

## COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR A GENERALIZED CONTRACTIVE TYPE MAPPINGS IN METRIC SPACES

SEONG-HOON CHO

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we give a generalized contractive type condition for a pair of self maps of a metric space and analyze the existence of common fixed points for these maps of a metric space.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 47H10, 54H25

*Key words and phrases* : Generalized contractive condition, fixed point.

### 1. Introduction

Recently, in [1,3,4], the authors obtained some fixed point theorems for self maps of metric space satisfying integral type contractive condition. Since then, in [5], the author gave a generalized contractive type condition for two maps in metric space and proved some common fixed point theorems for these maps. That is, the author extend well-known results in [1,2,4].

In this paper, we give a generalized contractive type condition for two self maps of a metric space and then we prove common fixed point theorems for these maps. That is, we generalize the results of [3].

For a self map  $f$  of a nonempty set  $X$  and  $x \in X$ , we denote  $O(x, f)$  by the orbit of  $f$  at  $x$  and  $O(x, f, n)$  by the  $n$ -th orbit of  $f$  at  $x$ . That is,

$$O(x, f) = \{f^k x : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \} \text{ and } O(x, f, n) = \{f^k x : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

For  $A \subset X$ , we denote the diameter of  $A$  by  $\delta(A)$ .

Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in a metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $r_n = \delta(\{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots\})$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then  $r_n$  is a finite number for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{r_n\}$  is nonincreasing and  $r_n \geq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus there exists a  $r \geq 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = r$ .

---

Received October 2, 2007.

© 2008 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

From now on, we denote

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, gy), d(x, gy), d(y, fx) \right\}$$

for self maps  $f$  and  $g$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Also, we denote  $\Lambda$  by the class of nondecreasing continuous function  $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$(\alpha 1) \alpha(0) = 0,$$

$$(\alpha 2) \alpha(s) > 0 \text{ for all } s > 0.$$

Note that if  $\alpha(s) = \int_0^s \varphi(t) dt$ , then  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  where  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable, nonnegative and  $\int_0^u \varphi(t) dt > 0$  for each  $u > 0$ .

And we denote  $\Phi$  by the class of nondecreasing right upper semi-continuous function  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying:

$$(\phi 1) \phi(t) < t \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

$$(\phi 2) \text{ for each } t > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(t) = 0.$$

Note that  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

## 2. Common fixed point theorems

In this section, we give some generalized contractive type common fixed point theorems for a pair of self maps of a metric space.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self maps of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  satisfying: for each  $x, y \in X$ ,*

$$\alpha(d(fx, gy)) \leq \phi(\alpha(M(x, y))) \quad (2.1.1)$$

*If there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $O(x_0, gf) \cup O(fx_0, fg)$  is bounded, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique fixed point  $z$  in  $X$ .*

*Moreover, the iteration sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $x_{2n+1} = fx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} = gx_{2n+1}$  converges to  $z$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $O(x_0, gf) \cup O(fx_0, fg)$  is bounded for some  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $x_{2n+1} = fx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} = gx_{2n+1}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $x_n \in O(x_0, gf) \cup O(fx_0, fg)$ . Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $(X, d)$ . Let  $r_n = \delta(\{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots\})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists a  $r \geq 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = r$ .

We now show that  $r = 0$ . Let  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed. From (2.1.1) we have for  $n \geq l$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \alpha(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})) \\
 &= \alpha(d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n-1})) \\
 &\leq \phi(\alpha(M(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}))) \\
 &= \phi(\alpha(\max\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n-1}), \\
 &\quad d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n}), d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1})\})) \\
 &\leq \phi(\alpha(\max\{r_{2n-1}, r_{2n-1}, r_{2n-1}, 0, r_{2n-1}\})) \\
 &= \phi(\alpha(r_{2l-1})).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.2}$$

Taking sup over  $n \geq l$  in (2.1.2) we have  $\alpha(r_{2l}) \leq \phi(\alpha(r_{2l-1}))$ . Letting  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\alpha(r) \leq \phi(\alpha(r)) < \alpha(r)$ , which is a contradiction if  $r \neq 0$ . Hence  $r = 0$  and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0$ . From (2.1.2), we have

$$\alpha\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\right) \leq \phi\left(\alpha\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{2l-1}\right)\right) = \phi(\alpha(0)) = 0.$$

Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = 0$ .

Similary, we can show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ . We now show that  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy.

If not, then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and subsequences  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  and  $\{x_{m_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  with  $m_i > n_i$  such that  $d(x_{n_i}, x_{m_i}) \geq 2\epsilon$  for each  $i$ .

From (2.1.2), we have  $d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{n_i}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and  $d(x_{m_i}, x_{m_i-1}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then

$$d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{m_i}) \geq d(x_{n_i}, x_{m_i}) - d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{n_i}) > \epsilon$$

and

$$d(x_{n_i}, x_{m_i-1}) \geq d(x_{n_i}, x_{m_i}) - d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{m_i}) > \epsilon$$

which imply

$$d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{m_i-1}) \geq d(x_{n_i}, x_{m_i}) - d(x_{m_i-1}, x_{m_i}) - d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{n_i}) > \epsilon.$$

We can assume that  $n_i$  are even numbers and  $m_i$  are odd numbers and  $d(x_{n_i}, x_{m_i}) \geq \epsilon$  for each  $i$ .

Let  $k_i = \min\{m_i : d(x_{n_i}, x_{m_i}) > \epsilon, m_i \text{ are odd number}\}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \epsilon &< d(x_{n_i}, x_{k_i}) \\
 &\leq d(x_{n_i}, x_{k_i-2}) + d(x_{k_i-2}, x_{k_i-1}) + d(x_{k_i-1}, x_{k_i}) \\
 &\leq \epsilon + d(x_{k_i-2}, x_{k_i-1}) + d(x_{k_i-1}, x_{k_i})
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_i}, x_{k_i}) = \epsilon$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(x_{n_i}, x_{k_i}) - d(x_{n_i}, x_{n_i+1}) - d(x_{k_i}, x_{k_i+1}) \\ & \leq d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{k_i+1}) \\ & \leq d(x_{n_i}, x_{x_i}) + d(x_{n_i}, x_{n_i+1}) + d(x_{k_i}, x_{k_i+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{k_i+1}) = \epsilon$ . From (2.1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{k_i+1})) \\ & \leq \phi\left(\alpha(\max\{d(x_{n_i}, x_{k_i}), d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{n_i}), d(x_{k_i+1}, x_{k_i}), d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{k_i}),\right. \\ & \quad \left. d(x_{k_i+1}, x_{n_i})\})\right) \\ & \leq \phi\left(\alpha(\max\{d(x_{n_i}, x_{k_i}), d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{n_i}), d(x_{k_i+1}, x_{k_i}),\right. \\ & \quad \left. d(x_{n_i+1}, x_{n_i}) + d(x_{n_i}, x_{k_i}), d(x_{k_i+1}, x_{k_i}) + d(x_{k_i}, x_{n_i})\})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\alpha(\epsilon) \leq \phi(\alpha(\epsilon)) < \alpha(\epsilon)$  which is a contradiction.

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. By the completeness of  $(X, d)$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  converges to some  $z$  in  $X$ .

From (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(d(fz, x_{2n})) &= \alpha(d(fz, gx_{2n-1})) \\ &\leq \phi(\alpha(M(z, x_{2n-1}))) \\ &= \phi(\alpha(\max\{d(z, x_{2n-1}), d(z, fz), d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), \\ & \quad d(z, x_{2n}), d(x_{2n}, fz)\})). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.3}$$

Taking limit in (2.1.3) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\alpha(d(fz, z)) \leq \phi(\alpha(d(fz, z)))$  which implies that  $\alpha(d(fz, z)) = 0$ . Hence  $d(fz, z) = 0$  or  $z = fz$ .

Now, we show that  $z = gz$ . From (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(d(x_{2n+1}, gz)) &= \alpha(d(fx_{2n}, gz)) \\ &\leq \phi(\alpha(M(x_{2n}, z))) \\ &= \phi(\alpha(\max\{d(x_{2n}, z), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(z, gz), \\ & \quad d(x_{2n}, gz), d(z, x_{2n+1})\})). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.4}$$

Taking limit in (2.1.4) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\alpha(d(z, gz)) \leq \phi(\alpha(d(z, gz)))$  which implies that  $\alpha(d(z, gz)) = 0$ . Hence  $d(z, gz) = 0$  or  $z = gz$ .

Therefore,  $z = fz = gz$ , that is  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ . For the uniqueness, let  $z$  and  $w$  be common fixed points of  $f$  and  $g$ .

From (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(d(z, w)) &= \alpha(d(fz, gw)) \\ &\leq \phi(\alpha(M(z, w))) \\ &= \phi(\alpha(\max\{d(z, w), d(z, fz), d(w, gw), d(z, gw), d(w, fz)\})) \\ &= \phi(\alpha(\max\{d(z, w), d(z, z), d(w, w), d(z, w), d(w, z)\})) \\ &= \phi(\alpha(d(z, w))) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\alpha(d(z, w)) = 0$ . Hence  $d(z, w) = 0$  or  $z = w$ .  $\square$

The following example show that if we don't have the condition of which  $O(x_0, gf) \cup O(fx_0, fg)$  is bounded for some  $x_0 \in X$ , then Theorem 2.1 does not hold. So we have to have the above condition.

**Example.** Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be defined by  $fn = gn = n + 1$  and let  $\alpha(t) = 2^t - 1$  and  $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$ .

For  $m > n$  we have

$$\alpha(d(n, gm)) = 2^{m-n+1} - 1 = 2^{m-n}2 - 1 \geq 2(2^{m-n} - 1) = 2\alpha(d(fn, gm)).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(d(fn, gm)) &= (2^{m-n} - 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(2^{m-n+1} - 1) \\ &= \phi(\alpha(d(n, gm))) \\ &\leq \phi(\alpha(\max\{d(n, m), d(n, fn), d(m, gm), d(m, fn), d(n, gm)\})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.1.1) is satisfied. But the orbits are not bounded and  $f$  and  $g$  have no common fixed points.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self maps of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$  satisfying: for each  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\int_0^{d(fx, gy)} \varphi(s)ds \leq \phi \left( \int_0^{M(x, y)} \varphi(s)ds \right)$$

where  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is nonnegative, Lebesgue integrable map which is summable and for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\int_0^\epsilon \varphi(s)ds > 0$ .

If there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $O(x_0, gf) \cup O(fx_0, fg)$  is bounded, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique fixed point  $z$  in  $X$ .

Moreover, the iteration sequence  $x_n$  with  $x_{2n+1} = fx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} = gx_{2n+1}$  converges to  $z$ .

Taking  $\phi(t) = kt$  for  $k \in [0, 1)$  in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, we have the next corollaries.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self maps of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  satisfying: there exists  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that for each  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\alpha(d(fx, gy)) \leq k\alpha(M(x, y))$$

If there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $O(x_0, gf) \cup O(fx_0, fg)$  is bounded, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique fixed point  $z$  in  $X$ .

Moreover, the iteration sequence  $x_n$  with  $x_{2n+1} = fx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} = gx_{2n+1}$  converges to  $z$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self maps of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying: there exists  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that for each  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\int_0^{d(fx, gy)} \varphi(s)ds \leq k \int_0^{M(x, y)} \varphi(s)ds$$

If there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $O(x_0, gf) \cup O(fx_0, fg)$  is bounded, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique fixed point  $z$  in  $X$ .

Moreover, the iteration sequence  $x_n$  with  $x_{2n+1} = fx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} = gx_{2n+1}$  converges to  $z$ .

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $f = g$  and  $\alpha(u) = \int_0^u \varphi(t)dt$  in Corollary 2.3. Then we have Theorem 4 of [3], where  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable, nonnegative and  $\int_0^s \varphi(t)dt > 0$  for each  $s > 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **29(9)**(2002), 532-536.
2. B. E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **226**(1977), 257-290.

3. B. E. Rhoades, *Two fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2003(63)**(2003), 4007-4013.
4. P. Vijayaraju, B. E. Rhoades and R. Mohanraj, *A fixed point theorem for a pair of maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2005(15)**(2005), 2359-2364.
5. Xian Zhang, *Common fixed point theorems for some new generalized contractive type mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **333**(2007), 780-786.

**Seong-Hoon Cho** received BS from Mokwon university and Ph.D at Myngji university. From 1995, he has been working at Hanseo university. He interests on analysis, fuzzy theory and topology.

Department of Mathematics, Hanseo University, Chungnam 356-820, South Korea  
e-mail:shcho@hanseo.ac.kr