

## A STUDY OF AVERAGE ERROR BOUND OF TRAPEZOIDAL RULE

MEEHYEA YANG<sup>†</sup> AND BUM IL HONG<sup>‡</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, to have a better *a posteriori* error bound of the average case error between the true value of  $I(f)$  and the Trapezoidal rule on subintervals using zero mean-Gaussian, we prove that a new average error between the difference of the true value of  $I(f)$  from the composite Trapezoidal rule and that of the composite Trapezoidal rule from the simple Trapezoidal rule is bounded by  $c_r h^{2r+3}$  through direct computation of constants  $c_r$  for  $r \leq 2$  under the assumption that we have subintervals (for simplicity equal length  $h$ ) partitioning  $[0, 1]$ .

### 1. Introduction

Many computational problems in science and engineering can only be solved approximately since the available information is partial. For instance, for problems defined on a space of functions, information about  $f$  is typically provided by few function values,  $N(f) = [f(x_0), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)]$ . Knowing  $N(f)$ , the solution is approximated by an algorithm. Therefore we have the error between the true and the approximate solutions. The error between the true and the approximate solutions can be reduced by acquiring more information.

The error between the true solution and the approximation depends on a problem setting. In the worst case setting, the error of a numerical scheme is defined by its worst performance with respect to the given class of functions. In this paper, we concentrate on another setting, the average case setting. In this setting, we assume that the class  $F$  of input functions is equipped with a probability measure. The general references are [1], [6] and [8].

---

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author.

Received August 8, 2008. Revised September 1, 2008.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification** : 65D30, 65G10, 28C20.

**Key words and phrases** : Trapezoidal rule, Error analysis, Wiener measure.

<sup>†</sup> This research was supported by the University of Incheon Research Grant in 2007.

### 2. Definitions

It is well known that the average case setting requires the space of functions to be equipped with a probability measure. In this paper, we choose a probability measure  $\mu_r$  which is a variant of an  $r$ -fold Wiener measure  $\omega_r$ . The probability measure  $\omega_r$  is a Gaussian measure with zero mean and correlation function given by  $M_{\omega_r}(f(x)f(y)) = \int_F f(x)f(y)\omega_r(df) = \int_0^1 \frac{(x-t)_+^r}{r!} \frac{(y-t)_+^r}{r!} dt$ , where  $(z-t)_+^r = [\max\{0, (z-t)\}]^r$ . Equivalently,  $f$  distributed according to  $\omega_r$  can be viewed as a Gaussian stochastic process with zero mean and autocorrelation given above. However, since  $\omega_r$  is concentrated on functions with boundary conditions  $f(0) = f'(0) = \dots = f^{(r)}(0) = 0$ , we choose to study a slightly modified measure  $\mu_r$  that preserves basic properties of  $\omega_r$ , yet does not require any boundary conditions. More precisely, we assume that a function  $f$ , as a stochastic process, is given by

$$f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(1-x), \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are independent and distributed according to  $\omega_r$ . Then by [4-7], the corresponding probability measure  $\mu_r$  is a zero mean Gaussian with the correlation function given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mu_r}(f(x)f(y)) &= \int_0^1 \frac{(x-t)_+^r (y-t)_+^r + (1-x-t)_+^r (1-y-t)_+^r}{r! r!} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{(x-t)_+^r (y-t)_+^r + (t-x)_+^r (t-y)_+^r}{r! r!} dt. \end{aligned}$$

We study the problem of approximating an integral  $I(f) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx$  for  $f \in F = C^r[0, 1]$ , assuming that the class of integrands is equipped with the probability measure  $\mu_r$ .

Assume that we have  $n$  subintervals (not necessarily equal length) partitioning  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = 1$ . But for simplicity, we let  $x_i = ih$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, n$  where  $h = \frac{1}{n}$ . With this indexing, we get

$$I_i(f) \equiv \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} f(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad T_i(f) = h \{f(x_{i-1}) + f(x_{i+1})\}$$

while  $T_i$  is the basic Trapezoidal rule using  $f(x_{i-1})$  and  $f(x_{i+1})$ . Let  $\overline{T}_i$  be the composite Trapezoidal rule that uses  $f(x_{i-1})$ ,  $f(x_i)$  and  $f(x_{i+1})$ , i.e.,

$$\overline{T}_i(f) = \frac{h}{2} \{f(x_{i-1}) + 2f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})\}.$$

Also let

$$X_i(f) = I_i(f) - \bar{T}_i(f) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_i(f) = \bar{T}_i(f) - T_i(f).$$

### 3. Error bounds for a subinterval using distribution

Since the true value of  $I(f)$  is unknown, we do not know the actual error of  $X(f) = |I(f) - T(f)|$ . Therefore  $|Y_i(f)|$  is often used as an *a posteriori* error bound for  $|X_i(f)|$ . However, it is well known that  $|Y_i(f)|$  is not a good bound if  $f \notin C^3[0, 1]$ . As a result, in order to find a new and better *a posteriori* error bound in the sense of probability, we need to know the distributions not only  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$ , but also  $X_i - Y_i$ . In fact, the distributions of  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$ , and error bounds for  $M_{\mu_r}(X_i X_j)$  and  $M_{\mu_r}(Y_i Y_j)$  were already studied [2,3].

In this section, we consider two consecutive subintervals. In order to find a new error bound for the subintervals, we compute the distribution of  $X_i - Y_i$ . it is also Gaussian with zero-mean and covariances like  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$ . A new error bound for  $M_{\mu_r}([X_i - Y_i][X_j - Y_j])$  is given in next theorem that is the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *For a non-negative integer  $r \leq 2$ ,*

$$M_{\mu_r}([X_i - Y_i][X_j - Y_j]) = \delta_{ij} \cdot c_r \cdot h^{2r+3}, \quad \text{for } i \leq j,$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta and the constants  $c_r$  are independent of  $h$ 's and equal  $c_0 = \frac{16}{3}$ ,  $c_1 = \frac{38}{15}$  and  $c_2 = \frac{4}{7}$  respectively.

*Proof.* Let  $[X_{i1} - Y_{i1}] = [X_i - Y_i](f_1)$  and  $[X_{i2} - Y_{i2}] = [X_i - Y_i](f_2)$ . Then  $[X_i - Y_i](f) = [X_{i1} - Y_{i1}] + [X_{i2} - Y_{i2}]$ , and due to the independence of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & M_{\mu_r}([X_i - Y_i][X_j - Y_j]) \\ &= M_{\omega_r}([X_{i1} - Y_{i1}][X_{j1} - Y_{j1}]) + M_{\omega_r}([X_{i2} - Y_{i2}][X_{j2} - Y_{j2}]). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $Y_i(f) = -\frac{h}{2} \nabla_i f = -\frac{h}{2} \nabla_i f_1 - \frac{h}{2} \nabla_i f_2$  where  $h = (x_{i+1} - x_{i-1})/2$  and  $\nabla_i f$  is the backward difference  $f$ , i.e.,

$$\nabla_i f = f(x_{i-1}) - 2f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1}).$$

Now,

$$M_{\omega_r}([X_{i1} - Y_{i1}][X_{j1} - Y_{j1}]) = \int_0^{x_{i+1}} L_{i1}(t) \cdot L_{j1}(t) dt,$$

where

$$L_{i1}(t) = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} \frac{(x-t)_+^r}{r!} dx - \bar{T}_i \left( \frac{(\cdot-t)_+^r}{r!} \right) + \frac{h}{2} \nabla_i \left( \frac{(\cdot-t)_+^r}{r!} \right),$$

and

$$L_{j1}(t) = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} \frac{(y-t)_+^r}{r!} dy - \bar{T}_j \left( \frac{(\cdot-t)_+^r}{r!} \right) + \frac{h}{2} \nabla_j \left( \frac{(\cdot-t)_+^r}{r!} \right).$$

Similarly,

$$M_{\omega_r}([X_{i2} - Y_{i2}][X_{j2} - Y_{j2}]) = \int_{x_{j-1}}^1 L_{i2}(t) \cdot L_{j2}(t) dt.$$

Since the operator  $\nabla_i$  is exact for polynomials of degree  $\leq 2$ ,  $L_{j1}(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq x_{i+1}$  and  $L_{i2}(t) = 0$  for  $t \geq x_{j-1}$ . Therefore  $M_{\mu_r}([X_i - Y_i][X_j - Y_j]) = 0$  when  $i < j$ . Therefore it is enough to compute the case of  $i = j$ . If  $i = j$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{\omega_r}([X_{i1} - Y_{i1}][X_{j1} - Y_{j1}]) \\ &= M_{\omega_r}([X_{i1} - Y_{i1}]^2) \\ (2) \quad &= \int_0^{x_{i+1}} L_{i1}(t) \cdot L_{i1}(t) dt \\ &= \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} \left[ \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} \frac{(x-t)_+^r}{r!} dx - \bar{T}_i \left( \frac{(\cdot-t)_+^r}{r!} \right) + \frac{h}{2} \nabla_i \left( \frac{(\cdot-t)_+^r}{r!} \right) \right]^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

We now compute  $\frac{h}{2} \nabla_i \left( \frac{(\cdot-t)_+^r}{r!} \right)$  on  $[x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}]$ . By the definition of  $\nabla_i$ ,

$$\frac{h}{2} \nabla_i \left( \frac{(\cdot-t)_+^r}{r!} \right) = \frac{h}{2} \left( \frac{(x_{i-1}-t)_+^r}{r!} - 2 \frac{(x_i-t)_+^r}{r!} + \frac{(x_{i+1}-t)_+^r}{r!} \right).$$

If we use the change of variable,  $u = \frac{t-x_{i-1}}{2h}$ ,  $t \in [x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}]$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad & \bar{T}_i \left( \frac{(\cdot-t)_+^r}{r!} \right) = \frac{h}{2} \left( \frac{(2h)^r (0-u)_+^r}{r!} + 2 \frac{(2h)^r (\frac{1}{2}-u)_+^r}{r!} + \frac{(2h)^r (1-u)_+^r}{r!} \right) \\ & \frac{h}{2} \nabla_i \left( \frac{(\cdot-t)_+^r}{r!} \right) = \frac{h}{2} \left( \frac{(2h)^r (0-u)_+^r}{r!} - 2 \frac{(2h)^r (\frac{1}{2}-u)_+^r}{r!} + \frac{(2h)^r (1-u)_+^r}{r!} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $u \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore, from (3), we have

$$(4) \quad -\bar{T}_i \left( \frac{(\cdot - t)_+^r}{r!} \right) + \frac{h}{2} \nabla_i \left( \frac{(\cdot - t)_+^r}{r!} \right) = -2h \frac{(2h)^r (\frac{1}{2} - u)_+^r}{r!}.$$

Moreover, if we apply  $z = \frac{x-x_{i-1}}{2h}$  similarly to  $\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} \frac{(x-t)_+^r}{r!} dx$  in (1), then we have

$$(5) \quad \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} \frac{(x-t)_+^r}{r!} dx = \int_0^1 \frac{(2h)^r (z-u)_+^r}{r!} 2hdz.$$

So, by (4) and (5), (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{\omega_r} ([X_{i1} - Y_{i1}][X_{j1} - Y_{j1}]) \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{(2h)^r (z-u)_+^r}{r!} 2hdz - 2h \frac{(2h)^r (\frac{1}{2} - u)_+^r}{r!} \right]^2 2hdu \\ &= c_{r1} h^{2r+3} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_{r1} = 2^{2r+3} \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{(z-u)_+^r}{r!} dz - \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - u)_+^r}{r!} \right]^2 du.$$

Similarly, we can easily show that

$$M_{\omega_r} ([X_{i2} - Y_{i2}][X_{j2} - Y_{j2}]) = M_{\omega_r} ([X_{i2} - Y_{i2}]^2) = c_{r2} h^{2r+3},$$

where

$$c_{r2} = 2^{2r+3} \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{(u-z)_+^r}{r!} dz - \frac{(u - \frac{1}{2})_+^r}{r!} \right]^2 du.$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{(z-u)_+^r}{r!} dz - \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - u)_+^r}{r!} \right]^2 du = \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{(u-z)_+^r}{r!} dz - \frac{(u - \frac{1}{2})_+^r}{r!} \right]^2 du,$$

$c_{r1} = c_{r2}$  for any non-negative integer  $r \leq 2$ . Therefore, by (1),  $c_r = c_{r1} + c_{r2} = 2c_{r1}$ . We now calculate  $c_{r1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} c_{r1} &= 2^{2r+3} \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{(z-u)_+^r}{r!} dz - \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - u)_+^r}{r!} \right]^2 du \\ &= 2^{2r+3} \int_0^1 \left[ \int_u^1 \frac{(z-u)^r}{r!} dz - \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - u)_+^r}{r!} \right]^2 du. \end{aligned}$$

For  $r = 0$ ,

$$c_{01} = 2^3 \int_0^1 \left[ \int_u^1 dz - \left(\frac{1}{2} - u\right)_+^0 \right]^2 du = 2^3 \int_0^1 [1 - u - 1]^2 du = \frac{8}{3}.$$

For  $r = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11} &= 2^{2+3} \int_0^1 \left[ \int_u^1 (z - u) dz - \left(\frac{1}{2} - u\right)_+ \right]^2 du \\ &= 2^5 \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{2}(1 - u)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - u\right)_+ \right]^2 du \\ &= 2^5 \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \frac{1}{2}(1 - u)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - u\right) \right]^2 du + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left[ \frac{1}{2}(1 - u)^2 - \left(u - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right]^2 du \right) \\ &= \frac{19}{15}. \end{aligned}$$

for  $r = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{21} &= 2^{2 \cdot 2 + 3} \int_0^1 \left[ \int_u^1 \frac{(z - u)^2}{2} dz - \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - u\right)_+^2}{2} \right]^2 du \\ &= 2^7 \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{6}(1 - u)^3 - \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - u\right)_+^2}{2} \right]^2 du \\ &= 2^7 \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \frac{1}{6}(1 - u)^3 - \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - u\right)^2}{2} \right]^2 du + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left[ \frac{1}{6}(1 - u)^2 - \frac{\left(u - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{2} \right]^2 du \right) \\ &= 2^7 \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{6}(1 - u)^3 - \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - u\right)^2}{2} \right]^2 du \\ &= \frac{2}{7}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $c_0 = 2c_{01} = \frac{16}{3}$ ,  $c_1 = 2c_{11} = \frac{38}{15}$  and  $c_2 = 2c_{21} = \frac{4}{7}$ . This completes the proof. □

**Remark 1.** Even though we used equally spaced knots  $x_i$  for simplicity, unequally knots  $x_i$  do give us the same result. In fact, we are easily able to show that  $M_{\mu_r}([X_i - Y_i][X_j - Y_j]) = \delta_{ij} \cdot c_r \cdot h_i^{2r+3}$ , for  $i \leq j$

**Remark 2.** In the case of  $r \geq 3$ , we expect that the error bound is still bounded by  $ch^8$  at most and a proof is much more complicated than the case of  $r \leq 2$ . We will explore this in the later paper.

## References

- [1] P. J. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, *Methods of Numerical Integration*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] N. Hahm and B. I. Hong, *An Error of composite Trapezoidal Rule*, J. of Appl. Math. & Computing **13** (2003), 365-372.
- [3] B. I. Hong, N. Hahm and M. Yang, *An Error bounds of Trapezoidal Rule on subintervals using zero-mean Gaussian*, J. of Korea information processing Soc. **12-A** (2005), 391-394.
- [4] H. H. Kuo, *Gaussian Measures in Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 463, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [5] E. Novak, *Deterministic and Stochastic Error Bound in Numerical Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1349, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [6] A. V. Skorohod, *Integration in Hilbert Space*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [7] J. F. Traub, G. W. Wasilkowski and H. Woźniakowski, *Information-Based Complexity*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [8] N. N. Vakhania, *Probability distributed on Linear Spaces*, North-Holland, New York, 1981.

Meehyea Yang

Department of Mathematics,

The University of Incheon,

Incheon 402-749, Korea

*E-mail:* mhyang@incheon.ac.kr

Bum Il Hong

Department of Mathematics,

Kyung Hee University,

Yongin, Kyunggi 446-701, Korea

*E-mail:* bihong@khu.ac.kr