

Fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semicontinuous mappings

Seok Jong Lee, Jin Tae Kim, and Youn Suk Eoum

Department of Mathematics, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 361-763, Korea

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semicontinuous mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense. The concepts of fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semineighborhood and fuzzy (r, s) -quasi-pre-semineighborhood are given. The characterizations for the fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semicontinuous mappings are obtained. Also, we introduce the notions of fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen and fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiclosed mappings, and then we investigate some of their characteristic properties.

Key Words : fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semicontinuous mapping, fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen mapping, fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiclosed mapping

1. Introduction

Chang [4] defined fuzzy topological spaces. These spaces and its generalizations are later studied by several authors, one of which, developed by Šostak [17], used the idea of degree of openness. This type of generalization of fuzzy topological spaces was later rephrased by Chattopadhyay and his colleagues [5], and by Ramadan [16].

As a generalization of fuzzy sets, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov [1]. Recently, Çoker [6] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Using the idea of degree of openness and degree of nonopenness, Çoker and Demirci [8] defined intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense as a generalization of smooth topological spaces and intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. S. Z. Bai [2] introduced the concepts of fuzzy pre-semiopen sets and fuzzy pre-semicontinuous mappings, and S. Z. Bai and W. L. Wang [3] established some other properties of fuzzy pre-semicontinuous mappings on Chang's fuzzy topological spaces. S. J. Lee and Y. S. Eoum [11] considered these concepts on smooth topological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semicontinuous mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense. The concepts of fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semineighborhood and fuzzy (r, s) -quasi-pre-semineighborhood are given. The characterizations for the fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semicontinuous mappings are obtained. Also, we introduce the notions of fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen and fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiclosed mappings, and then we investigate some of their characteristic properties.

2. Preliminaries

For the nonstandard definitions and notations we refer to [10, 12, 14, 15].

Definition 2.1. ([8]) Let X be a nonempty set. An intuitionistic fuzzy topology in Šostak's sense (SoIFT for short) $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ on X is a mapping $\mathcal{T} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\mathcal{T}_1(\underline{0}) = \mathcal{T}_1(\underline{1}) = 1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2(\underline{0}) = \mathcal{T}_2(\underline{1}) = 0$.
- (2) $\mathcal{T}_1(A \cap B) \geq \mathcal{T}_1(A) \wedge \mathcal{T}_1(B)$ and $\mathcal{T}_2(A \cap B) \leq \mathcal{T}_2(A) \vee \mathcal{T}_2(B)$.
- (3) $\mathcal{T}_1(\bigcup A_i) \geq \bigwedge \mathcal{T}_1(A_i)$ and $\mathcal{T}_2(\bigcup A_i) \leq \bigvee \mathcal{T}_2(A_i)$.

The $(X, \mathcal{T}) = (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Šostak's sense (SoIFTS for short). Also, we call $\mathcal{T}_1(A)$ a gradation of openness of A and $\mathcal{T}_2(A)$ a gradation of nonopenness of A .

Definition 2.2. ([7, 9]) Let $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ be a SoIFTS and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then

- (1) an intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X is said to be quasi-coincident with the intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X , denoted by $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q A$, if and only if $\mu_A(x) > \beta$ or $\gamma_A(x) < \alpha$.

- (2) two intuitionistic fuzzy sets A and B in X are said to be *quasi-coincident*, denoted by AqB , if and only if there exists an element $x \in X$ such that $\mu_A(x) > \gamma_B(x)$ or $\gamma_A(x) < \mu_B(x)$.

The word 'not quasi-coincident' will be abbreviated as \tilde{q} .

Definition 2.3. ([13]) Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is said to be

- (1) *fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen* if $A \subseteq \text{sint}(\text{cl}(A, r, s), r, s)$,
- (2) *fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiclosed* if $\text{scl}(\text{int}(A, r, s), r, s) \subseteq A$.

Definition 2.4. ([13]) Let $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ be a SoIFTS. For each $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$ and for each $A \in I(X)$, the *fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiinterior* is defined by

$$\text{psint}(A, r, s) = \bigcup \{B \in I(X) \mid B \subseteq A, \\ B \text{ is fuzzy } (r, s)\text{-pre-semiopen}\}$$

and the *fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiclosure* is defined by

$$\text{pscl}(A, r, s) = \bigcap \{B \in I(X) \mid A \subseteq B, \\ B \text{ is fuzzy } (r, s)\text{-pre-semiclosed}\}.$$

Theorem 2.5. ([13]) Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then we have

- (1) $\text{psint}(A, r, s)^c = \text{pscl}(A^c, r, s)$,
- (2) $\text{pscl}(A, r, s)^c = \text{psint}(A^c, r, s)$.

3. Fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semicontinuous mappings

We define the notions of fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semicontinuous, fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen, and fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiclosed mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense, and then we investigate some of their characteristic properties.

Definition 3.1. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ an intuitionistic fuzzy point in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is called

- (1) a *fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semineighborhood* of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ if there is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen set B in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in B \subseteq A$,
- (2) a *fuzzy (r, s)-quasi-pre-semineighborhood* of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ if there is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen set B in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q B \subseteq A$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ be a SoIFTS and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then an intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X is fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen if and only if A is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semineighborhood of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in A .

Proof. Let A be a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen set in X and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$. Put $B = A$. Then B is fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen in X and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in B \subseteq A$. Thus A is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semineighborhood of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$.

Conversely, let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$. Since A is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semineighborhood of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$, there is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen set $B_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}$ in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in B_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \subseteq A$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \bigcup \{x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \mid x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A\} \\ &\subseteq \bigcup \{B_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \mid x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A\} \\ &\subseteq A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A = \bigcup \{B_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \mid x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A\}$. Therefore A is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen set. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in a SoIFTS $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in \text{pscl}(A, r, s)$ if and only if BqA for any fuzzy (r, s)-quasi-pre-semineighborhood B of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$.

Proof. Suppose that there is a fuzzy (r, s)-quasi-pre-semineighborhood B of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ such that $B \tilde{q} A$. Since B is a fuzzy (r, s)-quasi-pre-semineighborhood of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$, there is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen set C such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q C \subseteq B$. Thus $C \tilde{q} A$ and hence $A \subseteq C^c$. Since C^c is fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiclosed, we have $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in \text{pscl}(A, r, s) \subseteq \text{pscl}(C^c, r, s) = C^c$. However $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin C^c$, because $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q C$. This is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin \text{pscl}(A, r, s)$. Then there is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiclosed set D such that $A \subseteq D$ and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \notin D$. Thus D^c is fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q D^c$, and hence D^c is a fuzzy (r, s)-quasi-pre-semineighborhood of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$. By hypothesis, $D^c q A$ and hence $A \not\subseteq (D^c)^c = D$. This is a contradiction. \square

Definition 3.4. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then f is called

- (1) a *fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semicontinuous* mapping if $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen set in X for each fuzzy (r, s)-open set B in Y ,
- (2) a *fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen* mapping if $f(A)$ is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen set in Y for each fuzzy (r, s)-open set A in X ,
- (3) a *fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiclosed* mapping if $f(A)$ is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiclosed set in Y for each fuzzy (r, s)-closed set A in X .

Remark 3.5. It is clear that every fuzzy (r, s) -precontinuous and every fuzzy (r, s) -semicontinuous mapping is fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semicontinuous for each $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Also, every fuzzy (r, s) -preopen(resp. fuzzy (r, s) -preclosed) and every fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen(resp. fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed) mapping is fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen(resp. fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiclosed). However, the following example shows that all of the converses need not be true.

Example 3.6. Let $X = \{x\}$ and let A_1 and A_2 be intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X defined as

$$A_1(x) = (0.2, 0.7), A_2(x) = (0.3, 0.5).$$

Define $\mathcal{T} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ and $\mathcal{U} : I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ by

$$\mathcal{T}(A) = (\mathcal{T}_1(A), \mathcal{T}_2(A)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } A = A_1, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}(A) = (\mathcal{U}_1(A), \mathcal{U}_2(A)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } A = A_2, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{U} are SoIFTs on X . Consider a mapping $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{U})$ defined by $f(x) = x$. Since $A_2 \subseteq \text{sint}(\text{cl}(A_2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}), \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) = A_1^c$ in (X, \mathcal{T}) , $f^{-1}(A_2) = A_2$ is fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -pre-semiopen in (X, \mathcal{T}) . Thus f is a fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -pre-semicontinuous mapping. However, f is not a fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -precontinuous mapping, because $A_2 \not\subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A_2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}), \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) = A_1$ in (X, \mathcal{T}) . Since $A_1 \subseteq \text{sint}(\text{cl}(A_1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}), \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) = A_2^c$ in (X, \mathcal{U}) , $f(A_1) = A_1$ is fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -pre-semiopen in (X, \mathcal{U}) . Thus f is a fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -pre-semiopen mapping. However, f is not a fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -semiopen mapping, because $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A_1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}), \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) = \underline{0} \not\subseteq A_1$ in (X, \mathcal{U}) . On the other hand, consider a mapping $g : (X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{T})$ defined by $g(x) = x$. Then g is fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -pre-semicontinuous and not fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -semicontinuous. Also, g is fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -pre-semiopen and not fuzzy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -preopen.

Theorem 3.7. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semicontinuous.
- (2) For each fuzzy (r, s) -closed set B in Y , $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiclosed set in X .
- (3) For each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X and each fuzzy (r, s) -open set B in Y such that $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \in B$, there is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen set A in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and $f(A) \subseteq B$.

- (4) For each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X and each fuzzy (r, s) -neighborhood B of $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$, $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semineighborhood of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$.
- (5) For each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X and each fuzzy (r, s) -neighborhood B of $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$, there is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semineighborhood A of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ such that $f(A) \subseteq B$.
- (6) $f(\text{pscl}(A, r, s)) \subseteq \text{cl}(f(A), r, s)$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X .
- (7) $\text{pscl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B, r, s))$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set B in Y .
- (8) $f^{-1}(\text{int}(B, r, s)) \subseteq \text{psint}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set B in Y .

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) It is obvious.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in X and B a fuzzy (r, s) -open set in Y such that $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \in B$. Then $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in f^{-1}(B)$. Put $A = f^{-1}(B)$. Then by (1), A is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen set in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and $f(A) = f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let B be a fuzzy (r, s) -open set in Y and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in f^{-1}(B)$. Then $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \in B$. By (3), there is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen set $A_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}$ in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}$ and $f(A_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}) \subseteq B$. Thus $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \subseteq f^{-1}(f(A_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}})) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(B) &= \bigcup \{x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \mid x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in f^{-1}(B)\} \\ &\subseteq \bigcup \{A_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \mid x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in f^{-1}(B)\} \\ &\subseteq f^{-1}(B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f^{-1}(B) = \bigcup \{A_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}} \mid x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in f^{-1}(B)\}$ and hence $f^{-1}(B)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen in X . Therefore f is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semicontinuous mapping.

(1) \Rightarrow (4) Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in X and B a fuzzy (r, s) -neighborhood of $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$. Then there is a fuzzy (r, s) -open set C in Y such that $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \in C \subseteq B$ and hence $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in f^{-1}(C) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$. Since f is fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semicontinuous, $f^{-1}(C)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen set in X . Thus $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semineighborhood of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in X and B a fuzzy (r, s) -neighborhood of $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$. By (4), $A = f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semineighborhood of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ and $f(A) = f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B$.

(5) \Rightarrow (3) Let $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in X and B a fuzzy (r, s)-open set in Y such that $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \in B$. Then B is a fuzzy (r, s)-neighborhood of $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$. By (5), there is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semineighborhood A of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and $f(A) \subseteq B$. Thus there is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen set C in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in C \subseteq A$ and hence $f(C) \subseteq f(A) \subseteq B$.

(2) \Rightarrow (6) Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X . Since $\text{cl}(f(A), r, s)$ is a fuzzy (r, s)-closed set in Y , $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(A), r, s))$ is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiclosed set in X . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pscl}(A, r, s) &\subseteq \text{pscl}(f^{-1}(f(A)), r, s) \\ &\subseteq \text{pscl}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(A), r, s)), r, s) \\ &= f^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(A), r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f(\text{pscl}(A, r, s)) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(A), r, s))) \subseteq \text{cl}(f(A), r, s)$.

(6) \Rightarrow (7) Let B be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in Y . Then $f^{-1}(B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X . By (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{pscl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)) &\subseteq \text{cl}(f(f^{-1}(B)), r, s) \\ &\subseteq \text{cl}(B, r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pscl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) &\subseteq f^{-1}(f(\text{pscl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s))) \\ &\subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B, r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

(7) \Rightarrow (8) Let B be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in Y . By (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{psint}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)^c &= \text{pscl}(f^{-1}(B^c), r, s) \\ &\subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B^c, r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{int}(B, r, s)) &= f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B^c, r, s))^c \\ &\subseteq \text{psint}(f^{-1}(B), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

(8) \Rightarrow (1) Let B be a fuzzy (r, s)-open set in Y . By (8),

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(\text{int}(B, r, s)) &\subseteq \text{psint}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) \\ &\subseteq f^{-1}(B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f^{-1}(B) = \text{psint}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)$. Hence $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen set in X . Therefore f is fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semicontinuous. \square

Theorem 3.8. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a bijective mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semicontinuous.
- (2) For each fuzzy (r, s)-closed set B in Y , $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiclosed set in X .
- (3) For each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X and each fuzzy (r, s)-open set B in Y such that $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}) \in B$, there is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semiopen set A in X such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A$ and $f(A) \subseteq B$.
- (4) For each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X and each fuzzy (r, s)-neighborhood B of $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$, $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semineighborhood of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$.
- (5) For each intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in X and each fuzzy (r, s)-neighborhood B of $f(x_{(\alpha, \beta)})$, there is a fuzzy (r, s)-pre-semineighborhood A of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ such that $f(A) \subseteq B$.
- (6) $f(\text{pscl}(A, r, s)) \subseteq \text{cl}(f(A), r, s)$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X .
- (7) $\text{pscl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B, r, s))$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set B in Y .
- (8) $f^{-1}(\text{int}(B, r, s)) \subseteq \text{psint}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set B in Y .
- (9) $\text{int}(f(A), r, s) \subseteq f(\text{psint}(A, r, s))$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X .

Proof. By the above theorem, it suffices to show that (8) is equivalent to (9). Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X . Then $f(A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in Y . Since f is one-to-one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{int}(f(A), r, s)) &\subseteq \text{psint}(f^{-1}(f(A)), r, s) \\ &= \text{psint}(A, r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Since f is onto, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int}(f(A), r, s) &= f(f^{-1}(\text{int}(f(A), r, s))) \\ &\subseteq f(\text{psint}(A, r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let B be any intuitionistic fuzzy set in Y . Then $f^{-1}(B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X . Since f is onto, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int}(B, r, s) &= \text{int}(f(f^{-1}(B)), r, s) \\ &\subseteq f(\text{psint}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

Since f is one-to-one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{int}(B, r, s)) &\subseteq f^{-1}(f(\text{psint}(f^{-1}(B), r, s))) \\ &= \text{psint}(f^{-1}(B), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem follows. \square

Theorem 3.9. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen mapping.
- (2) $f(\text{int}(A, r, s)) \subseteq \text{psint}(f(A), r, s)$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X .
- (3) $\text{int}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{psint}(B, r, s))$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set B in Y .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X . Then $\text{int}(A, r, s)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -open set in X . Since f is fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen, $f(\text{int}(A, r, s))$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen in Y . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{int}(A, r, s)) &= \text{psint}(f(\text{int}(A, r, s)), r, s) \\ &\subseteq \text{psint}(f(A), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let B be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in Y . Then $f^{-1}(B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X . By (2),

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{int}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)) &\subseteq \text{psint}(f(f^{-1}(B)), r, s) \\ &\subseteq \text{psint}(B, r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int}(f^{-1}(B), r, s) &\subseteq f^{-1}(f(\text{int}(f^{-1}(B), r, s))) \\ &\subseteq f^{-1}(\text{psint}(B, r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -open set in X . By (3),

$$\begin{aligned} A = \text{int}(A, r, s) &\subseteq \text{int}(f^{-1}(f(A)), r, s) \\ &\subseteq f^{-1}(\text{psint}(f(A), r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(\text{psint}(f(A), r, s))) &\subseteq \text{psint}(f(A), r, s) \\ &\subseteq f(A). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f(A) = \text{psint}(f(A), r, s)$. Hence $f(A)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen set in Y . Therefore f is fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiopen. \square

Theorem 3.10. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiclosed.
- (2) $\text{pscl}(f(A), r, s) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A, r, s))$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X . Then $\text{cl}(A, r, s)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -closed set in X . Since f is (r, s) -pre-semiclosed, $f(\text{cl}(A, r, s))$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiclosed set in Y . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pscl}(f(A), r, s) &\subseteq \text{pscl}(f(\text{cl}(A, r, s)), r, s) \\ &= f(\text{cl}(A, r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -closed set in X . By (2),

$$f(A) \subseteq \text{pscl}(f(A), r, s) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A, r, s)) = f(A).$$

Thus $f(A) = \text{pscl}(f(A), r, s)$. Hence $f(A)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiclosed set in Y . Therefore f is fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiclosed. \square

Theorem 3.11. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ be a bijective mapping from a SoIFTS X to a SoIFTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fuzzy (r, s) -pre-semiclosed.
- (2) $\text{pscl}(f(A), r, s) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A, r, s))$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X .
- (3) $f^{-1}(\text{pscl}(B, r, s)) \subseteq \text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set B in Y .

Proof. By the above theorem, it suffices to show that (2) is equivalent to (3). Let B be any intuitionistic fuzzy set in Y . Then $f^{-1}(B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X . Since f is onto, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pscl}(B, r, s) &= \text{pscl}(f(f^{-1}(B)), r, s) \\ &\subseteq f(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

Since f is one-to-one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{pscl}(B, r, s)) &\subseteq f^{-1}(f(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s))) \\ &= \text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X . Then $f(A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in Y . Since f is one-to-one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{pscl}(f(A), r, s)) &\subseteq \text{cl}(f^{-1}(f(A)), r, s) \\ &= \text{cl}(A, r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Since f is onto, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pscl}(f(A), r, s) &= f(f^{-1}(\text{pscl}(f(A), r, s))) \\ &\subseteq f(\text{cl}(A, r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem follows. \square

References

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **20** (1986), 87–96.
- [2] Shi-Zhong Bai, *Fuzzy pre-semiopen sets and fuzzy pre-semicontinuity*, Proc. ICIS'92 (1992), 918–920.
- [3] Shi-Zhong Bai and Wan-Liang Wang, *Fuzzy non-continuous mappings and fuzzy pre-semi-separation axioms*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **94** (1998), 261–268.
- [4] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24** (1968), 182–190.
- [5] K. C. Chattopadhyay, R. N. Hazra, and S. K. Samanta, *Gradation of openness : Fuzzy topology*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **49** (1992), 237–242.
- [6] D. Çoker, *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **88** (1997), 81–89.
- [7] D. Çoker and M. Demirci, *On intuitionistic fuzzy points*, NIFS. **1** (1995), 79–84.
- [8] ———, *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense*, BUSEFAL **67** (1996), 67–76.
- [9] I. M. Hanafy, *Intuitionistic fuzzy functions*, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems **3** (2003), 200–205.
- [10] Eun Pyo Lee, *Semiopen sets on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense*, J. Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems **14** (2004), 234–238.
- [11] Seok Jong Lee and Youn Suk Eoum, *Fuzzy r -pre-semineighborhoods and r -pre-semicontinuous maps*, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems **1** (2001), no. 1, 62–68.
- [12] Seok Jong Lee and Jin Tae Kim, *Fuzzy (r,s) -irresolute maps*, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems **7** (2007), no. 1, 49–57.
- [13] Seok Jong Lee, Jin Tae Kim, and Youn Suk Eoum, *Fuzzy (r,s) -pre-semiopen sets*, Communications in Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences **3** (2007), 39–48.
- [14] Seok Jong Lee and Eun Pyo Lee, *Fuzzy (r,s) -semicontinuous mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak's sense*, J. Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems **16** (2006), 108–112.
- [15] Seung On Lee and Eun Pyo Lee, *Fuzzy (r,s) -preopen sets*, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems **5** (2005), 136–139.
- [16] A. A. Ramadan, *Smooth topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **48** (1992), 371–375.
- [17] A. P. Šostak, *On a fuzzy topological structure*, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Matem. Janos Palermo, Sr. II **11** (1985), 89–103.

Seok Jong Lee

Professor of Chungbuk National University
 Research Area: Fuzzy mathematics, Fuzzy topology, General topology
 E-mail : sjl@chungbuk.ac.kr

Jin Tae Kim

Research Area: Fuzzy mathematics, Fuzzy topology, General topology
 E-mail : kjtmath@hanmail.net

Youn Suk Eoum

Research Area: Fuzzy mathematics, Fuzzy topology, General topology
 E-mail : setojs@orgio.net