120 | 95 |
| 4 | piperidinium acetate | r.t. | N.R. ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 5 | piperidinium acetate | 120 | 42 |
| 6 | sodium L-prolinate | r.t. | N.R. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 7 | sodium L-prolinate | 60 | 18 |
| 8 | sodium L-prolinate | 120 | 34 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction was run in the presence of $10 \mathrm{~mol}^{0} \cdot 0$ additive relative to benzaldehyde and quenched after 8 h . Isolated vields which are not optimized. "No product was detectable after 5 days.
nium acetate in glacial acetic acid, and results are summarized in Table 3. Reactions of cyclohexanone (1a) with 2 equiv of isopropyl aldehyde acetaldehyde. and cyclohevanecarbaldelyde afforded the corresponding 2.6 -bis(alkylidene)cyclohevanones. 3ab, 3ac, and 3af. in 78, 35, and $65 \%$ yield. respectively. It should be noted that the reaction of 1 a with acetaldehyde yielded a mixture of bis- (3ac) and monocondensed (4ac) product in $26 \%$ and $36 \%$ y ield. respectively. The stereochemistry of the products was assigned as $E$ based on the literature values of olefinic H 's which were resonanced in the range of $\delta 6.62-6.92$ for $(E)$-isomer and $\delta 5.46-5.60$ for ( $Z$ )-isomer. ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Reactions of the other aliphatic aldehydes did not lead to any isolable desired products as reported. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{lb}}$ but yielded $12-18 \%$ of 2 -alkylidene-alkanal as a self-condensed product and $25-35 \%$ of as yet unidentified mistures of products. On the other hand, reactions of cyclopentanone (1b) afforded desired 2.5 -bis(alkylidene)cyclopentanones in 35 $83 \%$ yield. The difference of reactivity of cyclohexanone vs cyclopentanone may be due to the difference of ring flexibility.

It should be noted that the reactions of aldehydes with a

Table 3. The Claisen-Schmidt reaction of cycloalkanone $\mathbf{1}$ with aliphatic aldehydes 2

| 1a | $\text { - } \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{CH}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OA}}{\mathrm{AcOH}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry compound |  | R | yield (\%) ${ }^{4}$ | $\operatorname{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| 1 | 3aa | $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 0 |  |
| 2 | 3 ab | $j-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 78 | colorless oil |
| 3 | 3 ac | Me | 35 | $130(0.5 \mathrm{mmHg})$ |
| 4 | 3 ad | Et | 0 |  |
| 5 | 3 ae | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 0 |  |
| 6 | 3af | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{61}$ | 65 | colorless oil |
| 7 | 3ba | $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 43 | yellow oil <br> [lit. ${ }^{23}$ bp $80(0.08 \mathrm{mHg})$ ] |
| 8 | 3 bb | ${ }_{i}$-Pr | 83 | colorless oil |
| 9 | 3 bc | Me | 35 | $59-61$ [lit. ${ }^{34} \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{61]}$ |
| 10 | 3bd | Et | 37 | colorless oil |
| 11 | 3 be | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 42 | colorless oil |
| 12 | 3bf | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{[1}$ | 72 | 126-127 [lit. ${ }^{3}$ mp 127] |

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yields which are not optimized.

Table 4. Reactions of mackinzaolinone (10) with benzaldehyde (6) in the presence of various additives in glacial acetic acid


| Entry | additive ${ }^{a}$ | time (h) | yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ammonium acetate | 4 | 86 |
| 2 | ammonium acetate | 8 | 95 |
| 3 | piperidinium acetate | 4 | $<10$ |
| 4 | piperidinium acetate | 120 | 90 |
| 5 | triethylammonium acetate | 4 | $<10$ |
| 6 | triethylammonium acetate | 72 | 86 |
| 7 | sodium propanoate | 8 | 46 |
| 8 | sodium propanoate | 36 | 86 |
| 9 | acetamide | 4 | 86 |
| 10 | acetamide | 72 | 90 |
| 11 | sodium L-prolinate | 4 | 12 |
| 12 | sodium L-prolinate | 8 | 40 |
| 13 | acetic anhydride | 4 | 18 |
| 14 | acetic anlydride | 72 | 95 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction was run in the presence of $10 \mathrm{~mol}^{0} 0$ additive relative to benzaldehyde. Isolated yields which are not optimized.
substituent at $\alpha$-position proceeded smoothly to yield the corresponding $2 . \omega$-bis(alkylidendene)derivatives in $65-83 \%$ yields (entries $2,6,8$. and 12). Although a direct synthesis of 2. (\%-bis(alkylidene)cycloalkanones from cycloalkanones and alkyl aldehydes are known impractical. ${ }^{\text {a. } 2:-26}$ the present method can be applicable for the direct preparation of 2,5 bis(alkylidene)cyclopentanones. especially from aldehydes with a substituent at the $\alpha$-position.

Effects of acetates on benzylidene-heteroaromatics. The

Table 5. Reaction mackinazolinone (10) with aliphatic aldehydes with $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ additives in acetic acid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ACOH: } 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=12 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \text {. } \\
& 12 \mathrm{aR}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} . \\
& \text { 13a } \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H} \\
& \text { 12b }=\mathrm{CCH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} \text {. } \\
& 12 \mathrm{cR}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \\
& \text { 12d } \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} . \quad 13 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-} \\
& \text {12e } \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\quad \text { 13e } \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \text {. } \\
& 12 \mathrm{f} R=\text { cyclohexy. } \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{6}$ Isolated yields which are not optimized. "ratios of isolated products.
effect of acetates in glacial acetic acid on the reaction of 6.7.8.9-tetrahydro-11 H -pyrido $2.1-b$ ]quinazolin-11-one (mackinazolinone. 10). was examined and results are summarized in Table 4 . Reaction at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with ammonium acetate completed in 8 h while reactions with sodium propanoate, triethylammonium acetate, acetamide. and acetic anhydride required longer reaction time up to 120 h . As described above, anmonium acetate can be a better cataly st for aldol-type condensation reactions.

Effects of aliphatic aldehydes on alkylidene-heteroaromatics. Previous study revealed that the arylidene derivatives of selected heteroaromatics were effectively prepared by condensing with aromatic aldehyde in the presence of 10 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ of sodium acetate in glacial acetic acid. ${ }^{27}$ However. no systematic study on aliphatic aldelydes has been pursued as yet. We. thus, examined the reactivity of aldehydes towards mackinazolinone (10) and results are summarized in Table 5.

The reactions with propionaldehyde isobuty raldehyde and cyclohexanecarbaldelyde led the corresponding alkylidene derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 2 b}, \mathbf{c} . f$ ) in $\mathbf{6 7 - 9 6 \%}$ y ields (entries 5.6, and 9), which was superior to the $22-33 \%$ yields reported previously. ${ }^{\text {14d }}$ However. the reactions with butyraldehyde, pentanal and hexanal yielded mixtures of two compounds $\mathbf{1 2}$ and 13. Reactions of butyraldehyde in presence of various acetates (entries 1-4) in glacial acetic acid afforded the corresponding alkylidene derivatives $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ in $14-25 \%$ yields along with an unexpected $\mathbf{1 3 a}$ in $\mathbf{4 + 5 1 \%}$ yields. Similarly. reactions with pentanal in the presence of ammonium acetate (entry 7) afforded 12d and 13d in $83 \%$ and $8 \%$ yields. respectively, while hexanal yielded 12e and 13 e in $23 \%$ and $47 \%$ yield. respectively. It should be noted that attempts (data not shown) to react 10 with aliphatic aldelydes under the refluxing acetic anllydride for 72 h provided the products in only $<8 \%$ yield. which is not consistent with results described above for the
reactions with aromatic aldehydes (Table 4. entry 14).
The structures of $\mathbf{1 3}$ were determined by spectroscopic methods including various NMR techniques such as HMBC and DEPT-135. Reaction mechanism for the formation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ remained to be clarified.

## Conclusion

Effects of acetates as well as other factors on the aldol-type condensation reactions of cycloalkanones and mackinazolinone with various aldehydes were examined. Catalytic amount ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ relative to aldehy'de) of ammonium acetate in glacial acetic acid was good enough to lead best results at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reactions of cyclopentanone with aliphatic aldehy'des led the corresponding 2.5 -bis(alkylidene)cyclopentanones in $35-83 \%$ yield while reactions of cyclohexanone were proceeded only' with acetaldehyde. isobutyraldehyde and cyclohexanecarbaldehyde. Best results in the introduction of alkylidene moiety at the peri-position of carbocycle-fused heterocycles were achieved when ammonium acetate was employed as a catalyst.

## Experimental

Melting points were recorded on a Fisher-Jones melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded using KBr pellets for solids and neat for liquids on FT/IR-300 E (Jasco) spectrometer. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were performed using Bruker 250 spectrometer ( 250 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ and 62.5 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) or Bnuker 400 spectrometer ( 400 MHz for ${ }^{l} \mathrm{H} N M R$ and 100 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) and are reported as a parts per million (ppm) from the internal standard tetramethylsilane (TMS). Cyclohexanone was redistilled just before the reactions. Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry (MS) experiments were performed on LCQ advantage-trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan. San Jose. CA. USA). Elemental analy'ses were taken on Hewlett-Packard Model 185B elemental analyzer.

General procedure for the preparation of 3,7,11 and 12. A mixture of cyclohexanone ( 5.0 mmol ). aldelyde ( 10.0 mmol ) and anhydrous acetate or related salts ( 1.0 mmol ) in glacial acetic acid ( 15 mL ) was heated under the conditions described in Table 1, 2. and 3. The reaction mixture was poured into crashed ice, and work up as usual afforded an oily material. which was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :hexanes ( $1: 1$ ) to give analytically pure $\alpha . \alpha^{\prime}$-bis(alkylidene)cycloalkanones 3 and 2.6-bis(benzy lidene)cyclohexanones 7 except for 7 a described specifically. The spectral and analytical data of the tuknown compounds are given below.

2,6-Bis(ethylidene)cyclohexanone (3ac): A mixture of cyclohexanone ( $0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). acetaldehyde ( 0.66 g .15 .0 mmol) and anhỵdrous ammonium acetate ( 77 mg .1 .0 mmol . $10 \%$ equiv) in glacial acetic acid ( 5 mL ) was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere in a sealed tube. The reaction mixture was poured to crashed ice and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (30 $\mathrm{mL} \times 3$ ). Combined organic layers were washed with water. brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Evaporation of the solvent afforded
an oily material which was distilled under reduced pressure.
The early fractions afforded ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-2-ethylidenecyclohexanone (tac) as colorless oil ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g} .26 \%$ yield) bp $76-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $(14 \mathrm{nmHg})$ [lit. $\left.{ }^{36} \mathrm{bp} 87-89{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(18 \mathrm{mmHg})\right]$; IR (KBr) v 1670 , $1612 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.68(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{qt} . J=7.5$. $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.41(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) .2 .37(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t} . J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.72$ (3H.d. $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.12-1.11 $(4 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m})$ : ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3 .} .62 .5\right.$ MHz ) ò 200.87. 137.03, 134.17, 39.96, 26.23. 23.36. 23.21, 13.40 .

The latter fractions afforded 2,6-bis(ethylidene)cyclohexanone ( 3 ac ) as colorless oil ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g} .35 \%$ yield): bp $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{mmHg})$ : IR ( KBr ) v $1668.1615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$. $250 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qm}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} . J=5.9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.72(6 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{d} . J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .1 .12-1.11(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m})$ : ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$. $62.5 \mathrm{MHz}) \hat{\delta} 188.99 .136 .67$. 135.15, 25.89. 21.98, 13.78: MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}$: 151 , found 151

2,6-Bis(cyclohexylmethylidene)cyclohexanone (3ae): Colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.52$ (2H. d, $J=9.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}) .2 .5 \mathrm{I}-2.45(4 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}) .2 .13-2.05(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}) .1 .85-1.55(14 \mathrm{H}$. $\mathrm{m})$. $1.2+1.09(8 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 185.57. $14+25.134 .41,40.20,36.71$. 31.73. 26.64. 23.72, 23.35: MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 287$. found: 287.

2,5-Bis(butylidene)cyclopentanone (3ba): Colorless oil. IR ( KBr ) $\mathrm{v} 162 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \cdot{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \dot{\delta} 6.52(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{d}$. $J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) .2 .51-2.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .2 .13-2.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.85-1.55$ ( $14 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}$ ) , 1.24-1.09 ( $8 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}$ ): ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .62 .5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \hat{\delta}$ 185.57. 144.25. 134.41, 40.20, 36.71. 31.73. 26.64. 23.72. 23.35: MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$: 193. found: 193.

2,5-Bis(propylidene)cyclopentanone (3Dd): Colorless oil. $\mathbb{R}(\mathrm{KBr})$ v $1621 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 6.52$ $(2 \mathrm{H}$. d. $J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) .2 .5 \mathrm{I}-2.45(4 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}) .2 .13-2.05(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m})$. 1.85-1.55 (1+ H. m). 1.24-1.09 ( $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{2}\right.$, $62.5 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 185.57,14+.25 .134 .41,40.20 .36 .71 .31 .73$, 26.64. 23.72, 23.35: MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 165. found 165 .

2,5-Bis(hexylidene)cyclopentanone (3be): Colorless oil. $\mathbb{R}(\mathrm{KBr})$ v $1621 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 6.52$ $(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{d} . J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) .2 .5 \mathrm{I}-2.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.13-2.05(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m})$, $1.85-1.55(1+\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}) .1 .24-1.09(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. $62.5 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 185.57,14+.25 .134 .41,40.20 .36 .71 .31 .73$. 26.64. 23.72. 23.35. MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 249 . found: 249 .

General procedure for the preparation of 6-alkylidene-6,7,8,9-tetrahydio-11 H -pyrido $[2,1-b]$ quinazolin-11-ones 12 .
( $E$ )-6-Butylidene-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-11 $H$-pyrido $[2,1-b]$ qu-inazolin-11-one (12a): A mixture of 6.7.8.9-tetrahydro-11 H py rido $[2,1-b]$ quina-zolin-11-one ( 10 ) ( 1.0 g .5 .0 nmmol ). $n$ butanal ( 0.72 g .5 .0 mol ). and acetates or related compounds ( $0.5 \mathrm{nmol}, 10 \%$ molar equiv) in 10 mL of glacial acetic acid was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was poured to $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Evaporation of the solvent gave a greenish yellow solid which was purified by silica gel column chromatograply eluting with hexanes: EtOAc (1:1).

The early fractions ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.75$ ) afforded the desired product $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ as an $(E)$-isomer as yellow needles ( $0.32 \mathrm{~g} .25 \%$ yield): $\operatorname{mp} 87-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3 .} .400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.22(\mathrm{IH} . \mathrm{d} . J=$
$7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .70-7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .7 .36(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{ddd} . J=7.9 .6 .5 .1 .8$ $\mathrm{Hz}) .7 .19(1 \mathrm{H}$. ddd. $J=8.0 .7 .5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .4 .02(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t} . J=5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}) .2 .58(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t} . J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) .2 .18(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{dt} . J=7.4 .6 .3 \mathrm{~Hz})$. $1.96(2 \mathrm{H}$, quintet. $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) .1 .56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .0 .97$ (3H. t. $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .62 .5 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ oे 162.12 . $151.70 .147 .64,139.12,133.99 .128 .82,127.12,126.56$. 125.82. 119.95, 42.07. 30.83. 24.37, 24.03, 22.74, 21.93. $21.74,14.10$ : MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}: 255$. found: 255. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 75.56 ; \mathrm{H} .7 .13$; N. 11.01. Found: C. 75.72: H. 7.06: N. 11.08.

The latter fractions ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.40$ ) afforded the compound 13a ( $0.67 \mathrm{~g} .46 \%$ yield): mp $107-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.23(\mathrm{lH} . \mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=8.0,0.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}) .7 .53$ ( $\mathrm{IH} . \mathrm{d} . J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). $7.40(\mathrm{IH} . \mathrm{td} . J=8.0 .1 .0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). $6.51(\mathrm{IH} . \mathrm{t} . J=+.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .4 .26(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t} . J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .3 .61(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{s})$. $2.68(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58(2 \mathrm{H} . \operatorname{td} . J=7.0 .6 .8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .1 .10$ $(3 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t} . J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}):{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .62 .5 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ oे 208.9 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{O}\right) .161 .4\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}=\mathrm{O}\right), 148.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{51}\right), 1+7.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{42}\right) .136 .6\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$. $133.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 130.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 127.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 126.89\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 126.60\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$. $121.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{1(\mathrm{c}}\right) .44 .8\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 38.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right) .36 .2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 23.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right) .7 .93$ (C4): MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}: 269$. found: 269. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C. 71.62 : H. 6.01: N. 10.44 . Found: C, 71.85; H. 5.97; N, 10.61.
( $E$ )-6-Isobutylidene-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-11H-pyrido $[2,1-b]-$ quinazolin-11-one (12b): Pale yellow needles [ $R_{\mathrm{i}} 0.80$ hexanes:EtOAc ( $1: 1$ )] ( $70 \%$ yield): mp $103^{\circ} \mathrm{C}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. $250 \mathrm{MHz}) ~ \delta \delta 82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .71-7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .8 .36$ ( 1 H. ddd. $J=8.0,7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.07(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{dt}, J=10.0 .1 .5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, viny lic H$), 4.09(2 \mathrm{H}$, dd,$J=6.8 .5 .8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.72$ ( lH. heptet. $J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .1 .97(2 \mathrm{H}$. quint, $J=5.8$ Hz). $1.10(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}):{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 162.15. 151.68, 147.52, 145.56. 133.93, 127.07, 126.64. 126.47. 125.78, 119.84, 42.18, 27.86. 23.72. 22.02, 21.71: MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 255[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. found: 255. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 75.56$; H. 7.13: $\mathrm{N}, 11.01$. Found: C. 75.62 : H. 7.12: N. 11.04
(E)-6-Propylidene-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-11 H -pyrido $[2,1-b]$ -quinazolin-11-one (12c): Pale yellow needles $\left[R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.80\right.$ hexanes: EtOAc (1:1)] ( $67 \%$ ): mp 125-126 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3 .} 250\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.23(\mathrm{lH}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\operatorname{td} J=8.0 .0 .8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .19(1 \mathrm{H} . \operatorname{td} . J=8.0 .1 .0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .4 .11(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t}$. $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.26(2 \mathrm{H}$. quintet, $J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}) .2 .02-1.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .1 .16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} . J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}):{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ oे $162.13,151.75,147.63,140.72$. 134.04. 128.29. 127.11. 126.70. 126.59. 126.01. 125.87. 119.95. +2.05. 23.90. 22.07. 21.72. 13.16: MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17}-$ $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}: 241$. found : 24 . Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \leqslant \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, 74.97: H. 6.71: N. 11.66. Found: C. 75.03: H. 6.68: N. 11.64.
(E)-4-Pentylidene-1,2,3,t-tetrahydno-11 $H$-pyrido $[2,1-b]$ -quinazolin-11-one (12d): Pale yellow needles $\left[R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.51\right.$ hexanes: EtOAc (2:1)] ( $83 \%$ y ield): $\mathrm{mp} 86-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.21(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 .0 .4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .70-7.61$ $(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}), 7.36(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd $J=8.0,7.8 .0 .8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd,$J$ $=8.0,7.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .4 .08(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{dd} . J=11.4 .5 .7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.60(2 \mathrm{H}$, t. $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q} . J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.96(2 \mathrm{H}$, quintet. $J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50(2 \mathrm{H}$, quintet,$J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .1 .38(2 \mathrm{H}$, quintet, $J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .0 .91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ ò 162.12 . 151.75, 147.60. 140.40. 139.40, 134.00, 128.59.
127.06. 126.54, 125.81, 119.89. 42.06. 30.75. 28.49, 23.96, 22.58.21.71. 13.92: MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 269. found: 269. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C} .76 .09: \mathrm{H}\right.$, 7.51: N, 10.44. Found: C, 76.06; H. 7.52; N. 10.46

The latter fractions $\left[R_{i} 0.32\right.$ hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{EtOAc}(2: 1)\right]$ afforded $13 \mathbf{d}$ as a pale yellow oil ( $8 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .250\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \hat{\delta} 8.22(\mathrm{lH}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=8.0 .0 .8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. $7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{lH} . \mathrm{td} . J=8.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .6 .50$ $(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t} . J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.63$ $(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) .2 .55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=7.0 .6 .8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .1 .61(2 \mathrm{H}$, quintet. $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} . J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $62.5 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 208.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{O}\right) .161 .0\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}=\mathrm{O}\right) .148 .7\left(\mathrm{C}_{54}\right) .147 .2$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4_{2}}\right) .136 .6\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right) .133 .9\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right) .130 .2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right) \cdot 127.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right) .126 .77$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) .126 .56\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right) .121 .1\left(\mathrm{C}_{1(\mathrm{G}}\right) .44 .8\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 42.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 38.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right) .25 .7\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right) .23 .0\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right) .14 .9\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$ : MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}$: 283. found: 283. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}:$ C. 72.95 : H. 6.80: N. 9.45. Found: C. 72.89 : H, 6.82: N, 9.51
(E)-6-Hexylidene-6,7,8,9-tetrahydm-11 H -pyido $[2,1-b]$ -quinazolin-11-one (12e): Semisolid $R_{i} 0.42$ hexanes:EtOAc (2:1)] ( $23 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .250 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 8.26$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .73-7.66(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}) .7 .42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=8.0 .0 .8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .2 .74$ (2H. m), 2.12-1.83 (4H. m), 1.22-1.13 (6H. m). 0.84 (3H.t. $J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 283$, found: 283. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C} .76 .56: \mathrm{H} .7 .85: \mathrm{N}$. 9.92. Found: C. 86.55 : H. 7.84: N. 9.94

The latter fractions $\left[R_{f} 0.28\right.$ hexanes: $\left.\operatorname{EtOAc}(2: 1)\right]$ afforded 13 e as a pale yellow needles ( $47 \%$ yield): mp $98-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \hat{\delta} 8.2+(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{d} . J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.65(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{td} . J=8.0 .0 .8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} . J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .40(\mathrm{lH} . \mathrm{td} . J=$ $8.0 .1 .0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.50(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.61 ( $2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{s}$ ). $2.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{td}, J=7.0,6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}) .1 .6 \mathrm{I}(2 \mathrm{H}$. quintet. $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .1 .27(2 \mathrm{H}$. quintet. $J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}) .0 .90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}):{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $208.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{O}\right) .161 .4\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}=\mathrm{O}\right), 148.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{52}\right) .147 .2\left(\mathrm{C}_{44}\right) .136 .6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right) .133 .9\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right) .130 .2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right) .127 .4\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right) .126 .77\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 126.56$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right) .121 .1\left(\mathrm{C}_{1(6)}\right) .45 .1\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) .42 .7\left(\mathrm{C}_{0}\right) .38 .6\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 25.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$. $\left.\left.23.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)^{\circ}\right) .22 .3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 13.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)^{\circ}\right)$ MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21}-$ $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 297. found: 297. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ C. 72.95 : H. 6.80 : N. 9.45 . Found: C. 72.92 : H. 6.82: N. 9.48.
(E)-6-Cyclohexylmethylidene-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-11H-py-rido[2,1-b]quinazolin-11-one (12f): Pale yellow needles ( $96 \%$ yield): mp 169-170 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) ~ \delta 8.22$ $(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{d} . J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71-7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .7 .36(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{ddd}, J=7.5$, $6.8 .1 .8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .4 .10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}) .2 .65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td} . J=7.9 .1 .7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 2.38-2.34 ( 1 H. br. m ). 1.97 (2H. quintet. $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). $1.76-1.69(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .1 .40-1.18(5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \hat{o}$ 162.25. 151.77. 147.62, 144.07. 133.95. 127.17. 127.04, 126.52, 125.78, 119.92. 42.29. 37.87. 31.99. 25.89. 25.77, 23.88, 21.82: MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 295 . found: 295. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}:$ C. 77.52 : H. 7.53: N. 9.52. Found: C. 77.55 : H, 7.49: N, 9.49.
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