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#### Abstract

The synthesis of a new series of diarylureas and amides having a 1 -substituted-3-(3-chloro-5-methoxyphenyl)-4pyridinylpyrazole scaffold is reported here. The in vitro antiproliferative activities of these diaryl derivatives against human melanoma cell line A375 were tested and the effect of substituents on the phenyl ring was investigated. Most of the newly synthesized compounds generally showed superior or similiar activity against A375 to Sorafenib. Among these compounds, Id, Ig and Ihh showed excellent activity against A 375 compared to Sorafenib.
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## Introduction

Melanoma, the most aggressive form of skin cancer. is the fastest growing cancer in the United States. ${ }^{1,2}$ Early stage melanoma can be cured surgically. However melanoma metastasizing to major organs (stage IV) is virtually incurable. ${ }^{2}$ Patients with disseminated melanoma have a median survival time of less than a year, and the estimated 5 -years survival rate is less than $15 \%{ }^{2.3}$ With the rapid incidence of melanoma in the United States and other developed countries. there is an urgent need to develop more effective drugs. ${ }^{+6}$

Recently. Sorafenib. as well as other diarylureas. have been evaluated as potent and selective antiproliferative agents for the treatment of melanoma ${ }^{i-12}$ The promising results have encouraged many research groups to investigate diarylurea scaffolds to develop new derivatives for the treatment of cancer. ${ }^{13-14}$ Accordingly, we have synthesized a new series of diaryl ureas. and reported their antiproliferative activity against A375 human melanoma cell line.

Inthis work, we investigated the effect of replacing the 2 -(me-thylaminocarbonyl)pyridine-4-yloxy group in Sorafenib with a 3-(3-chloro-5-methoxyphenyl)-4-pyridinyl-pyrazole moiety on the antiproliferative activity. The effect of substitution at the other phenylurea ring is also investigated by using the different substituents $R$.


1-Substituted-3-(3-chloro-5-methoxyphenyl)-4-pyridinyl-pyrazole


## Results and Discussion

Chemistry. 1.3.4-Triary lpy razole derivatives (Ia-f and IIa-e) with urea moiety at the terminal part were prepared according to the sequence of reactions shown in Scheme 1. Heating 3.5-dichlorobenzoic acid (1) with two molecular equivalents of sodium methoxide in hexamethy lphosphoramide (HMPA) gave 3-chloro-5-methoxybenzoic acid (2). which upon esterification with methanol in the presence of acetyl chloride afforded the corresponding methyl ester 3 . The pyridyl derivative 4 was obtained by treatment of $\mathbf{3}$ with 4 -picoline in THF in the presence of lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (LHMDS). 3.4Diarylpyrazole derivative 5 was obtained by treatment of $\downarrow$ with dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal (DMF-DMA), and subsequent treatment with lyy drazine monohydmate. 1,3,4-Triarylpy razole derivative 7 with amino group was prepared through A-arylation of 5 using l-iodo-4-nitrobenzene in DMSO in the presence of anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. and subsequent reduction of the nitro group of 6 using stannous chloride. Interaction of the amino group with phenylisocyanate derivatives in THF afforded the corresponding urea derivatives (Ia-f). Demethylation of the methoxy group of compounds Ia-f using $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ afforded the corresponding hydroxy derivatives (IIa-e).

The synthesis of Ig-i and Iff-h with amide moiety at the terminal part was carried out by the same procedure described for synthesis of urea derivatives (Ia-f and Шa-e). Benzoic acid derivatives were used instead of phenylisocyanate derivatives for acylation of amino group of 7 in DMF in the presence of HOBt and EDCI to afford Ig-i. Demethylation of the methoxy group in order to obtain the corresponding hy droxy derivatives (IIf-h) was achieved by the same method described for preparation of IIa-e.

In vitro activity. The antiproliferative activity of the newly synthesized compounds against A375 human melanoma cell line was examined. The ability of these compounds to inhibit the growth of A375 cell line is summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Somfenib was selected as a reference standard. because it has been extensively used in clinical trials for melanoma. ${ }^{+18}$ Regarding the terminal substituents on the phenyl ring of the


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i) sodium methoxide, HMPA; ii) acetyl chloride, MeoH: iii) 4-picoline, LHMDS, THF; iv) (a) DMF-DMA (b) hydrazine monohydrate, EtOH; v) 1-iodo-4-nitrobenzene, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, CuI, L-proline, DMSO; vi) Raney nickel, 1,4-dioxane; vii) $\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{NCO}$, THF; viii) $\mathrm{R}^{ } \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{HOBt}, \mathrm{EDCI}$, TEA, DMF; viii) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
tail. it was found that compounds Ih, IIf. IIg, and Ilh having amide moiety were more potent than compounds Ib, IIa Ilb. and IIc having urea moiety. As to the substituents on the chlorophenyl ring, compounds IIb. IId, IIe, IIf, IIg. and Ilh with hydroxyl group showed higher antiproliferative activity in comparison with the corresponding methosy derivatives $\mathbf{l b}$. Id. Ie, Ig. Ih. and Ii. This indicates that the more polar substituent at this position is preferable. Most of the newly sy nthesized compounds generally showed superior or similiar activity against A375 to Sorafenib. Among these compounds. IId. IIg and Ilh showed excellent activity against A375 compared to Sorafenib.

## Experimental Section

Melting points (mp) were determined on a Walden Precision Apparatus Electrothermal 9300 apparatus and were uncorrected. ${ }^{1} H-N M R$ spectral data were recorded using a Gemini 300 spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a Waters 3100 Mass Detecter.

A375P Cell culture and anti-proliferative activity of tested compound on A375P. A375P cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD, US) and maintained in a DMEM (Welgene. Daegu. Korea) supplemented with $10 \% \mathrm{FBS}$ (Welgene) and $1 \%$ penicillin/streptomycin (Welene) in a humidified atmosphere with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}=37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A375P cells were taken from culture substrate with $0.05 \%$ trypsin-0.02\% EDTA and plated at a density of $5 \times 10^{3}$ cells/
well in 96 well plates and then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours in a humidified atmosphere with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ prior to treatment with various concentrations (three fold serial dilution. 12 points) of test compounds. The A375P cell viability was assessed by the conventional 3-(4.5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2.5-diphenyltetrazolium bronide (MTT) reduction assay. MTT assays were carried out with CellTiter 968 (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The absorbance at 590 nm was recorded using EnVision2103 (PerkinElmer, Boston. MA, US). The IC $\mathrm{C}_{51}$ was calculated using GraphPad Prism 4.0 softwere.

3-Chloro-5-methoxybenzoic acid (2). A misture of 3.5-dichlorobenzoic acid ( $1.1 .0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{nmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOMe}(3.5 \mathrm{~mL}$, $25 \mathrm{wt} \%$ solution in methanol. 16.2 nmmol ) in HMPA ( 2.0 nL ) was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The mixture was poured into ice-water and acidified with conc. HCl to give 3 -chloro-5methoxybenzoic acid ( $2,1.2 \mathrm{~g} .80 \%$ ) as a precipitate which was collected by filtration and used in the next step without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \stackrel{\delta}{8} 7.47(\mathrm{t} .1 \mathrm{H} . ~ J=$ $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .38(\mathrm{q} .1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{t} .1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .3 .82$ (s. 3 H ).

Methyl 3-chlon-5-methoxybenzoate (3). Acetyl clloride ( $1.9 \mathrm{~nL}, 28.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of ( $\mathbf{2}$, 1.0 g .5 .4 mmol in $\mathrm{MeOH}(40.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 15 h . After evaporation of the organic solvent, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography' (silica gel. hexanes-ethyl acetate $5: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to give ( $3,1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ) as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$,

Table 1. Antiproliferative activity of the urea substituted compounds
If $\mathbf{I C l}$
$300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.61(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H} . J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.09 (t. $1 \mathrm{H} . J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 3.92 (s. 3 H ). 3.85 (s. 3 H ).

1-(3-Chlow-5-methoxyphenyl)-2-(pyridin-4-yl)ethanone (4). To a mixture of compound (3. 1.0 g .5 .0 mmol ) and t-picoline ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5.0 mL ) in a water bath at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. LHMDS ( $3.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF, 19.9 mmol) was slowly added to maintain the temperature at -20

Table 2. Antiproliferative activity of the amide substituted compounds
IIf
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction misture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. Etlyy acetate was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer extracts were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel. hexanes-ethyl acetate 1:1 $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ then switching to hexanes-ethyl acetate $1: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield compound ( $+, 3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ ó $8.58(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .54(\mathrm{t} .1 \mathrm{H} . J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .39(\mathrm{q} .1 \mathrm{H}$. $J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .19(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} . J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .12(\mathrm{t} .1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.25 (s. 2H), 3.85 (s. 3H).

-     - [3-(3-Chloro-5-methoxyphenyl)-1 $H$-pyrazol-4-y]]pyridine (5). Compound ( $\mathbf{t}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to DMF-DMA ( 5.14 mL .38 .2 mmol ) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h . The resulting solution was concentrated to dryness to furnish an oil which was used in the next step without any purification. To a portion of the oil from the previous step ( 0.137 g .0 .457 mmol ) in EtOH ( 3.0 mL ) was added hydrazine monohydrate ( 0.04 mL .0 .76 mmol ) and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. Water ( 5.0 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and the organics were extracted with etlyl acetate. The combined organic layer extracts were washed
with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the organic solvent. the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel. hexanes-ethyl acetate $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ then switching to hexanes-ethyl acetate $1: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield compound ( 5 . $0.11 \mathrm{~g} .81 \%)$. . $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3 .} .300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.55$ (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=$ $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{lH}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .06(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.94 (brs. 1 H ) .6 .85 (brs. 1H). 3.77 (s. 3H).

4-[3-(3-Chlow-5-methoxy-phenyl)-1-(4-nitrophenyl)-1 H -pyrazol-4-yl)pyridine (6). A mixture of compound ( $\mathbf{5}, 0.5 \mathrm{~g}$. 1.7 mmol ). 1-iodo-4-nitrobenzene ( 0.9 g .3 .5 mmol ). $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuI}(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. and L-proline $(0.04 \mathrm{~g} .0 .2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 7.0 mL ) was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere for 8 h . The cooled solution was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. The organic phase was washed with brine ( 3 times) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the organic solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel. hexanes-ethyl acetate $1: 5$ $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield compound ( $6.0 .8 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$, 300 MHz ) $\hat{\delta} 9.25$ (s. 1 H ). 8.44 (d. $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .8 .25$ (d. 2 H . $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} . J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .97(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H} . J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.41 (brs, 2 H ), 6.98 (brs. 1 H ). $3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

4-[3-(3-Chloro-5-methoxyphenyl)-1-(4-aminophenyl)- $\mathbf{1 H}$ -pyrazol-4-yl]pyridine (7). A misture of compound ( $6,0.5 \mathrm{~g}$. 1.2 mmol ) and Raney nickel ( 1.0 g ) in I.4-dioxane ( 5.0 mL ) was stirred at room temperature under hydrogen atmosphere for 6 h . The mixture was filtered through celite and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give compound (7. $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} . J=$ $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .98$ (s. 1 H$) .7 .53(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H} . J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .25$ (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .19$ (brs. 1 H ), 6.93 (brs, 1 H ), 6.92 (brs, 1 H$) .6 .77$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H} . J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) .3 .73(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H})$.

1-\{ 4-[3-(3-Chloro-5-methoxyphenyl)-4-pyridin-4-y]-pyrazol-1-yl]-phenyl\}-3-(3,4-dichlomphenyl)urea (Ia). A solution of compound ( 7.50 mg .0 .1 mmol ) in THF ( 1.0 mL ) was treated with dropwise addition of a solution of 3,4-dichlorophenyl isocyanate ( 25.0 mg .0 .1 mmol ) in THF ( 1.0 mL ) at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and the misture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel. hexanes-ethyl acetate $1: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield compound $\mathbf{I a}\left(18.0 \mathrm{mg} .24 \%\right.$ ) . mp: $173-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} . J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93$ (brs. 1 H$)$. 7.86 (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 7.65 (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 7.5 I (s. 1 H ) $7.36(\mathrm{brs}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .7 .13(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .10(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: $564.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

The synthesis of compounds Ib-If were canied out by the same procedure as described for the preparation of Ia

Ib: Yield $23 \%$. mp: $195-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$. 300 MHz ) $\delta 8.58(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .77(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H). $7.73(\mathrm{~s}, ~ \mathrm{IH}) .7 .61(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{IH}) .7 .54(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H} . J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .46$ (brs. 2 H ) .6 .92 (brs. 2 H ) , 6.68 (s. 1 H ). 6.62 (s. IH ). 3.74 (s. $3 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: $598.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Ic: Yield $29 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \hat{\delta} 8.58$ (brs. $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .94$ (brs. 1 H ), 7.73 (d, $2 \mathrm{H} . J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 7.56 (s. IH). 7.53 (brs. 2H). 7.36 (s. 3H). 7.19 (brs. IH). 6.92 (brs. $2 \mathrm{H}) .3 .74(\mathrm{~s} .3 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: $632.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

Id: Yield $35 \%$. mp: $222-224^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, 300 MHz ) $\hat{0} 9.78$ (brs. IH). 8.94 (s. 1H). 8.61 (brs. IH). 8.56
(d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 7.89 (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 7.64 (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .36(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H} . J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .32(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .13$ (brs. IH ). 7.09 (brs. 1H). 6.96 (brs. IH). 3.74 (s. 3H). ESI-MS: $56+.0$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}$

Ie: Yield $43 \%$ mp: $237-239^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$. $300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.54$ (brs. 2 H ), $7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .61(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}) .7 .54(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .40(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .36(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .26$ (s. IH). 7.21 (d. $2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 7.15 (d. $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 6.99 (brs, 1 H ), 6.88 (brs. 2 H ). $3.70(\mathrm{~s} .3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ESI-MS: $530.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$

If: Yield $40 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \stackrel{\delta}{8} 8.93$ (s. 1 H ). $8.56(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) .8 .50(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .91(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~s} .2 \mathrm{H})$. 7.64 (d. $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 7.36 (d. $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). $7.12(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H})$. $7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .6 .96(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: $598.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

3,4-Dichloro- N - 4 -[3-(3-chloro-5-methoxyphenyl)-4-py-ridin-4-yl-pyrazol-1-yl]phenyl\} benzamide (Ig). A mixture of compound ( 7.50 .0 mg . 0.1 mmol ), 3.4-dichlorophenyl benzoic acid ( 38.0 mg .0 .2 mmol ), HOBt ( 36.0 mg .0 .3 mmol ), and EDCI ( $38.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ nunol) in DMF ( 1.0 nL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}(0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{nmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and then partitioned between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the organic solvent. the residue was purified by column clromatography (silica gel, hexanes-ethyl acetate $1: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield compound $\mathbf{I g}(22.0 \mathrm{mg} .31 \%) . \mathrm{mp}$ : $224-226^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6} .300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 8.92$ $(\mathrm{s} .1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H} . J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63$ (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). $7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H} . J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .32(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (brs. 1 H ). $8.8+$ (brs, 2 H ). ESI-MS: $550.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

The synthesis of compounds Ih and II was canied out by the same procedure as described for the preparation of Ig.

Ih: Yield $25 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 8.93$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}) .8 .56$ (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 8.17 (brs. 2 H ). 7.87 (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . ~ J=$ 9.0 Hz ) , 7.67 (brs, 3 H ), 7.36 (d. $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.97 (brs, 1H). 6.84 (brs. 2 H ). ESI-MS: $583.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}$

Ii: Yield $39 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6} .300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\hat{\mathrm{o}}$. 8.90 ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}) .8 .55(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H} . J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .83(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H} . J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .75(\mathrm{~s}$. $1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .65$ (d. $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 7.36 (brs. 3 H ). 7.01 (s. 1H), 6.99 $(\mathrm{s} .1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .6 .83$ (brs. 2H). ESI-MS: $617.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}$

1-\{+-[3-(3-Chloro-5-methoxy-phenyl)-4-pyidin-4-y]-pyr-azol-1-yl]-phenyl\}-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)urea (IIa). To a mixture of compound $\mathbf{L a}$ ( 50.0 mg .0 .1 mmol ) in methylene chloride ( 1.0 mL ). $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(0.02 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1 M solution in $\mathrm{MC}, 0.3 \mathrm{nmmol}$ ) was added dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min . The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h . The nixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. Ethyl acetate was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer extracts were washed with brine. dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the organic solvent. the residue was purified by short columm chromatography (silica gel. hexanes-ethyl acetate $1: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield compound IIa ( $45.0 \mathrm{mg} .92 \%$ ). mp: $245-247^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) o 10.61 (s. 1 H ), $9.00(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} . J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$. $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .11(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.97(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}) .3 .75(\mathrm{~s} .3 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: $550.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

The synthesis of compounds Ilb-Ilh was caried out by the same procedure as described for the preparation of IIa.

Ill): Yield $40 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6} .300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ) $\hat{\delta} 10.71$ (s. 1H). 9.02 (brs, lH), 8.57 (brs. 2H). 8.42 (brs, 1H), 8.27 (brs. 1H). 7.96 (s. 4 H ). 7.37 (brs. 2 H ). 7.10 (s. 1H). 7.08 (s. 1H). $6.97(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{lH}) .3 .74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: $584.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

IIc: Yield $56 \% \mathrm{mp}: 2+5-247^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (dec.). ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $d_{6}$. 300 MHz ) $\delta 8.59$ (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.38 (brs, 1 H ). 8.18 (brs. 1 H ). 8.14 (brs. 1 H ) .8 .10 (brs, 1H). 7.85 (s. 3 H ). 7.27 (s. 3 H ), 7.22 (brs. 1H). 6.94 (brs. 2H). 3.75 (s. 3H). ESI-MS: 618.1 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

IId: Yield $87 \% \mathrm{mp}: 225-227^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$. $300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .8 .17(\mathrm{brs}$, $\mathrm{IH}) .7 .85(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .67$ (s. 3 H ). 7.64 (brs. IH). 7.35 (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) 6.97 (brs. 1 H ). 6.84 (brs. 2 H ). ESI-MS: $550.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

IIe: Yield $54 \% \mathrm{mp}: 220-222^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $d_{6}$ 300 MHz ) $\delta 9.09$ (d. $2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 8.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). 8.56 (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .91(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .86(\mathrm{~d} .1 \mathrm{H}, ~ J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .62(\mathrm{~d}$. $2 \mathrm{H} . J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 7.35 (s. 3 H ). 6.97 (brs, 1H). 6.84 (brs. 2 H ). ESI-MS: $516.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

If: Yield $62 \% \mathrm{mp}$ : $185-187^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $d_{6}$ $300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 9.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} . J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H})$. $7.97(\mathrm{~s} .5 \mathrm{H}) .7 .86(\mathrm{~d} .1 \mathrm{H} . J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) .7 .76(\mathrm{~d} .2 \mathrm{H} . J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$. 7.03 (brs. 1H). 6.92 (brs, 1H). 6.86 (brs. 1H). ESI-MS: 535.0 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
IIg: Yield $61 \% \mathrm{mp}: 160-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6} .300$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.99(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}) .8 .59$ (brs, 2H). 8.43 (brs. 2 H ), 7.96 (s. $4 \mathrm{H}) .7 .52$ (s. IH). 7.39 (s. 2 H ) .6 .99 (brs. 1H). 6.88 (brs. 1 H ). 6.86 (brs, lH). ESI-MS: $\mathbf{5 6 9 . 3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Ill: Yield $72 \%$ mp: $240-242^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$. 300 MHz ) $\delta 8.99$ (s. 1H). 8.64 (brs. 2 H ). 8.57 (d. $2 \mathrm{H} . ~ J=6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}) .8 .4 \mathrm{l}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .97(\mathrm{~s} .4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} . J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.00$ (brs. 1H). 6.85 (brs. 1H). ESI-MS: $603.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
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