

## On a Background of the Existence of Multi-variable Link Invariants

FUMIKAZU NAGASATO

*Department of Mathematics, Meijo University, Shiogamaguchi, Tenpaku, Nagoya 468-8502, Japan*

*e-mail* : fukky@ccmfs.meijo-u.ac.jp

KANAU HAMAI

*Kozo Keikaku Engineering Inc. 4-38-13 Hon-cho, Nakano-ku Tokyo 164-0012, Japan*

*e-mail* : hamai@kke.co.jp

**ABSTRACT.** We present a quantum theoretical background of the existence of multi-variable link invariants, for example the Kauffman polynomial, by observing the quantum  $(sl(2, \mathbb{C}), \text{ad})$ -invariant from the Kontsevich invariant point of view. The background implies that the Kauffman polynomial can be studied by using the  $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$ -skein theory similar to the Jones polynomial and the HOMFLY polynomial.

### 1. Introduction

In 1980s–90s, many multi-variable link invariants had been successfully constructed, for example, the  $\Lambda$ -polynomial ([7]), the  $Q$ -polynomial ([1], [4]) and the Kauffman polynomial. Why was it possible? In this paper, we present a quantum theoretical background of the existence of the above multi-variable link invariants by observing the quantum  $(sl(2, \mathbb{C}), \text{ad})$ -invariant from the Kontsevich invariant point of view.

According to [8], the quantum  $(so(N), \rho_0)$ -invariant, where  $\rho_0$  is the fundamental representation of  $so(N)$ , is a specialization of the Kauffman polynomial  $F(L; a, z)$  in the Laurent polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[a, a^{-1}, z, z^{-1}]$ , which is an invariant of unoriented unframed links defined by the following skein relations with the initial condition  $F(\bigcirc; a, z) = 1$ :

$$aF\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array}; a, z\right) + a^{-1}F\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array}; a, z\right) = z\left\{F\left(\begin{array}{c} | \\ | \end{array}; a, z\right) + F\left(\begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \end{array}; a, z\right)\right\}.$$

In fact, we can show the equivalence of the weight systems for  $(so(3), \rho_0)$  and  $(sl(2, \mathbb{C}), \text{ad})$  by using the result in [2], and [8], where  $\text{ad}$  is the adjoint representation. Then it follows from an analytic ([8]) or a combinatorial observation ([3]) of the Kontsevich invariant that the quantum  $(sl(2, \mathbb{C}), \text{ad})$ -invariant  $Q_{sl(2, \mathbb{C}), \text{ad}}$  is also a specialization of the Kauffman polynomial as well as the the quantum  $(so(N), \rho_0)$ -invariant:

**Theorem 1.1([3]).** *The quantum  $(sl(2, \mathbb{C}), \text{ad})$ -invariant is an unoriented framed link*

Received February 21, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 57M27, 57M25.

Key words and phrases: Kauffman polynomial,  $\Lambda$ -polynomial, Kontsevich invariant,  $Q$ -invariant, weight system.

invariant satisfying the following relations with the initial condition  $Q_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),ad}(\bigcirc) = e^h + e^{-h} + 1$ :

$$Q_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),ad} \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} \right) - Q_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),ad} \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} \right) = (e^h - e^{-h}) \left\{ Q_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),ad} \left( \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \\ | \quad | \end{array} \right) - Q_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),ad} \left( \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cap \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$Q_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),ad} \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} \right) = e^{2h} Q_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),ad} \left( \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \\ | \quad | \end{array} \right).$$

Why can  $Q_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),ad}$  be thought of as a specialization of the  $\Lambda$ -,  $Q$ - and the Kauffman polynomial? To see this, substitute  $z := e^h - e^{-h}$ ,  $a := e^{2h}$  to the relations in Theorem 1.1. Then we get the skein relations of the  $\Lambda$ -polynomial, except for the sign of the second terms of the both sides of the first relation. The  $\Lambda$ -polynomial induces the  $Q$ - and the Kauffman polynomial. (See [5], for example). In this sense,  $Q_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),ad}$  can be regarded as a specialization of the Kauffman polynomial. Moreover, this process explains a quantum theoretical background of the existence of the above multi-variable link invariants. The process also implies a possibility that the Kauffman polynomial can be studied by using the  $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$ -skein theory similar to the Jones polynomial and the HOMFLY polynomial. (With respect to the  $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$ -skein theory, refer to [12]).

In this paper, we concentrate our interest on explaining what we observed in [3]. Namely, we show Theorem 1.1 in a combinatorial way using the Kontsevich invariant, which is different from the method in [8].

### 2. Key lemmas

To prove Theorem 1.1, we use the modified Kontsevich invariant  $\widehat{Z}$ , the  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho)$ -weight system  $W_{\mathfrak{g},\rho}$ , its graded version  $\widehat{W}_{\mathfrak{g},\rho}$ , quasi-tangles and Jacobi diagrams. There exists an excellent book [11] on these materials, so please refer to the book for details. The following theorem plays an important role in this paper:

**Theorem 2.1**(Kassel [6], Le and Murakami [9]). *The quantum  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho)$ -invariant  $Q_{\mathfrak{g},\rho}$  can be reconstructed by using the composition of the modified Kontsevich invariant  $\widehat{Z}$  with the  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho)$ -graded weight system  $\widehat{W}_{\mathfrak{g},\rho}$ . Namely,  $Q_{\mathfrak{g},\rho}(L)|_{q=e^h} = \widehat{W}_{\mathfrak{g},\rho}(\widehat{Z}(L))$  for an arbitrary oriented framed link  $L$ .*

In the final section, we apply this theorem to a proof of Theorem 1.1. Before the application we first focus on the following three key lemmas to Theorem 1.1. For the sake of convenience, we often use the following notation :

$$H := \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array}, \quad P := \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array}, \quad U := \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cap \end{array}, \quad 1 := \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \\ | \quad | \end{array},$$

where the above diagrams are Jacobi diagrams. We simply denote  $W_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),ad}$  by  $W$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The  $(sl(2, \mathbb{C}), ad)$ -weight system  $W$  does not depend on the orientation of support (solid lines) of a Jacobi diagram and is formulated as follows:*

- (1)  $W(\bigcirc) = 3$ ,
- (2)  $W(D \sqcup D') = W(D) \cdot W(D')$ , for any Jacobi diagrams  $D$  and  $D'$ ,
- (3)  $W(H) = 2W(P) - 2W(U)$ .

*Proof.* The adjoint representation of  $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$  is self-dual, which fact shows that  $W$  does not depend on the orientation of support of a Jacobi diagram. (1) and (2) are trivial. (3) is a formula given by Chmutov and Varchenko in [2].  $\square$

We remark that the first author generalized the formula (3) to a universal  $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$ -weight system via the Young symmetrizer in [10].

The formula (3) shows the equivalence of the weight system for  $(so(3), \rho_0)$  and  $(sl(2, \mathbb{C}), ad)$ . (Refer to [8]). Although Theorem 1.1 basically follows from the fact, we explain concretely how to prove Theorem 1.1 in a combinatorial way using the Kontsevich invariant.

**Lemma 2.2.**

$$W((P - U)^n) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^n)W(P) - \frac{1}{3}(1 - (-2)^n)W(U) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^n)W(1)$$

*Proof.* This can be immediately shown by induction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\widehat{W}$  be the  $(sl(2, \mathbb{C}), ad)$ -graded weight system. Then there exists a non-constant element  $\lambda = \lambda(h) \in \mathbb{C}[[h]]$  satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z}(\bigcirc) = 3\lambda, \quad \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cap \end{array} \right) = \lambda W(U),$$

where  $\begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cap \end{array}$  is a quasi-tangle with an unspecified orientation and dots with its end points.

*Proof.* Note that the composition  $\widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z}$  does not depend on the orientation on a quasi-tangle, which property is derived from Lemma 2.1, but  $\widehat{Z}$  does. Hence, at first we consider an oriented quasi-tangle for  $\widehat{Z}$ , then ignore the orientation later. In particular, dots with the end points of a quasi-tangle are not essential for  $\widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z}$ , so we also ignore them later.

Let us first summarize the definition of the modified Kontsevich invariant  $\widehat{Z}$  needed in this proof. For any monomial  $w$  in the non-commutative variables  $A$  and  $B$ , the degree of  $w$  is defined by its length as a word in  $A$  and  $B$ . Let  $\varphi(A, B)$  be the formal power series in the variables  $A$  and  $B$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(A, B) &:= 1 + \frac{1}{24}[A, B] - \frac{\zeta(3)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^3}([A, [A, B] + [B, [A, B]]) \\ &\quad + (\text{terms in } A \text{ and } B \text{ with degree } \geq 4), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta(z)$  is the zeta function. Let  $\nu$  be the Jacobi diagram with support  $\downarrow$  as follows:

$$\nu := \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \end{array} \right)^{-1}.$$

Then the modified Kontsevich invariant  $\widehat{Z}(\downarrow \curvearrowright \downarrow)$  is defined by

$$\widehat{Z}(\downarrow \curvearrowright \downarrow) := \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \end{array}.$$

To get a concrete presentation of  $\nu$ , let us take a closer look at  $\nu^{-1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^{-1} &= \varphi \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{24} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) - \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) + (\text{Jacobi diagrams of degree } \geq 3) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{24} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) - \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) + (\text{Jacobi diagrams of degree } \geq 3). \end{aligned}$$

Let us put  $\nu := a_0 + a_1 \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} + a_2 \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} + a_3 \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} + (\text{Jacobi diagrams of degree } \geq 3)$ .

For convenience, in the rest of proof, the part (Jacobi diagrams of degree  $\geq 3$ ) in the above power series is abbreviated to  $R$ . Then the following equation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \nu^{-1}\nu \\ &= \left( 1 + \frac{1}{24} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) - \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) + R \right) \left( a_0 + a_1 \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} + a_2 \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} + a_3 \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} + R \right) \\ &= a_0 + a_1 \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} + \frac{a_0}{24} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) - \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} + a_2 \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} + a_3 \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} + R, \end{aligned}$$

So we get  $a_0 = 1, a_1 = 0, a_2 = -1/24, a_3 = 1/24$ . Then  $\nu$  has the following presentation:

$$\nu = 1 - \frac{1}{24} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) - \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} + R.$$

We next focus on the equations below derived from Lemma 2.1,

$$W \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) = 8W \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad W \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right)^2 = 16W \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right).$$

Here we remark that the graded  $(sl(2, \mathbb{C}), \text{ad})$ -weight system  $\widehat{W}(D)$  of a Jacobi diagram  $D$  is defined as  $h^{\text{deg}(D)}W(D)$ , where  $\text{deg}(D)$  is a half the number of uni and tri-valent vertices of the graph consisting of all the dashed edges in  $D$ . Applying these formulas to

the Jacobi diagram  $\nu$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W}(\nu) &= \widehat{W} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{24} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) + R \right) \\ &= \left( 1 + \frac{h^2}{3} + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 3) \right) W \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the second equality of the above equations is derived from Schur's lemma. Let us put  $\lambda = \lambda(h) := 1 + \frac{h^2}{3} + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 3)$ . Then we have

$$\widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frown \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) = \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frown \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) = \widehat{W} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frown \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}} \end{array} \right) = \lambda^{1/2} W \left( \begin{array}{c} \frown \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right).$$

Moreover, we can get the same relation on  $\widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \smile \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right)$  as  $\widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frown \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right)$ , therefore we finally get the following results:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z}(\bigcirc) &= \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) = \lambda^{1/2} W \left( \begin{array}{c} \frown \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \circ \lambda^{1/2} W \left( \begin{array}{c} \smile \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right) = \lambda W \left( \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) = 3\lambda, \\ \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \smile \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right) &= \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \smile \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right) = \lambda^{1/2} W \left( \begin{array}{c} \smile \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right) \circ \lambda^{1/2} W \left( \begin{array}{c} \frown \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) = \lambda W \left( \begin{array}{c} \smile \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right) = \lambda W(U). \end{aligned}$$

These complete the proof. □

### 3. Proof of Theorem

By Theorem 2.1, Lemma 2.1 and the definitions of the modified Kontsevich invariant and the weight system, we see that  $Q_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),\text{ad}}$  is an unoriented framed link invariant. Moreover, by Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \times \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) - \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \times \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) &= (e^h - e^{-h}) \left\{ \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) - \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \smile \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\ \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \times \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) &= e^{2h} \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} | \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \\ \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z}(\bigcirc) &= e^h + e^{-h} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

to prove Theorem 1.1. (Refer to the note at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 2.3.) The third equation can be easily checked because

$$\widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z}(\bigcirc) = Q_{sl(2,\mathbb{C}),\text{ad}}(\bigcirc) = [3] = \frac{e^{\frac{3h}{2}} - e^{-\frac{3h}{2}}}{e^{\frac{h}{2}} - e^{-\frac{h}{2}}} = e^h + e^{-h} + 1,$$

where  $[n] = (e^{\frac{nh}{2}} - e^{-\frac{nh}{2}})/(e^{\frac{h}{2}} - e^{-\frac{h}{2}})$  is the quantum dimension. Then Lemma 2.3 shows that  $\lambda = (e^h + e^{-h} + 1)/3$ .

Next, let us check the second equation. By the definition of  $\widehat{Z}$ ,

$$\widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \end{array} \right).$$

Recalling the definition of  $\widehat{W}$  mentioned in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we obtain the desired equation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) &= \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) = \widehat{W} \left( \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) \right) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \widehat{W} \left( \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \frac{4h}{2} W \left( \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) \right) = e^{2h} \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We finally focus on the first equation. By the definitions of  $\widehat{W}$  and  $\widehat{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) &= \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) = \widehat{W} \left( P \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2}H + \frac{1}{8}H^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!2^n}H^n + \cdots \right) \right) \\ &= W \left( P \left( 1 + \frac{h}{2}H + \frac{h^2}{8}H^2 + \cdots + \frac{h^n}{n!2^n}H^n + \cdots \right) \right) \\ &= W \left( P e^{hH/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, the following equation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} W \left( P e^{hH/2} \right) &= W \left( P e^{h(P-U)} \right) \\ &= W \left( P \sum \frac{h^n}{n!} (P-U)^n \right) \\ &= W \left( P \sum \frac{h^n}{n!} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^n)P - \frac{1}{3}(1 - (-2)^n)U + \frac{1}{2}(e^h + e^{-h}) \cdot 1 \right\} \right) \\ &= W \left( \sum \frac{h^n}{n!} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^n) \cdot 1 - \frac{1}{3}(1 - (-2)^n)U + \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^n)P \right\} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(e^h - e^{-h})W(1) - \frac{1}{3}(e^h - e^{-2h})W(U) + \frac{1}{2}(e^h + e^{-h})W(P). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) &= \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(e^{-h} - e^h)W(1) - \frac{1}{3}(e^{-h} - e^{2h})W(U) + \frac{1}{2}(e^{-h} + e^h)W(P). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} \right) - \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} \right) \\
 &= (e^h - e^{-h})W(1) - \frac{1}{3}(e^{2h} - e^{-2h} + e^h - e^{-h})W(U) \\
 &= (e^h - e^{-h}) \left\{ W(1) - \frac{1}{3}(e^h + e^{-h} + 1)W(U) \right\} \\
 &= (e^h - e^{-h}) \left\{ \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \\ | \quad | \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{3\lambda}(e^h + e^{-h} + 1)\widehat{W} \circ \widehat{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cap \end{array} \right) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\lambda = (e^h + e^{-h} + 1)/3$ . Therefore this equation completes the proof of the first equation and Theorem 1.1.

**Acknowledgment.** We would like to thank Professor Mitsuyoshi Kato for his encouragements. The first author has been supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

## References

- [1] R. D. Brandt, W. B. R. Lickorich and K. C. Millett, *A polynomial invariant for unoriented knots and links*, Invent. Math., **84**(1986), 563-574.
- [2] S. V. Chmutov and A. N. Varchenko, *Remarks on the Vassiliev knot invariants coming from  $sl_2$* , Topology, **36**(1997), 153-178.
- [3] K. Hamai, *A combinatorial reconstruction of the quantum  $(sl(2, \mathbb{C}), ad)$ -invariant through the Kontsevich invariant (in Japanese, joint work with F. Nagasato)*, Master thesis, Kyushu University (2002).
- [4] C. F. Ho, *A new polynomial for knots and links—preliminary report*, Abstracts Amer. Math. Soc., **6**(1985), 300.
- [5] T. Kanenobu, *Tangle surgeries on the double of a tangle and link polynomials*, Kobe J. Math., **19**(2002), 1-19.
- [6] C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, GTM **155**, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [7] L. H. Kauffman, *On knots*, Ann. of Math. Studies, **115**, Princeton University Press, 1987.
- [8] T. T. Q. Le and J. Murakami, *Kontsevich's integral for the Kauffman polynomial*, Nagoya Math. J., **142**(1996), 39-65.
- [9] T. T. Q. Le and J. Murakami, *The universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant for framed oriented links*, Compositio Mathematica, **102**(1996), 41-64.
- [10] F. Nagasato, *A diagrammatic construction of the  $(sl(N, \mathbb{C}), \rho)$ -weight system*, Interdisciplinary Information Sciences, **9**(2003), 43-51.

- [11] T. Ohtsuki, *Quantum Invariants*, Series on Knots and Everything, **29**, World Scientific, 2002.
- [12] Y. Yokota, *Skeins and quantum  $SU(N)$  invariants of 3-manifolds*, Math. Ann., **307**(1997), 109-138.